The usefulness of ozone treatment in spinal pain
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Objective: The aim of this review is to elucidate the biochemical, molecular, immunological, and pharmaceutical mechanisms of action of ozone dissolved in biological fluids. Studies performed during the last two decades allow the drawing of a comprehensive framework for understanding and recommending the integration of ozone therapy for spinal pain.

Methods: An in-depth screening of primary sources of information online – via SciFinder Scholar, Google Scholar, and Scopus databases as well as Embase, PubMed, and the Cochrane Database of Systemic Reviews – was performed. In this review, the most significant papers of the last 25 years are presented and their proposals critically evaluated, regardless of the bibliometric impact of the journals.

Results: The efficacy of standard treatments combined with the unique capacity of ozone therapy to reactivate the innate antioxidant system is the key to correcting the oxidative stress typical of chronic inflammatory diseases. Pain pathways and control systems of algesic signals after ozone administration are described.

Conclusion: This paper finds favors the full insertion of ozone therapy into pharmaceutical sciences, rather than as either an alternative or an esoteric approach.

Keywords: oxidants, oxidative stress, antioxidants

Introduction

During the last three decades, on the basis of Wolff’s suggestions,\textsuperscript{1} ozone therapy has been used by practitioners in Europe in an empirical fashion. Unfortunately, even today, some ozone therapists have either a misconception of, or know only some technical tips for performing, ozone therapy. These problems, associated with the paucity of controlled clinical studies, have hindered the real progress of ozone therapy. In the recent past, a number of negative factors, such as the empiric use of ozone by ignorant practitioners, lack of standardization, ozone generators without an appropriate photometer, and scarcity of scientific data, have created good reasons for orthodox medicine to refuse ozone therapy. Today, the problems of how ozone acts, how its toxicity can be controlled, and how therapeutic effects can be exerted have been fully clarified.\textsuperscript{2–4}

Concisely, the essential concepts to bear in mind are as follows.

Ozone immediately reacts as soon as it is dissolved in biological water (physiological saline, plasma, lymph, urine, interstitial fluid), according to Figure 1, where atomic oxygen behaves as a very reactive species.

Ozone reacts with both present antioxidants and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs). The lipid peroxidation by ozone leads to the simultaneous formation of both...
ROS and LOPs.9,12 One of the ROS is hydrogen peroxide, which is a non-radical oxidant able to act as an ozone messenger responsible for eliciting several biological and therapeutic effects.13 The transitory formation of $O_2^-\,$ (anion superoxide), $^\cdot OH\,$ (hydroxyl radical), and $^1O_2\,$ (singlet oxygen) is possible, but their small amounts are irrelevant. Although ROS have a lifetime of less than a second, they can damage crucial cell components and therefore their generation must be precisely controlled to achieve a biological effect without any damage. LOP production follows the peroxidation of PUFAs. They are intrinsically toxic and must be generated in very low concentrations. Antioxidants, such as ascorbic and uric acids; compounds with -SH groups, such as reduced glutathione as well as albumin (mainly at Cys34) are molecules that react and neutralize ozone. On the other hand, if the ozone amount is excessive, carbohydrates, enzymes, DNA, and RNA can also be oxidized and broken down.

In conclusion, it must be clear that a correct ozonation process either carried out in blood, or intradiscally and intramuscularly, should represent an acute but tolerable oxidative stress giving the hormetic-type response of the interacting biological system (Figure 2). In this paper, the reactions of ozone injected intradiscally or into the paravertebral muscles are discussed in detail. Provided that the ozone dosage is correct, ozone is not deleterious, but, acting as a pro-drug, it is actually capable of eliciting a multitude of useful biological
responses and, possibly, reversing a chronic oxidative stress such as those derived from degenerative processes. Indeed the ozone-therapeutic act is interpreted as an atoxic but real “therapeutic shock” able to restore homeostasis.

The paradoxical effect of ozone in orthopedic diseases: the problem of back pain

The usual therapies in musculoskeletal diseases do not affect a “cure” of the disease and are often characterized by side effects. Consequently, patients are easily induced to try complementary therapies. It is likely that Dr Alexander Balkanyi in Zurich was the first to inject small volumes of ozone in patients affected by tendinitis and myofascial pain. After him, a few ozone therapists treated acute and chronic polyarthritis, acute and chronic painful diseases of the joints, and Morton’s disease (neuroma) with intra-articular or periarticular insufflation of small volumes (5–10 mL) of a gaseous mixture of \( O_2 - O_3 \) (ozone concentrations ranging from 5 to 15 \( \mu \)g/mL). Theoretically, such treatments seem irrational because the injection into the synovial space of a potent oxidant like ozone should elicit further inflammatory reactions or degeneration. However, by now, a great number of patients have been treated, with positive outcomes all around the world. Unfortunately, controls with oxygen alone have been casually evaluated only in one trial. On the other hand, it is indispensable to understand how ozone acts.

First, it would be interesting to examine the synovial fluid and biopic fragments before and after ozone therapy to clarify these really paradoxical ozone effects. So far this has not been possible, either because most patients are treated privately or because it is difficult to collect samples. Meantime, some hypotheses have been formulated according to the generation of the proper amounts of ROS and LOPs after ozone solubilization in the aqueous environment of synovial fluid. Briefly, they can be summarized as: (1) small, if any, release of proteolytic enzymes, proinflammatory cytokines, bradykinin, and inflammatory prostaglandins; and (2) stimulation of chondrocyte and fibroblast proliferation, responsible for an increase in the synthesis of matrix and possibly of articular cartilage.

As regards low back pain, it is a very disturbing symptom that can affect up to about 80% of the world’s population. Luckily, in many cases, conventional and complementary physical therapies can solve the problem. Generally, a herniated disc causes considerable pain, probably due to inflammation rather than compression. In fact, by using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), an extensive evaluation has shown that 76% of apparently normal people have hernias without any symptoms. However, such pain should be removed with the least-invasive procedure, starting with either chemonucleolysis or nucleo-discectomy, or else laser discectomy. Another technique with a success rate of about 75% is aspiration of the degenerated disc, including part of the herniated material. Nucleoplasty was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration in 1999 according to two variants in terms of the temperatures adopted, and the outcomes in controlled trials were total resolution of leg pain in 70%–80% of cases. Another approach widely used by many ozone therapists was proposed by Verga, a private ozone therapist who noted pain relief after infiltrating trigger points in myalgias with oxygen-ozone. Such a technique injects the gas into the tender points localizable in the paravertebral muscle (locus dolendi) corresponding to the metamer of the herniated disc. This approach can be defined either as an “indirect approach” or “chemical acupuncture”, thus clarifying that the beneficial results are obtained via ozone as a chemical reactant by needle insertion. More recently, another indirect variant has been introduced by the epidural injection of ozone by anesthesiologists in correspondence to the lesion (Figure 3). Unless it is carefully performed with small volumes (1–3 mL of gas), it can cause side effects, the most frequent being headache. Also, intradiscal injection of oxygen-ozone would be nucleolytic and beneficial and this technique can be defined as the “direct intradiscal injection of ozone”.

Summarizing, the use of ozone to treat back pain is worth trying before surgical intervention. It is becoming widely used in Italy, Spain, Germany, Greece, Canada, Cuba, the People’s Republic of China, Japan, and India.

![Figure 3 Schematic view of a transverse section of the lumbar region. The arrows indicate the three possible routes of \( O_2 - O_3 \) administration.](image-url)
The direct approach

The direct approach is carried out by needle insertion followed by direct insufflation of the oxygen-ozone gas mixture (3–10 mL; ozone concentration about 30 μg/mL) at the level of the pathologic intersomatic space under radioscopic control (Figure 3). Clinical studies published in Italian journals together with personal communications received from both Italian universities and private clinical centers give an account of about 63,000 patients who have been treated in Italy with intradiscal injections. Such an approach generated more than 80% of good results, and this was also confirmed by either computed tomography or MRI controls.25,30–34 Moreover, extensive studies have been also performed in thousands of patients who had failed to respond to conservative management.35–38 Nonetheless, it would be useful to perform randomized clinical trials to evaluate the role of variables like needle type, place of needle insertion, oxygen amount, and ozone concentration.

A possible mechanism of action of ozone in the direct approach can be summarized as follows: ozone dissolves in the interstitial water and immediately reacts with the biomolecules therein. A cascade of ROS, among which are H₂O₂ and hydroxyl radicals, will be generated.39–41 ROS, reacting with the proteoglycans of nucleus pulposus, lead to their breakdown, resulting in matrix degeneration with progressive shrinkage and disappearance of the herniated material.42–46 Such a reduction of the mechanical irritation lowers the sensitivity of axons. On the other hand, algesic endogenous substances released during perineural ischemia or neural inflammation present in the spinal ganglion and neural roots can also stimulate nociceptors. So, mechanical awkwardness of neural elements by definable structural abnormalities is inadequate as a sole explanation for nerve injury.47,48 Moreover, epidural corticosteroid injections for sciatica due to herniated nucleus pulposus transitorily improve leg pain and sensory deficits in patients,49 and intravenous infusions of infliximab appeared to especially benefit cases of L4-L5 (or L3-L4) herniation.50

Now, the question is, how does ozone rapidly block inflammatory reactants and stimulate restitutio ad integrum? The formation of hydroxyl radicals can both degrade degenerated material and reduce pressure.40 Moreover, the few milliliters of oxygen-ozone gas mixture can surprisingly exert an “anti-inflammatory action”, particularly because of insertion into the nucleus pulposus and invasion of the intraforaminal space.44,45 Regardless, such changes can remain stable and do not necessarily lead to the total disappearance of the herniated material. So far, attempts to examine the histopathological changes in pigs have been inconclusive. At the moment, the ozone effect can be theorized as the result of successive stages: (1) an instantaneous reaction at the level of the interstitial aqueous environment, with possible edema disappearance and improvement of circulatory and metabolic conditions; followed by, (2) stasis conditions which achieve a further improvement, possibly linked to transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF-β1) and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) release that favors the reorganization of the residual nucleus pulposus with incipient fibrosis.20,31 When it is difficult to introduce more than 1–2 mL of oxygen-ozone gaseous mixture into the nucleus pulposus, as it is in young patients, preliminary aspiration of the nucleus followed by the introduction of the gas might improve the result. On the other hand, ozone therapy by intraforaminal administration appears to be useful in the case of sclerotic hernias.32 As far as the documented side effects go, these are very rare and are represented by a transient lipothyxia and bilateral blindness (amaurosis fugax) which reversed after about 24 hours after cervical discolysis in a young athlete.52

The indirect approach

This is a very useful approach because almost all patients before intradiscal injection are first so treated. It is technically simple and it is very popular in Italy, Germany, Spain, Cuba, Canada, and People’s Republic of China. It consists in one or several (up to four) injections of 5–10 mL of oxygen-ozone gaseous mixture per site. It has been defined as “chemical acupuncture” because both the needle and gas injection have a role in eliciting a complex series of chemical and neurological reactions leading to the disappearance of pain in the majority (positive responses in 70–80% of cases) of patients with low spinal pain. It has been clearly established that the ozone concentration must be neither below 18–20 μg/mL, nor higher than 25 μg/mL. If it is too low, it is hardly effective, but higher than 20 μg/mL can be too painful, especially during the initial treatments, and may even cause lipothyxia and a risky vasovagal reflex. On the other hand, it has been observed that, after five to seven treatments, the pain threshold rises and therefore the ozone concentration can be carefully increased, but not exceeding the limit of 30 μg/mL.19 The paravertebral muscles are used as a route for infiltration of O₂–O₃. The paravertebral injection of oxygen alone as a control is far less effective than the gas mixture O₂–O₃,19 and the clinical results vary somewhat.53 They can be summarized as: about 40% optimal, 35%–40% marked improvement, and 15%–25% minimal or no result.

Moreover, according to unpublished experiences of one of us (VB), injection of O₂-O₃ exceeding 20 μg/mL elicits a
sharp pain lasting only a few minutes. However, it is interesting to note that the pain at first elicited rapidly tends to subside because of a progressive elevation of pain threshold. In such cases, the ozone concentration can be slowly increased up to 30 μg/mL. It appears that the stimulation of nociceptors – hence, of a tolerable and transitory pain – is an essential requirement for achieving the final therapeutic effect. The patient’s reaction can be preliminarily tested with an injection of sterile saline into the trigger points corresponding to the metamers of the herniated disk, followed by ozone injection at low concentration (10 μg/mL). The injection must be done very slowly using 35 mm needles varying from G22 to G25 according to the patient’s obesity. Usually, two symmetrical injections (total dose 10–20 mL gas with at most 200–400 μg ozone) repeated twice per week for about 5–6 weeks (ten to 12 sessions) are sufficient; if not, the patient should be classified as unresponsive to this approach. However, this point is divisive because some ozone therapists continue treatments for up to 30 sessions. Obviously, it is important to have precise guidelines and rules to be followed. In fact, an excessive dose of ozone may even lead to death because of complex neurovegetative disorders.

Thus, by acting carefully, serious adverse effects, such as sudden hypotension, bradycardia, mydriasis, intense perspiration, and cardiac arrest can be avoided. For completeness’ sake, very rare infectious local complications after percutaneous oxygen-ozone treatment have been recently reported. In terms of mechanism of action, it can be hypothesized that ozone dissolves mostly into the interstitial water and reacts immediately with antioxidants and PUFA-generating hydrogen peroxide and LOPs, as previously described in “The direct approach” section. These compounds are responsible for stimulating local C-nociceptors and causing a transitory and usually tolerable pain that is an essential requirement for achieving the final therapeutic effect.

Ultimately, injections of oxygen-ozone either intradurally or into the paravertebral muscles indicate the level of evidence either for ozone therapy applied intradurally or for ozone therapy applied at the paravertebral muscle and periforaminally for long-term pain relief, based on US Preventive Services Task Force criteria. The main limitations of the reports that have been reviewed are the lack of precise diagnosis and the frequent use of mixed therapeutic agents. A variety of reports published in international journals of minor importance but still characterized by a wide audience confirm such positive results of ozone therapy. Moreover, gas injection appears also very effective in alleviating osteoarthritis and several other joint-tendinitis affections, as least as far as it can be deduced from the abstract published in a Chinese journal by two Chinese researchers.  

### Organization of pain pathways and speculative ideas about ozone’s ability to quench pain

Sensory stimuli able to activate free nerve endings in various tissues and viscera can be elicited by:

1. **Mechanical pressure** corresponding to the compression of the spinal ganglions in the case of intraforaminal and extraforaminal herniation and deformation of nerve fibers disrupting the myelin nerve sheath

2. **Vascular-mediated factors**, due to ischemia with either possible trophic nerve impairment or venous stasis with edema caused by blockage of venous reflux, particularly occurring in intraforaminal herniations

3. **A sterile, chronic inflammation** affecting neural and perineural structures. The pathogenesis is complex and frequently linked to immune-mediated reactions with inflammatory cells infiltration and release of a number of toxic compounds such as ROS, LOPs, excessive nitric oxide and peroxynitrite formation; release of: bradykinin after kallikrein activation; prostaglandins, especially PGE2 (after phospholipase A2 and cyclooxygenase, COX2 activation); proinflammatory cytokines such as Tumor Necrosis Factor α, Interleukins and interferon gamma; and matrix metalloproteinases (MMP) able to hydrolyse proteins of the intercellular matrix.

Nociceptive signals are conveyed to the spinal cord by un-myelinated and small myelinated sensory axons. Moreover, chronic mechanical and inflammatory stimulation of the nerve root may stimulate the ganglionic and periganglionic nociceptors (mainly polymodal type C) responsible for hyperalgesia, a condition presenting allodynia (perception of a non-nociceptive stimulus as painful), characterized by a lowering of the pain threshold and an increase in the intensity of pain even following subliminal stimuli. Damaged tissues as well as local nerve endings can release a variety of noxious agents, such as histamine, prostaglandins, potassium, bradykinin, and substance P.

The axons of nociceptive dorsal horn neurons form the ascending spinothalamic tract of which the direct system carries sensory discriminative information about pain to the thalamic level within the nucleus ventralis posterolateralis, while the phylogenetically older spinoreticulothalamic system terminates more diffusively in the brainstem reticular nuclei or, more precisely, in the nucleus centralis lateralis and nucleus parafascicularis. Besides the major ascending pain pathways, the brain contains potent descending circuits able
to suppress nociceptive inputs. The midbrain periaqueductal gray neurons project to the nucleus raphe magnus and can produce generalized analgesia without other sensory or motor responses. A descending projection from the raphe magnus inhibits the nociceptive responses of dorsal horn neurons. A separate inhibitory pathway from the nucleus locus coeruleus also directly ends in the dorsal horn. Interestingly, endogenous opiate peptides are intensely synthesized by neurons present in the periaqueductal gray region, the medullary raphe, and the dorsal horn. Moreover, high densities of opiate receptors are found in those areas as well as in the medial thalamus and limbic forebrain and this fact explains their important role in the analgesic response.

Besides the release of endorphins, neurons present in the descending pathways modulate or/and reduce nociception by releasing neurotransmitters such as (1) serotonin, typically present in many raphe neurons that end in dorsal horn; and (2) norepinephrine, produced by neurons present in the nucleus coeruleus of the pons (Figure 4).

In conclusion, the probable mechanisms playing a role can be summarized as follows:40

1. Activation of the descending antinociceptive system
2. Release of endorphins, which blocks transmission of the noxious signal to the thalamus and cortex
3. Hypostimulation (elevation of the activation threshold) linked to the oxidative degeneration of C-nociceptors
4. Simultaneous psychogenic stimulation of the central analgesic system induced by the gas injection, somehow due to a placebo effect
5. Localized oxygenation and analgesia, important in terms of muscle relaxation and vasodilation as well as reactivation of the muscle metabolism, by favoring oxidation of lactate, neutralization of acidosis, enhanced synthesis of adenosine triphosphate, Ca$^{2+}$ reuptake, and edema reabsorption. Moreover, a further analgesic effect may be derived from the induction of antioxidant enzymes.64

Finally, experimental studies have been recently conducted in dogs and in pigs. In the former, the intradiscal $O_2\cdotO_3$ injection decompresses disc herniation by disc shrinkage.65 In the latter, evidence of the safety of clinical treatment has been provided.66

**Technical aspects for medical ozone generation**

In accordance with the quality requirements of each therapeutic treatment, it is of interest to point out some specific properties of ozone. From a practical point of view, ozone has a short half-life (approximately 1 hour at room temperature) enabling it to be kept for long periods of time. In fact, ozone in the gaseous state reacts with itself and rapidly decomposes to oxygen.67 So, medical ozone generation and delivery systems require that ozone be created at the moment it is to be administered, except for the pioneering form of a clathrate hydrate.68 Immediate ozone formation can be achieved by different methods, represented by corona discharge, cold plasma, and UV irradiation.69,70 In all these cases, the generation of ozone for therapeutic purpose starts from pure medical oxygen being passed through a high voltage gradient (5–13 megavolts) according to the reaction

$$\frac{3}{2}O_2 + 163 \text{ kJ} \rightarrow O_3.$$ 

Consequently, a gas mixture comprising no less than 95% oxygen and no more than 5% ozone is collected.
Air must be excluded because of the formation of nitrous oxide and dinitrogen pentoxide from air-fed ozone generators. Moreover, because medical ozone should never be inhaled, clinics must be equipped with appropriate detectors, ozone destructors, and emergency air depurators.

**Conclusion and perspectives**

The main biochemical mechanisms of action and the real possibility of taming ozone toxicity have been extensively clarified. The first comprehensive framework for understanding and recommending ozone therapy in a few diseases as a first choice and in combination with orthodox therapy in many others is now available. Indeed, one important characteristic of ozone therapy is that, in comparison to other complementary and more or less esoteric approaches, it can be experimentally verified both at the biochemical and clinical levels.

Regarding the orthopedic problem, pain has a multifactorial origin and ozone can surprisingly display a number of beneficial effects, ranging from the inhibition of inflammation, correction of ischemia and venous stasis to finally inducing a reflex therapy effect by stimulating anti-nociceptor analgesic mechanisms. Both the intradiscal and intramuscular injection of oxygen-ozone are successful approaches comparable to other mini-invasive procedures. Is ozone really the crucial factor or, like other procedures involving thermoactivation, is it that the external stimulus, which, by acting as a shocking event, is able to stimulate the natural healing capabilities? It must be said that the use of ozone in orthopedics has witnessed a far swifter success than the practice of ozonated autohemotherapy for systemic dysfunction: such a discrepancy can be explained by the rapid disappearance of pain achievable in most cases after a single intradiscal injection of ozone, even if further studies are necessary to demonstrate the persistence of effects of ozone therapy over time.

The use of ozone in orthopedic diseases has become very popular and gratifying in comparison to surgical procedures. Objective results from clinical studies represent a unique possibility for counting ozone therapy within pharmaceutical sciences as a method of choice for treating spinal disc herniation.
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