Positive expression of programmed death ligand-1 correlates with superior outcomes and might be a therapeutic target in primary pulmonary lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma
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Introduction

Primary pulmonary lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma (LELC) was first reported by Begin et al1 in 1987, and is classified as a type of large-cell carcinoma based on the World Health Organization classification.2 Histopathologically, it is similar to nasopharyngeal carcinoma,3 and has a close relationship with Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) infection.4 Over the past two decades, fewer than 300 cases have been reported in the literature, including no more than 20 cases from Western populations.5,6 Patients with lung LELC have better outcomes than those with adenocarcinoma or squamous cell carcinoma of the lung.7 Radical surgery is usually performed in early-stage disease. For advanced disease, the treatment strategy is still controversial due to the rarity of lung LELC, and a combination of chemotherapy, surgery, and radiotherapy could...
be considered. Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutations\(^6\) and echinoderm microtubule-associated protein-like 4-anaplastic lymphoma kinase (EML4-ALK) fusion gene\(^7\) have been found to be driver genes in some patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Patients who harbor EGFR mutations are sensitive to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors\(^8\) and those with EML4-ALK respond well to crizotinib.\(^9\) However, EGFR mutations and ALK rearrangement have been reported to be rare in pulmonary LELCs,\(^6\)\(^12\) suggesting that EGFR-targeted and ALK-targeted therapies are not suitable for patients with advanced pulmonary LELC. Thus, other treatment approaches, such as immune therapies, should be investigated to improve the outcome of patients with advanced pulmonary LELC.

Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) are generally considered to reflect the primary host immune response against tumors. However, in patients with various types of cancer, the immune system is often characterized by the presence of a variety of inhibitory mechanisms preventing lymphocyte activation.\(^13\) Programmed cell death receptor 1 (PD-1) is typically expressed by activated lymphocytes.\(^14\) Its engagement by specific ligands, including PD ligand 1 (PD-L1) and PD ligand 2, triggers downregulation of antigen-stimulated lymphocyte proliferation and cytokine production,\(^15\)\(^16\) ultimately resulting in lymphocyte “exhaustion” and induction of immune tolerance.\(^17\)\(^18\)

Recently, a number of studies have found upregulated expression of PD-1 ligands in malignant cells, which has been suggested to play a central role in tumor-immune system interaction. Thus, by triggering PD-1, cancer cells might shut down specific immune responses.\(^19\) PD-L1 has been reported to be expressed by tumor cells of different origin, including renal cell carcinoma,\(^20\) squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck,\(^21\) esophageal cancer,\(^22\) and NSCLC.\(^23\) Capitalizing on this background, PD-1/PD-L1 blockade by anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 monoclonal antibodies has been envisaged as an appealing option to activate the host immune system to eradicate tumors. Recently, promising results of Phase I clinical trials involving patients bearing a variety of malignancies have been published.\(^24\)\(^26\) In particular, blocking of antibodies against PD-1 and PD-L1 has shown clinical activity in NSCLC.

Due to the rarity of pulmonary LELC, little is known about the biology of this neoplasm. In this work, we investigated the expression status of PD-L1 in patients with pulmonary LELC. Our results showed that a large proportion of patients with pulmonary LELC had positive expression of PD-L1, supporting the potential use of anti-PD-1/PD-L1-targeted therapies in this distinct type of NSCLC.

---

**Materials and methods**

**Patients**

A total of 79 consecutive patients with pulmonary LELC from January 2001 to December 2013 were enrolled in this study. As previously reported,\(^4\) patients with negative EBV-encoded RNA staining were excluded from this study. Nasopharyngoscopy or positron emission tomography-computed tomography was conducted to rule out lung metastasis from nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center research ethics board approved use of the data in this study, and informed consent for use and publication of patients’ medical information was obtained from all patients at their first visit.

We restaged all patients based on the American Joint Committee on Cancer staging system (2007 TNM Classification of Malignant Tumors),\(^27\) and both clinical and pathological characteristics were reviewed.

**Immunohistochemical analysis of PD-L1 expression**

Anti-PD-L1 rabbit polyclonal antibody was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). Immunohistochemical staining was performed as previously described\(^28\) and a two-stage immunohistochemical kit (ChemMate™ Envision Detection Kit, Peroxidase/DAB, Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) were used. PD-L1 immunostaining was classified into two groups according to intensity and extent: negative, when no staining or positive staining was detected in <5% of the cells; and positive, when membranous staining was present in ≥5% of the cells. The 5% threshold was based on a previous Phase I trial of anti-PD-1 agents\(^24\) and studies involving other malignancies.\(^20\) Two independent pathologists (ZXK and CJW) assessed the expression intensity and extent of PD-L1.

**Statistical analysis**

The correlation of PD-L1 expression with various clinicopathologic parameters was assessed using the chi-squared test or Fisher’s exact test after transforming into categorical values. Progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) were calculated by the Kaplan–Meier method, while the log-rank test was used for comparison. The prognostic factors of OS and PFS were analyzed by univariate analysis. Multivariate analysis was performed using the Cox proportional hazard model to compare the factors proven significant in the univariate analysis. Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals were calculated for all variables in the regression model. A two-sided \(P\)-value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 19 statistical software (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) was utilized.
Results

Clinical characteristic of patients with pulmonary LELC

The patients’ clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1. Thirty-nine patients (49.4%) were male and 40 (50.6%) were female. The median age at diagnosis was 52 (range 11–74) years. Only 22 (27.8%) patients were smokers. The stages at initial diagnosis were: 25 (31.6%) in stage I, 19 (24.1%) in stage II, 33 (41.8%) in stage III, and two (2.5%) in stage IV. Most patients (67.1%) had a tumor size larger than 3 cm. In this cohort, 38 patients (48.1%) received radical surgery alone, 35 patients (44.3%) received a multimodality treatment strategy (radical surgery combined with radiotherapy or chemotherapy), and six patients (7.6%) received palliative chemotherapy.

Expression of PD-L1 and relationship between PD-L1 expression and clinical factors

Pulmonary LELC was characterized by poorly differentiated or undifferentiated carcinoma cells located in syncytial sheets and nests. A great number of reactive lymphocytes and other inflammatory cells infiltrated the stromal tissue septa (Figure 1).

Of 79 patients, 50 (63.3%) had PD-L1-positive tumor cells, usually of moderate-to-strong intensity (Figure 1B–F). The remaining 29 patients (36.7%) showed a complete absence of membrane staining or <5% immunoreactivity (Figure 1A). As shown in Table 1, there was no significant difference in the positive expression rate of PD-L1 between different subgroups regarding gender, age, smoking history, stage, or tumor size ($P=0.05$). However, more patients in the PD-L1-negative group had disease relapse or progression than those in the PD-L1-positive group (44.8% versus 14.0%, respectively; $P=0.002$). Furthermore, more patients in the PD-L1 negative group died than in the PD-L1-positive group (27.6% versus 6.0%, respectively; $P=0.020$).

PD-L1 expression was associated with clinical outcomes

The median follow-up duration for this cohort was 35.02 (6.57–159.05) months. The 3-year and 5-year OS rate was 88.0% and 79.0%, respectively. During the follow-up period, 20 patients had disease relapse or progression at a median of 15.03 (1.45–70.74) months, and the 3-year and 5-year PFS rate was 76.0% and 68.0%, respectively. Kaplan–Meier analysis revealed that patients with positive PD-L1 expression had longer PFS and OS than those with negative PD-L1 expression ($P=0.019$ and $P=0.042$, respectively; Figure 2A and C), and patients with advanced stage (III–IV) disease had significantly inferior PFS and OS (both $P=0.000$; Figure 2B and D). As shown in Table 2, in a multivariate Cox regression model including age, tumor size, stage, and PD-L1 expression status, the latter three factors were found to be independent predictors of PFS ($P=0.023$, $P=0.000$, and $P=0.009$, respectively), but only stage was found to be an independent prognostic factor for OS ($P=0.007$) and PD-L1 expression status showed a trend to be independently correlated with OS ($P=0.080$).

Discussion

The aim of this study was to analyze the expression of PD-L1 in patients with pulmonary LELC and to evaluate its clinical relevance. We observed that about 63% of patients with pulmonary LELC had positive expression of PD-L1 in tumor cells. Importantly, tumors expressing PD-L1 in our series showed a significant trend toward better PFS.

PD-L1 is frequently expressed in many types of human cancer, and its interaction with PD-1 has been shown to induce apoptosis or exhaustion in activated T-cells. PD-L1/PD-1 blockade has also been shown to enhance the anti-tumor activity of T-cells. Because of its role in tumor development, the prognostic significance of PD-L1 has been investigated in several studies and found to be associated with a worse outcome in several types of neoplasm, including NSCLC. In contrast with the previous studies, our findings did not support positive PD-L1 expression being a

Table 1 Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>PD-L1-positive (n=50)</th>
<th>PD-L1-negative (n=29)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I–II</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III–IV</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3 cm</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤3 cm</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease progression or relapse status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alive status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alive</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: PD-L1, programmed cell death-ligand 1.
poor prognostic factor for pulmonary LELC. In our study, multivariate analysis revealed that positive PD-L1 expression was significantly associated with better PFS ($P=0.009$). Moreover, positive PD-L1 expression showed a trend toward being independently correlated with longer OS ($P=0.080$).

Association of PD-L1 expression with favorable outcome has also been observed in lung cancer,$^{33,34}$ colon cancer,$^{35}$ Merkel cell carcinoma,$^{36}$ and melanoma.$^{37}$

Although the reasons for these discrepancies concerning the prognostic value of PD-L1 in different studies remain unclear, the following observations might be possible explanations. Firstly, a different definition for high PD-L1 expression might be related to different results. An earlier study$^{23}$ chose only the median value of the percentage of tumor cells positive for PD-L1, while other studies used a semiquantitative H-score, and the cut-off value was the median of the H-score.$^{31,34}$ Our study chose the threshold of 5%, which has been used in clinical studies for analysis of PD-L1 expression in other malignancies.$^{33,38}$ and this cut-point is reported to be associated with clinical response to

Figure 1 Immunohistochemical staining of PD-L1 in patients with primary pulmonary lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma.

Notes: (A) Negative PD-L1 expression ($\times 100$), (B) PD-L1 was expressed in 5%–10% of tumor cells ($\times 200$), (C) PD-L1 was expressed in 50% of tumor cells ($\times 100$), (D) PD-L1 was expressed in 80%–100% of tumor cells ($\times 100$), (E) PD-L1 was expressed in 100% tumor cells ($\times 100$), and (F) PD-L1 was strongly expressed mainly in the membrane ($\times 400$).

Abbreviation: PD-L1, programmed cell death-ligand 1.
We also tested some other cut-off values to investigate the prognostic role of PD-L1, such as 10%, 20%, or 50%, and found that 5% was the most appropriate one to be of prognostic value (data not shown). Secondly, the staining protocols or paraffin used for embedding may differ between these studies. Finally, different tumors have different biologic behaviors, and the systemic immunologic environment may affect the tumor growth to varying degrees.

Since Begin et al first reported pulmonary LELC in 1987, the association of EBV infection with pulmonary LELC...
has been observed. The presence of EBV was indicated by in situ hybridization for EBV-encoded RNAs in all of our 79 patients. The natural history of malignancies linked with viral infections, which are frequently associated with chronic inflammation, is often influenced by the immunologic state of the host. The immune regulatory PD-L1/PD-1 axis may play a significant role in the development and progression of virus-associated cancers. Intratumoral expression of PD-L1 and/or PD-1 has been shown in polyomavirus-associated Merkel cell carcinoma, hepatitis B virus-related hepatocellular carcinoma, human papillomavirus-associated head and neck cancer, EBV-associated nasopharyngeal cancer, and adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma linked to human T-cell leukemia virus-1. In virus-associated malignancies, PD-L1 expression likely reflects both a host reaction to the tumor and the chronic inflammatory environment triggered by the presence of the virus. High lymphocyte infiltration and consequent upregulation of PD-L1 in a subset of virus-positive tumors may reflect both enhanced antigenicity associated with viral infection and virus-independent factors, such as E-selectin expression on vascular endothelium, which promotes egress of lymphocytes. In defined cancer types, TILs have been shown to be associated with an improved prognosis. Pulmonary LELC is characterized by an intense lymphoctic infiltrate, which may explain its better outcome. Thus, our observation of positive PD-L1 expression in pulmonary LELC with better PFS could demonstrate a pre-existing tumor inflammatory environment as a consequence of virus triggering TILs.

Consistent with previous studies, most of the patients with pulmonary LELC in our series had early-stage resectable disease and a better prognosis. Radical surgery is the main approach used to cure this disease. For metastatic disease, palliative chemotherapy has been used with unknown efficacy due to the rarity of LELC. Moreover, EGFR mutations and ALK rearrangement are rare in pulmonary LELCs. Thus, EGFR-targeted and ALK-targeted therapies are not encouraging treatment strategies for patients with advanced or metastatic pulmonary LELC. Recent clinical trials found that inhibition of the PD-L1/PD-1 interaction with antibodies specific for these proteins had promising antitumor efficacy in patients with various malignancies, including NSCLC. Although our study is retrospective in nature and has a relatively small sample size, our results provide a rationale for future clinical investigations of the PD-L1/PD-1 axis as a target therapy in patients with pulmonary LELC whose tumor specimens have a high PD-L1 expression level.

In conclusion, this is the first report to demonstrate the expression of PD-L1 in pulmonary LELC, and it found a significant correlation between better PFS and PD-L1 positivity in a cohort of patients from a single institution. Further studies are required to clarify the molecular mechanisms responsible for regulation of PD-L1 expression in virus-associated malignancies and to provide a rationale for exploring immunotherapies, such as anti-PD-1 and anti-PD-L1, in a type of tumor that currently lacks effective treatment options for advanced disease.
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