Emerging insights into the genetic basis of canine hip dysplasia

Abstract: Canine hip dysplasia (CHD) is the most common inherited polygenic orthopedic trait in dogs with the phenotype influenced also by environmental factors. This trait was described in the dog in 1935 and leads to a debilitating secondary hip osteoarthritis. The diagnosis is confirmed radiographically by evaluating signs of degenerative joint disease, incongruence, and/or passive hip joint laxity. There is no ideal medical or surgical treatment so prevention based on controlled breeding is the optimal approach. The definitive CHD diagnosis based on radiographic examination involves the exposure to ionizing radiation under general anesthesia or heavy sedation but the image does not reveal the underlying genetic quality of the dog. Phenotypic expression of CHD is modified by environmental factors and dogs with a normal phenotype can be carriers of some mutations and transmit these genes to their offspring. Programs based on selection of dogs with better individual phenotypes for breeding are effective when strictly applied but remain inferior to the selection of dogs based on estimation of breeding values. Molecular studies for dissecting the genetic basis of CHD are ongoing, but progress has been slow. In the future, the recommended method to improve hip quality in controlled breeding schemes, which will allow higher selection pressure, would be based on the estimation of the genomic breeding value. Since 2012, a commercial DNA test has been available for Labrador Retrievers using a blood sample and provides a probability for development of CHD but we await evidence that this test reduces the incidence or severity of CHD.
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Introduction

Canine hip dysplasia (CHD) is the most common inherited polygenic orthopedic trait with the phenotype influenced by environmental factors. This trait was described in the dog in 1935, in the USA, and leads to a debilitating secondary hip osteoarthritis. Heritability estimates for CHD vary from 0.1 to 0.83 due to different pedigrees, methods used to calculate the heritability, and the hip phenotypes analyzed. CHD is more prevalent in large and giant breeds of dogs often resulting in mild or no clinical signs. However, for some dogs clinical signs can be severe and resistant to medical management needing aggressive and expensive surgical treatments. The definitive diagnosis of CHD is made if characteristic radiographic signs are evident on a standard or stressed ventrodorsal view of the pelvis, occurring along a gradual scale from nearly normal to severely affected. This is a crucial aspect of CHD as the radiographic diagnosis has been essential for the selection of breeding stock. Studies attempting to find genetic markers for CHD diagnosis are now frequent. The sequencing and annotation of the canine genome has resulted in renewed interest in research of the...
genetic underpinnings of canine orthopedic disorders, particularly those of a multifactorial etiology, such as CHD.12 Recently, the first commercial CHD diagnostic genetic test for Labrador Retrievers appeared,13 but, the imaging diagnosis continues to be of major importance for disease screening and treatment. Humans are also affected by hip dysplasia and both conditions have phenotypic similarities of joint subluxation and the development of osteoarthritis.14 However, the main medical approach in humans is different, being mainly based on the preventive management and with good results.15 Currently, molecular CHD studies are considered useful for the understanding of the genetic basis of analogous conditions in humans, mainly because the heterogeneity in human populations and the complexity of this disorder makes the genetic dissection of human hip osteoarthritis more difficult.12 The main purpose of this review is to present and discuss medical aspects of CHD for which knowledge is incomplete and have therefore merited major current research efforts.

### Epidemiology, physical signs, and outcomes

CHD continues to be a common trait mainly in large and giant breeds, in pet and working dogs, with prevalence higher than 50% in some breeds.2 The clinical presentation of the disease is not correlated with the radiographic changes.2,6 Clinical signs of CHD are more evident in dogs younger than 1 year of age due to hip instability, or in adult dogs with chronic pain from osteoarthritis.7,16 Chronic hip alterations, such as fibrosis and thickening of the joint capsule, result in joint stability and improvement of limb function, masking the clinical signs and functional limitations in middle-aged animals.5,17 Clinical signs warrant medical and/or surgical treatment.18,19 Preventive conservative or surgical management could be indicated in puppies at risk of developing CHD,19,20 but early intervention is hampered because there are no pathognomonic clinical signs for CHD. Common clinical signs are: slight to moderate lameness; gait and running abnormalities, such as shortened stride length and bunny hopping; difficulty in rising and reluctance to climb stairs.21

### Diagnosis – physical examination and imaging

Information about the confirmation of the hip joint can be obtained using clinical or diagnostic imaging tests.21,22 These medical tests are usually performed on sedated or anesthetized animals and are separated into two main categories: to evaluate hip joint laxity (HJL), mainly used on young animals; to detect clinical or radiographic signs of osteoarthritis, as crepitation and reduced range of motion on joint palpation or degenerative joint disease (DJD) signs on radiographs.1,21 However, it would be very helpful to develop medical CHD screening techniques for fully conscious young animals, similar to hip dysplasia screening examination of human neonates.1 The imaging diagnosis of CHD has been the main area of research of CHD in the last 50 years, for the purposes of reproductive control. Clearly, in terms of human medicine the main focus has been different, trying to refine diagnostic accuracy and preventive management.1,15

### Physical examination

The Ortolani test is the most common and popular physical maneuver that is used in veterinary medicine to diagnose HJL in young dogs (4–12 months of age).23,24 Other clinical tests are described, like the Barlow’s and Barden tests for puppies younger than 4 months of age but their clinical accuracy is more questionable.1,17 The Ortolani test is performed with the dog awake, sedated or anesthetized with the patient in lateral or dorsal recumbency.23 The test has two steps, first apply proximal force to the stifle joint on the non-dependent limb, with the hip at a normal weight-bearing angle, and while still applying this force, slowly abduct the joint. In hips with abnormal laxity, the dysplastic femoral head, may be displaced dorsally beyond the dorsal acetabular rim (in the first step) and then the limb abduction promotes its reduction back into the acetabulum (in the second step) which elicits a typical palpable and/or audible clunk, of variable magnitude, commonly called a positive Ortolani sign (Figure 1).1,23 Advanced stages of CHD with destruction of the acetabular rim or in dogs younger than 4 months of age with an inadequate acetabular ossification can result in false negative cases based on the Ortolani maneuver even though HJL may be present.17,24 The Ortolani test showed an excellent sensitivity in prediction of CHD when used in dogs younger than 1 year of age that later developed moderate or severe CHD.24

### Diagnostic imaging

Radiography is the reference technique for the definitive diagnosis of CHD from its first description in 1935. This imaging technique uses different radiographic views of the hip joint for genetic screening purposes or for diagnosis and treatment of dogs with clinical CHD. All these radiographic techniques should be performed under anesthesia or heavy sedation, which facilitates accurate positioning and elicitation of passive HJL.1,3,7,19 Given the complexity of the topic and the objectives of this review, we will cover particularly the
radiographic studies used for genetic screening of CHD, which are used to detect HJL, the major risk factor for CHD, or signs of DJD.

The radiographic information on HJL is obtained using radiographic techniques such as PennHIP,26 dorsolateral subluxation (DLS),27 Flückiger28 and half-axial position methods.22 Signs of DJD are evaluated using the standard ventrodorsal hip-extended view (SVDV).2,29,30

Radiographic estimation of HJL
In this group of methods, the PennHIP was the pioneer and is the most popular. It was developed at the University of Pennsylvania in the 1980s with the main purpose of CHD breeding control.26 One of the main advantages of this procedure is its precocity, being performed with accuracy on dogs at 16 weeks of age, compared with 1 or 2 years of age for previous screening systems.26,29,30 The PennHIP method requires certified members and is performed with three hip radiographic views: hip-extended, compression, and distraction.26 The distraction view is used to measure HJL. It is performed with the dog in dorsal recumbency, with the hips at a neutral position and the PennHIP distractor between hind limbs acting as a fulcrum lateralizing the femoral heads under examiner force.26 The radiographs are sent to the PennHIP Analysis Center at University of Pennsylvania for an official report, and the dogs are placed in rank-order with the other dogs of the breed in the database. The HJL is evaluated in the distraction view calculating the distraction index (DI), which measures the relative degree of femoral head displacement from the acetabulum.26 The DI ranges from 0 to >1, with 0 representing a tight hip and 1 a loose hip.26

The DLS is also a passive stress radiographic or tomographic imaging technique; the HJL is measured as the DLS score.27 The hip stress is caused by weight bearing. The DLS score has a strong correlation with DI. This method was reported in dogs at 4 and 8 months of age to evaluate the chondro-osseous acetabular and femoral head structure as an indicator of functional joint stability.27,31 In the Flückiger method, the HJL is estimated with the subluxation index in a similar manner to the DI.28 The stress in hip joints is caused by the dorsocranial force exerted by the examiner, with the dog in dorsal recumbency.28 No follow-up studies were published and the research was performed in adult animals together with the SVDV to better assess the quality of the hips.

The half-axial position method was used by positioning the dog and performing the hip stress similar to the PennHIP, using a trapezoidal-shaped distractor.22 The HJL measures using this method are performed mainly with the purpose of early CHD diagnosis and treatment, using the juvenile pubic symphysiodesis (JPS).

Radiographic evaluation of DJD
The DJD is evaluated using the SVDV, a universal radiographic view used in dogs older than 1 (Fédération Cynologique Internationale’s [FCI] system) or 2 years (Orthopaedic Foundation for Animals [OFA] system) of age.1,29 This view has been used since the 1960s.32 The dog is placed in dorsal recumbency on the X-ray table, with hind limbs extended parallel to each other with the stifles internally rotated.1,32 Many international systems are used to
evaluate DJD, including the FCI, OFA,29 British Veterinary Association/Kennel Club (BVA/KC),30 and the Flückiger33 method with more influence in continental European countries, USA, UK and Australia, and Switzerland, respectively. As the main guideline of all of these scoring methods is based on the degree of subluxation, joint congruence and remodeling of the femoral head and acetabulum, these scoring systems may be equal (Table 1). However, these direct comparisons between grades and schemes are considered speculative, due to their subjective nature.34 These scoring systems have some particularities: FCI requires a minimum age of 12 months in medium breeds and the OFA method 24 months; FCI scrutinizers are not certified; FCI, OFA, and BVA/KC are voluntary screening schemes. The SVDV is not strongly evaluative of HJL. It is underestimated. The parallel hip-extended positioning and the internal rotation of stifles twist the hip soft tissues, tightening the tensile elements of the joint capsule and may reduce some degree of luxation.26

### Other imaging techniques

Ultrasoundography in human neonates is the reference technique for the definitive diagnosis of developmental hip dysplasia.35 However, the use of ultrasound in puppies for the confirmation of CHD is not recommended, as the acetabulum cannot be evaluated after 8 weeks of age because femoral head ossification and acetabular chondro-osseous alterations are only evident after this age.17 Increased synovial fluid volumes in hip joints detected by magnetic resonance imaging in 8-week-old puppies were correlated with later HJL and CHD.22 Dynamic ultrasonography was used in puppies at 8–16 weeks of age, to quantify HJL.36 HJL and osseous acetabular structure can be evaluated confidently using computed tomography.22

### Prevention and treatment

Some preventive conservative and surgical treatments have been proposed for young dogs with clinical predisposition for CHD.7,19 The main conservative management recommendations are based on limiting food consumption and controlled weight-bearing activity to prevent obesity and develop muscular tissues.1,37 Disease-modifying osteoarthritis drugs given by injection are recommended, as they retard breakdown and may promote the synthesis of cartilage matrix and reduce pain and inflammation.28 Analgesic or anti-inflammatory medications are effective to manage pain and lameness but should only be used for the short term due to their undesirable side effects. However, the long-term effectiveness of this conservative treatment is questionable, since their ability to prevent the development and progression of osteoarthritis is at best limited.7,19

JPS is a surgical treatment used on puppies at 14–20 weeks of age and at risk of developing CHD,19,38 with greater improvements achieved when surgery is performed at 15 weeks of age.19 JPS is a minimally invasive procedure based on induction of thermal necrosis in chondrocytes of the growth plate of the pubis.19,38 The pubic growth plate undergoes premature closure resulting in an underdeveloped ventral pelvis and normal dorsal development.7 This modified pelvic growth results in an increase in acetabular coverage of the femoral head and reduction of subluxation forces.39 This technique has been recommended in puppies with slight to moderate signs of CHD but not in animals with severe signs of CHD. In the JPS, acetabular ventroversion occurs slowly and in severe cases of CHD the femoral head continues to slide laterally, the dorsal acetabular edge becomes round and femoral head stability is never obtained.19

Triple pelvic osteotomy is a reasonable surgical treatment option for CHD being used in animals between 5 and 12 months old, without radiographic signs of DJD and with minimal or clinical signs of CHD.7 However, triple pelvic osteotomy is more effective in preventing the development of DJD when used in dogs younger than 7 months of age.7 The pelvis is cut in the pubis, ischium, and ilium, rotated and the ilium fixed with a surgical plate. This surgical procedure results in ventralateral rotation of the acetabulum and provides immediate increased femoral head stability. However, the hips of dogs with extant osteoarthritic changes

### Table 1 Comparison of CHD scoring systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FCI</th>
<th>OFA</th>
<th>BVA/KC Australia</th>
<th>Switzerland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>0–4 (no &gt;3/hip)</td>
<td>0–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>5–10 (no &gt;6/hip)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>11–18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Borderline</td>
<td>19–25</td>
<td>3–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>26–35</td>
<td>7–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>36–50</td>
<td>13–18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>51–106</td>
<td>&gt;18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** FCI's hip dysplasia grading in five categories using as reference the worst hip; OFA hip dysplasia grading in seven categories using as reference the worst hip; BVA/KC hip dysplasia score resulting from the sum of both hips, each hip joint is scored between 0 and 53 based on the severity of nine morphological radiographic changes resulting from laxity and degenerative joint disease, with each change scored from 0 (normal) to 5 (worst); Switzerland, the worst joint defines the score of hip dysplasia for the dog, each hip joint is scored between 0 and 30 based on the severity of six morphological radiographic changes resulting from laxity and degenerative joint disease, each change is scored from 0 (normal) to 5 (worst).

**Abbreviations:** CHD, canine hip dysplasia; FCI, Fédération Cynologique Internationale; OFA, Orthopaedic Foundation for Animals; BVA/KC, British Veterinary Association/Kennel Club.
or with a high HJL continue to deteriorate and have a less favorable outcome. When osteoarthritis is already at an advanced stage, treatment should be performed to alleviate pain and maintain joint function. \(^\text{18,39}\)

Femoral head and neck excision reduces the pain produced by abnormal bone to bone hip joint contact, but it does not effectively maintain the full range of hip motion and limb function. The total hip replacement is the best treatment to preserve long-term limb functionality.

### Genetic and environmental factors in the pathogenesis of CHD

CHD is a complex polygenic disease due to the small additive effect of many genes. \(^\text{4,6}\) Environmental factors such as sex, age, and body weight can influence the expression and severity of the disease. \(^\text{27}\) It appears that CHD is not a congenital disease, hips are normal at birth with adequate femoral head and acetabular congruence. The first 60 days of a puppy’s life is thought to be the most critical period in terms of development of the hip joint. \(^\text{10}\) In this period, the depth of the acetabular cavity and the proximal femoral head and neck conformation are susceptible to modeling according to the stress loading. \(^\text{15}\)

In a normal congruent hip joint, the normal weight bearing force is transmitted between femoral head and acetabulum across the surface of the articular cartilage. The joint incongruence favors the reduction of contact between the cartilaginous surfaces, the early destruction of chondrocytes by increasing pressure and the cyclic cascade of osteoarthritis. Small synovial joint volume and low intracapsular pressure, high pelvic muscle mass, and a reduced level of the hormones that promote soft tissue relaxation maintain stability and prevent the development of CHD signs. \(^\text{29}\) The HJL is the primary risk factor, well-evaluated in a radiographic study that is associated with CHD development. \(^\text{40}\) Acetabular and proximal femoral head and neck conformation is directly associated with the magnitude of transmitted hip forces. \(^\text{41}\) So, genetic factors associated with CHD can be related to hip conformation, cartilage susceptibility to pressure forces, joint soft tissues or even to hormonal factors.

Published heritabilities of CHD traits are variable and commonly range between 0.1 to 0.60. \(^\text{1,2,24}\) Differences of heritability estimates depend on the trait used, calculation method, selection, the population and sample used for estimation. \(^\text{5,24}\) For example, heritability reached as high as 0.83 for passive hip laxity in the Estrela Mountain dog breed from Portugal. \(^\text{3}\) The genetic improvement used in selection of traits with higher heritabilities and similar selection pressures will be bigger per generation. \(^\text{1}\)

### Selection of breeding stock with low hip scores – progress toward reducing incidences of hip dysplasia

Because there is no ideal medical or surgical treatment, veterinarians in practice are at the forefront, and their main focus is on prevention of CHD through reproductive control. \(^\text{43}\) Selection of breeding stock has clearly been a priority intervention area of veterinary medicine. Control CHD programs based on radiographic phenotype quality of hips were used in some countries as early as the 1960s. \(^\text{44-46}\) These programs were based on radiographic screening of CHD using the SVDV and scores of hip quality based on DJD signs and hip congruence. Selection of breeding stock was based on an individual dog’s hip phenotype and subjective pedigree evaluation performed by the breeder. The results of phenotype-based genetic screening on CHD prevalence and severity are somewhat disparate in different countries and breeds. When the CHD control schemes are voluntary, as the OFA, FCI, and BVA/KC, only the better hip phenotypes are scrutinized and the studies performed using these databases are biased. \(^\text{2,42}\) Using the OFA scoring scheme and the best linear unbiased prediction method for the estimation of breeding values and its application in the selection for hip joint conformation, for Labrador Retrievers the total genetic improvement in four decades (1970–2007) corresponded to only –17% of the total phenotypic standard deviation. \(^\text{12}\) However, radiographs of dogs with normal-appearing hips are several times more likely to be submitted for evaluation in the OFA system than radiographs of dogs that are severely dysplastic. \(^\text{47}\) For example, in a closed breeding colony of German Shepherd dogs and Labrador Retrievers, CHD prevalence over five generations of selection decreased from 55% to 24%, and from 30% to 10%, respectively. \(^\text{48}\) Selective breeding against CHD was less effective in decreasing its prevalence and severity in Sweden. \(^\text{41}\) In other countries, such as Finland, the general CHD control program was even considered ineffective in reducing the prevalence of CHD in various dog breeds. \(^\text{45}\) For the PennHIP method, there are no reports of its effectiveness in reducing the prevalence of CHD in different dog populations. Theoretically, it is a promising method since studies have shown that HJL has a higher heritability than the CHD scores based on DJD. The lack of desired success of CHD control programs that rely totally on individual phenotype has been due partly to its sensitivity to environmental factors. Animals with a normal...
individual radiographic phenotype can still be carriers of CHD genes, which will be transmitted to their offspring and maintained in the population. Estimated breeding values (EBV) are commonly used in farm animal selection for complex polygenic traits (phenotype expression is influenced by environmental factors), such as milk yield or growth rate. So, the phenotypic expressions of these traits are very similar to CHD, determined by heredity and environment. The EBV for CHD is a genetic parameter derived from the hip quality of relatives, and is thus more representative of the dog’s genetic quality and allows monitoring of the genetic trends in dog populations, being recommended for CHD selection purposes. With the rapid development of high-throughput sequencing technology and emergence of high-density genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) canine arrays, associations between genetic markers in linkage disequilibrium and CHD genes have been discovered. The molecular genetic information can be applied for CHD selection purposes, particularly if the most informative SNPs are used to estimate the genomic breeding value (GBV) of an individual. Such genomic selection was successfully applied in livestock animal breeding programs and can be used for selection against prevalence of undesired traits with greater genetic improvement. In the near future, GBV might become the recommended method to improve hip quality in CHD control schemes. In a particular dog breed, pedigree and phenotypic data can be used to obtain EBV and combined with genomic data to derive a predictive formula for the GBV. Then, the genotyping of a puppy for a set of informative SNPs can be combined with radiographic information, and used to determine the susceptibility to CHD and make decisions regarding breed management.

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and genetic analyses in the identification of susceptibility alleles

The genetic etiology of CHD has been proven and accepted by the scientific community. The first molecular studies for CHD diagnosis were developed by Todhunter et al in the 1990s at Cornell University, who began by searching for molecular genetic markers that were linked to quantitative trait loci (QTL) responsible for different CHD phenotypes. To optimize the linkage of genes to CHD traits an outcross between breeds with high and low susceptibility to develop CHD, Labrador Retrievers and Greyhounds, respectively, was implemented. Twelve chromosomes were identified to harbor putative QTL for different CHD traits. QTL were also associated to the Norberg angle and acetabular osteophyte formation. Recently, more QTL were associated with other CHD traits. Pedigree and CHD phenotype analysis showed some evidence of a major QTL (contributing about 20% of variance) associated to CHD in several studies.

However, the QTL region may contain hundreds of genes and the identification of genes remains problematic. The strategy that is followed by some researchers is to refine the QTL interval using SNPs and across-breed-mapping thus reducing the linkage disequilibrium interval. Unrelated affected animals with CHD probably share more common disease alleles than an unaffected dog population. The associated SNPs might be physically next to the responsible gene. GWAS consider the joint effect of multiple SNPs, being much more effective than individual SNP analysis, in the identification of common genetic variants for complex diseases. In eight different dog breeds using GWAS, four SNPs were significantly associated with CHD on CFA3, 11, and 30, and two with osteoarthritis on CFA17 and 37. In German Shepherd dogs, 13 SNPs were also associated with CHD on chromosome CFA14 and 37, CFA19, 24, 26, and 34, and CFA3, 9, 26, 33, and 34. In other recent studies on Labrador Retrievers, four SNPs were associated with CHD on chromosome CFA1 and 21, and 31 SNPs on CFA1, 5, 8, 15, 20, 25, and 32 positioned within or in the vicinity of 24 different genes (Table 2). Candidate genes involved in hypertrophic differentiation of chondrocytes and extracellular matrix integrity of basement membrane and cartilage were located in significantly associated regions on CFA1, 8, 20, and 25. These results confirm the complex genetic architecture of CHD, based on many genes with small individual effect, which encourages circumspection about a marker-assisted, accurate CHD diagnostic test in the near future. The immediate importance of CHD molecular diagnosis will probably be their use in genomic (many markers assessed for their combined contribution) selection.

One mutation in the FBN2 gene on CFA11 chromosome was significantly associated with CHD in Labrador Retrievers and other dog breeds. However, other genes must be involved in CHD because the FBN2 locus only explains a small part of the genetic trait variation in CHD. Studies on QTL and/or SNPs associated with other phenotypes of CHD, such as the passive hip laxity, could provide additional information on the genetic basis of this condition. Passive hip laxity is the highest risk factor for CHD and is the trait associated with the highest heritability.
Table 2 Summary of the SNPs and nearby candidate genes identified in six genome-wide association studies of canine hip dysplasia and other related traits in reference breeds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNP/trait</th>
<th>CFA</th>
<th>Position (bp)</th>
<th>Allele (nt)</th>
<th>Distance (Mb)/position in gene</th>
<th>Gene(s)</th>
<th>Reference/breed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BiCF2S2459425/CHD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>74720873</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td>EVC, EVC2</td>
<td>Zhou et al14/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiCF2P550340/CHD</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>32935770</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>PTPRD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiCF2S2432143/CHD</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>57517597</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>COL15A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiCF2P799261/CHD</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13883057</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>MAGP1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiCF2G63020552/OA</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>48092910</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>REG3A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiCF2P1242205/OA</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>17299306</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>PARD3B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiCF2P1089246/CHD</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23811133</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>PON2</td>
<td>Pfahler and Distl61/BMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiCF2P1282232/CHD</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>59376343</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiCF2S23052396/CHD</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>25095511</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>FN1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G:37139132G -&gt; A/CHD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>77186</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>PGM2</td>
<td>Fels et al62/German Shepherd dog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiCF2S22937555/CHD</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>54312</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiCF2P844355/CHD</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32016</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiCF2P1285984/left CrAE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>107719908</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiCF2P429643/right Norberg angle</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>43337454</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>OTOG, SOX6, SAA, MYOD1, SERGEF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA/CHD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>70938018</td>
<td>T/A</td>
<td>Intron</td>
<td>LAMA2</td>
<td>Lavrijsen et al63/Labrador Retriever</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA/CHD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>70997779</td>
<td>A/T</td>
<td>Exon, synonymous</td>
<td>LAMA2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA/CHD</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>59194609</td>
<td>G/–</td>
<td>3′-UTR</td>
<td>KLHL17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA/CHD</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>62929475</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>5′-UTR</td>
<td>NPHP4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA/CHD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29247021</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>3′-UTR</td>
<td>LRR1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA/CHD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31496895</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>Downstream</td>
<td>PTGDR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA/CHD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31496910</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>Downstream</td>
<td>PTGDR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA/CHD</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45260332</td>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>Exon, non-synonymous</td>
<td>LTF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA/CHD</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>46671813</td>
<td>C/G</td>
<td>Intron</td>
<td>PBX4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA/CHD</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>46706734</td>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>3′-UTR</td>
<td>CILP2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA/CHD</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>47636184</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>3′-UTR</td>
<td>GDF15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA/CHD</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>47714388</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>5′-UTR</td>
<td>LSM4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA/CHD</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>48071192</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>Upstream</td>
<td>INSL3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA/CHD</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>48243399</td>
<td>G/–</td>
<td>3′-UTR</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA/CHD</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>48804130</td>
<td>G/A</td>
<td>Exon, synonymous</td>
<td>NWD1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA/CHD</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>49019261</td>
<td>T/C</td>
<td>Intron</td>
<td>CHERP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA/CHD</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>49318725</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>Exon, synonymous</td>
<td>CIB3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA/CHD</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>47629272</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>Upstream</td>
<td>INPP5D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Genetic studies regarding the developmental hip dysplasia in humans were unable to make much progress, so knowledge on the loci-linked hip dysplasia in humans is still limited.\textsuperscript{12} Despite recent developments in whole-genome analysis in humans, with the finding of a number of genetic variants associated with this condition in affected patients,\textsuperscript{65,66} understanding the genetics of hip dysplasia in humans can benefit from similar studies in the dog.

**Prospects for the development of commercially available DNA tests for screening and diagnosis**

A DNA-based test for CHD is a desirable tool for early identification of dogs susceptible or resistant to the disease. In 2012, such a test was registered by Bioiberia. Called Dysgen, it was the first commercial marker-based DNA test for susceptibility to CHD in the Labrador Retriever breed. This test analyzes blood samples using a DNA kit containing seven SNPs.\textsuperscript{13} The Dysgen diagnosis is reported as a prediction, classifying the dog into a risk group for developing CHD – minimal, low, moderate, and high. However, the performance of the Dysgen diagnosis test was not independently tested and there are no published studies reporting its success in the control for CHD at the population level. Breeding of dogs with minimal or low risk of developing CHD is recommended by the manufacturer. This is a first step in the molecular diagnosis of CHD, but until all the genes involved in the disease are detected, CHD control programs continue to require the combination of an accurate phenotype screening, EBV, and the information of available genetic tests. Particularly, if the heritability of the trait is low effectiveness of selection will benefit from combining information on major gene genotypes and EBV. Moreover, if we consider that CHD affects a rather large group of distinct dog breeds, from the Alaskan Malamute to the Portuguese Water Dog and which are raised in different environments, we require a deep understanding of the genetics underlying the incidence of this condition at the population level.

**Conclusion**

Despite phenotypic screening and breeding programs, CHD continues to be one of the most common orthopedic hereditary diseases in dogs. There is no ideal diagnosis or treatment for CHD and reproductive control schemes have been, in the last 50 years, a priority area of veterinary medicine to deal with the disease.

The genetic architecture of CHD is complex, as the many associated genes have small individual effect. This fact makes the development of a marker-assisted accurate CHD diagnosis test difficult, despite intensive research worldwide.

The molecular diagnosis of CHD will be based on genomic selection until all contributing and critical mutations are identified, and may have a significant impact for a better understanding of the genetic basis of similar conditions in humans.
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