Lichen sclerosus: a potpourri of misdiagnosed cases based on atypical clinical presentations
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Objective: Lichen sclerosus (LS) is a chronic progressive inflammatory autoimmune-induced disease that primarily affects the epidermis and dermis of the external genital-anal region. Intense and recalcitrant pruritus is the hallmark of LS. Physical exam reveals thinning, hyperkeratosis, and parchment-like appearance. However, the classic symptom and signs of LS may not always be present and patients may be asymptomatic for pruritus. Hence, we describe 15 misdiagnosed cases with atypical clinical presentations. We believe that the absence of pruritus contributed to their initial misdiagnosis. The purpose of this paper is to increase awareness of atypical presentations of LS.

Methods: Database review of de-identified clinical case pictures was performed. All patients had histopathology-confirmed diagnoses of LS. The database file contains 800 cases of vulvovaginal disorders. The Institutional Review Board (IRB) considered that searching a de-identified data base of pictures did not require IRB approval.

Results: We identified 15 different atypical clinical cases. Patient ages were 18–75 years old. These patients were asymptomatic for pruritus and were misdiagnosed before they presented to the vulvovaginal specialized clinic.

Conclusion: Fifteen patients asymptomatic for pruritus with histopathology-confirmed diagnosis of LS were identified. They illustrate atypical clinical presentations that LS may have.
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Introduction

Lichen sclerosus (LS) is a common unrecognized and misdiagnosed chronic progressive inflammatory vulvovaginal disease. The etiology is unclear, but some newer evidence suggests possible genetic predisposition or induction by autoimmune processes. The disease primarily affects the epidermis and dermis of the external anogenital region.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^5\) LS may occur at any age but usually has a bimodal distribution occurring in prepubertal and postmenopausal women.\(^6\) Intense and recalcitrant pruritus is the hallmark of LS. Pain is also a common complaint, which may present as dyschezia, dysuria, or dyspareunia. Affected patients may experience a significant decline in quality of life through severe discomfort and distress, as well as feelings of frustration and despair.\(^2\)

Upon clinical examination, we may observe thinning of the epidermis, hyperkeratosis, and parchment-like appearance. There could also be evidence of pale ivory-colored flat-topped papules that tend to coalesce into larger plaques.\(^7\) Progressive atrophy of the epidermis may occur later, leading to scar tissue development with disappearance of the vulvar sulci, closure of the commissures, and phimosis of the clitoral hood.

The diagnosis of vulvar LS is based on the observation of the previously described characteristic clinical presentation and biopsy.\(^7\) However, a handful of other disorders have similar presentations and are often confused with vulvar LS, which is why histological confirmation is highly recommended.
The first-line treatment for LS is topical high-potency steroids. Another commonly used therapy is pimecrolimus (topical calcineurin inhibitors). Failure to respond to treatment rarely occurs and may be caused by an incorrect diagnosis, a secondary problem (allergic contact dermatitis, bacterial or fungal infection), or the development of vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia or vulvar squamous cell carcinoma. The addition of subdermal high-potency steroid injections in recalcitrant cases has been reported. Surgery is reserved for cases with scarring that causes functional impairment.

We are presenting a series of 15 patients with histopathology confirmed anogenital LS to bring awareness to misdiagnosed atypical clinical presentations of this condition. We define these cases as being atypical because none of these patients presented with the classic symptom: pruritus.

Methods
Database review of clinical case pictures was performed. All patients had histopathology-confirmed diagnoses of LS with a lack of pruritus. Each picture was obtained after proper informed consent from patients attending general gynecology or vulvovaginal specialized faculty clinics for annual physical examinations or for unrelated vulvovaginal symptoms. The database contains 800 de-identified cases of vulvovaginal disorders with pertinent medical history classified as per International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision (ICD 9) coding.

The Institutional Review Board (IRB) at Texas Tech Health Sciences Center determined that searching a de-identified database of pictures did not require IRB approval.

Results
We identified 15 atypical clinical case presentations. Patient ages were 18–75 years old. These patients were asymptomatic for pruritus.

We had a wide array of atypical presentations, which included two patients complaining of sexual dysfunction. The first patient with sexual dysfunction complained of anorgasmia due to LS that involved the clitoral hood (Figure 1). The second patient complained about dyspareunia resulting from LS related scarring and atrophy of the vaginal introitus (Figure 2).

Additionally, three patients presented with complaints of tinea cruris or corporis. These patients were unresponsive to their prescribed antifungal therapy (Figures 3, 4, and 5).

Other dermatological conditions that were inaccurately diagnosed included ulcerations and non-healing lesions. A patient with a non-healing ulcer of the vulva was tested...
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extensively for infectious causes as well as autoimmune diseases before being referred to our specialty clinic for evaluation (Figure 6). Another patient presented with similar complaints for a non-healing lesion in the suprapubic area (Figure 7). Likewise, another patient was seen for evaluation of a pigmented lesion suspicious of malignancy despite being asymptomatic. The lesion was determined to be benign, but on physical examination her vulvar anatomy was already undergoing structural changes consistent with LS (Figure 8).

Similarly, one patient complaining of excessive sweating in the perineum due to vulvar hyperhidrosis was diagnosed with LS (Figure 9). Moreover, three of the patients included in this paper were evaluated for symptoms of recurrent lower urinary tract infections. One patient who complained of recurrent urinary infections had a biopsy that demonstrated evidence of LS and lichen planus (Figure 10). Another patient who complained of recurrent urinary tract infections also had LS (Figure 11). A third patient who presented with persistent symptoms of dysuria was repeatedly treated despite several normal urine analyses and cultures (Figure 12).

Finally, two patients presented with complaints of recurrent vulvovaginal bleeding. The first patient had numerous fissures on the vulva and vagina provoked by trauma from intercourse and exaggerated daily hygiene with an LS base (Figure 13). The second patient suffered from recurrent vaginal and perianal bleeding. Her physical exam revealed a classic parchment-like appearance of the skin in the perianal and vulvar regions (Figure 14). The last atypical case involved a patient who was squirting urine during micturition (Figure 15).

All 15 patients described in this paper had a histopathology confirmed diagnosis of LS.
Figure 8 Lichen sclerosus initial changes in the left labia majora.

Figure 9 Lichen sclerosus bilaterally in the labia majora.

Figure 10 Lichen sclerosus and lichen planus.

Figure 11 Lichen sclerosus in the inguinal area and perineal area.

Figure 12 Lichen sclerosus in the perineal area.

Figure 13 Lichen sclerosus with fissures in the vulva.
The majority of these patients reported a major improvement in their quality of life and their respective complaints and symptoms with the use of topical high potency steroids. The anorgasmic patient underwent clitoral hood circumcision that resolved the phimosis and improved her ability to achieve orgasm.

**Conclusion**

We are presenting a series of 15 patients with histopathology-confirmed vulvovaginal LS to report atypical clinical presentations of this medical condition. The unusual presentation of a lack of pruritus in these patients was probably a contributing factor that prevented earlier diagnosis and treatment.

Clinicians should consider LS and keep it in the differential diagnosis when faced with patients with vulvovaginal symptoms that are refractory to appropriate treatment of the previously diagnosed condition.
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