Patient factors influencing dermal filler complications: prevention, assessment, and treatment
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Abstract: While rare, complications do occur with the esthetic use of dermal fillers. Careful attention to patient factors and technique can do much to avoid these complications, and a well-informed practitioner can mitigate problems when they do occur. Since cosmetic surgery is usually an elective process, requested by the patient, clinical trials are complex to organize and run. For this reason, an international group of practicing physicians in the field of esthetics came together to share knowledge and to try and produce some informed guidance for their colleagues, considering the literature and also pooling their own extensive clinical experience. This manuscript aims to summarize the crucial aspects of patient selection, including absolute contraindications as well as situations that warrant caution, and also covers important considerations for the pre- and posttreatment periods as well as during the procedure itself. Guidance is given on both immediate and long-term management of adverse reactions. The majority of complications are related to accepting patients inappropriate for treatment or issues of sterility, placement, volume, and injection technique. It is clear that esthetic practitioners need an in-depth knowledge of all aspects of treatment with dermal fillers to achieve optimal outcomes for their patients.
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Introduction

A wide range of dermal fillers is now available for use in facial esthetics.1 All are potentially capable of causing complications,2,3 but fortunately, serious occurrences are rare, although probably underreported. Careful attention to patient selection, education, and injection technique can minimize the incidence of complications, and an understanding of the early signs of complications and their proactive management can decrease their impact.

Selecting appropriate patients, or perhaps more importantly, not treating inappropriate patients, is the first and a crucial step in avoiding complications with dermal fillers. This review considers the factors that should be borne in mind when assessing a patient for suitability for dermal filler treatment. It aims to give the practitioner an overview of contraindications, preventative measures, recognition of events, and appropriate treatment options. There remains, however, no consensus on the best treatment for adverse reactions, and each treatment option with its advantages and disadvantages should be carefully considered and discussed with the patient.4

Cosmetic surgery is usually an elective process, requested by the patient. As such, clinical trials are complex to organize and conduct. For this reason, an international group of practicing physicians in the field of cosmetic surgery came together to share...
knowledge and to try and summarize what is published and produce some informed guidance for their colleagues. This manuscript is the result of preparation, study, and discussion among the group and is based on the literature as well as the group’s clinical experience. The authors acknowledge that this guidance is based on the collective experience of the group at the time of writing, but it is not definitive, and there is a paucity of previously published data in many areas.

Methods
The first author regularly receives queries and referrals relating to complications with dermal fillers, with an increasing proportion originating from outside the major EU countries. A round table meeting was convened with interested physicians from some of these countries to discuss adverse events associated with dermal filler treatments and the training requirements for injectors.

Patient selection emerged as a core topic of concern, and in the light of the lack of information, the delegates wished to collate their experience in avoiding complications. Subsequently, the authors developed this consensus paper based on those discussions and a review of the current literature.

PubMed and Ovid Medline databases were searched using terms of “complications” OR “soft filler complications” OR “injectable complications” AND “dermal fillers”. Papers from 2005 were selected (although older papers may be referred to in discussions where relevant). References cited in selected articles were also reviewed to identify additional relevant reports.

Because of the nature of esthetic procedures, where patients are not referred, but elect to have treatment by the practitioner of their own choosing, it is challenging to devise meaningful clinical trials. Some authors have conducted prospective trials, but these are the minority of studies and are often not randomized or controlled. Therefore, our knowledge base comprises case reports and summaries of individual practitioner’s experience. This underlines the need to gather consensus views from experienced injectors who have treated many patients.

Pre-procedure considerations
Patients’ expectations must be managed, so they do not envisage an unrealistic outcome, and they must be made aware of the limitations and risks of dermal fillers. The treatment of inadequately informed patients can be fraught with problems and may cause dissatisfaction. Caution should be exercised when confronting an individual who exhibits signs of an underlying mental disturbance or dysmorphophobic tendency.

Well-focused pretreatment photographs should be taken, not only for assessment of treatment effects and any adverse effects but also for medicolegal purposes.5,6

The patient’s medical history and subsequent evaluation must be comprehensive, and patients should be advised to include cosmetic treatments when giving their history. de Bree et al7 reported on a patient who received polyacrylamide gel and developed a paranasal granuloma. The authors emphasized that the patient did not disclose her cosmetic treatment history, which confounded the diagnosis.

The suitability of different fillers needs to be discussed, and the patient given an indication of the likely value that can be obtained from treatment.5,6 Soft tissue augmentation is an elective procedure, and not all those seeking treatment may be suitable candidates on medical grounds. It is important to avoid treating patients who have preexisting conditions that clearly mandate against the use of dermal fillers (Table 1). This is a crucial and often neglected area of esthetic practice. Other patients may be somewhat dubious candidates, where treatment must be at the discretion of the physician whose informed judgment is paramount.

A convenient way of considering patient-related factors is as skin-related or systemic factors. A clear link needs to be made between the two to ensure that the patients are forthcoming about all conditions or treatments, even if they believe them to be completely unrelated.

Firstly, contraindications detailed in the instructions for use of the chosen filler should be closely adhered to. These mainly refer to the product constituents or excipients; patients with multiple or severe allergies and those with a history of anaphylaxis should not be treated.

Similarly, where data are available on a particular product or technique, the physician should take care not to extrapolate the results or assume that they are transferrable. This includes results obtained only in one area of the anatomy.8

Prospective patients with abnormally thin skin or skin atrophy, such as seen in corticosteroid-induced atrophy of the skin due to long-term topical or peroral steroid use, or with conditions such as anetoderma, vermiculate atrophoderma, or rheumatoid arthritis-associated skin thinning of the dorsum of the hands, are not suitable candidates for superficial or medium-depth placement of certain fillers. Very thin skin on the eyelids and in cheeks that have many fine wrinkles is also a contraindication for certain fillers.9

Infections in, or adjacent to, the region to be treated can be exacerbated and cause complications, since the infecting
### Table 1: Conditions contraindicating or warranting caution in the use of dermal fillers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Examples or comment</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>PD</th>
<th>NAC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active skin infection</td>
<td>Impetigo, herpes simplex, massive demodex folliculorum, pityrosporum, <em>Propionibacterium acnes</em>, viral warts</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory conditions of the skin</td>
<td>Atopic patients, allergic contact dermatitis, “status cosmetica” or sensitive skin syndrome, seborrhoeic dermatitis, active lichen planus, active acne rosacea</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other inflammatory diseases</td>
<td>Pyoderma, Osteoarthritis</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active localized infection</td>
<td>Ear, nose, or throat infections, dental abscess, periodontitis</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active generalized infection</td>
<td>Gastroenteritis, urinary bladder infection</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noninfectious gastrointestinal conditions</td>
<td>Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergy/hypersensitivity</td>
<td>Hyersensitivity to filler components including lidocaine, chronic urticaria, and Quincke’s edema</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active psoriasis arthropitic</td>
<td>If condition is more arthropitic: caution warranted</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If condition is more psoriatic than arthropitic: treatment is possible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic infections: viral</td>
<td>HIV</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditions potentially causing a Koebner response</td>
<td>Lichen planus, lichen nitidus, or lichen sclerosus, psoriasis, viral warts</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active collagenoses</td>
<td>Mixed connective tissue disease</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active morphea, active systemic lupus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other collagenoses</td>
<td>Marfan syndrome, Ehlers–Danlos syndrome</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilized morphea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune compromise</td>
<td>Graft versus host disease</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune compromise</td>
<td>Bullous diseases</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoimmune conditions</td>
<td>Active Hashimoto’s disease</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoimmune conditions</td>
<td>Dermatomyositis/polymyositis, lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transplant patients</td>
<td>Heart, kidney, liver, bone marrow transplant: beware of increased risk of infections</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunosuppressive therapy</td>
<td>Not a contraindication to treatment, but physician needs to be aware that eyelid swelling is common (unrelated and unprovoked by filler use)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid dysfunction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic disorders</td>
<td>Diabetes, porphyria</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catabolic status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cachexic state</td>
<td>Does not contraindicate treatment, but product may be more visible in patients who lack subcutaneous fat and have thin tissue coverage</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditions affecting skin pigmentation</td>
<td>Melasma and post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin pigmentation/depigmentation</td>
<td>Fitzpatrick Types 5 and 6 skin, vitiligo, and albinism</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic infections: bacterial</td>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active anticoagulant medication</td>
<td>Thrombolytics</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemostatic or coagulation disorders</td>
<td>Hemophilia, hemoglobinopathy, thalassemia</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutaneous collagenoses</td>
<td>Chronic discoid lupus erythematosus, active but not end-stage scleroderma</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intolerance</td>
<td>Potentially reduced time of esthetic effect</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** CI, contraindicated; PD, treat at physician’s discretion; NAC, no apparent contraindication.

An organism may populate the site of filler use. Thus, any patient with an ongoing skin infection in the area intended to be treated or in the close vicinity of it should not be treated. These conditions include the following: viral infections such as herpes simplex virus (HSV) and perioral human papilloma virus (HPV); mollusca contagiosa; bacterial infections with streptococci or staphylococci, such as impetigo; yeast infections; and extensive pityrosporum folliculitis. The presence of excessive amounts of *Propionibacterium acnes* or parasitic mite infections, such as massive demodex folliculorum infestation, also makes the patient an unsuitable candidate for treatment.

Active inflammatory dermatitis, including atopic dermatitis, allergic contact dermatitis, “status cosmetica”, or seborrhoeic dermatitis, also cautions against treatment, and
physicians must make a judgment based on the severity of the condition and its proximity to the treatment area.

When active HSV is evident, treatment should be deferred, and a prophylactic agent (acyclovir, valaciclovir, or famiclovir) prescribed to prevent reactivation and spread of HSV because of injection trauma. When treating the perioral area and lips, prophylactic prescribing to patients with known history of HSV episodes should be considered to prevent virus reactivation.2,11

Patients may attend for treatment of one facial area while harboring an infectious condition in another (eg, active folliculitis with pustules), assuming that the infected area will not affect their treatment. There is little guidance, and to our knowledge, there are no published studies assessing the possible sequelae of treating individuals with active infection, regardless of distance from the treated area.

Patients with infections such as sinusitis, periodontal disease, ear, nose, or throat infections, or dental abscesses should not be treated until the condition has resolved.12 Increasingly, clinical evidence is emerging indicating that these infections might subsequently invade implanted filler areas, inducing biofilm reactions. Later, transition from infection to an established hypersensitivity, via toll-like receptors, is possible, since these molecules have been shown to be involved in the development of many pathological conditions, including infectious diseases, tissue damage, and autoimmune and neurodegenerative diseases.13

Dermal filler treatment can also aggravate more generalized skin conditions or connective tissue disease, or might not be suitable in some of these conditions. Examples include prominent scars, hypertrophic scarring and keloid, bullous diseases, pyoderma, cutaneous collagenoses (chronic discoid lupus erythematosus or lupus erythematosus, active but not end-stage scleroderma), Marfan syndrome, Ehlers–Danlos syndrome, mixed connective tissue disease, conditions that cause Koebner response such as lichen planus (and related conditions), and active psoriasis. Uncontrolled immune deficiencies, such as graft versus host disease, chronic urticaria, and Quincke’s edema, may also be adversely affected by dermal filler injection, or conversely might affect the behavior of the filler in the tissue.

Dermal fillers are not contraindicated in patients in whom wound healing is normal, even though they may have an underlying systemic disease. No association has been established between use of fillers and autoimmune conditions. Thus, patients with HIV, rheumatoid arthritis, diabetes, or scleroderma who have normal wound healing may be treated.9,10 Conditions such as tuberculosis, Wegener’s granulomatosis, transplant patients, patients with inflammatory bowel disease (Crohn’s disease or ulcerative colitis), substantial food intolerance, repetitive urinary infections or impaired renal or hepatic function, thyroid dysfunction, and cachectic or catabolic status need careful consideration on a case-by-case basis.

Disorders of hemostasis or coagulation, for example, coagulopathies, protein C deficiency, hemophilia, and hemoglobin disorders such as thalassemia, need a careful assessment, and an accurate clinical picture of their severity and management must be obtained.

Immune depression or suppression is not necessarily a contraindication to any type of filler,9 although poly-L-lactic acid should be avoided.10 Clinical experience suggests hyaluronic acid fillers to be safe in patients with porphyria (Meissner, personal communication).

A full medical treatment history is essential, and although there are no defined interactions, certain immunosuppressive agents and steroids should flag up the need to understand the patient’s medical history more clearly. Even agents that interfere with cytochrome P450 should be considered as signals to proceed with caution.

Patients should be advised to stop anti-inflammatory and antiplatelet agents a week prior to treatment (if medically appropriate) to minimize bruising, and they may benefit from a list of foodstuffs, herbal supplements, and over-the-counter medications to avoid.14–16

Although there is weak evidence that antiplatelet therapy may be continued safely in the perioperative period,17 there are few publications addressing the issue systematically, andesthetic practitioners are warned to be mindful of the bleeding risk that applies to individual patients.18 Patients with cardiovascular stents or taking anticoagulants in the long term need careful consideration, and the risks must be explained.6

Although the most commonly used hyaluronic acid-based products have a favorable safety profile, adverse events can and do occur. Mild and self-limiting complications are relatively common. Edema and bruising are more or less inevitable, and these mild events resolve quickly.6,12 Although bruising tends to occur more extensively with certain injection techniques, such as fast injection, aggressive fanning, high-volume filler deposits, or large bolus injections (more than 0.5 mL per bolus), all sensible precautions should be taken with any injection technique.19,20

Timing of other cosmetic procedures
Botulinum toxin treatment should be planned 2 weeks prior to filler. Using botulinum toxin first can help in assessment
of the need for treatment of residual issues such as static lines and deep folds that can be treated with hyaluronic acid fillers. From a safety perspective, however, the treatments may be given on the same day.

Microdermabrasion, chemical peels, and intense pulsed light (IPL) should ideally be carried out 1–2 weeks pre- or posttreatment and fractional resurfacing 3–4 weeks distant to allow erythema to diminish and the skin barrier to reestablish. One small pilot study, however, compared injection of hyaluronic acid-based filler immediately followed by laser, radiofrequency (RF), or pulsed light treatments (IPL) to injection of filler alone. The results suggested that laser, RF, and IPL may be safely administered immediately after hyaluronic acid gel implantation. Data suggest that deeper filling immediately before laser therapy, when the concomitant swelling may facilitate the effect of the laser, may be acceptable. Using biodegradable or temporary fillers over permanent fillers has always been a heavily debated subject. Lemperle et al stated that temporary fillers may be injected on top of a permanent filler or can be used preceding the permanent filler with no interference between the two and that fear of a second filler inducing granuloma formation remains hypothetical. The present authors, however, would strongly discourage this practice, as it is generally accepted that the formerly called “permanent” fillers (now referred to as late or minimally biodegradable fillers) are associated with substantially more frequent and late-occurring side effects, sometimes occurring years after implantation.

When one type of filler is used on top of, or around, the other, and a side effect emerges, it is impossible to determine the causal agent without biopsy, specific pathology staining, and examination of the sample. Moreover, the duration, prognosis, and eventual treatment options of the side effects may be completely different for the two types of filler. Caution is also needed – albeit to a lesser extent – when injecting over surgically inserted solid implants (eg, expanded polytetrafluoroethylene or polylactic acid plates and screws).

To avoid possible infection or hypersensitivity, treatment should not be undertaken in the immediate period following other routine medical procedures (including vaccination). Dental procedures should be performed at least 2 weeks pre- or posttreatment to minimize the risk of hematogenous bacterial spread and potential development of biofilm. Chlorhexidine mouthwash prior to periocular injections (lips, borderline mucosal, or oral cavity approach of injection) will reduce oral bacterial flora for 8 hours, also minimizing the risk of contamination when lip licking.

Patients should understand the need to take precautions and be scrupulously clean after treatment, such as avoiding touching and keeping hair away from the treated area for several hours. Patients should not use cosmetics (especially lipstick post-lip enhancement) for 24 hours and use new containers of lotions and new/clean brushes, sponges, etc. The patient should be willing and able to attend a consultation 2–4 weeks after any procedure and should report any concerns or signs of problems as soon as possible.

**Proactive prevention recommendations**

Injectors need to have a thorough knowledge of facial anatomy and the potential danger areas, especially the distribution of the facial arteries and nerves. However, textbook anatomy is representative of the general population, and subtle individual variations are relatively common, warranting continuous caution upon injecting fillers and awareness of the possibility of perforating, lacerating, or compromising vessels. Patients with a history of dental or facial surgery may have areas of unusual vascular distribution because of aberrant neovascularization after the trauma of a procedure in combination with a decrease in tissue laxity. It is of paramount importance that any material placed under the skin is injected under sterile conditions using aseptic technique. The patient’s skin should be cleaned, degreased, and disinfected. There are no universally recommended topical antiseptics, but chlorhexidine, chloroxylenol, iodophors, alcohol, and iodine may all be appropriate. Rarely, a patient may experience an allergic reaction to cleansers and topical anesthetic agents, and physicians need to recognize the signs and immediately remove the product responsible from the skin. Patients may also be allergic to the lidocaine mixed in the syringe of the filler.

The injector should wash his/her hands thoroughly, remove watches and rings, and wear surgical gloves (although not necessarily sterile). Areas of irritation or inflammation should not be injected, needles or cannulas must be sterile and changed frequently during the procedure, excess filler on the syringe needle should only be removed with sterile gauze, and aseptic technique followed throughout the procedure. Treatment areas should not be reinjected within 2 weeks of the initial procedure. Even with perfect tissue integration, a certain level of edema and extravasation of blood can be present in the early postinjection phase, creating an ideal environment for bacteria to proliferate upon repeated injection.

Before injecting, aspiration should be performed as a prophylactic measure, particularly in highly vascularized areas.
Skin color changes may be immediate or up to 3–4 hours may be no pain (this is rare in the case of arterial occlusion). With less severe, dull, or delayed pain; in some cases, there is arterial occlusion.

Immediate, severe, and disproportionate pain and acute onset of color changes—blanching (or white spots/blotches)—are an indicator of arterial occlusion. Venous occlusion may be associated with less severe, dull, or delayed pain; in some cases, there may be no pain (this is rare in the case of arterial occlusion). Skin color changes may be immediate or up to 3–4 hours later, and red/bluish coloration is indicative of venous occlusion. Other secondary (and later stage) diagnostic symptoms are blisters and pustules, tissue necrosis (which generally develops a few days after the initial complication), and scarring, which is the end stage of the necrosis and healing process.

When vascular occlusion is suspected, it is vital that the injection is stopped immediately and treatment is rapidly instigated. The goal is to promote blood flow to the affected area, which may be achieved by applying a warm compress, massaging or tapping the area, and applying 2% nitroglycerin paste to promote vasodilatation. Attempts should be made to dissolve or eliminate the injected product. In the case of hyaluronic acid-based fillers, hyaluronidase should be injected all over the affected area, “flooding the area with hyaluronidase”, as soon as possible in a dose applicable to the product to be reversed, for example, 10–20 units per injection point. If a hydroxyapatite filler has been used, saline could be injected. Hyaluronidase has been suggested as a treatment for all cases of vascular compromise, regardless of filler employed, since it can reduce edema and potentially decrease vessel-occluding pressure. It is important to be aware that hyaluronidase has been associated with rare immediate and delayed reactions, and prescribing guidelines should be closely followed. The underlying mechanism is related to retrograde embolization from peripheral vessels into the ophthalmic arterial system. This makes it vital for the clinician to be aware of the early warning signs to facilitate quick diagnosis and an immediate, aggressive response.

Arterial occlusion is the more serious complication and can potentially be very damaging, leading to ischemia, tissue degradation, and necrosis. In rare cases, it can even cause visual impairment or blindness if it affects the retinal artery. Vascular occlusion can occur if a filler is injected into a blood vessel or when sufficient quantity is injected near the vessel to cause a compression blockage. The two types are arterial (generally an acute onset—during injection) and venous (generally a delayed onset—often when the patient has left the clinic), although the two are not mutually exclusive.

Antibiotics (oral and/or topical) and antivirals are recommended to reduce infection in the case of pustule/blister formation. In severe cases, hyperbaric oxygen could be used to aid survival of compromised vasculature.

An anticoagulant, such as low-molecular weight heparin, aspirin, clopidogrel, or pentoxifylline, could also be prescribed to increase blood flow to the wound. Other authors have suggested the use of sildenafil to dilate compromised vasculature.

The two primary diagnostic symptoms of vascular occlusion are pain and changes in skin color. Immediate, severe, and disproportionate pain and acute onset of color changes—blanching (or white spots/blotches)—are an indication of arterial occlusion. Venous occlusion may be associated with less severe, dull, or delayed pain; in some cases, there may be no pain (this is rare in the case of arterial occlusion). Skin color changes may be immediate or up to 3–4 hours later. Other secondary (and later stage) diagnostic symptoms are blisters and pustules, tissue necrosis (which generally develops a few days after the initial complication), and scarring, which is the end stage of the necrosis and healing process.

When vascular occlusion is suspected, it is vital that the injection is stopped immediately and treatment is rapidly instigated. The goal is to promote blood flow to the affected area, which may be achieved by applying a warm compress, massaging or tapping the area, and applying 2% nitroglycerin paste to promote vasodilatation. Attempts should be made to dissolve or eliminate the injected product. In the case of hyaluronic acid-based fillers, hyaluronidase should be injected all over the affected area, “flooding the area with hyaluronidase”, as soon as possible in a dose applicable to the product to be reversed, for example, 10–20 units per injection point. If a hydroxyapatite filler has been used, saline could be injected. Hyaluronidase has been suggested as a treatment for all cases of vascular compromise, regardless of filler employed, since it can reduce edema and potentially decrease vessel-occluding pressure. It is important to be aware that hyaluronidase has been associated with rare immediate and delayed reactions, and prescribing guidelines should be closely followed. The underlying mechanism is related to retrograde embolization from peripheral vessels into the ophthalmic arterial system. This makes it vital for the clinician to be aware of the early warning signs to facilitate quick diagnosis and an immediate, aggressive response.

Arterial occlusion is the more serious complication and can potentially be very damaging, leading to ischemia, tissue degradation, and necrosis. In rare cases, it can even cause visual impairment or blindness if it affects the retinal artery. Vascular occlusion can occur if a filler is injected into a blood vessel or when sufficient quantity is injected near the vessel to cause a compression blockage. The two types are arterial (generally an acute onset—during injection) and venous (generally a delayed onset—often when the patient has left the clinic), although the two are not mutually exclusive.

Antibiotics (oral and/or topical) and antivirals are recommended to reduce infection in the case of pustule/blister formation. In severe cases, hyperbaric oxygen could be used to aid survival of compromised tissue.

Classic wound care procedures (wet-to-dry dressings, petroleum gauze with 3% bismuth tribromophenate, emollients, debridement, etc) should be followed, and the patient assessed for scar evaluation and management. Surgery and reconstruction may be indicated at a later date.
In cases of visual impairment, the individual should undergo an urgent ophthalmologic consultation. Orbital pain and visual disturbance may be managed with additional ocular massage, timolol maleate eye drops, diuretics, corticosteroids, hemodilution, vasodilation, anticoagulation, or thrombolysis as required under expert guidance.

**Allergic/hypersensitivity reactions**

Hypersensitivity and allergic reactions such as angioedema can occur when the injected dermal filler (or an agent used in gel production or injection procedure) triggers an immune response. This can be a type I hypersensitivity reaction, which typically has an early onset (within minutes to hours of injection), or a type IV reaction, which has a delayed onset (1–3 days up to several weeks after injection). Although not demanding the urgent attention that vascular occlusion requires, it can be a problem that causes considerable patient distress.

The primary diagnostic symptoms of hypersensitivity reactions may include edema (either localized around injection sites or more generalized), erythema, pruritus, pain or tenderness (related to pressure effects), rash, and induration. Delayed reactions may also present with various types of skin lesions including painful erythematous nodules.

Once a hypersensitivity reaction is suspected, the time of onset should be established, the patient’s medical history re-reviewed, and a full medical examination undertaken. In cases of diagnostic uncertainty, special investigations include blood tests such as markers for inflammation (C-reactive protein [CRP], erythrocyte sedimentation rate [ESR]) and acute-phase reactants. The latter appear to be the most sensitive markers for the presence of autoimmune/inflammatory syndrome induced by adjuvants (ASIA) related to dermal filler use. A biopsy (if a previously used product is of unknown type), MRI, or ultrasound (high frequency) should be considered.

A study of responses to a wide range of dermal fillers demonstrated that calcium hydroxyapatite, methacrylate, acrylamides, and silicone produced notable chronic activation of the immune system (mediated by macrophages and polymorphonuclear leukocytes). By contrast, hyaluronic acid elicited little immune response. The plasma levels of myeloperoxidase and the chitin-like proteins chitotriosidase and YKL-40 may be important markers indicative of immune response activation in certain cases.

Hypersensitivity reactions can be severe, and occasionally, anaphylactic shock has been reported. Generalized edema is suggestive of anaphylaxis; vital signs should be checked to differentiate between anaphylaxis and angioedema, as the latter or localized urticaria do not cause alteration in vital signs. However, even progressing angioedema can become a medical emergency due to airway obstruction. Any systemic manifestations should be considered as impending anaphylaxis and treated as such. Resuscitation equipment should be available at the clinic or nearby. Epinephrine (adrenalin) should be administered immediately, intravenous access obtained, and fluid resuscitation considered. Hospital admission may be advisable for severe cases, as late-phase reactions may occur up to 36 hours after the initial event. It remains important that the “triggering” substance is removed if at all possible, and hyaluronidase may be used where appropriate.

Additional vasopressor agents should be considered (dopamine, norepinephrine, and glucagon) if the above measures are insufficient to maintain perfusion. H1-receptor antagonists (in combination with cimetidine) could be used for histamine-induced hypotension, oral corticosteroids administered to prevent late-phase reactions, and inhaled bronchodilators given if respiratory symptoms are noted.

Localized angioedema and urticaria should be managed by cold compresses and H1-receptor antagonist administration to reduce pruritus. The value of additional H2-receptor antagonists and leukotriene synthesis inhibitors should be considered. Twice daily dosing with histamine blockers may be required for the symptomatic management of histamine release. It is not uncommon for higher than normal doses to be required. Propranolol and ibuprofen have both been advocated in reducing persistent erythema.

Additional treatment options include topical or intravenous steroids, or immunosuppressive agents (under specialist supervision). These include hydroxychloroquine, methotrexate, ciclosporin A, diaminodiphenylsulfone, and allopurinol.

**Recognition and treatment recommendations – delayed events**

**Infection**

Any procedure that breaks the surface of the skin carries with it a risk of infection, and injecting dermal fillers is no exception. Treatment-related infections are generally bacterial (but can be fungal or viral). They can be broadly categorized as acute infections, which appear as acute inflammation or abscesses at the site of injection (typically due to common pathogens present on the skin such as *Staphylococcus aureus* or *Streptococcus pyogenes*), or delayed-onset, chronic infections, which generally develop 2 or more weeks.
after injection. Delayed-onset infections tend to affect a more
generalized area and may involve an atypical organism (such as
*Mycobacteria* or *Escherichia coli*). Insidious late infection
or biofilm can also occur.\textsuperscript{31,43} These are challenging for both
diagnosis and treatment and can cause a chronic inflamma-
tory response.

The primary diagnostic symptoms of infection are ery-
them, warmth, tenderness, pain, swelling (usually at or close
to site of injection), local signs of abscess (pustules, nodules,
areas of fluctuation, crusts), and systemic fever. It is prudent
to be highly suspicious of any area near the site of injection
exhibiting local symptoms. Differentiation between infection
and hypersensitivity is important during diagnosis, as
the use of steroids should be avoided in infection. Important
differentiating factors which indicate infection are skin
temperature, pain (absent, more diffuse, or less intense in
cases of hypersensitivity), fever or signs of an abscess, and
the absence of pruritus.

The time of injection in relation to time of onset, blood
tests, or infective markers (such as CRP, ESR, and procal-
titin) may be diagnostically useful, and purulent material
may be cultured to determine the type of pathogen and the
most appropriate antibiotic.

Acute, mild infections can be treated with oral antibiotics.\textsuperscript{45}
Empiric treatment should begin with macrolide or tetracy-
cline antibiotics, which may have some anti-inflammatory and
immunomodulatory effects.\textsuperscript{1,27} Two-drug therapy should
be considered to broaden the spectrum of cover. Hyaluronidase
has been shown to help break down the matrix, decreasing any
associated biofilm mass,\textsuperscript{44} and other options are prolonged
or intravenous antibiotics, intralungal 5-fluorouracil, or
laser\textsuperscript{45,46} and surgical drainage of any abscess. Examples
of antibiotic courses that are typically prescribed to treat
complicated infections are as follows:

- Ciprofloxacin 500–750 mg bid for 2–4 weeks
- Clarithromycin 500 mg + moxifloxacin 400 mg bid
  for 10 days
- Vancomycin IM 600–1,000 mg five times every sec-
  ond day, followed by 300–500 mg od for 10 days
- A quinolone at 500 mg bid for up to 50 days
- Minocycline 100 mg od for 6 months
- Cephalexin, dicloxacillin, or nafcillin
- Topical antibiotics – fucidic acid.

### Nodule formulation and granulomata reactions

Nodules and lumps are common complications resulting
from the use of dermal fillers. Careful assessment is required
to establish their etiology and confirm the diagnosis. They
may be inflammatory or non-inflammatory in nature and
related or unrelated to infection. Historically, nodules tend
to be described as granulomata without the histopathologi-
ocal evidence.

Early-onset nodules – occurring within 4 weeks – tend
to be painless and non-inflammatory, and are most likely
the result of suboptimal techniques such as excess filler
use, superficial placement, and incorrect product for the
indication.\textsuperscript{1,9,15,27} These early-onset nodules tend to occur
in areas of thin soft tissue coverage. Early red, painful, and
tender nodules usually signal a concomitant infection.

Delayed-onset nodules (after 4 weeks and up to a year or
longer) are more likely to be inflammatory (immune responses
to the filler material) and/or infection related (includ-
ing biofilm) but may just be persistent non-inflammatory
nodules.\textsuperscript{2,27,31,47,48} If nodules are biofilm related, a culture test
will frequently be negative. It is therefore important to use
polymerase chain reaction or fluorescence in situ hybridiza-
tion tests for delayed-onset nodule complications where
biofilm involvement is suspected.\textsuperscript{31} Delayed-onset nodules
may also result from the incorrect use of fibroblast stimula-
tory fillers (eg, polyactic acid, calcium hydroxyapatite) in
areas where skin is thin or mobile.

Foreign body granulomas may form as the body’s
immune system responds to a foreign body that cannot be
broken down by the usual mechanisms. They can develop
several months, or even years, after injection and present as
red, firm papules, nodules, or plaques. Diagnosis of nodules
and lumps is further complicated by the fact that clinicians are
sometimes faced with patients with unknown or incomplete
medical and cosmetic treatment history.

The therapeutic approach will be determined by the type
of lumping/nodule diagnosed. Early-onset nodules should be
treated by massage, application of a compress, and punctur-
ing of the nodule if appropriate. If a hematoma is present,
early pressure and heat, and then cold compress should be
applied.\textsuperscript{27} For both early- and late-onset nodules, disruption
with hyaluronidase, lidocaine, or saline followed by massage
can be effective. If the nodule is infection related and there is
fluctuance or impending signs of erosion, incision and drain-
age should be performed with culture and antibiotic cover
continued for up to 4–6 weeks.\textsuperscript{27} Hypersensitivity-related
nodules may be treated with antihistamines (eg, cetirizine,
loratidine), oral steroids (eg, medium-dose pulse therapy
prednisolone, 60 mg/day), methyl prednisolone (eg, a total of
240 mg in six weekly decreasing doses), and/or nonsteroidal
anti-inflammatory drugs\textsuperscript{2} once infection has been ruled out.
RF or infrared energy may also be a useful adjunctive treatment option in some areas.47

For late-onset nodules or granulomas, intralosomal steroids (betamethasone 5 mg/mL or triamcinolone 10–40 mg/mL for 10 days up to 4 weeks) can be considered, although care needs to be taken to avoid skin atrophy.2,4

For persistent cases, additional measures can include a series of injections of 5-fluouracil (50 mg/mL) in combination with steroids and/or lidocaine (1:3), methotrexate, local tacrolimus,2,4,49 cortisovasol, allopurinol, colchicine, isotretinoin, imiquimod,49 laser-assisted removal,49 or ultimately excision by surgery as a last resort.12

Summary and conclusion
The wide range of dermal fillers available for use in facial esthetics makes it essential to have a thorough knowledge of the relevant product characteristics. Clinicians must have a sound understanding of facial anatomy and be suitably trained and experienced to ensure correct product selection, preparation, and injection technique. Appropriate patient selection is vital, and the importance of fully investigating the patient’s previous medical injection history prior to treatment should not be underestimated.

The majority of complications are related to sterility, placement, volume, and injection technique. Small, slow, deep injection with massage should be considered at every procedure to introduce the product gently and evenly. Clinicians should be fully aware of the signs and symptoms related to complications and be prepared with agents readily available in the office to enable them to act swiftly and proactively.

Adverse events and the treatment options are not discrete. A broad knowledge and in-depth investigations are important for satisfactory management and outcome. Equally, collecting and sharing adverse reactions is important to improve our knowledge and to the development of consistent, effective protocols. Finally, clinicians should always consider seeking advice from a trusted colleague.
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