Calciphylaxis in a patient affected by rheumatoid arthritis, chronic renal failure, and hyperparathyroidism: a case report
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Abstract: Calciphylaxis, or calcific uremic arteriolopathy, is the tissue and vascular calcification that occurs mainly in chronic kidney disease. However, it can be secondary to parathyroid dysfunction and it has been described in rheumatic patients. We present a case of calciphylaxis in a woman with inactive rheumatoid arthritis, acute renal failure, and hyperparathyroidism.
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Introduction
Calciphylaxis, or calcific uremic arteriolopathy (CUA), described for the first time by Selye,1 is increased tissue sensitivity to calcification affecting the small and medium vessels (especially in the skin); it leads to ischemia and then to cutaneous necrosis.2 It primarily affects 1%–4% of patients with renal failure, but it can also be secondary to parathyroid dysfunction and, less frequently, it has been reported in patients with lymphoma, leukemia, and multiple myeloma.3–5 There are only a few cases that have been described in patients with rheumatic diseases, such as in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) without renal insufficiency and hyperparathyroidism, as well as in patients affected by systemic lupus erythematosus, giant cell arteritis, or antiphospholipid antibody syndrome.5 As for other rheumatic diseases, the systemic inflammatory state due to RA often involves microcirculation and becomes a possible predisposing factor to calciphylaxis.6–8 We present a case of calciphylaxis in a woman with inactive RA, acute renal insufficiency, and hyperparathyroidism, as well as with long-term steroid therapy and immunosuppressive drugs.

Case report
In November 2014, an 80-year-old female affected by RA and who was seropositive for anticyclic citrullinated peptide antibodies and rheumatoid factor was admitted to the rheumatology division of our hospital for the appearance of acute renal failure and some painful skin lesions on her lower limbs while taking the disease-modifying antirheumatic drug, leflunomide, and low doses of prednisone (5 mg/day as long-term therapy). Other comorbidities included osteoarthritis, dorsal vertebral osteoporotic fractures, arterial hypertension during pharmacological treatment, and bowel diverticulosis. RA was diagnosed in August 2010 and therapy with methotrexate was started at a dose of 15 mg/week with low doses of prednisone. Therapy with methotrexate yielded a good clinical response, but after some months it was stopped because of alopecia. We then decided to start treatment with leflunomide 20 mg/day.
Laboratory tests performed in September 2014 showed a creatinine level of 0.6 mg/dL (normal range: 0.1–1.02 mg/dL), an erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) of 55 mm/hour (cut-off value: ≤20 mm/hour), a C-reactive protein level of 3.17 mg/dL (normal range: 0–5 mg/dL), serum total calcium levels of 8.72 mg/dL (normal range: 8.4–10.4 mg/dL), a 25-hydroxy vitamin D level of 32.31 ng/mL (normal range: ≥30 ng/mL), a phosphorus level of 4.6 mg/dL (normal range: 2.2–4.5 mg/dL), and a parathyroid hormone (PTH) level of 394 pg/mL (normal range: 12–72 pg/mL). Low urine total calcium, low urine total phosphorus, and low urine total magnesium levels were observed equal to 9.84 mg/24 hours (normal range: 100–300 mg/24 hours), 189 mg/24 hours (normal range: 400–1,300 mg/24 hours), and 26.4 mg/24 hours (normal range: 73–122 mg/24 hours), respectively.

During hospital admission, the patient reported only rachialgia associated with oliguria (diuresis <500 mL/24 hours). The patient presented with livedo reticularis and she had many painful, erythematous, necrotic skin lesions on her lower limbs (Figure 1). Laboratory tests showed the following: a creatinine level of 2.21 mg/dL (normal range: 0.1–1.02 mg/dL); a blood urea nitrogen level of 89.4 mg/dL (normal range: 20–45 mg/dL); creatinine clearance of 41 mL/minute; an ESR of 11 mm/hour (cut-off value: ≤20 mm/hour); and a C-reactive protein level of 0.29 mg/dL (normal range: 0–5 mg/dL). The potassium level was 2.63 mEq/L (normal range: 3.5–5.20 mEq/L), the magnesium level was 1.22 mg/dL (normal range: 1.4–2.6 mg/dL), and the serum calcium level was 7.7 mg/dL (normal range: 8.4–10.4 mg/dL). Serum sodium bicarbonate was normal (23 mEq/L with a normal range of 21–30 mEq/L). The serum total phosphorus level was 4.76 mg/dL (normal range: 2.2–4.5 mg/dL), 25-hydroxy vitamin D was 21.35 ng/mL (normal range: ≥30 ng/mL), and the PTH level was 531.4 pg/mL (normal range: 12–72 pg/mL). Doppler ultrasound of the lower limbs ruled out deep vein thrombosis. Renal echography did not show parenchymal involvement. Skeletal X-ray of the lower limbs showed diffuse vascular calcifications. A skin biopsy of the lesions showed calcific sclerosis and microthrombi within a small artery with calcium deposition.

A final diagnosis of calciphylaxis was made (Figures 2–4). Daily antibiotic treatment of the local cutaneous lesions was performed. Bisphosphonate treatment with sodium clodronate was given at a dose of 300 mg/day intravenously for 10 days, together with a low dose of colecalciferol (2,500 U/weekly) with tight control of calcium and phosphorus levels. Slow but progressive improvement was also observed.

**Discussion**

Calciphylaxis can be regarded as a vasculopathy that affects the small and medium vessels. Skin lesions consist of nonhealing ulcers that are accompanied by livedo reticularis. Other forms of vasculitis have to be excluded. Skin ulcers evolve into skin necrosis with secondary infections, leading to sepsis. Other rare systemic manifestations are aortic valve involvement, pulmonary calciphylaxis, recurrent digital ischemia, gangrene, weakness, cardiomyopathy, and mesenteric ischemia. The pathogenesis of calciphylaxis is still unknown and it is probably multifactorial. In the majority of patients, it is secondary to a dysregulation of the calcium–phosphate–parathyroid axis. It is well known that patients with an elevated calcium–phosphate product, particularly when the
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The calcium deposits on small vessels during secondary hyperparathyroidism can induce a complex hypersensitivity reaction that could precipitate the pre-existing vascular alteration in hemolytic uremic syndrome-related thrombotic microangiopathy, explaining the ineffectiveness of sodium thiosulfate therapy. Extra-articular RA manifestations can include alterations in microcirculation, such as small-vessel vasculitis, which can induce calciphylaxis in the presence of other factors. Histologically, the characteristic feature is calcification of the small- to medium-sized arterioles and arterioles involving both the tunica media and tunica intima. Calcified debris may sometimes be present within the lumen and occasionally the vessels are thrombosed. Intimal fibroblastic proliferation with luminal narrowing has also been described. Hemorrhages within the subcutaneous fat may be seen, and fat necrosis accompanied by a lobular lymphohistiocytic infiltrate has been documented in a number of cases. Disease should be suspected clinically in those patients with altered calcium–phosphate product or elevated levels of PTH, and laboratory tests should be performed to exclude autoimmune disease or infections (including antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody, hepatitis B and C serologies, complement levels, and cryoglobulin levels). Skin biopsy is the gold standard for diagnosis. Our patient presented only with skin involvement. In our case report, the pathogenesis of calciphylaxis was multifactorial (RA, chronic renal failure, and secondary/tertiary hyperparathyroidism). The gold standard of treatment is supportive care with electrolytic corrections, hydration, and nutrition. It is very important that local care is provided and that antibiotic agents are used immediately after the infection is detected (considering that the mortality of CUA relates to the development of sepsis). Debridation of necrotic tissue is generally effective and improves the healing of skin ulcers. It is recommended that risk factors for CUA are eliminated, such as diabetes and obesity. As such, a diet without calcium–phosphate is necessary so that the calcium–phosphate product can remain $<55 \text{ mg/dL}$, and serum phosphorus can remain between 2.7 mg/dL and 4.6 mg/dL.

It is useful to prescribe a phosphate binder, such as sevelamer and lanthanum carbonate, thus eliminating binders that contain calcium salts. Cinacalcet is a possible therapeutic option for CUA. It is a calcimimetic and it helps to downregulate PTH levels and normalize calcium and phosphorus metabolism. It is currently used mainly in patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism resulting from chronic renal insufficiency. In CUA, cinacalcet (starting at a dose of 30 mg daily and eventually titrated to 60 mg daily) has
been reported in a few case reports to decrease pain, reduce PTH levels, correct significantly elevated calcium–phosphate product levels, and dramatically heal cutaneous ulcers. In several case reports, bisphosphonates, such as intravenous pamidronate, clodronate, and oral etidronate, have also shown a significant decrease in pain, and these agents have been implicated in the healing of cutaneous ulcers. Finally, hyperbaric oxygen is a possible treatment, as it increases the quantity of oxygen that arrives to the peripheral tissues, thus increasing the healing of skin ulcers.
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