Should NS5A inhibitors serve as the scaffold for all-oral anti-HCV combination therapies?
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Abstract: Chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection represents a global health problem that affects up to 130–150 million people worldwide. The HCV treatment landscape has been transformed recently by the introduction of direct-acting antiviral (DAA) agents that target viral proteins, including the NS3 protease, the NS5B polymerase, and the NS5A protein. Treatment with multiple DAAAs in combination has been shown to result in high rates of sustained virologic response, without the need for pegylated interferon, and a shorter duration of therapy compared with interferon-based regimens; however, the optimal combination of DAAAs has yet to be determined. The class of NS5A inhibitors has picomolar potency with pangenotypic activity, and recent clinical studies have shown these inhibitors to be an important component of DAA combination regimens. This review discusses the rational design of an optimal anti-HCV DAA cocktail, with a focus on the role of NS5A in the HCV life cycle, the attributes of the NS5A class of inhibitors, and the potential for NS5A inhibitors to act as a scaffold for DAA-only treatment regimens.
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Introduction
Chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection continues to be a significant economic, humanistic, and social burden on the world’s population. Current estimates indicate that up to 130–150 million people worldwide are infected with HCV, resulting in up to 350,000–500,000 deaths per year, predominantly from cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. The incidence of acute HCV infections peaked between 1970 and 1990, and chronic HCV infection reached a peak in 2001. Despite this, HCV-related complications are increasing worldwide because of the aging HCV-infected population and the lag between initial HCV infection and disease-related complications. The highest prevalence of HCV-related cirrhosis is projected to be between 2010 and 2030. Along with contributions from other chronic liver diseases, increases in HCV-related cirrhosis have led to rising rates of cirrhosis-related mortality, which have gone from being the 14th leading cause of US mortality in 1990 to the 8th leading cause in 2011. This represents a 43% increase in deaths and a 39% increase in life-years lost.

Complications related to hepatitis C have increased in part because of the limited efficacy and poor tolerability of previously available therapies. However, during the last 5 years, the HCV treatment landscape has been transformed by the introduction of direct-acting antiviral (DAA) agents targeting HCV. This has resulted in improved treatment efficacy, shortened treatment duration, and more tolerable therapies. Modeling data have indicated these therapies have the potential to significantly reduce the
prevalence of cirrhosis and related complications. Available clinical trial data have suggested that treatment regimens targeting multiple components of the HCV life cycle are most adept at inducing high rates of sustained virologic response (SVR) without the need for interferon. However, the exact combination of DAAAs that most effectively eliminates HCV while minimizing treatment-related adverse effects is not clear.

This review discusses the rational design of an optimal anti-HCV DAA cocktail. We begin by discussing currently available therapies and their limitations. We then provide an overview of the HCV life cycle and identify viral targets of DAAAs. We focus primarily on the role of a particular viral protein, nonstructural (NS) protein 5A (NS5A), in viral replication and function. We discuss the critical elements of an ideal anti-HCV regimen, based in part on lessons learned from the evolution of treatments for other infectious diseases. We then review literature demonstrating the value of combination DAA therapy. Finally, we discuss how DAAAs targeting NS5A may serve as the ideal scaffold for DAA combination HCV therapy.

Limitations of historic and current therapies: the need for an optimized combination regimen to treat HCV

The combination of peginterferon-alfa and ribavirin (PEG/RBV) was introduced as first-line therapy for HCV treatment after a series of landmark trials in 2001. The efficacy of this regimen was limited by a number of factors, including the genotype of the virus being treated. Seven distinct genotypes of HCV (GT1–GT7) have been identified. In addition to affecting the response to therapy, HCV genotype also influences disease progression and the development of hepatocellular carcinoma. The most prevalent genotype worldwide is GT1, although geographic variance is recognized. Unfortunately, PEG/RBV therapy was least effective against GT1 HCV. Its efficacy was even lower in patients with a variety of baseline host and viral characteristics that predicted treatment failure. These characteristics included patients with cirrhosis who stood to benefit the most from viral eradication. In addition, many patients were intolerant of treatment-related adverse effects, including psychiatric effects, severe fatigue, malaise, and blood dyscrasias. Despite this, PEG/RBV remained the standard-of-care HCV therapy for a decade.

The introduction of DAA agents targeting specific HCV proteins represented a major milestone in improving anti-HCV therapy. The US Food and Drug Administration approved the protease inhibitors telaprevir and boceprevir in 2011. When added to PEG/RBV, these agents increased treatment effectiveness for GT1 HCV while decreasing treatment duration for some patients. However, several factors limited the clinical effectiveness of these regimens. These medications were frequently associated with increased drug-related adverse events (rash, anemia, ano-rectal discomfort, asthenia, etc), leading to poor tolerability and frequent discontinuation of therapy, especially when used in “real-life” environments outside of clinical trials. In addition, these therapies were highly selective for those infected with GT1 HCV and were less effective in patients with cirrhosis, those who had failed previous PEG/RBV therapy, and those who had unfavorable polymorphisms in a host interferon-responsive gene (IL28B). Finally, treatment failure in this regimen was often associated with the emergence of resistance-associated viral variants (RAVs) that were no longer sensitive to these DAA agents, as reviewed elsewhere.

Two additional DAA agents, the protease inhibitor simprevir and the nucleotide analog RNA-dependent RNA polymerase inhibitor sofosbuvir, were approved by the US Food and Drug Administration in 2013. In conjunction with PEG/ RBV, both were associated with high rates of SVR and shortened treatment duration for GT1 HCV infection. Importantly, sofosbuvir was also approved in combination with RBV alone for treatment of GT2/GT3 HCV, representing the first all-oral (noninterferon-based) HCV regimens approved by the US Food and Drug Administration. Despite these recent advances, clinically significant RAVs were identified during clinical trials with simprevir, and this agent has known drug–drug interactions that complicate its universal use. In addition, both of these new agents still require the addition of PEG/RBV for the treatment of GT1 HCV, which precludes treatment in a large portion of HCV-infected individuals who are either intolerant of treatment-related adverse effects or ineligible because of the risk for treatment-related decompensation.

These limitations have led investigators to explore the effectiveness of antiviral therapy using multiple DAA agents. The combination of the NS5A inhibitor daclatasvir with sofosbuvir established the ability to achieve high rates of SVR in difficult-to-treat populations (GT1, previous PEG/RBV failures). Studies such as this have provided proof of concept that combination DAA therapy may indeed be the key to generating an all-oral, highly effective, and durable therapy against hepatitis C. In fact, the potential of highly effective and more tolerable DAA regimens that do not require inter-
furon has led many physicians to “warehouse” patients until these regimens become available. However, the question of which DAA agents or classes to include in an ideal anti-HCV DAA cocktail remains unanswered.

An overview of HCV replication

A basic understanding of the HCV life cycle and the viral elements targeted by DAA agents is needed to identify which agents should be combined into an optimized anti-HCV regimen. HCV is a member of the Flaviviridae family in the genus Hepacivirus. Similar to other members of this genus, it is a single-stranded RNA virus with positive polarity. Its genetic makeup consists of a 9.6 kbp RNA genome with a single open reading frame flanked by 5′- and 3′-untranslated regions.

The details of HCV life cycle events have been extensively reviewed. Briefly, HCV travels through the bloodstream associated with apolipoproteins and adheres to hepatocytes via interaction with the low-density lipoprotein receptor. HCV interacts with other host proteins to facilitate its entry into the cell and its release of the viral RNA genome into the cytoplasm. RNA translation occurs in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) via an internal ribosome entry site in the 5′-untranslated region to produce a single 3,000 amino acid viral polyprotein. The polyprotein is cleaved by both cellular signal peptidases and viral proteases into 10 viral proteins (a detailed structure/function discussion of each protein was previously reviewed). The polyprotein contains structural elements, including the core capsid protein and the envelope glycoproteins E1 and E2, as well as a number of NS proteins. The putative ion channel/viroporin p7 is involved in viral assembly and release of infectious particles. NS protein 2a (NS2A) is a cysteine protease that facilitates cleavage between itself and NS3. NS3 primarily acts as a protease that facilitates processing of the remainder of the NS proteins (NS4A, NS4B, NS5A, NS5B). NS3 also has helicase activity that facilitates replication and inactivates factors involved in the host innate immune response. NS4A acts as a multifunctional cofactor to NS3: stabilizing the protein’s position in cellular membranes, preventing its degradation, and increasing the catalytic activity of its protease domain. HCV replication occurs in the cytosol in a membranous compartment known as the membranous web, which is closely associated with the ER. Densely populated with replication complexes consisting of viral NS proteins and host machinery, this compartment essentially acts as a virion-producing “factory.” The main function of NS4B is to function in concert with other “replicase factors,” such as NS3, NS4A, NS5A, and NS5B, in developing this compartment. NS5A is a multifunctional phosphoprotein that has no enzymatic activity, but is required for RNA replication, membranous web formation, and viral particle formation. Further discussion of NS5A structure and function can be found in subsequent sections of this paper. NS5B is an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase that replicates the HCV genome. HCV replication in the membranous web and budding of new virions from the ER occur in close proximity to lipid droplets and cellular compartments involved in the synthesis of very low density lipoprotein. This allows virions to associate with lipoprotein molecules to form lipoviro-particles and be secreted via the host endogenous secretory pathway. Although reinfection can occur via an extracellular route, it is believed that the major route of transmission in vivo occurs via cell-to-cell transmission, thereby avoiding the effects of neutralizing antibodies.

Role of NS5A in the HCV life cycle

NS5A structure and functional interactions

NS5A is a multifunctional phosphoprotein approximately 447 amino acids in length (in GT1 HCV). It exists in a basal and hyperphosphorylated state (p56 and p58, respectively). Hyperphosphorylation appears to act as a switch that regulates different NS5A functions, specifically, replication and viral particle formation, and is reviewed elsewhere. The structure of NS5A consists of several distinct subdomains, including an amino-terminal 31 amino acid amphipathic alpha helix, followed by three structural domains (D1–D3) that are separated by two low-complexity sequences (LCS1 and LCS2) (Figure 1).

The amino-terminal alpha helix domain anchors the protein to cellular membranes and facilitates its recruitment to lipid droplets. The D1 domain contains a zinc-binding domain and facilitates formation of NS5A homodimers. Interestingly, the crystal structures of purified NS5A dimers suggest the existence of two distinct configurations (claw-like and back-to-back) that may have different functions during replication. Studies have also suggested that NS5A monomers and dimers may form large multimeric complexes. D2 and D3 are unfolded domains that are able to interact with both viral and cellular proteins. NS5A interactions with NS4B, NS5B, and the core protein facilitate membranous web formation, RNA replication, and viral particle formation, respectively. Interaction of NS5A with cyclophilin A is required for HCV replication. NS5A interaction with human vesicle-associated membrane protein-associated protein A facilitates localization of...
HCV replication complex components to lipid rafts.

Modulation of the NS5A phosphorylation state is mediated by casein kinases 1 and 2, as well as a variety of other cellular kinases, including phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate kinase III-α.64,65 NS5A is also an RNA-binding protein, as domains D1–D3 have all been shown to have RNA-binding activity.66,67 D1 and D2 play a critical role in RNA replication. In contrast, much of the D3 domain is not required for replication but appears to be critical for viral particle formation through its interaction with core protein.59,68,69

**NS5A in viral replication and beyond**

Although the exact role of NS5A in the viral life cycle remains to be fully characterized, NS5A appears to play a role at multiple points in this process, including membranous web formation, replication complex formation and function, and viral particle formation. Mutational analysis identifying three distinct NS5A regions required for RNA replication suggest a clear role in the viral replication complex.70 The ability of NS5A to dimerize/multimerize, bind RNA, and interact with other cellular and viral proteins involved in RNA replication has led to the formation of models depicting NS5A as a critical component in building a network of viral replication complexes within membranous vesicles (Figure 2).71 NS5A also appears to play an important role in modulating the cellular environment to favor HCV replication by interacting with a variety of cellular proteins and signaling pathways, as reviewed elsewhere.57 It has been postulated to downregulate the host interferon response by binding and inactivating the interferon-responsive, ds-RNA-activated protein kinase R.72 It has also been shown to upregulate transcription of the cytokine interleukin 8, which is known to attenuate the interferon response.73–75 Interestingly, interleukin 8 is upregulated in HCV-infected individuals relative to non-infected controls and is further upregulated in patients who are nonresponsive to interferon-based therapy.76 NS5A also appears to interfere with the ability of host cells to undergo apoptosis in response to viral infection. Transgenic mice expressing NS5A in hepatocytes were resistant to TNF-induced hepatic apoptosis.77 In addition, NS5A inhibits apoptotic stimuli by sequestering proapoptotic proteins.
such as p53 and the bcl-2 family member bax.\textsuperscript{78,79} NS5A has also been shown to modulate several signaling pathways within hepatocytes, including mitogenic, cell survival, calcium, and reactive oxygen signaling pathways, as reviewed elsewhere.\textsuperscript{57}

**How to build an optimal anti-HCV regimen**

Examination of the HCV life cycle reveals several candidate targets for antiviral therapy. Accordingly, several molecular inhibitors of these targets (DAA agents) have been developed and have demonstrated remarkable antiviral activity in vitro and in vivo. What remains unclear is which classes of DAA agents to use alone or in combination to generate an optimal anti-HCV regimen. To create an ideal regimen, one must first clearly define the desired characteristics of such a regimen. Examining the evolution of therapies for other infectious diseases, such as the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (TB), can help identify these characteristics. Similar to HCV, HIV and TB express error-prone polymerases that predispose these organisms to the development of mutations that confer resistance to therapeutic agents. In HCV and HIV, this is exacerbated by high rates of replication.\textsuperscript{80,81} Therapies for HIV and TB were revolutionized with the discovery that therapeutic regimens that target multiple components of the infectious life cycle synergistically increased the potency of the individual agents and decreased the development of organisms resistant to the combination regimen.\textsuperscript{82,83} Further optimization of these therapies required investigation into drug combinations that would minimize the adverse events and drug–drug interactions associated with these therapies.

These observations and the limitations of historic and current HCV therapies help define the characteristics of an optimal anti-HCV regimen. Such a regimen would be all-oral (interferon-free), be well tolerated with little to no treatment-related monitoring required (anemia, leukopenia, etc), and have very few drug–drug interactions. An ideal regimen would also provide pangenotypic activity, have a high barrier to the development of clinically significant RAVs, and target multiple elements of the viral life cycle. Finally, to optimize patient compliance and increase the accessibility of the therapy to more providers, it would be a potent regimen that allows for short duration of therapy, abrogates the need for response-guided therapy, and allows for once-daily dosing. Identifying the characteristics of individual DAA agents that meet these criteria either alone or in combination with other agents will allow for rational design of an ideal anti-HCV therapy.

**The benefits of combination therapy**

Studies using DAA combinations such as daclatasvir and sofosbuvir established the proof of concept that this approach can result in effective all-oral therapies against HCV.\textsuperscript{7} Although clinical trial data have not clearly defined which classes of agents should be represented in an ideal anti-HCV therapy, they have provided insight into the value of DAA combinations. Studies combining DAA classes of different classes have demonstrated the potential to overcome several baseline characteristics previously predictive of treatment failure, optimize treatment duration, and minimize adverse effects. A regimen consisting of the NS5B inhibitor sofosbuvir and RBV has been shown to have poor efficacy in patients with GT1 HCV who had previously failed PEG/RBV therapy.\textsuperscript{84} However, addition of the NS5A inhibitor ledipasvir to sofosbuvir (even in the absence of RBV) allowed for high levels of SVR in this patient population.\textsuperscript{11} In addition, treatment-naïve, noncirrhotic patients with GT1 HCV were able to achieve high levels of SVR after only 8 weeks of this regimen.\textsuperscript{10} The combination of the potent NS3/4A inhibitor ABT-450 boosted with ritonavir (ABT-450/r), the NS5A inhibitor ombitasvir (ABT-267), the nonnucleoside polymerase inhibitor dasabuvir (ABT-333), and RBV demonstrated high levels of SVR in previously difficult-to-treat cirrhotic patients.\textsuperscript{8} A recently published Phase II study demonstrated that the addition of sofosbuvir to simeprevir can overcome the effects of an NS3 mutation that predicts simeprevir treatment failure (Q80K).\textsuperscript{9,37} Overall, these studies demonstrate how combining different classes of DAA agents can greatly improve the efficacy, tolerability, and efficiency of anti-HCV therapy.

**Why NS5A inhibitors may be a good scaffold for anti-HCV therapy**

One difficulty in determining the components of an optimal anti-HCV regimen is identifying the DAA agents/classes that are most critical to the overall effectiveness of the combination regimen and can act as a scaffold to which other DAA classes are added. It stands to reason that such a scaffold would possess many, if not all, the characteristics desired in the overall combination regimen and would minimize the shortcomings of other components contained in the regimen.

Very few studies have examined the relative contributions of various DAA classes to the effectiveness of a combination regimen. One study examined the effect of removing an NS5A inhibitor, a nonnucleoside NS5B inhibitor, or RBV from a 12-week regimen consisting of ABT-450/r (NS3/4A),
ombitasvir (NS5A), dasabuvir (NN NS5B), and RBV in treatment-naïve patients with GT1. The largest decline in SVR 24 weeks post-treatment was observed when the NS5A component was removed from the regimen (83% without NS5A versus 96% with). Although this was not a primary endpoint of the study and the difference trended toward, but did not achieve, statistical significance (P=0.06), these findings suggest the relative importance of the NS5A class to this combination DAA regimen. Consistent with this, every all-oral DAA combination regimen shown to be successful in published Phase III studies to date has contained an NS5A component.

NS5A inhibitors possess several characteristics that make them attractive candidate molecules to use as a scaffold for an anti-HCV regimen. Unlike first-generation protease inhibitors and nonnucleoside NS5B inhibitors, NS5A inhibitors have pangenotypic activity resulting from an NS5A inhibitor-binding site that is conserved among all HCV genotypes. In addition, certain NS5A inhibitors have been shown to have unprecedented antiviral activity and a long duration of action. The potency of these agents is likely related to their ability to disrupt a multitude of NS5A functions, including membranous web formation, RNA replication, and viral particle formation. Consistent with this, the NS5B inhibitor NM107 and the NS5A inhibitor daclatasvir had similar inhibitory effects on viral replication, but daclatasvir was far superior at reducing viral titers, suggesting a role for daclatasvir outside of viral replication and likely involving viral particle formation and release.

NS5A inhibitors have no known cross-resistance with other DAA classes, making them ideal agents to use in patients who have failed previous therapies, including those containing DAA agents. It should be noted that several NS5A mutations have been identified that significantly reduce the antiviral activity of NS5A inhibitors when these agents are used alone. However, these baseline polymorphisms do not necessarily predict failure in DAA combination regimens. Treatment failure, when it did occur, was often associated with resistance mutations against multiple components of the regimen. In addition, recent data have shown that resistance to NS5A inhibitors can be overcome with the use of synergistic NS5A inhibitors. Gao et al demonstrated that treatment of viral variants expressing NS5A resistance mutations with one NS5A inhibitor (BMS-128) resensitized these variants to the antiviral action of another (daclatasvir), both in vitro and in vivo. The underlying mechanism is thought to be a conformational change in NS5A that is induced by binding of the first inhibitor. This conformational change is transmitted to adjacent NS5A molecules and resensitizes them to the action of the second “synergistic” NS5A inhibitor. This synergistic activity was shown to increase potency and resistance barriers across multiple resistance variants and genotypes. This raises the possibility that clinically significant post-treatment NS5A resistance variants could be retreated with a synergistic combination of NS5A inhibitors. The conformational change induced by an NS5A inhibitor may contribute to the agent’s potency in additional ways. One hypothesized mechanism by which NS5A inhibitors can exert profound antiviral effects in low concentration is by fragmenting NS5A multimers that act as RNA-binding “railroads” for replication. Whether the conformational change induced by NS5A inhibitor binding impairs NS5A multimerization (and, in turn, viral replication) remains to be determined, but this may represent an additional indirect mechanism through which NS5A inhibitors exert their effect.

Clinical data have shown the NS5A-inhibitor class to be well tolerated with a favorable adverse effect profile and low potential for meaningful drug–drug interactions. The low rate of adverse effects observed with NS5A inhibitors was likely a result of the high specificity of the inhibitors for the NS5A protein, which in turn has no direct enzymatic activity and lacks significant homology to any human protein. Furthermore, the potency of the NS5A inhibitors means they are able to exert maximal antiviral effects with low plasma concentrations. Importantly, clinical trial data from studies using NS5A-containing DAA combination regimens in cirrhotic patients have not reported an increased risk for adverse events in this group.

The need for salvage therapies
It is important to recognize that widespread use of combination DAA therapy in the general population could result in individuals who develop RAVs against multiple components of an NS5A-based regimen. Similar phenomena have been seen in the treatment of other infectious diseases with the emergence of multidrug-resistant strains of TB and Clostridium difficile. Within HCV therapy, it should be noted that although baseline NS5A RAVs have been overcome in combination regimens, the emergence of treatment-associated NS5A RAVs has been observed in patients who experience relapse after treatment with an NS5A-containing combination regimen. Although the full clinical effect of treatment-associated NS5A RAVs needs to be investigated in larger studies of this relatively rare, relapsing population, the data highlight the importance of identifying
additional agents or DAA classes that can serve as salvage therapy for patients who fail NS5A-based combination regimens. Of course, the exact composition of the regimen used for retreatment will be informed by the specific treatment-associated RAVs observed.

Although DAA agents against several potential targets in the HCV life cycle have been generated, only protease inhibitors and nucleotide inhibitors demonstrate properties that make them reasonable alternatives. Because most (first-generation) protease inhibitors are not pan-genotypic, nucleotide inhibitors may be the best alternative class of DAA agents (available at this time) to act as a universal scaffold. In addition to pan-genotypic activity, this class of agents is associated with low rates of resistance and a favorable adverse effect profile. Limitations of this class of agents include that they only target one aspect of the viral life cycle, and most need to be delivered as nucleoside prodrugs to allow membrane penetration. On entry into the cell, the nucleoside needs to be converted to a 5′-monophosphate in a rate-limiting step with eventual conversion to the triphosphate form. This rate-limiting step can be bypassed by designing membrane-permeable 5′-monophosphate nucleotide analogs such as sofosbuvir. However, to be membrane-permeable, this molecule requires several chemical modifications that need to be removed by cellular machinery before it can exert its antiviral effect. Whereas sofosbuvir has been most effective when used in combination with NS5A inhibitors such as ledipasvir and daclatasvir, recent phase 2 data have demonstrated its efficacy in achieving SVR in small subsets of difficult-to-treat patients when used as part of an all-oral combination DAA regimen, even in the absence of an NS5A inhibitor. Nucleotide analogs such as sofosbuvir may therefore be an important component of DAA regimens that target HCV variants that are resistant to combination NS5A inhibitor-based therapies. This highlights the need for further evaluation of this agent in patients who have failed all-oral regimens because of the development of treatment-related RAVs. Ultimately, the most effective regimen will be one that combines multiple pan-genotypic agents with a high barrier to resistance (multiple “scaffolds”). The benefit of such an approach was investigated in a recently published trial sponsored by the National Institutes of Health, in which both an NS5A inhibitor (ledipasvir) and a nucleotide analog NS5B inhibitor (sofosbuvir) were combined with either a non-nucleoside NS5B inhibitor (GS-9669) or a protease inhibitor (GS-9451). Both therapies demonstrated the ability to achieve SVR after only 6 weeks of therapy. These data suggest that combining the most effective therapeutic scaffolds may further raise the standard of care for HCV therapy: minimizing treatment duration, adverse effects, and resistance while maintaining a regimen that works for all patients, regardless of clinical or virologic characteristics. Specifically, these regimens may allow for effective treatment of HCV genotypes that continue to demonstrate low SVR rates even in the all-oral DAA combination era (GT3) and avoidance of components that increase regimen-related adverse effects or drug–drug interactions (eg, ribavirin and ritonavir, respectively). Importantly, the ongoing development of second-generation DAA agents will allow clinicians to treat HCV with confidence today, knowing that relatively rare therapeutic failures caused by treatment-associated RAVs will likely be eligible for salvage therapies with future combination regimens.

**Conclusion**

Therapy against hepatitis C has been revolutionized in the past several years because of the tireless efforts of investigators in academics and the pharmaceutical industry to develop highly efficacious DAA agents while sharing a unified vision of discovery, cooperation, and cure. The previous “pipe dream” of all-oral, potent, tolerable, pan-genotypic, and highly efficacious therapies for even difficult-to-treat HCV-infected populations is now a real possibility. However, to enter the final chapter in the battle against chronic HCV infection, these new therapies must be used judiciously in combinations that are most effective in viral eradication while being mindful of issues related to patient access to care, cost, and development of resistance. Although the future of HCV-related care remains uncertain, the outlook is certainly improved, and NS5A inhibitor-based DAA combination regimens may represent a rational, data-driven strategy for targeting chronic HCV infection moving forward.
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