Drug delivery strategies to enhance the permeability of the blood–brain barrier for treatment of glioma
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Abstract: Gliomas are amongst the most insidious and destructive types of brain cancer and are associated with a poor prognosis, frequent recurrences, and extremely high lethality despite combination treatment of surgery, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy. The existence of the blood–brain barrier (BBB) restricts the delivery of therapeutic molecules into the brain and offers the clinical efficacy of many pharmaceuticals that have been demonstrated to be effective for other kinds of tumors. This challenge emphasizes the need to be able to deliver drugs effectively across the BBB to reach the brain parenchyma. Enhancement of the permeability of the BBB and being able to transport drugs across it has been shown to be a promising strategy to improve drug absorption and treatment efficacy. This review highlights the innovative technologies that have been introduced to enhance the permeability of the BBB and to obtain an optimal distribution and concentration of drugs in the brain to treat gliomas, such as nano-techniques, hyperthermia techniques, receptor-mediated transport, cell-penetrating peptides, and cell-mediated delivery.
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Introduction

Gliomas are the most frequent tumors occurring in the central nervous system (CNS) and are responsible for more than 32% of all primary brain and CNS tumors and 80% of all malignancies of the brain and CNS.1,2 Despite decades of advancement in both the understanding of their molecular pathogenesis and the clinical protocols available, malignant gliomas remain almost always fatal.3 None of the current state-of-the-art treatments for malignant glioma could be regarded as effective. The current 5-year and 10-year survival rates for patients with malignant glioma are 4.5% and 2.7%, respectively, and the median survival is only about 14.6 months, even after combination treatments of cytoreductive surgical resection, radiotherapy, and adjuvant oral chemotherapy with temozolomide.4,5

Compared with other types of tumors, gliomas are more challenging to treat because of the shield of the blood–brain barrier (BBB).6 All the treatments available have the problem of not being able to penetrate the BBB to reach the tumor mass. New opportunities for efficient drug delivery across the BBB are urgently needed. Considerable research indicates that enhancement of the permeability of the BBB is needed to improve therapeutic outcomes. In the present review, we outline the latest innovative strategies for enhancing the permeability of the BBB and transporting therapeutic agents across it for the treatment of glioma.
Glioma

Although accounting for less than 2% of adult cancers, gliomas are the most common form of malignant primary brain tumor in adults.\(^7\) Despite their rarity, gliomas are notorious for being the leading cause of cancer-related death in men aged 20–39 years and the second leading cause of cancer-related death in children.\(^8\) Glioblastoma multiforme (World Health Organization grade IV glioma), the most prevalent primary malignant manifestation of glioma, accounts for approximately three quarters of all gliomas\(^8\) and presents an almost unparalleled clinical challenge that culminates in death shortly after diagnosis.\(^10\)-\(^12\)

A number of factors have been identified as limiting the successful treatment of glioma, including a hypoxia environment,\(^13\) the extreme phenotypic and genotypic heterogeneity of the disease,\(^14\) aberrant signaling pathways,\(^15\) and the existence of glioma stem cells.\(^16\) Another factor that has often been overlooked is the impaired delivery of drugs to their intracellular targets because of the existence of the BBB, which limits the efficacy of many systemically administered chemotherapeutics.\(^6\)

The BBB in glioma

The BBB is composed primarily of specialized endothelial cells characterized by so-called tight junctions that maintain homeostasis between the blood circulation and the CNS\(^17\) such that essentially 100% of large-molecule pharmaceutics and more than 98% of small molecules cannot cross this barrier.\(^18,19\) With its extremely selective permeability, the BBB acts as a fortress to protect the vulnerable parenchyma from insults by potentially detrimental foreign material. At the same time, the BBB presents an insurmountable obstacle to potentially effective therapeutic agents in patients with CNS disease.\(^20\) This is the reason why many chemo-therapeutic strategies cannot be used to treat glioma, despite being effective in other malignancies.\(^21,22\)

The integrity of the BBB is notably heterogeneous during the development of glioma even within a single tumor tissue,\(^23\) giving a profound challenge for drug delivery across this barrier. Generally, the gradual progression of glioma leads to abnormal structural features in endothelial cells,\(^24\) resulting in enhanced permeability of the BBB when compared with normal brain tissue.\(^25\) However, the BBB in peripheral glioma remains essentially intact.\(^26,27\) If surgical resection is used to remove all visible tumor, the BBB near the tumor core is destroyed,\(^27,28\) but is still intact in the infiltrative pool, a region that may be several centimeters away from the visible tumor due to migration of tumor cells that have escaped into the surrounding brain parenchyma.\(^29\) This is thought to be the reason for the highly refractory nature of glioblastoma multiforme within a 2–3 cm margin of the surgical resection cavity.\(^30\)

The perplexity of the diffuse, limited-permeable BBB has renewed interest of pursuing effective delivery concepts and strategies to increase the delivery of drugs across the BBB to target tumor mass. Generally, the strategies potentially available can be classified into three groups, ie, circumventing or bypassing the BBB, widespread opening of the BBB (paracellular approach), and delivery across the BBB (transcellular approach).\(^31\) The first approach includes direct intratumoral injection,\(^31\) implantation of drug-releasing polymers,\(^32\) convention-enhanced delivery,\(^33\) and intranasal delivery.\(^34\) The second approach involves enhancing the permeability of the BBB with hypertonic mannitol, alkylglycerols, or a bradykinin analog. This approach is associated with better therapeutic outcomes but may cause permanent damage due to lack of specific targeting.\(^35\) The third approach, ie, the transcellular strategy, which can enhance the permeability of the BBB in a less invasive manner, represents a promising strategy for improving therapeutic efficacy. In recent years, several innovative technologies have succeeded in obtaining an optimal drug distribution and concentration in the CNS to treat glioma, as outlined in Figure 1 and discussed further below.

Nanotechnology

A wide variety of nanoparticles has been created to enable delivery of therapeutic drugs across the BBB.\(^36,37\) Nanoparticles are capable of overcoming the obstacle of the BBB because they can be administered intracerebrally and release their payload in a sustained manner.\(^38\) When administered systemically, nanoparticles can protect the loaded drugs from degradation.\(^39\) Small therapeutic molecular agents that are normally poorly distributed can be incorporated into nanoparticles via a variety of chemical methods, including encapsulation, adsorption, and covalent linkage, while macromolecules can be attached to the surface of nanoparticles to improve targeting.\(^40,41\) For example, researchers have encapsulated doxorubicin in nanoparticles using poly(butyl) cyanoacrylate and then coated Tween-80 nanoparticles to ensure apolipoprotein E binding to the nanoparticles.\(^42\) These coating-masked nanoparticles were observed to be endocy-tosed by the endothelium of the BBB, allowing entry of nanoparticles and drugs into endothelial cells and being effluxed into the brain parenchyma at a higher level. Malatesta et al\(^42\) used chitosan nanoparticles to deliver a hypometabolizing
synthetic opioid, D-Ala²-D-Leu⁵-enkephalin, and reported a decrease in both the amount of transcription factors and the proliferation rate, suggesting that these nanoparticles were able to traverse the BBB and release their payload in the brain parenchyma.

Recently, biodegradable polymer-based nanoparticles and gold nanoparticles have been shown to be highly attractive vehicles for carrying drugs across the BBB to treat glioma. In particular, gold nanoparticles are thought to be a worthwhile candidate with a better ability to permeate the BBB via an endocytic pathway. For example, Gromnicova et al. found that 4 nm glucose-coated gold nanoparticles across primary human brain endothelium at a transfer speed at least three times faster than that of non-brain endothelium. Another interesting example was reported by Jensen et al. who designed an RNA interference-based gold nanoparticle platform, termed spherical nucleic acid, which was able to penetrate the BBB and blood–brain tumor barrier in vivo after systemic injection. These findings suggest that gold nanoparticles have the necessary properties to be efficient and selective carriers of therapeutic agents across the BBB.

Undoubtedly, the nanoparticle-based delivery systems have just begun their promising steps to improve specific and efficient intracerebral delivery of drugs for the treatment of glioma. These nanoparticles are better able to penetrate the BBB and enable efficient uptake of therapeutics by the tumor parenchyma. Nowadays, nanoparticles are frequently integrated with other techniques (such as hyperthermia or a molecular “Trojan horse”, discussed later in this review) to further increase their cellular transduction.

**Hyperthermia techniques**

The limitation of inadequate drug delivery to tumor tissue and the adjacent parenchyma has led to development of the novel concept of creating a transient opening or disruption of the integrity of the BBB by breaking down the tight junctions to promote focused pharmacological delivery, and among these is hyperthermia.
Hyperthermia is a therapeutic procedure using increased temperature to change the functionality of cellular structures in body tissues. Its activity is based on the fact that elevated temperatures (41°C–43°C, or even lower) can synergistically and selectively kill cancer cells, which are more sensitive to a sudden increase in temperature than adjacent normal cells. The underlying molecular mechanisms of hyperthermia are not clearly understood. The main mechanism probably lies in the irreversible protein denaturation, DNA damage, and ultimate apoptosis that is triggered by the increase in temperature. Also triggered by hyperthermia is a transient and highly localized, site-specific disruption of the BBB. Hyperthermia research during the last decade has focused on drug delivery as an effective and feasible therapy for treatment of malignant glioma using various heat sources tools, such as focused ultrasound, radiofrequency, microwaves, laser, and magnetic energy.

**Focused ultrasound**
Focused ultrasound (FUS) can concentrate acoustic energy into a focal spot to produce selective disruption and increased permeability of the BBB. Given that FUS is compatible with currently available drugs, it is anticipated to be a benign procedure that can be easily repeated to match chemoschedule. In recent years, commercially available contrast agents, ie, microbubbles (MBs), have been incorporated into FUS approaches to confine the FUS effects to the blood vessel walls with minimal damage to surrounding brain tissue. In MB-facilitated FUS, circulating MBs interact closely with the low-intensity FUS, resulting in transient disassembly of tight junctions and enhanced permeability of the BBB. In one animal study, MB-facilitated FUS temporary disrupted the BBB transcranially and enhanced the penetration of bis-chloroethyl nitrosourea up to 202% in rat xenografts without causing hemorrhage. Successful MB-FUS-induced disruption of BBB was applied to a variety of therapeutic molecules, from doxorubicin, temozolomide, and methotrexate macroagents such as magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs), small interfering (si)RNA, and even stem cells. These studies provide preclinical evidence that FUS can enhance the permeability of the BBB and increase local concentrations of antitumor drugs to further impede tumor progression.

**Electrohyperthermia**
Electrohyperthermia is an advanced hyperthermia technique that is considered to be selective because of the higher conductivity and higher permittivity of the extracellular matrix in the tumor tissue. Hyperthermia from electromagnetic waves generated by radiofrequency or microwaves has been reported to increase the permeability of the BBB in vivo. Nowadays, radiofrequency is frequently used in oncology as a treatment for glioma, either alone or in combination with chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy. Gong et al reported a higher uptake of adriamycin in isografted C6 glioma rats treated with radiofrequency-induced hyperthermia. Furthermore, other investigators have reported that a high concentration of drug can be achieved using local radiofrequency hyperthermia chemotherapy.

**Laser**
Kiessling et al were the first to introduce the notion that laser light could be used to induce a localized disruption of the BBB by focally applying a Nd:YAG laser pulse. This has now been demonstrated to be a minimally invasive approach for the treatment of glioma. Well-defined, laser-induced membranous defects in the capillary endothelium lead to transient disruption of the BBB and allow molecules to permeate into the brain parenchyma. During the last decade, laser-induced hyperthermia has been used as a component of photodynamic therapy, which consists of treatment with a tumor-localizing photosensitizer and subsequent laser light activation. Among the four photosensitizers currently available that have received approval for use in photodynamic therapy, the prodrug 5-aminolevulinic acid (5-ALA) appears to be particularly appealing for the treatment of glioma due to its characteristics of tumor specificity and rapid systemic clearance. An 5-ALA–laser combination was demonstrated to disrupt the BBB rapidly via formation and enlargement of endothelial gaps following treatment and to leave a time window that is significantly longer than that with FUS. An exciting application of laser in glioma treatment is the combination of laser and nanotechnologies for target drug delivery. Choi et al have reported a study in which they delivered large molecules using a near-infrared ultrashort pulsed laser, and found that a variety of peripherally administered molecules, including nanoparticles and, even more interesting, genetically engineered viruses, could be induced to penetrate the BBB.

**Magnetic hyperthermia**
Magnetic hyperthermia is a strategy used to attract a drug to the region of a tumor by application of drug-free or drug-loaded MNPs subjected to an external alternating magnetic field (AMF). The locally administered MNPs interact with the applied external AMF and increase retention of MNPs at the tumor site. The externally imposed AMF would
allow both target-specific intravascular accumulation and facilitated penetration of MNPs across the BBB. Another distinct advantage of magnetic hyperthermia relates to the stability of MNPs over a long period of time, which allows multiple treatments without reinjection.

Magnetic hyperthermia has been evaluated for its ability to facilitate the delivery of MNPs across the BBB in animal models. Using fluorescent MNPs, Kong et al demonstrated that an external AMF increased the efficacy of delivery of MNPs across the normal BBB. Chertok et al reported that, although MNPs can be delivered passively to the gloma vasculature without an AMF, the addition of an AMF allowed prolonged retention of the MNPs within the glioma lesion, resulting in a fivefold increase in exposure of the tumor to MNPs when compared with animals treated without an AMF. Similar results were reported for MNPs loaded with 3′-azido-3′-deoxythymidine-5′-triphosphate, paclitaxel, and/or Tat peptide.

It is notable that some researchers have combined two different hyperthermia techniques to increase drug delivery to gliomas. For example, Chu et al used FUS followed by an AMF to make the BBB transiently permeable and to enhance the localization of bis-chloroethyl nitrosourea immobilized on MNPs. Combination of these two techniques improved the delivery of bis-chloroethyl nitrosourea by 26-fold compared with the MNPs alone.

Realistically, hyperthermia has the potential to be an effective and easily feasible adjunct to established therapies used for glioma. On the other hand, it must be emphasized that application of hyperthermia for drug delivery in the treatment of infiltrative glioma is still in its infancy. Serious side effects, including necrosis and elevated intracranial pressure, have been described in human trials. The efficacy and mechanism of action are still not clearly understood. Further investigations will be necessary to achieve translational elucidation.

Receptor-mediated transport
Taking advantage of endogenous influx BBB transporters for the delivery of target agents from the circulation into the brain parenchyma is thought to be a good strategy for the treatment of glioma, especially when using hydrophilic molecules or macromolecules that would otherwise have minimal ability to cross the BBB. This process involves ferrying molecules across the BBB via substrate–transporter interactions, among which the two major mechanisms are carrier-mediated transport (CMT) and receptor-mediated transport (RMT). CMT provides a facilitated mechanism for certain small molecules, nutrients, and hormones to passively cross the BBB with the aid of various specific substrate transporters located on the BBB. Although some water-soluble molecules, such as catecholamines and L-DOPA, were reported to penetrate the BBB at pharmacologically significant rates via CMT, no successful application of CMT for the treatment of glioma are available to date.

Whereas CMT can transport small molecules from the blood to the brain, RMT systems are expressed on the BBB and handle the physiological transport of large endogenous molecules necessary for brain function. During the process of RMT, macromolecules are able to move across the membrane of an endothelial cell into the brain, owing to expression of several peptide-specific receptors on the BBB, among which the neonatal Fc receptor, low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein receptor, transferrin receptor, lactoferrin receptor, and insulin receptor are the best characterized. The mechanisms of RMT are still not well understood, but binding of a specific ligand to its corresponding receptor is believed to induce an endocytic event that triggers the formation of endocytic vesicles. Some of the aforementioned receptors have been functionalized into drug delivery vectors to act as a molecular Trojan horse upregulating the permeability of the BBB to proteins, peptides, gene materials, or drug-loaded colloidal carriers, such as nanoparticles. For instance, Shilo et al qualitatively demonstrated that insulin-targeted gold nanoparticles can cross the BBB after systemic administration.

Gao et al conjugated folate and transferrin to doxorubicin-loaded liposomes to form dual-targeted (transferrin–folate) doxorubicin-loaded liposomes. The amount of doxorubicin that was transported across BBB in the transferrin–folate doxorubicin-loaded lipidosome group was about sevenfold higher than that in the doxorubicin-loaded liposome group, implying that dual-targeting significantly improved the transport of doxorubicin across the BBB. Boado et al fused iduronate 2-sulfatase, a recombinant lysosomal enzyme, to the human insulin receptor monoclonal antibody and found that this strategy could deliver the fusion protein across the BBB at therapeutic levels, while iduronate 2-sulfatase did not cross the BBB at all. Yang et al designed dual peptide-modified liposomes loaded with vascular endothelial growth factor, siRNA, and docetaxel by attaching two receptor-specific peptides, ie, low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein receptor (Angiopep-2) and neuropilin-1 receptor for glioma targeting and BBB penetration. The dual peptide-modified liposomes persisted the binding ability to glioma cells,
showed in four types of glioma cells line the highest uptake compared with those single modified or non-modified liposomes. All these investigations yielded encouraging results for drug delivery and treatment of glioma by traversing the BBB via RMT.

A first-in-human Phase I study of GRN1005, a paclitaxel-Angiopep-2 peptide-drug conjugate that binds to the low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein-1 receptor, has been performed in patients with recurrent glioma. The clinical data show that GRN1005 greatly facilitated the penetration of paclitaxel into tumor tissue, while paclitaxel alone showed no benefit in recurrent glioblastoma multiforme.

RMT has been widely investigated for the delivery of macromolecular pharmaceuticals in the treatment of glioma. Nevertheless, there are still some limitations to be noted. Widespread expression of these receptors in tissues other than the BBB offsets the selectiveness and efficiency of drug delivery. Other limitations include rapid degradation of cargo, a small dissociation rate, and potential toxicity after repeated treatments.

Cell-penetrating peptides
Cell-penetrating peptides (CPPs), also known as protein transduction domains or membrane translocation sequences, represent one of the most promising molecular mechanisms for passive delivery of biologically active molecules into cells. CPPs are cationic or amphipathic peptide sequences that can traverse mammalian plasma membranes and penetrate the BBB. They are attractive for use as molecular delivery vehicles to ferry various therapeutic cargoes into brain tissue in the setting of CNS disease, including glioma.

Since the seminal descriptions of the Tat peptide and penetratin peptide, an increased number of CPPs have been identified from natural proteins or generated as chimeric CPPs and synthetic CPPs. Although these CPPs have been intensively investigated for delivery of different cargoes across biological membranes, the exact mechanism of cellular uptake remains controversial. The endocytic and non-endocytic pathways have both been reported to be responsible for the translocation of CPPs, depending on the CPP used, its concentration, the cell type, and the cargo involved.

To those CPPs that have high affinity for membranes, the non-endocytic pathways occur in a direct penetrating manner without consuming energy. The endocytic pathway begins with adsorption of CPPs at the cell surface, followed by endocytosis at the cell membrane, vesicle formation, endosome formation, and endosomal release.

CPPs show good penetrating ability when carrying molecules. At the same time, they have low cellular toxicity, high efficiency, and an almost unlimited ability to attach to the cell surface. In addition, their capacity for subcellular localization further improves the intracellular trafficking of transported substances, making CPPs ideal for delivery of biologically active molecules, especially molecules with high interest and low permeability, including small molecules, proteins, nucleic acids, and nanoparticles, both in vitro and in vivo. For example, Fu et al demonstrated rapid and specific delivery of Luc into the CNS by RDP, a CPP with 39 amino acid residues derived from the rabies virus glycoprotein. Sharma et al reported that the presence of penetratin significantly promoted the cellular transport of transferrin liposomes encapsulating doxorubicin (approximately 15% crossed the BBB in vitro and 4% crossed it in vivo). Youn et al prepared a myristic acid-conjugated transportan equipped with a transferrin receptor-targeting peptide to stabilize encapsulation of siRNA and target delivery of siRNA into brain cells by overcoming the BBB. The results in murine brain endothelioma and human glioma cell lines clearly indicated both successful siRNA uptake and a functional gene silencing effect. Balzeau et al reported a 6- to 13-fold increase in internalization of lipid nanocapsules by glioblastoma multiforme cells when they bound a tubulin-interacting CPP to lipid nanocapsules loaded with paclitaxel. These studies not only highlight the importance of CPPs for transport of pharmaceuticals across the BBB, but also bring us a step closer to the therapeutic application of CPPs for treating various CNS-related diseases, including glioma.

In spite of the encouraging application of CPPs in drug delivery, there are some underlying limitations. The lack of specific target may disperse the drug transportation into the brain and increase peripheral side effects. The heterogeneity of the various CPPs involved hampers the elucidation of the exact mechanisms involved in the delivery of these peptide molecules. Moreover, the large size of the CPP complex may initiate an immune response. In vivo applications of CPPs can also be limited by certain enzymes that can easily break down these peptides.

Cell-mediated delivery
Use of cells that traffic to sites of tumor pathology as a delivery vehicle for therapeutic agents represents a novel strategy to combat a broad spectrum of diseases, including glioma, and is one of the most exciting frontiers in drug delivery research. This cell-mediated delivery systems emerged with several inherited advantages such as targeted transport, controlled drug
release, decreased drug immunogenicity, and an improved cytotoxicity profile. Recent investigations have focused on use of two cell types, ie, immunocytes and stem cells, as cellular Trojan horses to carry concealed therapeutic cargoes across the impermeable BBB. In particular, two types of stem cells with distinct origins, ie, neural stem cells and mesenchymal stem cells, are especially attractive owing to their excellent tropism toward invasive malignancies within the brain irrespective of the BBB. In addition, these cells are inherently easy to cultivate and transplant, and are innocuous in a variety of applications. To date, cell-mediated delivery systems have been used to deliver a plethora of therapeutic cargoes, including cytokines, enzyme/prodrug combinations, viral particles, nanoparticles, and genes. Aboody et al used an enzyme/prodrug-targeted delivery system involving a human neural stem cell line to target glioma in mice. Their system locally converts the prodrug 5-fluorocytosine to the active chemotherapeutic 5-fluorouracil, enabling delivery of a high concentration of 5-fluorouracil directly in and around the site of the glioma. Lee et al demonstrated in an animal model that mesenchymal stem cells can deliver synthetic exogenous miRNA mimics to glioma cells. Further, Ahmed et al showed that both neural stem cells and mesenchymal stem cells can successfully ferry oncolytic adenovirus (CRAd-S-pk7) across the BBB. These encouraging findings provide compelling evidence of the effectiveness of this type of cell-mediated delivery across the BBB.

Despite the advantages and exciting clinical potential of target cell-mediated systems, some limitations need be considered. The loaded cytotoxic drugs themselves can sometimes damage the cell carriers, which will definitely offset any therapeutic effectiveness. In addition, the limited ability of cells to efficiently load, disintegrate, and release the entrapped therapeutic agents could not be ignored during delivery. Another concern arises from the possibility of gene insertion and the ensuing dysregulation of normal cell function when genetic modification of stem cells is used. Furthermore, the substantial quantities of cells needed has hampered the translation of these promising delivery systems to use in humans. Further research is still necessary to optimize the surface characteristics, multivalent attachment, controlled release, and biocompatibility.

### Current clinical situation and perspective

Each of the strategies outlines above has its own distinct advantages and disadvantages, as summarized in Table 1. To date, these strategies have yielded exciting results in preclinical animal models of glioma, which has encouraged the approval of clinical studies using such regimens. Nevertheless, despite the success seen in some animal models, progress in the clinical setting is still modest when compared with the application of these strategies in other types of tumor, such as ovarian tumors, mammary adenocarcinoma, and oral carcinoma; only marginal effects can be observed, with survival measured in terms of months instead of years.

There are at least five clinical trials currently ongoing which involved the application of nanoparticles (see ClinicalTrials.gov). As a promising way to elicit the specific delivery of drugs, nanoparticles are expected to become part of the next generation of treatments for glioma, although many questions still require extensive investigation. It is notable that several research groups are actively investigating to combine different functions with nanoparticles as platforms for targeting glioma cells. For example, induction of nanoparticles via local hyperthermia is already under Phase

### Table 1 Summary of strategies to enhance the permeability of the blood–brain barrier for treatment of glioma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Principal advantages</th>
<th>Potential problems</th>
<th>Clinical trial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nanotechnology</td>
<td>Sustained release of payload</td>
<td>Rapid removal</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uptake by the tumor parenchyma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperthermia</td>
<td>Easy to execute</td>
<td>Necrosis and higher intracranial pressure</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compatible with drugs</td>
<td>Possible tissue damage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMT</td>
<td>Site-specific</td>
<td>Potential toxicity</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rapid degradation of the cargo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low dissociation rate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPPs</td>
<td>Great penetrating ability</td>
<td>Rapid removal</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low cellular toxicity</td>
<td>Lack of specificity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Initiate immune response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell-mediated delivery</td>
<td>Targeted transport</td>
<td>Cell damage</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Controlled drug release</td>
<td>Larger quantity of cells needed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low cytotoxicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** CPPs, cell-penetrating peptides; RMT, receptor-mediated transport.
II or III study for the treatment of glioma. The data available demonstrate the safety and efficacy of this technique in humans, with an increase in overall survival as compared with a reference population.

Currently, Phase I/II clinical trials of “stem cell therapy for cancer” are underway, including in glioma. Based on encouraging preclinical results, Abody et al. have received approval to conduct the first clinical study of genetically modified neural stem cells in patients with recurrent high-grade glioma.

However, at the same time, the clinical trials of some strategies have yielded unsatisfactory outcomes. Some strategies have failed to have significant benefits, suggesting an urgent need to further optimize these regimens. As a paradigm for RMT systems, GRN1005 showed promising Phase I data (see the Receptor-mediated transport section). However, interim analysis of the Phase II trial showed no CNS responses, so the development of GRN1005 was discontinued. Similarly, TFCRM107 demonstrated an increased median survival time in Phase I and II clinical trials, but an early Phase III clinical trial was terminated due to disappointing preliminary results.

There are several possible explanations for the confusing discrepancy, for example, the difference between the actual microenvironment of tumor cells in patients and that provided by the serum and medium used for cell lines in the laboratory, and the wide heterogeneity of patient tumors, which are classified simply as gliomas in clinical trials. Another important reason relates to the challenges of delivering therapeutic agents not only across the BBB but also into infiltrating tumor cells nestled within normal brain tissue. Further basic and translational research, as well as enrollment of patients in clinical trials, are still necessary to understand and make progress from bench to bedside against this lethal tumor.

Safety profile
Undesirable side effects were not uncommon in either the animal studies or the human trials. For instance, a nanoparticle-related inflammatory response, including substantial neutrophil influx and mortality, was reported at high dose. Transient disruption of the BBB by hyperthermia caused unwanted delivery of anticancer agents to normal brain tissue and also increased intracranial pressure. Encaphalomalacia was reported after injection of TFCRM107, although systemic toxicity was minimal and transient. Indiscriminate cellular uptake of CPPs limited their applications because systemic injection led to their uptake beyond the target tissue, increasing the risk of toxicity and off-target effects. Current stem cell experiments involve millions of stem cells, among which only few can fulfill their designated purpose of migrating across the BBB and surviving at the tumor site. The rest non-migratory stem cells are extraordinary detrimental to the recipient because of the induction of heterogeneous tumor and inflammation.

Conclusion
There is no controversy about the importance of improving drug delivery across the BBB, considering the failure of effective treatments to cure the invasive glioma cells, which are shielded by the BBB. The prognosis in patients with glioma will remain dismal until we identify the “golden finger” to ensure effective delivery of anticancer treatment across the BBB to the tumor cells. The recently introduced delivery strategies described in this review share the ability to enhance the permeability of the BBB in a less invasive or even non-invasive manner, and to deliver therapeutics across the BBB to reach the brain parenchyma. Indeed, no single strategy is powerful enough to offer substantial breakthrough for glioma treatment, so the future application of combined efforts and therapeutic agents might lead to a successful resolution.
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