Bronchial thermoplasty in asthma: current perspectives
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Abstract: Bronchial thermoplasty (BT) is a novel therapy for patients with severe asthma. Using radio frequency thermal energy, it aims to reduce the airway smooth muscle mass. Several clinical trials have demonstrated improvements in asthma-related quality of life and a reduction in the number of exacerbations following treatment with BT. In addition, recent data has demonstrated the long-term safety of the procedure as well as sustained improvements in rates of asthma exacerbations, reduction in health care utilization, and improved quality of life. Further study is needed to elucidate the underlying mechanisms that result in these improvements. In addition, improved characterization of the asthma subphenotypes likely to exhibit the largest clinical benefit is a critical step in determining the precise role of BT in the management of severe asthma.
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Introduction

Asthma affects more than 235 million people worldwide and more than 25 million people in the United States alone.1,2 It is a disease caused by chronic inflammation of the large and small airways resulting in airway hyper-responsiveness and excessive mucous secretion. Clinically, this manifests as wheezing, shortness of breath, cough, and airflow obstruction. Asthma treatment centers on reducing inflammation with inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) and relaxing airway smooth muscle (ASM) with inhaled bronchodilators along with minimizing exposure to allergic triggers and modifying the allergic response. While the majority of patients will achieve symptom control with the regular use of these medications, a subpopulation will have persistent symptoms despite maximal medical therapy. These severe asthmatics only encompass 5%–10% of all asthma patients, but require a disproportionate quantity of health care resources.3–5

The definition of severe asthma is complex and involves an assessment of asthma symptoms, rescue short-acting bronchodilator use, pulmonary function, the requirement for and dosing of controller medications, and the number, severity, and risk of exacerbations. The American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society define severe asthma as requiring treatment with high-dose ICS and a second controller medication to maintain asthma control.6 Additionally, patients who had required systemic corticosteroids for more than 50% of the previous year are also classified as severe asthmatics. While these definitions help categorize patients and identify this subpopulation, it is important to recognize that severe asthma is a heterogeneous condition with multiple subphenotypes.7
Unfortunately, therapeutic options for patients with severe asthma are limited. Adjunctive therapies targeting other mediators of the inflammatory pathway have yielded variable results. Antileukotriene agents are recommended as add-on therapy for patients who remain symptomatic despite the use of high-dose ICS and long-acting beta agonists (LABAs). While the leukotriene receptor antagonists (LTRA) montelukast and zafirlukast appear to be effective in patients with aspirin or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) sensitivity, their benefit in all patients with severe asthma is less convincing. Whereas one study showed improved asthma control and forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) in patients already using high-dose ICS, another found no benefit when added to a regimen of ICS and another controller medication. Treatment with the 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor zileuton (Zyflo) in patients with mild to moderate asthma resulted in improved asthma control, although these patients were not treated with ICS. In addition, concerns over cost and the need for laboratory monitoring have limited its widespread use. Omalizumab, an anti-immunoglobulin (anti-Ig) E monoclonal antibody administered subcutaneously, has been approved for patients with moderate to severe allergic asthma. A large randomized trial in patients with severe allergic asthma showed a reduction in exacerbations, a finding also seen in a systematic review of 25 randomized controlled trials in patients with moderate to severe disease. However, the response rate to omalizumab is variable and many patients with severe asthma remain symptomatic despite this therapy.

New therapies in development for severe asthma have also focused on modulating the underlying inflammatory response. Of particular interest has been biologic therapy targeting interleukins (IL)-2, 4, 5, and 13. Blockade of IL-5, a potent modulator of eosinophil function and recruitment, with mepolizumab has recently been shown to improve asthma control, reduce exacerbations, and reduce oral glucocorticoid requirements in severe eosinophilic asthma. IL-4 and IL-13 are both mediators of the Th2 immune response and contribute to airway eosinophilia, mucous gland hyperplasia, and IgE production by B lymphocytes. In patients with moderate to severe asthma and peripheral blood eosinophilia, dupilumab – an anti-IL-4 receptor alpha subunit antibody – was associated with reduced exacerbations upon withdrawal of ICS and LABA. Lebrikizumab, an anti-IL-13 antibody, was associated with short-term increases in FEV₁ in patients with moderate to severe asthma, particularly in patients with elevated blood peroxidin levels (a surrogate marker of IL-13 activity). Although these therapies have yielded promising results thus far, they remain experimental and only appear effective in the subpopulation of allergic asthmatics.

**ASM as a target in asthma management**

Inflammation and mucous hypersecretion are key components in the pathogenesis of asthma, but the exact role of the ASM is uncertain. ASM contraction certainly causes airway narrowing and airflow obstruction in asthma. Further, a hallmark of asthma is an increase in ASM mass, and both hypertrophy and hyperplasia of the ASM cells is seen. In addition to the ASM, multiple factors contribute to the increased airways resistance and airway hyperreactivity seen in asthma, including the lung parenchyma, airway extracellular matrix, and airway-lining fluid and mucus. Indeed, the interplay between these various components is likely critical in determining the maximum ASM-generated tension, which simulations predict to be the single most important factor causing airway hyperreactivity. In addition, the ASM may directly play a pro-inflammatory role by secreting cytokines/chemokines and modulating the migration of inflammatory cells. Therefore, targeting the ASM is an attractive alternative management strategy in severe asthma.

Preclinical studies in the canine airway evaluated the effects of radio frequency (RF) delivered thermal energy to the ASM. Using temperature settings of 55°C, 65°C, and 75°C, the airways of healthy dogs were treated using an RF catheter. There was significant improvement in airway responsiveness to methacholine in the airways treated with thermal energy at 65°C and 75°C occurring as early as 1 week following the procedure and persisting for 3 years. Furthermore, there was an increase in the percentage of the airway wall with altered smooth muscle with successively higher temperatures, and an inverse relationship between airway responsiveness and the percentage of altered smooth muscle. In addition, high-resolution imaging of the airways showed a significant increase in airway diameter when treated with thermal energy at 55°C and 75°C occurring as early as 1 week following the procedure and persisting for 3 years. Therefore, these animal models suggested that reducing the ASM mass would be a reasonable approach to reduce the bronchial hyperresponsiveness and airflow obstruction seen in patients with severe asthma.

**Feasibility**

Bronchial thermoplasty (BT) is the procedure of applying RF thermal energy to the airways in asthma patients with the goal of ablating the ASM. The first study of BT in human
airways involved subjects undergoing lobectomy for known or suspected lung cancer. Twenty-eight patients underwent BT in the lobe to be resected approximately 2–3 weeks prior to the operation. Using the information gained from canine studies, two of these patients were treated with thermal energy at 55°C and the other six were treated at 65°C. There were no adverse events related to the thermoplasty procedure and no complications occurred during the short interim follow-up period.

On repeat bronchoscopic examination at the time of surgery, the majority of airways appeared normal. A minority exhibited expected changes including mild erythema, edema, blanching, and airway narrowing with mucus retention. Similar to that seen in the canine airway, histologic examination revealed minimal no changes in airways treated at 55°C. Airways treated at 65°C showed a pattern of epithelial sloughing and regeneration. In those patients with a longer duration between treatment and lobectomy, a return to the normal architecture was seen. Most importantly, there was a significant reduction in ASM mass of approximately 50%, though there was considerable variation among patients. This important study showed that the delivery of thermal energy was effective in reducing smooth muscle mass and led to the development of large clinical trials to evaluate the efficacy and safety of this treatment in patients with asthma. It also suggested that a variable response of the ASM to thermal energy could be seen with wider clinical use.

**BT**

BT is a novel, minimally invasive therapeutic intervention for patients with severe persistent asthma that is uncontrolled despite the use of ICS and LABA. First approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2010, BT delivers targeted thermal energy to the airway walls with the goal of reducing ASM mass. The thermal energy is delivered using the Alair System (Boston Scientific, Natick, MA, USA). The catheter is introduced via the working channel of the flexible bronchoscope. The distal tip contains an expandable four-electrode basket, which is serially deployed in the airways.

BT is performed in a series of three bronchoscopies. The first two sessions target the right lower lobe and left lower lobe separately while the final procedure targets the bilateral upper lobes. By convention, the right middle lobe is not treated due to concerns over causing stenosis to this typically narrow bronchus and potentially increasing the risk for chronic injury, bronchiectasis, and right middle lobe syndrome. Each bronchoscopy takes approximately 30–60 minutes, although this is variable and generally dependent on the experience of the endoscopist and the patient’s individual bronchial anatomy as this dictates the number of activations that are delivered. Dividing the treatment into three procedures allows shorter procedure times and obviates the risks associated with widespread irritation of the airways in patients with severe asthma.

Patients are generally given systemic oral corticosteroids for 3 days preprocedure, the day of the procedure, and 1 day following the procedure to mitigate airway inflammation that may occur. In addition, an inhaled bronchodilator is given immediately prior to bronchoscopy. Our practice is to prescribe prednisone 50 mg daily for 3 days prior to the procedure as well as on the day of bronchoscopy and the following day. Immediately preprocedure, we provide nebulized albuterol for bronchodilation and nebulized lidocaine to provide topical anesthesia and improve patient comfort.

Although the first description of the procedure in patients with asthma was performed using general anesthesia, it may be performed using local anesthesia and moderate sedation. The procedure begins with a standard examination of all airways, with particular attention to the lobe undergoing treatment. Any mucus should be cleared as this will impair visualization once thermoplasty begins. During the second and third procedures, subtle changes to the previously treated airways may be visualized and adequate healing should be ensured. The diagnostic adult bronchoscope with a minimum working channel diameter of 2.0 mm is used to perform the procedure. The smaller diameter of the diagnostic bronchoscope is preferred over the larger therapeutic bronchoscope to allow a more thorough visualization and treatment of the distal tracheobronchial tree.

The Alair System consists of an RF controller and a single-use catheter. The catheter is connected to the RF controller and a grounding pad is placed on the patient to complete the electrical circuit. The RF controller delivers thermal energy at a temperature of 65°C for 10 seconds, resulting in a maximum of 18 Watts of power delivered with each activation. A footswitch is used to deliver the activation at the appropriate time. The catheter contains a four-electrode basket on the distal tip and is marked at 5 mm increments. The basket is expanded using a handle to ensure adequate contact with the airway wall. Once an activation is delivered, the basket is collapsed and the catheter is withdrawn 5 mm to the next site of treatment. In this manner, the entire airway wall is treated from the distal to proximal direction without overlap. This process is repeated throughout all visual portions of the tracheobronchial tree in the lobe undergoing
treatment. In general, patients will require 40–60 activations during each bronchoscopy. Airways previously treated should not be re-treated.

The most common complications during the procedure include bronchoconstriction, mucous hypersecretion, and minor bleeding related to superficial trauma. Patients should be monitored following the procedure, and treatment with bronchodilators in the immediate postprocedure setting is often needed. Spirometry and/or peak flow may be performed to ensure the patient is near the preprocedural baseline, though we do not routinely monitor this parameter at our institution postoperatively. In very severe asthmatics, particularly in the subgroup of older obese patients, noninvasive positive pressure ventilation may be helpful.

Clinical safety and efficacy

Asthma Intervention Research (AIR) and Research in Severe Asthma (RISA) trials

The first clinical trial evaluating the safety of BT enrolled 16 patients with mild to moderate asthma.30 BT was well tolerated, with all procedure-related adverse events occurring in the week following the procedure. A majority of the adverse events were mild and transient and resolved spontaneously or with temporary increases in asthma medications. Although this trial was not powered to detect differences in outcomes, there was a significant reduction in airway hyperresponsiveness as measured by increased PC_{20} (provocative concentration causing a 20% decline in FEV_{1}) (0.92 mg/mL at baseline, 4.75 mg/mL at 12 weeks, 5.45 mg/mL at 1 year, and 3.40 mg/mL at 2 years postprocedure). In addition, there was a significant improvement in symptom-free days (47% vs 72%–74.3% vs 5.8%–75.7%, P=0.005) and scores of the asthma control questionnaire (ACQ) (reduction, 1.2±1.0 vs 0.5±1.0, P=0.001) and asthma quality of life questionnaire (AQLQ) (1.3±1.0 vs 0.6±1.1, P=0.003). Additionally, there was a significant reduction in mild exacerbations (change in frequency per subject per week of –0.16±0.37 vs 0.04±0.29, P=0.005) and increases in morning peak expiratory flow rate (39.3±48.7 vs 8.5±44.2 L/min, P=0.003) in patients treated with BT.

Shortly following the results of the AIR trial, the RISA trial was designed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of BT in patients with severe, symptomatic asthma.31 This smaller trial included 32 patients (15 randomized to BT) with severe persistent asthma as defined by uncontrolled symptoms despite high-dose ICS and LABA use. Prebronchodilator FEV_{1} was 62.9% of the predicted value in the BT group and 66.4% of predicted in the control group. In addition, 15 patients (eight in the BT group, seven in the control group) were using oral corticosteroids to maintain asthma control with a median dose of 15 mg/day in BT group and 20 mg/day among control patients. Following the procedure, all patients entered a phase where oral and inhaled corticosteroid dosing was held constant followed by a steroid weaning phase. There was a significant improvement in prebronchodilator FEV_{1} percentage predicted among BT subjects during the steroid stable phase (14.9%±17.4 vs –0.9%±22.3, P=0.04), and a subsequent return closer to baseline following the steroid wean (P=0.32). Furthermore, patients treated with BT had significant improvements in ACQ (–1.04±1.03 vs –0.13±1.00 steroid stable phase, P=0.02; –0.99±1.00 vs –0.22±0.78 steroid wean phase, P=0.01) and AQLQ (1.21±1.05 vs 0.15±0.75 steroid stable phase, P=0.003; 1.53±0.79 vs 0.42±0.82 steroid wean phase, P=0.001) scores as well as reduced rescue beta agonist use (puffs/7 days, –26.6±40.1 vs –1.5±11.7 steroid stable phase, P<0.05; –25.6±31.2 vs –6.1±12.4 steroid wean phase, P<0.05).

AIR-2 trial

A common finding of both the AIR and RISA trials was a significant improvement in asthma symptoms as measured by symptom-free days (40.6%±39.7% vs 17.0%±37.9%, P=0.005) and scores of the asthma control questionnaire (ACQ) (reduction, 1.2±1.0 vs 0.5±1.0, P=0.001) and asthma quality of life questionnaire (AQLQ) (1.3±1.0 vs 0.6±1.1, P=0.003). Additionally, there was a significant reduction in mild exacerbations (change in frequency per subject per week of –0.16±0.37 vs 0.04±0.29, P=0.005) and increases in morning peak expiratory flow rate (39.3±48.7 vs 8.5±44.2 L/min, P=0.003) in patients treated with BT.
undergoing BT. All patients had severe asthma based on the need for therapy with high-dose ICS and LABA, high ACQ scores, and low AQLQ scores. The primary outcome of interest was an increase in the AQLQ score from baseline.

Among patients treated with BT, the proportion of patients with a clinically meaningful increase in the AQLQ score of ≥0.5 was significantly greater among those treated with BT when compared with patients who underwent sham bronchoscopy (79% vs 64%). Perhaps the most significant finding of the study was a significant reduction in the number of exacerbations (32% risk reduction), emergency department visits (84% risk reduction), and days lost from school/work (66% risk reduction) in patients treated with BT. Of note, patients treated with sham bronchoscopy did have an increase in the AQLQ score when compared to their prerandomization baseline. As a result, although the increase in AQLQ scores from baseline was significantly higher in the BT group compared to the control group (1.35±1.10 vs 1.16±1.23), this placebo effect resulted in a small absolute difference between groups (0.19) and below a threshold that would be considered clinically meaningful. The results of these large clinical trials are summarized in Table 1.

**Long-term outcomes and safety**

The initial clinical trials of BT showed marked improvements in asthma-related quality of life and a reduction in the number of exacerbations but variable results on improvements in lung function. While promising, the longevity of these findings was not assessed in these studies. In addition, concerns regarding the long-term effects of thermal injury on lung function remained. Recently, the results from the long-term follow-up of patients enrolled in the AIR, RISA, and AIR-2 trials have sought to answer these questions.

From the original cohort of patients in the AIR trial, 45 patients treated with BT and 24 control patients consented to the extended follow-up study.34 BT patients were followed for an additional 4 years (5 years in total) while control patients were followed for an additional 2 years (3 years in total). Compared with the control group, patients who underwent BT had similar rates of respiratory adverse events, oral corticosteroid bursts, hospitalizations, and emergency department visits. Interestingly, patients treated with BT exhibited improvements in airway hyperresponsiveness out to 3 years (methacholine PC_{20} doublings in BT vs control: first year: 1.53±2.29 vs 1.00±2.46, P=0.378; second year: 1.21±2.99 vs -0.47±2.31, P=0.024; third year: 1.31±2.96 vs -0.44±2.27, P=0.0025), arguing for the long-term efficacy of the procedure. Most importantly, there were no changes in measures of pulmonary function among patients treated with BT, and evaluation by serial chest X-rays revealed no clinically significant structural changes.

Follow-up evaluation of patients enrolled in the RISA trial was limited to subjects who underwent BT.35 Fourteen out of the fifteen patients who underwent BT from the original trial were followed for an additional 4 years (5 years total). Although the lack of data from the control group limits comparisons, there was a significant decrease in hospitalization and emergency department visits for respiratory symptoms in 1–5 years when compared to the year prior to BT. In addition, measures of pulmonary function showed no deterioration over the 5-year study period.

Patients enrolled in the AIR-2 study were also followed to evaluate the long-term effects of BT.36 Of the 192 subjects in the initial AIR-2 trial, 160 were followed for an additional 4 years. The most significant finding of the AIR-2 trial was a reduction in severe exacerbations and emergency department visits among those treated with BT. In the long-term follow-up study, the durability of these benefits was seen, with persistent reductions in these parameters throughout the follow-up period. Although patients in the control arm were not followed to make direct comparisons, a recent large-scale retrospective evaluation of patients with persistent asthma suggests that exacerbation rates remain constant despite continued high-intensity therapy with high doses of ICS and LABAs.37 In addition and in keeping with the findings of the previous long-term follow-up studies, no deterioration in lung function was noted. Furthermore, using high-resolution CT imaging, no significant structural changes were seen.

**BT in the management of severe asthma**

BT is currently approved for patients with severe persistent asthma who remain uncontrolled despite the use of an inhaled corticosteroid and LABA. The recently updated Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) guidelines suggest that “for highly-selected adult patients with uncontrolled asthma despite use of recommended therapeutic regimens and referral to an asthma specialty center, bronchial thermoplasty is a potential treatment option” (Grade B evidence).38 BT is contraindicated in patients with a pacemaker, internal defibrillator, or any implantable electronic device. In addition, patients who cannot tolerate bronchoscopy or are unable to receive medications for sedation are not suitable candidates for BT.

Prior to consideration of BT, patients should undergo a thorough evaluation to ensure that the diagnosis of severe asthma is correct, treatment is optimized, and comorbid...
### Table 1 Summary of the clinical trials and long-term follow-up of BT in asthma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safety study(^{30})</td>
<td>Prospective, non-randomized</td>
<td>16 BT</td>
<td>≥ 18 years, mild to moderate asthma, Stable symptoms for preceding 6 weeks, FEV(<em>1), 60%–85% pred, Methacholine PC(</em>{10}) &lt; 8 mg/mL, Stable symptoms for preceding 6 weeks</td>
<td>Respiratory infection in preceding 6 weeks, ≥ 2 LRTI requiring antibiotics in previous year, &gt; 4 puffs of SABA in 24 hours, Worsening asthma symptoms upon LABA withdrawal, SEvere persistent asthma, FEV(_1), ≥ 50% pred, Airway hyperresponsiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIR trial(^{31})</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>56 control 56 BT</td>
<td>Age 18–65, moderate to severe persistent asthma, FEV(<em>1), 60%–85% pred, Methacholine PC(</em>{10}) &lt; 8 mg/mL, Stable symptoms for preceding 6 weeks</td>
<td>Respiratory infection in preceding 6 weeks, ≥ 3 LRTI requiring antibiotics in previous year, Use of immunosuppressants, (β)-adrenergic blocking agents, or anticoagulants, ≥ 3 hospitalizations for asthma in previous year, ≥ 3 LRTI in previous year, ≥ 4 OCS pulses for asthma in previous year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RISA trial(^{32})</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>17 control 15 BT</td>
<td>Age 18–65, Severe persistent asthma, FEV(_1), ≥ 50% pred, Airway hyperresponsiveness</td>
<td>Life-threatening asthma, Chronic sinus disease, Use of immunosuppressants, (β)-adrenergic blocking agents, or anticoagulants, ≥ 3 hospitalizations for asthma in previous year, ≥ 3 LRTI in previous year, ≥ 4 OCS pulses for asthma in previous year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIR-2 trial(^{33})</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blind, sham controlled trial</td>
<td>101 control 96 BT</td>
<td>Age 18–65, Severe persistent asthma, FEV(<em>1), ≥ 60% pred, Airway hyperresponsiveness (PC(</em>{20}) &lt; 8 mg/mL), AQLQ ≥ 6.25</td>
<td>Life-threatening asthma, Chronic sinus disease, Use of immunosuppressants, (β)-adrenergic blocking agents, or anticoagulants, ≥ 3 hospitalizations for asthma in previous year, ≥ 3 LRTI in previous year, ≥ 4 OCS pulses for asthma in previous year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIR trial 5-year follow-up(^{34})</td>
<td></td>
<td>24 control 45 BT</td>
<td>See AIR above</td>
<td>See AIR above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RISA trial 5-year follow-up(^{35})</td>
<td></td>
<td>14 BT</td>
<td>See RISA above</td>
<td>See RISA above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIR-2 trial 5-year follow-up(^{36})</td>
<td></td>
<td>162 BT</td>
<td>See AIR-2 above</td>
<td>See AIR-2 above</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** BT, bronchial thermoplasty; LRTI, lower respiratory tract infection; SABA, short-acting beta agonist; PFT, pulmonary function testing; PEF, peak expiratory flow; AHR, airway hyperresponsiveness; FEV\(_1\), forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; AIR, asthma intervention research; pred, predicted; PC\(_{20}\), provocative concentration causing a 20% decline in FEV\(_1\); LABA, long-acting beta agonist; ACQ, asthma control questionnaire; AQLQ, asthma quality of life questionnaire; RISA, research in severe asthma; OCS, oral corticosteroid; ICS, inhaled corticosteroid; preBD, prebronchodilator; AIR-2, asthma intervention research-2; ED, emergency department.
## Bronchial thermoplasty in asthma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endpoints</th>
<th>Outcomes improved</th>
<th>Outcomes unchanged</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safety; not powered for efficacy outcomes; PFTs, PEF, symptom-free days, AHR, rescue medication use reported</td>
<td>Morning PEF (12 weeks); Evening PEF (12 weeks); Symptom-free days (12 weeks) AHR</td>
<td>FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;, Rescue SABA use</td>
<td>No major adverse events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary: frequency of mild exacerbations</td>
<td>Frequency of mild exacerbations</td>
<td>FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;, AHR</td>
<td>Improved AHR and symptom-free days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary: PFTs, PE, AHR, rescue medication use, asthma symptom scores</td>
<td>Morning PEF; Rescue medication use</td>
<td>ACQ score; AQLQ score</td>
<td>Improved ACQ, AQLQ scores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary: safety</td>
<td>Steroid stable phase: SABA use preBD FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;, ACQ and AQLQ scores</td>
<td>Steroid stable phase: OCS and ICS dosing PEF Symptom-free days PC&lt;sub&gt;20&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Improved FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt; post-BT during steroid stable phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary: change in OCS and ICS, rescue medication use, PEF, FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;, methacholine PC&lt;sub&gt;20&lt;/sub&gt; asthma symptom scores</td>
<td>Post-steroid wean: SABA use ACQ and AQLQ score</td>
<td>Post-steroid wean: OCS and ICS dosing PEF</td>
<td>Increased respiratory adverse events noted during the treatment period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Symptom-free days PC&lt;sub&gt;20&lt;/sub&gt; ACQ and AQLQ score</td>
<td>Symptom-free days PC&lt;sub&gt;20&lt;/sub&gt; ACQ and AQLQ score</td>
<td>No difference in adverse events in the post-treatment period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Procedure is safe in severe asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary: change in AQLQ</td>
<td>AQLQ score</td>
<td>PEF</td>
<td>Improved AQLQ scores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary: symptom scores, symptom-free days, PEF, rescue medication use, FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;, severe exacerbations, emergency department visits, hospitalizations, days missed from work/school</td>
<td>Severe exacerbations Days lost from school/work</td>
<td>ACQ score</td>
<td>32% reduction in severe exacerbations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>84% reduction in ED visits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>66% reduction in days lost work/school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Improved AHR out to 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No increase in respiratory events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stable FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt; and FVC over 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No increase in respiratory events</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary of the clinical trials and long-term follow-up of BT in asthma**

- **AiR trial**: 5-year follow-up
- **AiR-2 trial**: 5-year follow-up
- **RiSA trial**: 5-year follow-up

**Endpoints**:
- Safety: not powered for efficacy outcomes; PFTs, PEF, symptom-free days, AHR, rescue medication use reported
- Primary: frequency of mild exacerbations
- Secondary: PFTs, PE, AHR, rescue medication use, asthma symptom scores
- Primary: safety
- Secondary: change in OCS and ICS, rescue medication use, PEF, FEV<sub>1</sub>, methacholine PC<sub>20</sub> asthma symptom scores
- Primary: change in AQLQ
- Secondary: symptom scores, symptom-free days, PEF, rescue medication use, FEV<sub>1</sub>, severe exacerbations, emergency department visits, hospitalizations, days missed from work/school

**Outcomes improved**
- Morning PEF (12 weeks); Evening PEF (12 weeks); Symptom-free days (12 weeks) AHR
- Frequency of mild exacerbations
- Morning PEF; Rescue medication use
- Steroid stable phase: SABA use preBD FEV<sub>1</sub>, ACQ and AQLQ scores
- Post-steroid wean: SABA use ACQ and AQLQ score
- AQLQ score
- Severe exacerbations Days lost from school/work

**Outcomes unchanged**
- FEV<sub>1</sub>, Rescue SABA use
- FEV<sub>1</sub>, AHR
- ACQ score; AQLQ score
- FEV<sub>1</sub>, Rescue medication use
- Steroid stable phase: OCS and ICS dosing PEF Symptom-free days PC<sub>20</sub>
- Post-steroid wean: OCS and ICS dosing PEF
- PEF
- ACQ score
- Rescue medication use
- Hospitalizations

**Key findings**
- No major adverse events
- Improved AHR and symptom-free days
- Reduced mild exacerbation frequency
- Improved ACQ, AQLQ scores
- Improved FEV<sub>1</sub> post-BT during steroid stable phase
- Increased respiratory adverse events noted during the treatment period
- No difference in adverse events in the post-treatment period
- Procedure is safe in severe asthma
- Improved AQLQ scores
- 32% reduction in severe exacerbations
- 84% reduction in ED visits
- 66% reduction in days lost work/school
- Improved AHR out to 3 years
- No increase in respiratory events
- Stable FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC over 5 years
- No increase in respiratory events
- Stable FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC over 5 years

**Table 20**: Provocative concentration causing a 20% decline in FEV<sub>1</sub>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Efficacy Indicators</th>
<th>Outcomes Reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BT 10</td>
<td>LABA, ACQ, AQLQ</td>
<td>Reduced AHR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT 15</td>
<td>LABA, AQLQ</td>
<td>Improved ACQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT 20</td>
<td>LABA, ACQ, AQLQ</td>
<td>Reduced AHR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 31**: Methacholine PC<sub>20</sub>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Efficacy Indicators</th>
<th>Safety Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BT 10</td>
<td>LABA, ACQ, AQLQ</td>
<td>No structural abnormalities on CT chest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT 15</td>
<td>LABA, AQLQ</td>
<td>Reduced mild exacerbation frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT 20</td>
<td>LABA, ACQ, AQLQ</td>
<td>Improved ACQ, AQLQ scores</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 36**: Methacholine PC<sub>20</sub>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Efficacy Indicators</th>
<th>Safety Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BT 10</td>
<td>LABA, ACQ, AQLQ</td>
<td>No structural abnormalities on CT chest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT 15</td>
<td>LABA, AQLQ</td>
<td>Improved ACQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT 20</td>
<td>LABA, ACQ, AQLQ</td>
<td>Reduced mild exacerbation frequency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 40**: Methacholine PC<sub>20</sub>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Efficacy Indicators</th>
<th>Safety Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BT 10</td>
<td>LABA, ACQ, AQLQ</td>
<td>No structural abnormalities on CT chest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT 15</td>
<td>LABA, AQLQ</td>
<td>Reduced mild exacerbation frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT 20</td>
<td>LABA, ACQ, AQLQ</td>
<td>Improved ACQ, AQLQ scores</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 50**: Methacholine PC<sub>20</sub>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Efficacy Indicators</th>
<th>Safety Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BT 10</td>
<td>LABA, ACQ, AQLQ</td>
<td>No structural abnormalities on CT chest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT 15</td>
<td>LABA, AQLQ</td>
<td>Reduced mild exacerbation frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT 20</td>
<td>LABA, ACQ, AQLQ</td>
<td>Improved ACQ, AQLQ scores</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 60**: Methacholine PC<sub>20</sub>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Efficacy Indicators</th>
<th>Safety Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BT 10</td>
<td>LABA, ACQ, AQLQ</td>
<td>No structural abnormalities on CT chest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT 15</td>
<td>LABA, AQLQ</td>
<td>Reduced mild exacerbation frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT 20</td>
<td>LABA, ACQ, AQLQ</td>
<td>Improved ACQ, AQLQ scores</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 70**: Methacholine PC<sub>20</sub>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Efficacy Indicators</th>
<th>Safety Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BT 10</td>
<td>LABA, ACQ, AQLQ</td>
<td>No structural abnormalities on CT chest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT 15</td>
<td>LABA, AQLQ</td>
<td>Reduced mild exacerbation frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT 20</td>
<td>LABA, ACQ, AQLQ</td>
<td>Improved ACQ, AQLQ scores</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 80**: Methacholine PC<sub>20</sub>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Efficacy Indicators</th>
<th>Safety Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BT 10</td>
<td>LABA, ACQ, AQLQ</td>
<td>No structural abnormalities on CT chest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT 15</td>
<td>LABA, AQLQ</td>
<td>Reduced mild exacerbation frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT 20</td>
<td>LABA, ACQ, AQLQ</td>
<td>Improved ACQ, AQLQ scores</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 90**: Methacholine PC<sub>20</sub>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Efficacy Indicators</th>
<th>Safety Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BT 10</td>
<td>LABA, ACQ, AQLQ</td>
<td>No structural abnormalities on CT chest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT 15</td>
<td>LABA, AQLQ</td>
<td>Reduced mild exacerbation frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT 20</td>
<td>LABA, ACQ, AQLQ</td>
<td>Improved ACQ, AQLQ scores</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 100**: Methacholine PC<sub>20</sub>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Efficacy Indicators</th>
<th>Safety Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BT 10</td>
<td>LABA, ACQ, AQLQ</td>
<td>No structural abnormalities on CT chest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT 15</td>
<td>LABA, AQLQ</td>
<td>Reduced mild exacerbation frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT 20</td>
<td>LABA, ACQ, AQLQ</td>
<td>Improved ACQ, AQLQ scores</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
conditions are treated. In our practice, patients with severe asthma are evaluated in our Refractory Obstructive Lung Disease clinic. The first step in management involves a careful history and physical examination with attention toward signs or symptoms consistent with asthma. In addition, attention should be paid to symptoms that may suggest an alternative diagnosis, such as sarcoidosis, cystic fibrosis (CF), other non-CF bronchiectatic lung disease, alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).\(^{39}\) As such, all patients receive full pulmonary function testing as well as a high-resolution CT scan of the lungs. In addition, laboratory evaluation including a complete blood count with differential, comprehensive metabolic panel, Ig levels, and alpha-1 antitrypsin level and genotype are obtained. If severe asthma is confirmed, the inhaler technique and adherence are evaluated rigorously, as nonadherence to medication is exceedingly common and interventions have been shown to improve asthma control.\(^{40}\) In addition, an evaluation for environmental triggers is performed with counseling on allergen avoidance and elimination.

An evaluation for comorbid conditions should be undertaken and treated as appropriate. Allergic rhinitis and sinusitis are frequently encountered in asthmatics and treatment with intranasal corticosteroids appears to improve asthma control.\(^{41,42}\) Treatment for gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) with oral proton pump inhibitors appears to have modest benefits in patients with symptomatic GERD but of little utility in “silent” reflux.\(^{43,44}\) Paradoxical vocal cord motion is often misdiagnosed as asthma, but it also frequently occurs concomitantly with asthma.\(^{45}\) If clinical suspicion is high, flexible laryngoscopy should be performed and, if confirmed, treatment by a specialized speech therapist is warranted.

In addition to high-dose ICS and LABA, we initiate therapy with a leukotriene-modifying agent if not already tried. For those patients with an allergic phenotype and elevated IgE levels, we initiate therapy with omalizumab. If therapy with omalizumab is unsuccessful or not indicated, we then consider BT. All patients undergo bronchoscopy for an airway examination as well as bronchoalveolar lavage and airway biopsy prior to consideration of BT. This allows for histologic evaluation of the ASM as well as ruling out any undiagnosed infectious disease. If increased ASM is confirmed, BT is offered as the next step in management (Figure 1).

Caution should be taken prior to proceeding with BT in patients with very severe airflow obstruction. The major clinical trials evaluating the safety and efficacy of BT excluded the most severe asthmatics and general guidelines suggest that an FEV\(_1\) of less than 65% of predicted to be a relative contraindication. The largest trials, AIR and AIR-2, only excluded patients with a prebronchodilator FEV\(_1\) of less than 60% of predicted. However, the patients actually enrolled in the trials had a mean FEV\(_1\) of greater than 70% of predicted. The RISA trial evaluated patients with more severe asthma, but excluded patients with an FEV\(_1\) of less than 50% of predicted. We have previously reported our experience performing BT in patients with very severe asthma.\(^{46}\) The mean FEV\(_1\) in these eight patients was 51.8% of predicted, and included five patients with very severe asthma and a mean FEV\(_1\) of 37.4% of predicted. While patients required overnight inpatient observation frequently, there were no serious adverse events noted. Although we take caution when proceeding with BT in patients with very severe asthma and reduced FEV\(_1\), we do not feel it is a contraindication. In addition, we frequently employ overnight inpatient observation following the procedure for these patients.

**Future directions**

As a condition of the initial approval of BT, the United States FDA required two additional postapproval evaluations, including the 5-year follow-up results from the AIR-2 trial (available at [http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf8/P080032a.pdf](http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf8/P080032a.pdf)). Another study, the Bronchial Thermoplasty in Severe Persistent Asthma (PAS2), is ongoing.\(^{47}\) With inclusion/exclusion criteria similar to that of the AIR-2 trial and a target enrollment of 300 patients, this trial will evaluate the frequency of severe exacerbations during 5 years of follow-up after BT treatment. In addition, registry data from patients undergoing BT is being collected.\(^{48}\) Together, these
studies will seek to confirm the improvement in asthma control and reduced exacerbations with BT seen in the previous large clinical trials while also showing the long-term safety of the treatment.

The underlying mechanisms that lead to improvements in asthma control in patients treated with BT remain poorly understood. The intent of performing BT is to ablate the ASM, but this response is variable — as was seen in the feasibility study — and we have previously reported on a patient who exhibited persistent smooth muscle hyperplasia following treatment. Therefore, there are likely other mechanisms by which BT results in improved asthma symptoms, and much effort and interest is being taken to better understand the precise role of the ASM in the pathogenesis of asthma. Recently, a significant impairment in smooth muscle contraction following exposure to extreme temperature was noted. Recent evidence has also shown that the ASM plays an immunomodulatory role. In vivo, ASM cells probably produce chemokines and cytokines that play a role in leukocyte recruitment. In addition, they may produce anti-inflammatory mediators. Furthermore, interactions between ASM cells and the extracellular matrix may play an important role in determining bronchomotor tone. These studies will not only help identify new therapeutic targets but also provide insights into the manner in which BT improves asthma control. More directly, several ongoing studies are evaluating the molecular, cellular, and histopathologic changes that occur in patients treated with BT, including one trial evaluating the potential effects of BT on the neuronal component of the bronchial mucosa.

As has already been seen in trials of immunomodulatory agents in asthma, it is likely that certain phenotypes of asthma respond differently to BT. Therefore, it is critical to better understand the mechanisms that underlie the improvements seen with BT and use this information to identify subsets of patients who will have the largest clinical benefit. Ongoing trials are assessing the utility of imaging modalities including high-resolution CT scan and MRI to identify this subgroup. In addition, studies evaluating the use of blood and sputum biomarkers as well as airway histology to help identify these subsets of patients are underway.

**Conclusion**

BT is a new therapy for patients with severe asthma. While the majority of therapies for asthma target the underlying inflammatory response, BT is the only therapy that specifically targets the ASM. Several clinical trials have demonstrated its safety and ability to improve quality of life and reduce exacerbations in patients with severe asthma. The exact mechanisms that underlie the improvements seen with BT remain poorly understood but are under intense study. Identifying the patients most likely to respond to this therapy is a critical next step and will be instrumental in determining the precise role of BT in the management of severe asthma.
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