A database in ACCESS for assessing vaccine serious adverse events
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Purpose: To provide a free flexible database for use by any researcher for assessing reports of adverse events after vaccination.

Results: A database was developed in Microsoft ACCESS to assess reports of serious adverse events after yellow fever vaccination using Brighton Collaboration criteria. The database is partly automated (if data panels contain identical data fields the data are automatically also entered into those fields). The purpose is to provide the database free for developers to add additional panels to assess other vaccines.
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Introduction

There are multiple databases worldwide used to assess reported adverse events and serious adverse events (SAEs) after vaccination. The US VAERS database¹ is open for data reports by members of the public and community health care workers. A database was designed in Microsoft ACCESS to permit independent assessment by two reviewers of all data in reports of SAEs following yellow fever vaccination. The official criteria for assessing SAEs after yellow fever vaccination are those of the Brighton Collaboration²–⁵ and these criteria are assessed in a series of panels. The ACCESS database uses panels to organize variables based on logic and entry workflows.

Summary of Figures

This panel is used to gather general demographic and admission data regarding a case, as well as references to the original publication (Figure 1). Fields in the Summary panel include: country, sex, age, vaccine, vaccine type, batch number, days until first symptoms, if admitted to hospital, days in hospital, if died, and publication details. Figures 2–4 assess yellow fever vaccine-associated neurological disease.

Figure 2 Encephalitis

Classified by Brighton Collaboration Levels 1, 2, and 3 of diagnostic certainty. Fields include central nervous system inflammation histopathology, if encephalopathy is present, temperature, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) findings, electroencephalogram (EEG) or neuroimaging, and 13 clinical signs or symptoms (Figure 2).
Figure 1 Age, sex, country, time to symptoms and hospitalization, whether died, and publication details.

Figure 2 Three levels of diagnostic certainty of encephalitis according to Brighton Collaboration criteria.

Figure 3 Three levels of diagnostic certainty for myelitis according to Brighton Collaboration criteria.
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**Figure 3** Myelitis
Classified by Brighton Collaboration Levels 1, 2, and 3 of diagnostic certainty. Fields include spinal cord inflammation histopathology, if myelopathy is present, temperature, CSF findings, and neuroimaging (Figure 3).

**Figure 4** Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM)
Classified by Brighton Collaboration Levels 1, 2, and 3 of diagnostic certainty. Fields include demyelination on histopathology, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) white matter lesions, monophasic illness, and nine clinical signs or symptoms (Figure 4).

**Figure 5** Viscerotropic disease
Viscerotropic disease is assessed in Figures 5 and 6. The case definition is classified by Brighton Collaboration Levels 1, 2, and 3 of diagnostic certainty and does not imply causality by yellow fever vaccine. Seven major and seven minor criteria are entered then the level is assessed (Figure 5).

**Figure 6** Yellow fever vaccine-associated viscerotropic disease
A second level of classification assigns levels of possible causality into “confirmed”, “probable”, and “suspect” levels. This permits differentiation between cases caused by wild yellow fever virus and by yellow fever vaccine. The case meets the suspect level if the individual had been in a yellow fever-endemic or -epidemic area within 10 days of onset of symptoms and yellow fever virus-specific antigen was detected in tissue demonstrated by immunohistochemistry or histopathology consistent with yellow fever. It meets the probable and definite levels if it meets the suspect level and yellow fever 17D virus is demonstrated within specific time periods or at specific concentrations (Figure 6).

**Figure 7** Guillain–Barré syndrome
Cases are classified into levels 1, 2 or 3 of diagnostic certainty. Level 3 of diagnostic certainty requires three groups of clinical symptoms or signs and the absence of an alternative diagnosis. Level 2 requires level 3 plus CSF white blood cell <50 cells/microliter or, if no CSF was collected then electrophysiological findings consistent with Guillain–Barré syndrome. Level 1 requires level 3 plus electrophysiological findings consistent with Guillain–Barré syndrome and CSF cytoalbuminologic dissociation (Figure 7).

**Figure 8** Anaphylaxis
Figures 8 and 9 are used to assess anaphylaxis. Three dermatological or mucosal, five cardiovascular, and eight respiratory symptoms are assessed (Figure 8).
Figure 5 The Case definition of viscerotropic disease with minor and major criteria according to Brighton Collaboration criteria.

Figure 6 Three levels of diagnostic certainty for yellow fever vaccine-associated viscerotropic disease (YEL-AVD) according to Brighton Collaboration criteria.

Figure 7 Clinical case definition of Guillain-Barré syndrome according to Brighton Collaboration criteria.
Figure 8 Dermatologic or mucosal, cardiovascular and respiratory symptoms in the definition of anaphylaxis according to Brighton Collaboration criteria.

Figure 9 Anaphylaxis levels of diagnostic certainty
Anaphylaxis is then classified into Brighton Collaboration Levels 1, 2, and 3 of diagnostic certainty using the major criteria in Figure 8 and minor criteria in the case definition (Figure 9).³

The next two figures (Figures 10 Laboratory diagnosis, and Figure 11 Other findings) are used to capture additional information about the case helpful in making an informed classification. Data entry in these sections was set up to be dynamic to reflect the wide variety of potential entry types.

Figure 10 Laboratory tests for the diagnosis of yellow fever and other infectious diseases
Laboratory diagnosis of yellow fever and other infections: fields include eleven yellow fever specific tests, 22 tests for other infectious diseases, and free entry of other laboratory tests with values and units (Figure 10).
Figure 10 Laboratory tests for the diagnosis of yellow fever and other infectious diseases.

Figure 11 Other Clinical Findings (Details of past medical history, vaccines and medications can be entered by opening categories).

Figure 12 The decision flow tree for Brighton Collaboration definitions of yellow fever-associated adverse events can be opened by tapping the space under “Decision by”.
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Figure 11 Other findings
Fields include past medical history, other vaccines received, and current medications (Figure 11).

Figures 12 and 13 Decision flow tree for Brighton Collaboration yellow fever vaccine-associated decisions
Figure 12 shows how to open the Decision tree, and Figure 13 uses all the data captured in the previous eleven figures. The decisions section of the database allows for multiple reviewers to make an evaluation of the case. By tapping on the top leftmost area five criteria panels and a decision panel open up (Figure 12).

All evaluations are made using the logic: 1a) is the method by which the authors selected the case clearly described? b) Did the authors assess probable confounders in the past medical history? c) Did the authors address probable confounders from medications, vaccines or other interventions? 2) Is there complete clinical data for the case? 3) Is there complete detection of SAEs due to yellow fever vaccination with sensitive, specific, and valid outcome measures? 4) Is there complete assessment of probable confounders: other infections, illnesses? 5) Is the judgment on this case that it meets the Brighton Collaboration criteria and what level does it meet? 6) A decision flow sheet selecting the Brighton Collaboration diagnosis and level of diagnostic certainty. (If a case met eg, both encephalitis 2 and ADEM 3 criteria, the principal classification was the higher one, ie, encephalitis 2 and the secondary classification was ADEM 3).

Conclusion
Researchers can modify the ACCESS database to assess other vaccines using Panel 1, modifying Panels 10–12, and adding panels for the criteria to assess other vaccines. The database is intended to be adapted by researchers either who wish to assess published cases of potential SAEs attributed to other vaccines, or are in the field and wish to assess reported SAEs during vaccination campaigns (they could modify or simplify the panels in this database). In the case of published cases data integrity should have been assured by the publishing editors. In the case of databases used during vaccine campaigns the researchers would need to code and encrypt the basic identifying data for cases.
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