Parental roles in the development of obesity in children: challenges and opportunities
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Background: The prevalence of childhood obesity has become a global concern and evolves from the complex interaction of multiple factors. In particular, the influence of socioeconomic status and ethnicity when combined with family dynamics are important, yet remain inconsistent in their association with childhood obesity. Parents, as influential family members, play a primary role in the development of their children’s eating and activity behaviors that may contribute to increased weight. This integrative review 1) examines the parental role in the development of childhood obesity and 2) identifies implications for health programs and policies.

Method: Systematic searches using five databases followed by a lateral search were conducted between April and June 2014. Inclusion criteria included empirical research published in the last 5 years addressing the role that parents with children 12 years and younger play in their child being or becoming obese. Nineteen publications were identified.

Results: Six themes related to the association between parental role and childhood obesity emerged from our review. These themes included parenting style, parent influence on feeding, modeling, self-efficacy, concern, and bidirectional interaction of the parent-child dyad. Parenting style, modeling, and self-efficacy were not consistently associated with childhood obesity. Parental concern, however, was linked to specific feeding practices. Parental restriction and pressure to eat certain foods were both found to be inversely related to a child’s weight status. Parent’s role in promoting activity was infrequently addressed.

Conclusion: When addressing eating and activity behaviors among children, the role that parents play in feeding their child and their concern for their child’s health is critical. Including mothers and fathers as potential “agents of change” and considering their cultural norms are two elements necessary for effective health programming and policy development.
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Introduction

The prevalence of childhood obesity has increased considerably during the past 20 years, creating concern for the future health and well-being of millions of children worldwide.1,2 Strategies to help overcome what has come to be known as “the obesity epidemic” remain challenging, given the vulnerability of children and the complex nature of their environment. A child’s early environment, although seemingly limited, is comprised primarily of the family. The family remains an influential force even as the child’s environment expands to include peers and school while the child matures.3 Within the family, parents are key members who influence a child’s behavior. They do so by carrying out their role through parenting. Parenting refers to activities enacted by parents when interacting with their child to facilitate physical, social-emotional, and behavioral health and well-being throughout the child’s lifespan.4 The role that
parents play in the development of their child’s healthy behaviors, particularly those related to eating and activity, is critical. In turn, children rely on their parents to structure their environment and promote healthy choices that sustain energy balance and weight throughout childhood into adulthood. Given the role that parents play in the development of eating and activity behaviors, it is not surprising that parents have been referred to as agents of change.\textsuperscript{5,6} Consequently, parental roles require careful consideration in the prevention and treatment of childhood obesity.

Parental activities vary according to the age and needs of the child and are influenced by the context of the environment, both within and outside the family. Factors such as socioeconomic status (SES) and ethnicity contribute to the complexity of the interaction between parents and children. Additionally, the incidence of obesity and the risk of becoming obese has become a global concern crossing all incomes and ethnicities at various rates. Moreover, it has been documented that the prevalence of obesity in developed nations is inversely related to SES; while, obesity in developing countries is most prevalent among the wealthy.\textsuperscript{7} For example, in a study in the United States, low family income (ie, <$25,000) during the prenatal period was significantly associated with high body mass index (BMI) during the adult years. Interestingly, an increase of $10,000 in family income reduced the odds of becoming either overweight or obese as an adult.\textsuperscript{8}

In contrast, results from a study with families of preschool-age children in the United States and France did not support the relationship between household income and children’s BMI.\textsuperscript{9} However, when examining the relationship between maternal employment and children’s BMI, an additional 10 hours of work per week by mothers, particularly for children between the ages of 3 and 11, was found to substantially increase the likelihood of children becoming obese. This risk was greatest among children of single working mothers.\textsuperscript{10} Unlike Musher-Eizenman et al’s research,\textsuperscript{9} a study in Japan found that maternal employment was not associated with an increase in children’s BMI even after adjusting for care by grandparents.\textsuperscript{11}

Adding to this paradox, higher SES has been found to be associated with permissive parenting style. This parenting style, when parents relinquish their authority to their child in making decisions about eating and activity, has been shown to be related to an increased weight status among children.\textsuperscript{12-14}

It is also well known that obesity occurs in nearly all ethnic and racial groups with some groups affected more than others.\textsuperscript{15-17} More specifically, results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey have shown that Hispanic and non-Hispanic black children less than 11 years of age are at greatest risk for being overweight or obese; however, non-Hispanic white and non-Hispanic Asian children are less likely to become overweight or obese.\textsuperscript{16} These data support the unique variability in the contribution of income and ethnicity to childhood obesity and emphasize the importance of being culturally sensitive when addressing this issue.

Numerous studies have examined the relationships between childhood obesity, SES, and ethnicity, as well as issues associated with parenting.\textsuperscript{7,17} However, there are no known studies that have synthesized the data on the role of the parent in the development of childhood obesity or discussed the implications for health programs and policy. Thus, the purpose of this integrative review was to focus on identifying and describing parent roles, which may be related to the development of obesity in children and to suggest implications for health programs and policies.

**Methods**

An integrative review method was selected to accommodate the variety of methodologies used in the research that presented in this search.\textsuperscript{19}

**Search criteria**

**Inclusion criteria**

Since the goal of this integrative review was to describe the parental role in child eating and activity behaviors as it relates to obesity, the focus of the search was on empirical evidence including descriptive and predictive research studies. Research with parents of children or with parents and children were included. Children were defined as those aged 0–12 years of age. Finally, due to the large number of articles presenting in the initial review process, the authors agreed that focusing on child weight or childhood obesity as an outcome was appropriate to further narrow the search.

**Exclusion criteria**

Randomized controlled trials, intervention studies, reviews, and theoretical publications were eliminated from the search. Studies addressing adolescents were also eliminated.

**Search strategy and review process**

Literature searches were conducted in Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature, the Cochrane Review, PsycINFO, PubMed, and the Web of Science between April and June 2014. Key search terms included: parent, role model, eating, activity, selected synonyms (eg, provider,
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Table 1 Search process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Search terms</th>
<th>And</th>
<th>And</th>
<th>And</th>
<th>And</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parent or parents or mothers or maternal or fathers or paternal or nurturer or caregiver or change agent or agent of change</td>
<td>Role model or influence or provider or responsibility</td>
<td>Eating or eating behavior or healthy eating or feeding or feeding practices</td>
<td>Activity or physical activity</td>
<td>Childhood overweight or childhood obesity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data bases searched: CINAHL (Cumulative index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature), Cochrane Review, PsycINFO, PubMed, Web of Science.

feeding) and childhood overweight/obesity (Table 1). The initial search resulted in 993 publications (Figure 1). Applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria 918 publications including duplicates were eliminated. Lateral searches were conducted from the remaining 75 publications in the initial search, producing an additional 104 articles. Criteria used to review the initial search were applied to the lateral search, resulting in 56 publications. The first two authors reviewed publications from the combined searches (n=131) for themes relevant to the aim of the manuscript. The themes that emerged from these studies included parenting style, parent influence on feeding, self-efficacy, modeling, concern, and bidirectional influence resulting in 59 publications. To meet the purpose of this review, subsequent screening for empirical research that included child weight or BMI as an outcome further narrowed the search to 19.

Figure 1 PRISMA flow diagram for selecting studies.

Note: Underlined text highlights total number.

Abbreviations: PRISMA, Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses; CINAHL, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature; RCT, randomized controlled trial; BMI, body mass index; d/t, due to.
Results

Table 2 summarizes the articles included in this integrative review. Most of the studies were quantitative; only two qualitative studies emerged.19,20 Most of the participants in these studies were mothers (n=15). Three studies referred to the participant as a parent or caregiver, but did not specify sex.19,21,22 Six studies identified fathers as participants.21–28 The review includes studies conducted in Australia, Brazil, the Netherlands, Great Britain, and United States, representing diverse ethnic groups, which included Non-Hispanic White, African American, Hispanic, and Mexican American. Various socioeconomic backgrounds were also represented. Six themes emerged from this integrative review: parenting style, parent influence on feeding, self-efficacy, modeling, concern, and bidirectional influence. These themes are discussed in greater detail below.

Parenting style

Parenting style generally refers to patterns of parenting that include the degree of involvement and strictness or responsiveness and demandingness, which a parent uses when interacting with his or her child.29,30 Parenting style typologies include: authoritative, authoritarian, indulgent, and neglectful or uninvolved.29 Parenting practices occur in the context of parenting style and involve the actions that parents take in making decisions or interacting with their children. In other words, parenting style refers to the approach or the way that a parent interacts with his or her child; parenting practices refer to the specific behaviors enacted when carrying out the parental role.

In the past, parenting style has been suggested to strongly influence child weight outcomes.31 Yet, more recently, the association between parenting style and childhood obesity has been inconsistent across cultures. Over the past 5 years very little research has been conducted that addresses the association between parenting style and a child’s weight status. In this integrative review, parenting style and its association with childhood obesity and/or children becoming overweight emerged as a focus in two studies. The limited research that presented in our review suggests that parenting style continues to have little influence on the weight status of preschool- and school-age children less than 12 years old.28,32 To illustrate, Taylor et al’s work with mothers and fathers of 4–5 year-old children from Australia suggested that maternal or paternal parenting style may have little influence on child weight status, yet paternal responsiveness and demandingness may contribute to an increased risk for being overweight.28 On the other hand, in a sample of Mexican American families, Olvera and Power found that indulgent mothers were significantly more likely than authoritative or authoritarian families to have children who became overweight or obese.32

Parent influence on feeding

Despite the limited relationship between parenting style and a child’s weight status, parents and the practices that they use have been shown to play an important role in the development of children’s eating behaviors and patterns. Studies in our search focused on feeding practices, parental feeding style, and the socio-emotional climate of the interaction between the parent and child during the feeding/eating experience. Feeding practices were described as specific behaviors employed by parents to manage their child’s dietary intake. These independent, yet mutual practices include control, monitoring, restriction, and pressure.21,23,33,34 Parental feeding styles, like parenting styles, referred to parental demandingness or responsiveness as approaches specifically related to feeding.19,35 For example, an indulgent feeding style (ie, in which the parent allows the child to eat whatever they want, whenever they want) has been found to be associated with higher BMI among children.19 Other terms that researchers have used to describe parents’ influence on eating include, rejection, coercion, and chaos, or warmth responsiveness, structure, and autonomy. These terms reflect the respective negative or positive context of parenting (eg, emotional climate, predictability of the environment, and family routines) that may influence the interaction between the parent and child during feeding and eating.25 Parent influence on feeding, reflected by the behaviors of control, monitoring, pressure to eat, and restriction is further described below.

Control

Five studies explored the feeding practice of control; that is, parental management of when, where, and what children eat.21,24,33,36,37 The use of control, the manner in which it is used, and its influence on a child’s BMI has been shown to vary across cultures and age groups. In a study of 222 toddlers (mean age =2.03 years), researchers proposed that control may be direct or overt, as well as covert.33 Researchers found that African American parents used control more than White parents in managing food intake with their school-age children.21 Given this, it has been suggested that control may interfere with the ability of school-age children to self-regulate food intake.21

Control has also been shown to be negatively associated with maternal weight status. For example, Hispanic and
Black mothers who were heavier used less control with their children (2 to 11 years old). In contrast, an association of using more control was found with fathers who had daughters aged 2–5 years old with higher BMIs.\textsuperscript{24} Overall, control has been found to be associated with higher BMI among toddlers and school-age children,\textsuperscript{21,33} and predictive of BMI in White school-age children,\textsuperscript{21} but not Australian preschool-age children,\textsuperscript{37} or Black or Hispanic children.\textsuperscript{36}

**Monitoring**

Monitoring, another feeding practice, refers to parental tracking of the amount and type of food consumed by children.\textsuperscript{19,21,22,33,34,37,38} Monitoring has had mixed associations with parental weight and a child’s BMI. Comparing monitoring to control, Costa et al found that monitoring did not differ between parents who were of healthy weight or those who were obese.\textsuperscript{38} But like control, monitoring was inversely related to 2-year-old children’s acceptance of food and tendency to overeat,\textsuperscript{33} and positively associated with 2 to 4-year-old children’s BMI.\textsuperscript{37} Unlike these results, Hennessy et al found neither an association with feeding style nor a moderating effect between feeding style, monitoring, and the weight of White, African American or Mexican rural school-age (6–11 years old) children.\textsuperscript{19} However, monitoring has been shown to be used more frequently with British school-age (7–9 years old) children who had a higher BMI.\textsuperscript{34} Interestingly, investigators found that parental use of monitoring decreased over a 3 year period in school-age children who had a larger waist circumference and a higher fat mass index. By the end of the study, monitoring was not associated with the child’s BMI.\textsuperscript{34}

**Pressure to eat**

Pressure to eat refers to parental behaviors or practices compelling children to eat certain foods.\textsuperscript{19,21,23,25,26,33,34,37–39} Like monitoring, pressure to eat has not been found to be consistently associated with eating and feeding or outcomes of a child’s weight status. The parental behavior of pressuring children to eat certain foods has been found to be similar between parents of healthy and obese weight status.\textsuperscript{36} Researchers found that pressure to eat was positively associated with a demanding feeding style among children from the United States,\textsuperscript{19} and with responsiveness to satiety among Dutch children.\textsuperscript{23} Yet, maternal pressure to eat had a negative effect on Australian preschool-age (2–4 years old) children’s enjoyment of food.\textsuperscript{29,37} Pressure to eat was also negatively associated with BMI among Dutch\textsuperscript{23} and Australian\textsuperscript{17} children’s BMI. However, pressure to eat was shown to be used less by British parents of children who had high BMI and fat mass indexes over a 3 year period.\textsuperscript{34}

**Restriction**

Unlike pressure to eat, restriction refers to parental behaviors or practices to limit access to or limit the amount and type of food the child consumes.\textsuperscript{19,21,23,26,33,34,38,39} Overall, the influence of restriction on feeding and children’s BMI over short periods of time appears to have a negative influence; however, its use over long durations of time has little effect. Restriction scores were found to be higher in Brazilian parents who were heavier.\textsuperscript{38} In addition, restriction was more likely to be used by younger, less educated and non-White mothers from lower incomes,\textsuperscript{39} and Australian fathers who were concerned about their young children’s (2–5 years old) weight.\textsuperscript{26} Restriction was also negatively associated with a responsive feeding style,\textsuperscript{39} and positively associated with coercion and chaos.\textsuperscript{25} As such, restriction was positively related to 4 to 8-year-old children’s BMI.\textsuperscript{23,33,38} Furthermore, Joyce and Zimmer-Gembeck found that parental BMI and chaos partially mediated the relationship between restriction and children’s BMI in this age group.\textsuperscript{25} Joyce and Zimmer-Gembeck also found that the relationship between restriction and children’s disinhibited eating increased with an increase in parental use of coercion and family chaos.\textsuperscript{25}

Like pressure to eat, parental use of restriction was shown to diminish over a 3 year period. However, the reduction in restriction was not related to a higher BMI or fat mass index like pressure to eat. In addition, no long-term association was found between restriction and child BMI.\textsuperscript{34} Collectively, restriction and pressure to eat have been suggested to influence dietary intake and interfere with the child’s ability to regulate food intake;\textsuperscript{21} however, none of these maternal feeding practices have been associated with a change in adiposity over time.\textsuperscript{24}

**Self-efficacy**

Self-efficacy is a concept used to describe and understand the relationship between an individual’s knowledge and confidence in completing a task.\textsuperscript{40} If a person reports high confidence about their ability to perform a certain task, then he or she is described as having high self-efficacy for that skill. Two studies were found that described maternal confidence related to their child’s feeding and activity. One, a quantitative study, aimed to describe the differences in toddlers and preschool-age children’s specific eating and sedentary behaviors, and parents’ views regarding their capacity to influence these behaviors during these two periods of early childhood.\textsuperscript{41}
Table 2 Summary of studies on parent role related to child overweight or obesity (n=19)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors listed</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cachelin and Thompson 2013</td>
<td>To investigate the relationship between maternal child-feeding practices and child adiposity in an ethnically diverse sample by examining three categories of relationships: 1) mothers’ weight status; 2) mothers’ investment in eating-related issues; and 3) mothers’ concerns about child’s weight</td>
<td>Quantitative Measures: EAT, CFQ, Mother/child BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell et al 2010</td>
<td>To describe parents’ views regarding self-efficacy to influence children’s eating and sedentary behaviors at two time points in early childhood, and to examine associations between these views and children’s eating and sedentary behaviors</td>
<td>Quantitative, cross-sectional at two time points Measures: Self-efficacy in feeding habits and physical activity, Mother BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa et al 2011</td>
<td>To explore the associations between parents’ attitudes, parents’ BMI and children’s weight condition, in an attempt to find potentially modifiable patterns</td>
<td>Quantitative Measures: CFQ, Parent/child BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hennessy et al 2010</td>
<td>To investigate the association between three parenting behaviors (parenting style, feeding style, and feeding practices), to evaluate whether these behaviors were associated with child weight, and to determine whether style (parenting and feeding) moderated the relationship between feeding practice and child weight</td>
<td>Qualitative, cross-sectional Measures: PDI-S (support, control, structure subscales), CFQ, Child BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jansen et al 2012</td>
<td>To replicate findings by examining whether different aspects of preschoolers’ eating behavior and parental feeding practices are associated with BMI and weight status</td>
<td>Quantitative, cross-sectional Measures: CEBQ, CFQ, Child BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johanssen et al 2012</td>
<td>To investigate the effects of mothers’ and fathers’ eating behaviors, child feeding practices, and BMI on percentage body fat and BMI in their children</td>
<td>Quantitative, cross-sectional Measures: TFEQ, CFQ, Mothers/fathers/child BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joyce and Zimmer-Gembeck 2009</td>
<td>To test whether the association of parental restriction with young children with high BMIs is dependent on the intervening role of their dishibited eating</td>
<td>Quantitative, cross-sectional Measures: Child’s BMI, CEBQ (food responsiveness subscale), CFQ (restriction subscale), PFDQ (restriction dimension subscale)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalinowski et al 2012</td>
<td>To examine beliefs about the role of parenting in feeding and childhood obesity among mothers of lower socioeconomic status</td>
<td>Qualitative Interview questions, Mother/child BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mallan et al 2014</td>
<td>To identify child and father demographic characteristics associated with perceived responsibility in child feeding, use of controlling feeding practices (restriction and pressure to eat), and father’s concern</td>
<td>Quantitative, cross-sectional Measures: CFQ (responsibility, pressure to eat, restriction, concern subscales), Father/child BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McPhie et al 2011</td>
<td>To evaluate the associations maternal feeding practices, maternal parenting styles and mother-child interactions have with child eating behaviors and child BMI</td>
<td>Quantitative, cross-sectional Measures: Parenting style questionnaire (warmth and control subscales), CFQ (restriction, monitoring, and pressure to eat subscales), PCDI, PSI-SF, CEBQ (food fussiness and enjoyment of food subscales), Mother/child BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Child age</td>
<td>Ethnicity culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mothers</td>
<td>2–11 yrs</td>
<td>United States Hispanic, Asian, Black, White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mothers</td>
<td>1 yr and 5 yrs old</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mothers, parents</td>
<td>6–10 yrs</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents</td>
<td>6–11 yrs</td>
<td>United States White, African American, and Hispanic/Mexican-American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mothers, fathers</td>
<td>4 yrs</td>
<td>the Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mothers, fathers</td>
<td>3–5 yrs</td>
<td>United States Mostly non-Hispanic White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fathers, mothers, caregivers, parents</td>
<td>4–8 yrs</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mothers</td>
<td>3–5 yrs</td>
<td>United States Hispanic, White, and African American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fathers</td>
<td>2–5 yrs</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mothers</td>
<td>2–4 yrs</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Table 2 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors listed Year</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Olvera and Power 2010</td>
<td>To assess longitudinally the relations between four parenting styles (authoritative, authoritarian, uninvolved, and indulgent) and child weight status in Mexican American families</td>
<td>Quantitative; longitudinal Measures: PDI Mother/child BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polfuss and Frenn 2012</td>
<td>To a) examine the relationship between a child’s and parent’s perception of parenting behaviors; b) assess the ability of parents to correctly identify their own weight status; c) assess the parent’s ability to correctly identify their child’s weight status and whether this relationship is influenced by the parent’s education level, parent’s weight status, age of the child, or the child’s sex; d) examine how the parent and child’s assessment of the parenting behaviors are related to the child’s weight status; and e) identify what parenting or feeding behaviors a parent demonstrates when their child is overweight</td>
<td>Quantitative; cross-sectional Measures: Parenting behavior inventory – parent version CFQ Parent/child BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifas-Shiman et al 2011</td>
<td>To examine the longitudinal association between maternal feeding restriction at age 1 and BMI at age 3 and the extent to which the association is explained by weight for length (WFL) at age 1</td>
<td>Quantitative; longitudinal, prospective Measures: CFQ Child BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodgers et al 2013</td>
<td>To explore prospective relationships between maternal feeding practices, child weight gain, and obesogenic eating behaviors in 2 year-old children</td>
<td>Quantitative; cross-sectional, time 1 and time 2 Measures: CFQ (monitoring and restricting subscales) Pre-schooler feeding questionnaire (pushing to eat, using food to calm subscales), Parent Feeding Style Questionnaire (instrumental feeding, emotional feeding, control subscales) COEQ CFFQ DEBQ-P Comprehensive feeding practice questionnaire, Mother/child BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sealy and Farmer 2011</td>
<td>To explore the associations between parent’s “Stages of Change” (SOC) in the following behavior domains: 1) food portions, 2) dietary fats, 3) fruits and vegetables, and 4) physical activity</td>
<td>Quantitative; cross-sectional, survey Measures: Weight loss behavior stage of change scale Child’s perceived weight, knowledge of obesity Food availability scale Child BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor et al 2011</td>
<td>To clarify the influence of parenting on the weight of young children in Australia, and to investigate whether mothers’ and fathers’ parenting style at child age 4–5 predicts child weight status at age 6–7, to determine whether parenting shows greater influence on child weight once children are older and therefore have greater autonomy</td>
<td>Quantitative Measures: Child Rearing Questionnaire (warmth subscale) Parent Practices Scale (demandingness subscale), Child’s BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Child age</td>
<td>Ethnicity culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mostly mothers or female parents, some male parents</td>
<td>Kindergarten, 2nd, 4th, 5th, 7th, and 9th grade</td>
<td>United States Mostly White (95%), some Black or other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mothers</td>
<td>4–8 yrs</td>
<td>United States Mexican-American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents</td>
<td>9–15 yrs</td>
<td>United States African American, Caucasian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mothers</td>
<td>Infants – 3 yrs</td>
<td>United States White, Black, Hispanic, Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mothers</td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents</td>
<td>6–12 yrs</td>
<td>United States African American, Caribbean Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mothers, fathers</td>
<td>4–5 yrs</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
While in another, a qualitative study, mothers described their own confidence in feeding their child.20

Campbell et al measured maternal self-efficacy in four specific domains: 1) promoting healthy eating in children, 2) limiting children’s consumption of non-healthy foods, 3) promoting children’s physical activity to displace viewing TV/DVD/video, and 4) limiting children’s TV viewing.41 The authors found that mothers reported high confidence in their ability to promote healthy eating and encourage physical activity in their toddlers (average age 15 months). However, the mothers of preschool children (5 year-olds) reported significantly less confidence in their ability to limit the child’s consumption of non-healthy foods and TV viewing.

Kalinowski et al conducted individual interviews with mothers of preschool-aged children (3–5 years old) to determine their beliefs about mother’s role in feeding and childhood obesity.29 These mothers reported being confident in their ability to meet their child’s food requests and to create a positive environment in the home around mealtime and food. They described indulgent feeding styles and admitted to using food to create a positive relationship with their children. Mothers highly valued pleasing their children and believed that this allowed for a better maternal-child relationship. In contrast, the confidence reported by Campbell et al was specifically related to pre-defined food groups that were labeled as healthy or non-healthy.41

**Modeling**

Parental role modeling of healthy eating and activity behavior has been viewed as an important social and environmental factor related to the eating and activity behavior of children.42 Both parental self-report and child report methods have been used for measuring parental eating and activity behaviors. Due to the lack of consistent measurement, a clear understanding of the influence of parental role modeling on eating and activity behaviors of children has not yet emerged. One study was found in this review related to parental role modeling.

Kalinowski et al’s research presented an interesting dichotomy of maternal perception in the role of parents in childhood feeding and obesity.29 When describing their own feeding practices, mothers valued providing foods that their children liked and described this as a positive, indulgent feeding practice. However, when asked to share their perceptions about what caused obesity in children, the majority of the mothers stated that parents were at fault when children were overweight. They believed that childhood obesity and children being overweight was due to inept (versus indulgent) or neglectful parenting. In addition, examples of parents’ role modeling unhealthy behaviors, such as the parent sleeping until noon or watching TV all day, were reported as contributing to childhood obesity by setting poor examples of a healthy lifestyle.

**Concern**

It has been hypothesized that feeding behaviors or practices may develop in response to parental concern for their child’s weight or their child’s approach and responsiveness to food, satiety, or food avoidance (fussiness).21,23 Concern was discussed in six studies,21,22,24,26,36,38 Hispanic, Black, Asian, and White mothers who had greater concern about the weight of their 2 to 11 year-old children were found to use more control in managing their child’s food intake. This was particularly noted in Hispanic mothers who had higher BMIs.20 Like Hispanic mothers, Australian parents who were heavier scored higher
on concern for their child’s weight and their use of restriction. These same parents who were from lower economic status were found to be more concerned about their child’s weight than parents who were from higher economic status. Fathers who were concerned about their 4 year-old daughters’ higher body fat content and future health were found to use more control in managing their child’s caloric intake. In comparison, fathers who were concerned about their 2 to 5 year-old child becoming overweight were shown to use a moderate amount of pressure to eat and a high amount of restriction. Unlike parents with young children, African American and White parents who were concerned about their school-age child’s weight used more monitoring. In contrast, Sealy and Farmer found no association between parents’ concern and readiness to change in managing their children’s weight status.

Concern also presented when parents were overseeing their child’s physical activity. Moore et al found that parents who were concerned about their child’s weight limited their screen time and took measures to increase their physical activity. However, there was no difference between parents who were concerned and those who were not concerned about their child’s weight when it came to enrolling their child in an organized activity.

**Bidirectional influence**

Although parents play a key role in the development of healthy behaviors in children and are instrumental in influencing their behavior, it is important to note that a direct linear or causal relationship between parents and the onset of obesity in children is difficult to confirm. Thus, consideration of bidirectional interaction is important in the parent-child relationship, where successful or unsuccessful interactions drive subsequent interactions and future responses. This bidirectional interaction has been studied in the context of self-efficacy, and may help explain the interaction between parent and child related to eating and activity behaviors. Overall, children’s behaviors can influence parenting in a similar way that parenting behaviors shape children’s behaviors.

Rodgers et al examined the reciprocal relationship between children’s eating behaviors and maternal feeding practices with mother-child (2-year-old) dyads. They found that maternal feeding practices became more rigid when children tended to overeat. They also found that mothers indirectly controlled their child’s eating behavior when the child ate in response to their emotions. Additionally, this complex interchange between child (2 to 4 year-olds) eating and parent feeding practices and the association with the child’s BMI was supported by Jansen et al. The parent practice of restricting food or pressuring the child to eat correlated with the child’s responsiveness to food and eating behavior, but not consistently. For instance, children whose parents limit excessive food intake, may overeat when access to food is not restricted.

The complexity of the parent-child relationship as it relates to child weight might also be explained by the mediating effect of the child’s eating behavior. Partial mediation was found by a child’s disinhibited eating behavior and its relationship between restrictive parenting and child weight.

**Discussion**

The aim of this integrative review was to synthesize the data on parental role in relationship to the development of childhood obesity. Six themes emerged focusing on parenting...
style, parents’ influence on feeding practice (control, monitoring, pressuring to eat, and restricting), self-efficacy, modeling, and concern. Parental concern was linked to specific feeding practices, which in turn, may be related to childhood obesity. Parental restriction and pressure to eat or not eat certain foods were found to negatively affect children’s weight status.

This integrative review further highlights the complexity in the interaction between behavior, socioeconomic, and ethnic factors as they contribute to the development of childhood obesity. In addition, human behavior such as parenting styles and practices results from the reciprocal or bidirectional interaction between the parent and child. Parenting style reflects an approach used by adults when interacting with their child. To further clarify, parenting style is not static nor is the behavior of children. In other words, the style a parent uses may vary from one situation to the next. For example, a parent may be described as being authoritative; however, when presented with an unsafe situation, the parent may use an authoritarian approach. Thus the need to adapt their parenting approach may create difficulty in capturing which parenting style clearly contributes to children being or becoming obese.

Compared to parenting style, parent feeding practices have been found to play an important role in the development of children’s eating behaviors and patterns. Specific behaviors such as restriction and pressure to eat have been found to be negatively associated with children’s BMI. In contrast, control and monitoring have been shown to influence the child’s acceptance and self-regulation of food intake; however, their relationship to the child’s weight status is equivocal.

Unlike parent feeding practices, far fewer studies have examined the effects of parenting styles and the context of parenting during feeding. As a result, outcomes related to these behaviors or their potential moderating effect are less well understood. However, demanding feeding style has been shown to be associated with pressure to eat, and restriction has been negatively associated with parental responsiveness and children’s weight status.

Given this evidence regarding parent influence on feeding, future studies examining the combined effect of feeding practices, feeding style, and the context of the family may be helpful in identifying variables or interactions between variables to explain the development of childhood obesity. Asking parents what they believe to be most challenging with regard to mealtimes, (ie, feeding their child, how they approach feeding, or the family overtones) may help direct providers and parents in developing strategies to address these issues. Longitudinal studies to clarify the effect that growth and development have on these variables may also be helpful.

Concern, a psychological state that may motivate behavior, has been found to be associated with a variety of parental responses. In general, concern has been associated with all parental feeding practices, (ie, control, restriction, pressure to eat, and monitoring). Although concern may motivate behavior, it has not been found to be associated with readiness to change even when children and families are undergoing treatment for childhood obesity.

Concern was also evident when mothers were asked about their confidence or self-efficacy in their ability to provide food for their child. Mothers were concerned about establishing a healthy positive relationship with their children. They reported being confident in their ability to please their child at mealtime; while, at the same time, building a stronger bond with their child. However, the mothers’ focus on pleasing their child may interfere with their ability to realize that unhealthy food choices may in fact negatively influence weight and health status. In addition, mothers reported feeling more confident when feeding their infants or toddlers and reported less confidence as their children became autonomous.

These results highlight the need for program evaluators to be very specific when asking mothers to report on their confidence in promoting healthy behaviors for their child at mealtime. The concept of self-efficacy could be defined as either confidence in promoting healthy food choices and activity or confidence in establishing a positive parent-child relationship. Inconsistency of self-report may help explain why there has been lack of conclusive evidence that any one specific parenting intervention has been more effective than another in the treatment of childhood obesity.

These results have clinical implications when working with mothers of young children. Mothers may need to be reassured that they will still have a healthy loving relationship with their child even when they provide limits on less healthy food choices and encourage healthier food options. Furthermore, strategies could be designed for parents of young children to use with their child as they develop more independence. For example, instead of asking a 5 year-old child if he or she wants to have fruit, the parent could be taught to ask the child to choose an apple or orange. This approach may facilitate the development of making healthy choices.

As with confidence or self-efficacy, parental perception becomes an important factor when trying to examine
role-modeling. For instance, mothers were able to identify that poor role-modeling or inept parenting by others contributed to childhood obesity; but, when they reflected upon their own role, they saw themselves as being a good and indulgent parent. In addition, mothers did not discuss the possible influence of being indulgent and pleasing their child at mealtime as it related to their child’s weight or health status.\textsuperscript{29} As stated above, it will be important for health care providers to be sensitive to parental concern about being “good” and loving parents; while at the same time, being able to guide their children in making healthy eating and activity choices.

**Strengths and limitations**

This review includes research that has been conducted in multiple countries providing rich information regarding the influence of parents, culture, and SES on the development of childhood obesity. Numerous validated measures were used to explore parental role in childhood obesity supporting the complexity of this multifactorial issue. Additionally, most of the articles focused on parental role in influencing a child’s eating behavior.

Furthermore, findings from our integrative review support recommendations from the American Heart Association reinforcing that families should take a positive approach with their children by watching portion sizes versus restricting foods and modeling healthy eating habits.\textsuperscript{45}

Interestingly, there was a paucity of 1) longitudinal studies and 2) research addressing parent role in promoting physical activity. In addition, no literature emerged regarding children’s self-esteem despite the abundance of literature describing the relationship between children’s self-esteem and body weight.\textsuperscript{44,46–49} The lack of studies that presented in the last 5 years on these topics suggests the need for additional research within and across various cultures so that health care providers have stronger evidence for effective program and policy development.

In addition, findings varied across cultures and were reported to have a minimal effect over time as children became older. These inconsistencies in results may be due to the variations in children’s ages that presented across the studies reviewed (Table 2). Developmentally, children behave differently as toddlers, preschool-age, and school-age children. These developmental variations in children elicit different responses from parents and emphasize the importance of considering the bidirectional nature of their interaction. The variety of cultures presented in this review may have also contributed to inconsistent results. There is an insufficient number of studies presented in this review to determine if findings were consistent within cultures.

**Implications for health programming and policy**

Current national health policy in the United States has focused on promoting healthy behaviors in the school environment by improving the nutritional quality of school lunches, removing vending machines, and providing opportunities for physical activity.\textsuperscript{50} Evidence from this review supports the development of programs focusing on parents in their role related to eating and activity using a family focused approach. For instance, health programs may need to focus on assisting parents to understand their children’s eating behaviors and to reflect on their own feeding practices.\textsuperscript{51} Programs to build parental self-confidence in light of their child’s health may also be beneficial. In one longitudinal study, the children of families who participated in a family intervention that promoted effective parenting skills in early childhood had significantly lower rates of obesity as they approached adolescence compared to children in the control group.\textsuperscript{52} A collaborative approach between health care providers, parents, and children is important in guiding families to successfully adopt healthier eating and activity behaviors.

A summary of recommendations to enhance the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3 Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>For health care providers</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Discuss current strategies used by parents to understand their perspective and provide guidance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Identify parenting behaviors related to feeding practices including control, restricting, and pressuring children recognizing that they may vary depending on culture, ethnicity, and SES.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Recognize that the child’s age, development, and behavior may influence parent interaction and vice versa due to bidirectional influences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Be cognizant that parental behaviors and responses toward their child may be related to parent’s BMI.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**For parents**

| 1. Consistent messaging is important when considering children’s response to directives, which may vary depending on their age and development. |
| 2. Role model positive behaviors related to eating and activity. |
| 3. Parenting approaches to eating and activity may need to be modified as the child grows and develops. |
| 4. Recognize that as children mature there may be less control over their eating behaviors and greater success toward a healthy weight may be achieved by encouraging activity. |
| 5. Food is not recommended to be used to help foster a positive parent-child relationship. |
| 6. Offering children healthy choices may help to facilitate long-term healthy behaviors. |

**Abbreviations:** SES, socioeconomic status; BMI, body mass index.
parent role in decreasing the risk of childhood obesity for
health care providers and parents has been extrapolated from
this integrative review and can be found in Table 3.

Conclusion
The complexity in the interaction between parenting style,
influence on feeding, concern, self-efficacy, and modeling
combined with SES and ethnicity creates a challenge in guid-
ing parents and developing health programs and policies to
remedy childhood obesity. Successful program implementa-
tion must consider the bidirectional relationship between
parents as agents of change and their children. These chal-
lenges present opportunities to gain further understanding of
this dynamic relationship and trial new strategies.
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