Acute vasoreactivity test results in severe pulmonary hypertension patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: our experience with 29 cases
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Aim: The aim of the current study is to evaluate acute vasoreactivity test (AVT) results in severe pulmonary hypertension patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and to compare the demographical, clinical, and laboratory variables in positive and negative cases.

Methods: This retrospective, clinical study was performed on 29 cases in the departments of cardiology and chest diseases of our tertiary care center. AVT was positive in 12 (41.4%) cases and negative in 17 (58.6%) cases. Demographical variables, cardiopulmonary indicators, and laboratory findings were compared in these two subgroups.

Results: The mean age was 62.3 ± 7.8 years for AVT negative group, while it was 64.8 ± 7.3 years in AVT positive group (P = 0.38). Except for the changes in systolic, diastolic, and mean pulmonary arterial pressures before and after iloprost administration, there were no statistically significant differences regarding any of the parameters under investigation in both groups.

Conclusion: Despite the high rate of positivity for AVT in severe pulmonary hypertension patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, none of the variables under investigation displayed a noteworthy difference between AVT negative and positive groups. Identification of factors likely to influence AVT results is important for establishment of appropriate treatment protocols especially for AVT negative cases.
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Introduction

Positivity of acute vasoreactivity test (AVT) is “a fall of at least 10 mmHg in mean pulmonary artery pressure (PAP); a fall to an absolute mean PAP less than 40 mmHg; and unchanged or increased cardiac output”.¹ AVT is typically performed with a short-acting agent such as inhaled nitric oxide, inhaled iloprost, intravenous epoprostenol, or adenosine. Baseline hemodynamic measurements are obtained prior to testing.¹,²

AVT is performed for three reasons in pulmonary hypertension (PH): 1) identification of patients who may display a favorable long-term response to calcium channel blocker treatment, 2) gathering prognostic information, 3) scientific research purposes.³,⁴ In PH patients with COPD, AVT usually yields positive results. The response rate in an adult population is reported to vary between 13% and 35% in different series. These cases not only display better functional class and hemodynamics, but they also have superior survival rates compared to non-responders.¹,³,⁴
Positivity of AVT is not routinely expected for all COPD patients. Documentation of AVT results in COPD patients with severe PH and recognition of characteristics of patients with respect to AVT results may yield useful clues for setting convenient treatment regimens.

The aim of the current study is to evaluate AVT results in severe PH patients with COPD and to compare the demographic, clinical, and laboratory variables in vasoreactivity test positive and negative cases. Thereby, better understanding of the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms and setting potential new treatment modalities may be possible.

Material and methods

Study design

This study was approved by the local Institutional Review Board (September 18, 2013) and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The procedures followed were in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000 (concerning the ethical principles for the medical community and forbidding releasing the name of the patient, initials or the hospital evidence number) and with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national).

A total of 29 severe PH patients with stable COPD, who were under follow-up in the departments of cardiology and chest diseases of our tertiary care center, were included in this study. All patients had been diagnosed with PH secondary to COPD and they suffered from dyspnea after minimal or moderate exertion. However, they were clinically stable and had been free from bronchopulmonary infection, acute respiratory distress, or right ventricular failure for at least 3 months prior to the study. All patients were devoid of any clinical, electrocardiographic, X-ray or echographic findings consistent with left ventricular dysfunction. Patients with interstitial lung diseases and obstructive sleep apnea were excluded from the study.

Outcome parameters: AVT was performed using inhalation of 1 mL of iloprost trometamol (Ilomedin® 20 mcg/mL; Schering AG, Berlin-Wedding, Germany) as described by Opitz et al. Testing at the time of catheterization with a short-acting pulmonary vasodilator is critical in determining the therapeutic options for patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension. A positive acute vasoreactive response is defined as a reduction of mean PAP ≥10 mmHg to reach an absolute value of mean PAP ≤40 mmHg with an increased or unchanged cardiac output. PH was described as mean PAP ≥25 mmHg.

Demographical features (age, sex, body mass index, smoking habit, history of exposure to biomass, systemic diseases such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, coronary artery disease), as well as cardiopulmonary indicators (ejection fraction, forced expiratory volume in 1 second, forced vital capacity, systolic, diastolic, and mean PAP values before and after vasoreactivity test, oxygen saturation, partial pressures of O₂ [oxygen] and CO₂, [carbon dioxide], blood pH and HCO₃, [bicarbonate] concentration) were noted. These variables are compared in AVT positive and negative patients.

Statistical analyses

Data were analyzed using the IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 21 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). A normal distribution of the univariate data was checked using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and Shapiro-Wilk test. A normal distribution of the multivariate data was checked using Mardia, Doornik and Omnibus tests. Dependent groups were compared via Wilcoxon signed rank test with simulation technique. The distribution of categorical variables in both groups was compared using Pearson’s chi-squared test with Monte Carlo simulation technique, and Fisher’s exact tests. A univariate analysis of potential risk factors was performed with the log-rank test for categorical factors and with the univariate Cox analysis for continuous variables. The cut-off points were calculated by the MedCalc software as the points with the best sensitivity-specificity balance. Quantitative variables were expressed as either mean ± standard deviation or median-interquartile range. Confidence interval was set at 95% and a statistical difference was taken as P<0.05.

Results

The mean age and the body mass index for the whole study population was 63.4±7.5 (range, 45 to 78) years and 27.3±5.7 (range, 18 to 40) kg/m², respectively. The demographical, clinical, and laboratory features of the total 29 PH patients are outlined in Table 1. Of the total 29 cases, 12 (41.4%) had yielded positive AVT, while 17 (58.6%) had negative AVT results. No complications or side effects were observed after AVT. There was no difference between AVT negative and positive patients with respect to incidences of comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, coronary artery disease, and smoking habit.

Table 2 demonstrates a comparative presentation of all variables under investigation for AVT negative (n=17, 58.6%) and positive (n=12, 41.4%) patients. As it can be
Table 1 Demographical, clinical, and laboratory variables in the whole study group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Variable Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>63.4±7.5 (45–78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (n, %)</td>
<td>17 (58.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (n, %)</td>
<td>12 (41.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.3±5.7 (18–40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking habit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (n, %)</td>
<td>16 (55.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (n, %)</td>
<td>13 (44.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass exposure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (n, %)</td>
<td>17 (58.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (n, %)</td>
<td>12 (41.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (n, %)</td>
<td>9 (31%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (n, %)</td>
<td>20 (69%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasoreactivity test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive (n, %)</td>
<td>12 (41.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative (n, %)</td>
<td>17 (58.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejection fraction (%)</td>
<td>57.5±4.2 (50–68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (L)</td>
<td>40.5±14.3 (14–86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/FVC</td>
<td>64.0±3.8 (52–68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC (L)</td>
<td>49.0±15.8 (27–85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic PAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>65.0±13.6 (33–90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of COPD (years)</td>
<td>8.0±4.3 (3–20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen saturation (%)</td>
<td>77.2±10.7 (58–93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO₂ (mmHg)</td>
<td>46.1±10.8 (20–66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCO₂ (mmHg)</td>
<td>49.3±8.3 (33–68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.38±0.02 (7.35–7.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO₃⁻ concentration (mmol/L)</td>
<td>27.4±3.4 (23–35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Expressed as median ± interquartile range. ‡Expressed as mean ± standard deviation.
Abbreviations: FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; PO₂, oxygen pressure; PCO₂, carbon dioxide pressure; HCO₃⁻, bicarbonate; PAP, pulmonary artery pressure.

seen, there was no difference in AVT negative and positive patients in terms of any parameters.

The systolic, diastolic, and mean PAPs before and after administration of iloprost are shown in Table 3. Change in systolic PAP before and after iloprost is 3.0±4.0 mmHg in AVT negative group and 13.5±6.5 mmHg in AVT positive group (P<0.001). Change in diastolic PAP before and after iloprost is 1.0±4.0 mmHg in AVT negative group and 9.5±9.0 mmHg in AVT positive group (P<0.001). Change in mean PAP before and after iloprost is 2.0±2.0 mmHg in AVT negative group and 11.0±8.0 mmHg in AVT positive group (P<0.001).

Comparison of changes in systolic, diastolic, and mean PAPs after iloprost administration in AVT negative and positive patients can be seen in Table 4. Even though all three PAPs are significantly altered (P<0.001 for each), the change in systolic PAP appears to be more prominent between AVT positive and negative patients.

Table 2 Comparison of parameters under investigation in patients with positive and negative vasoreactivity test results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Vasoreactivity test</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>62.3±7.8</td>
<td>64.8±7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n, %)</td>
<td>13 (76.5%)</td>
<td>9 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n, %)</td>
<td>4 (23.5%)</td>
<td>3 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n, %)</td>
<td>12 (70.6%)</td>
<td>8 (66.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n, %)</td>
<td>5 (29.4%)</td>
<td>4 (33.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass exposure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n, %)</td>
<td>7 (41.2%)</td>
<td>5 (41.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n, %)</td>
<td>10 (58.8%)</td>
<td>7 (58.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n, %)</td>
<td>11 (64.7%)</td>
<td>10 (83.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n, %)</td>
<td>6 (35.3%)</td>
<td>2 (16.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking habit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n, %)</td>
<td>8 (47.1%)</td>
<td>5 (41.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n, %)</td>
<td>9 (52.9%)</td>
<td>7 (58.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.5±6.1</td>
<td>27.1±5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejection fraction (%)</td>
<td>56.0±5.0</td>
<td>60.0±5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (L)</td>
<td>40.0±12.0</td>
<td>39.0±20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/FVC</td>
<td>64.0±3.0</td>
<td>64.5±4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC (%)*</td>
<td>48.0±11.0</td>
<td>45.0±30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic PAP (mmHg)*</td>
<td>65.8±12.4</td>
<td>63.8±15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of COPD (years)*</td>
<td>8.0±4.0</td>
<td>6.0±4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen saturation (%)</td>
<td>76.5±9.9</td>
<td>78.2±11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO₂ (mmHg)*</td>
<td>47.0±9.0</td>
<td>44.8±13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCO₂ (mmHg)*</td>
<td>51.2±7.7</td>
<td>46.8±8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH*</td>
<td>7.38±0.02</td>
<td>7.39±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO₃⁻ (mmol/L)*</td>
<td>27.9±3.6</td>
<td>26.8±3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Expressed as median ± interquartile range. ‡Expressed as mean ± standard deviation.
Abbreviations: FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; PO₂, oxygen pressure; PCO₂, carbon dioxide pressure; HCO₃⁻, bicarbonate; PAP, pulmonary artery pressure.

Discussion

In this study, we attempted to demonstrate whether there was a difference between AVT negative and positive PH patients in terms of demographical, clinical, and cardiopulmonary variables. Our study has shown that only systolic, diastolic, and mean PAPs exhibited a significant difference before and after administration of iloprost for AVT between the two groups.

Assessment of AVT helps to estimate the overall prognosis and to identify patients who may be calcium channel blocker treatment responders. There is a disproportionate rate of PH in COPD patients. The reported rate of PH in COPD is about 35%–40%.

If left ventricular functions are normal, diseases other than COPD should be excluded. Even if the exact cause cannot be identified, attributed to the likelihood of vascular changes similar to advanced COPD cases, we suggest that vasodilator drugs mentioned above can be beneficial for this
Table 3 Alterations of systolic, diastolic, and mean pulmonary arterial pressures before and after iloprost administration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (mmHg)</th>
<th>Vasoreactivity test</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic PAP prior to iloprost</td>
<td>69±22</td>
<td>68.5±20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic PAP after iloprost</td>
<td>66±23</td>
<td>51±19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in systolic PAP before and after iloprost</td>
<td>3±4</td>
<td>13.5±6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic PAP prior to iloprost</td>
<td>28±15</td>
<td>29.5±10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic PAP after iloprost</td>
<td>28±16</td>
<td>20±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in diastolic PAP before and after iloprost</td>
<td>1±4</td>
<td>9.5±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean PAP prior to iloprost</td>
<td>40±17</td>
<td>40±11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean PAP after iloprost</td>
<td>36±18</td>
<td>26.5±8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in mean PAP before and after iloprost</td>
<td>2±2</td>
<td>11±8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data is expressed as median ± interquartile range. *Statistically significant (P<0.05).

Abbreviation: PAP, pulmonary artery pressure.

In cases of heart disease, there can be significant output changes, with resistance alterations, but without significant pressure changes.4,7,8

AVT may be a valuable measure in the investigative arena. This is especially true as we tried to elucidate demographic, clinical, and laboratory parameters, which may be associated with negativity/positivity of AVT. Results of tests used to determine pulmonary vasoreactivity can be affected by various transient changes that should be recognized and corrected.

Our results have shown that neither demographic variables, age, body mass index, sex, and systemic diseases (such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, coronary artery disease) nor cardiopulmonary indicators seem to have an impact on the response to AVT. Biomass exposure, which is an important part of local lifestyle in rural areas, was investigated but no difference was detected between the two groups. We suggest that identification of demographic, clinical, and laboratory correlates of AVT positivity calls for utilization of more sophisticated measures on larger

Table 4 Changes in systolic, diastolic, and mean pulmonary artery pressures (PAP) after iloprost administration in vasoreactivity test negative and positive patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (mmHg)</th>
<th>Vasoreactivity test</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative (n, %)</td>
<td>Positive (n, %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in systolic PAP</td>
<td>17 (100%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥6</td>
<td>15 (88.2%)</td>
<td>3 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in diastolic PAP</td>
<td>2 (11.8%)</td>
<td>9 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;4.5</td>
<td>16 (94.1%)</td>
<td>3 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥4.5</td>
<td>1 (5.9%)</td>
<td>9 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in mean PAP</td>
<td>1 (5.9%)</td>
<td>9 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6.5</td>
<td>16 (94.1%)</td>
<td>3 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥6.5</td>
<td>1 (5.9%)</td>
<td>9 (75%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Statistically significant (P<0.05).
samples. We observed that the change in systolic PAP was more noteworthy than diastolic or mean PAP. This point can be important for planning further studies since parameters influencing systolic PAP may have a more obvious effect on the response to AVT.

Hemodynamic signs of right heart failure and subsequent PH may exist in some COPD cases. Chronic hypoxic vasoconstriction plays a crucial role in pathogenesis of PH. Since vasoreactivity test is negative in the majority of our patients, we speculate that a direct causal relationship between COPD and all PH cases is rather unlikely. Other pathophysiological processes which may contribute to development of PH must be studied while taking further diagnostic and therapeutic steps in these diseases. Distinguishing cases who respond positively to AVT may aid in selection of patients for whom COPD is more likely to be responsible in the etiopathogenesis of PH.

Some limitations of this study must be noted: first, our sample size is small and the study design is retrospective. Second, impacts of any other metabolic, inflammatory or local factors which could influence AVT results could not be completely eliminated. Chance sampling is another factor prone to affect the distribution rate of responders to vasoreactivity test. Therefore, interpretations must be made with caution.

Conclusion
In conclusion, we came across a high rate of positivity for AVT in severe PH patients with COPD. However, none of the variables under investigation displayed a noteworthy difference between AVT negative and positive groups. Identification of factors likely to influence AVT results is important for establishment of appropriate treatment protocols for especially AVT negative cases.
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