The impact of hearing loss on language performance in older adults with different stages of cognitive function
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Purpose: The possible relationship between audiometric hearing thresholds and cognitive performance on language tests was analyzed in a cross-sectional cohort of older adults aged ≥65 years (N=98) with different degrees of cognitive impairment.

Materials and methods: Participants were distributed into two groups according to Reisberg’s Global Deterioration Scale (GDS): a normal/predementia group (GDS scores 1–3) and a moderate/moderately severe dementia group (GDS scores 4 and 5). Hearing loss (pure-tone audiometry) and receptive and production-based language function (Verbal Fluency Test, Boston Naming Test, and Token Test) were assessed.

Results: Results showed that the dementia group achieved significantly lower scores than the predementia group in all language tests. A moderate negative correlation between hearing loss and verbal comprehension ($r=0.298; P<0.003$) was observed in the predementia group ($r=0.363; P<0.007$). However, no significant relationship between hearing loss and verbal fluency and naming scores was observed, regardless of cognitive impairment.

Conclusion: In the predementia group, reduced hearing level partially explains comprehension performance but not language production. In the dementia group, hearing loss cannot be considered as an explanatory factor of poor receptive and production-based language performance. These results are suggestive of cognitive rather than simply auditory problems to explain the language impairment in the elderly.
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Introduction

The prevalence of hearing loss in older adults is very high, with up to 30% of men and 20% of women by the age of 70 years and 55% of men and 45% of women over 80 years presenting hearing loss of at least 30 decibels of hearing level (dB HL). This age-related hearing loss first affects higher tones. When mild, it leads to problems following conversations in noisy and reverberant listening situations, progressing to difficulties following any conversation as it becomes more severe, due to problems with the discrimination of words and even voice identification.

Sensory deficits, including hearing loss, are considered one of the major geriatric syndromes because of the important negative impact they generate on individual emotional, physical, and social levels. In fact, hearing loss has been associated with depressive symptoms, impaired communication and social relationships, and increased difficulty in activities of daily living.

Despite the negative functional, psychological, and social consequences of hearing loss, its effects on intellectual/cognitive functioning is not completely clear, although it has been previously discussed.
Early studies demonstrated the association between hearing impairment and dementia in elderly populations, being that hearing loss significantly and independently correlated with the severity of the cognitive dysfunction. In a recent neuroimaging study, peripheral hearing loss was also associated with accelerated brain atrophy in whole brain and regional volumes concentrated in the right temporal lobe. It is not completely clear, however, whether hearing loss has a causative role in cognitive decline, is a modificable risk factor for the development of dementia, or if both the hearing loss and the cognitive decline are parts of a common, general age-related degeneration.

Since the degree of hearing loss appears to be linked to cognitive decline and risk of developing dementia, and might be potentially treatable and modifiable by using hearing aids, the study of the possible relationship between hearing loss and cognitive impairment is of clinical and public health relevance. Taking into account that language is the cognitive function most linked to hearing, we set out to investigate impairment of hearing as an explanatory factor of language impairment in older adults. This work presents a study of the relationship between hearing and language function in older adults with a range of cognitive impairments using standardized audiometric testing protocol and neurocognitive tests, in order to further explore the mechanisms linking hearing loss with cognitive impairment and dementia. To test the language function, both expression/production and oral comprehension were tested, since these capacities have been shown to be good indicators of cognitive impairment and to be affected in dementia patients. Naming and fluency impairment has been previously related to abnormalities in temporal and frontal brain regions and deficits in oral comprehension have been correlated with predominantly left frontal abnormalities. Specifically, to assess language expression/production, the Verbal Fluency Test (VFT) and the short form of the Boston Naming Test (BNT) were administered, and, to assess oral comprehension, a key feature for communication, the Token Test (TT) was used.

Materials and methods
Participants
A comparative, descriptive, cross-sectional study was carried out on a sample of 103 subjects aged ≥65 years from a gerontological complex in A Coruña, Spain. Approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the University of A Coruña and the study was in conformity with the principles embodied in the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants were previously informed and gave their consent to participate in the study, either directly or through their legal representatives. The sample was divided into two groups according to Reisberg’s Global Deterioration Scale (GDS). This scale, which has been proven to be reliable and valid for staging dementia in different settings, describes seven stages of cognitive function from 1 (no subjective complaints of memory deficit) to 7 (very severe cognitive impairment). Thus, using this scoring system, we established a normal/predementia group (GDS scores between 1 and 3; 1= no cognitive decline, 2= very mild cognitive decline, 3= mild cognitive decline) and a moderate/moderately severe dementia group (GDS scores 4 and 5; 4= moderate cognitive decline, 5= moderately severe cognitive decline). The exclusion criteria were: subjects with language disorders generated by a non-dementia-related disorder etiology, such as stroke (aphasia); subjects with hearing aids in order to avoid those variables that might interfere with the natural effect of hearing loss over language performance; and subjects with characteristics incompatible with the administration of the selected screening tests and/or assessment: alteration of visual perception of images and colors, motor ability limitation in the upper extremities, or those classified as GDS level 6 or 7 (severe and very severe cognitive impairment).

Language and hearing assessments
The specific instruments selected to assess language function were the VFT, to specifically assess verbal fluency; the short form of the BNT, to assess confrontation-naming performance; and the TT, to assess verbal comprehension. The instructions were given in auditory mode. Regarding the VFT, participants were asked to name as many things as possible in the “animal category” within 60 seconds. The total score corresponds to the number of correct and non-repeated animals named (lower scores indicating greater cognitive impairment; the cutoff for impairment has been established as <10 points). Regarding the BNT, participants were asked to name the presented pictures (a set of line drawings of 15 common objects), and they were allowed approximately 20 seconds in which to spontaneously name each drawing. If the correct word was not produced in that time, a phonemic cue was given and an additional period of 20 seconds was allowed for a response. The TT requires 20 plastic tokens that vary in color, size, and shape and 62 verbal commands. The participants had to give a gestural response (pointing to or moving tokens) in response to each verbal command. The commands progressively become more difficult according to length of the command, syntactic complexity, and working memory demand. The score was calculated by...
assigning one point for each item answered correctly. The cutoff for impairment is set to 49 points. The tests were presented in the absence of reverberation and background noise.

Since, most commonly, age-related hearing loss is caused by sensorineural factors, only pure-tone average (PTA) was measured in the present paper, excluding conductive hearing loss measures. Auditory acuity was measured in a Sibelmed S-40 soundproof booth (AC-50B audiometer), via pure-tone audiometry for 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 kHz frequency tones presented to the right and left ear. The grades of hearing impairment were classified based on the World Health Organization (WHO) definitions, which take the hearing threshold level as the average of hearing thresholds for the four frequencies (0.5, 1, 2, and 4 kHz) in the better-hearing ear (PTA): a hearing loss of 26–40 dB HL corresponds to a slight impairment; a loss of 41–60 dB HL indicates a moderate impairment; a loss of 61–80 dB HL indicates a severe impairment; and a loss of more than 80 dB HL suggests a profound impairment.

Statistical analysis
All statistical analyses were performed using the PASW Statistics 18 statistical package version 18.0.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The level of significance was defined as P<0.05. Sample characteristics were analyzed using descriptive statistics (mean ± standard deviation) and frequency distributions. Language performance and hearing scores were compared between the predementia and dementia groups by means of Student’s t-test for independent samples. In order to investigate the relationship between hearing loss and language scores, Pearson’s correlation coefficients (r) were computed.

Results
Sample characteristics
In total, 103 subjects met the inclusion criteria but, during the audiometric testing, five subjects (GDS5) were excluded from the study for being unable to comprehend and perform the tests. The final sample consisted of 98 subjects, with 54 (55.1%) meeting the criteria defined for the normal/ predementia group, and 44 (44.9%) for the moderate/ moderately severe dementia group. Five subjects in the predementia group (9.3%) were classified as being without cognitive impairment (GDS1), 23 (24.2%) had very mild cognitive impairment (GDS2), and the remaining 26 (26.8%) suffered from mild cognitive impairment (GDS3). In the dementia group, 28 subjects (63.6%) exhibited moderate cognitive impairment (GDS4) and 16 (36.4%) exhibited moderately severe cognitive impairment (GDS5).

The sociodemographic characteristics of the sample (age, sex, and level of education) are shown in Table 1 as a function of the group (predementia, dementia). There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in mean age (t(96)=-1.323, P=0.189).

Audiometry results revealed that five participants (5.1%) presented normal hearing (PTA <26 dB HL), 31 (31.6%) presented mild hearing loss (PTA 26–40 dB HL), 56 (57.2%) showed moderate loss (PTA 41–60 dB HL), and six (6.1%) presented severe loss (PTA 61–80 dB HL). No subject with profound hearing loss was found. The mean pure-tone audiometry threshold at 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 kHz of the better-hearing ear was 42.5±11.0 dB HL (ranging from 15.0 to 68.7 dB HL) for the predementia group and 44.9±10.4 dB HL (ranging from 23.7 to 62.5 dB HL) for the dementia group, showing that both groups presented moderate hearing impairments based on WHO definitions, in which a PTA exceeding 25 dB HL is considered the level at which hearing loss begins to impair daily communication. As effects of between-group comparisons, Figure 1 displays mean auditory thresholds (dB HL) in the better-hearing ear for the predementia and dementia groups plotted as a function of tone frequency.

Hearing loss and language performance relationship
Table 2 shows audiometric and language tests scores (VFT, BNT, TT) as a function of the degree of cognitive impairment specified by the GDS level. Student’s t-test revealed statistically significant differences between the predementia and dementia groups for all language tests (all P-values <0.0001) but not for audiometric scores (P=0.274).

The possible relationship between hearing loss and language performance was explored by computing the

### Table 1: Demographic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Predementia (n=54)</th>
<th>Dementia (n=44)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age ± SD (range), years</td>
<td>82.4±6.6 (65–94)</td>
<td>84.1±5.7 (66–94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females, n (%)</td>
<td>37 (68.5)</td>
<td>37 (84.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males, n (%)</td>
<td>17 (31.5)</td>
<td>7 (15.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDS score, mean ± SD</td>
<td>2.4±0.6</td>
<td>4.4±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (3.6)</td>
<td>1 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No formal education, but can read, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (16.7)</td>
<td>17 (38.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school, n (%)</td>
<td>28 (51.9)</td>
<td>20 (45.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary school, n (%)</td>
<td>8 (14.8)</td>
<td>3 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University, n (%)</td>
<td>7 (13.0)</td>
<td>3 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** SD, standard deviation; GDS, Global Deterioration Scale.
Pearson correlation. The correlation coefficients and their corresponding P-values are shown in Table 3 as a function of the degree of cognitive impairment.

As can be seen in Table 3, no statistical correlation between hearing loss and language impairment, as measured by the VFT or BNT, was found. Nevertheless, a statistically significant correlation between hearing loss and language comprehension (TT) was observed in the predementia group ($r=-0.363$, $P<0.007$).

A scatterplot describing the strength and direction of this association between the verbal comprehension performance (TT scores) and hearing loss in the predementia group is depicted in Figure 2. As shown in the figure, data lie on a straight line with a negative slope, indicating that, as hearing loss increases, the verbal comprehension performance decreases in this group.

The moderate association ($r=-0.363$) implies that 13.2% ($-0.363^2$) of the variation in TT scores is explained by hearing loss (Cohen’s $d$ effect size =0.78).

**Discussion**

In the present paper, outcomes in measures of language comprehension and production/expression were investigated in older adults with a range of hearing abilities who were divided into predementia and dementia groups, in order to estimate the extent to which language impairment is related to hearing loss and whether this may provide insight into the mechanism by which hearing is related to cognition in general.

**Language and hearing assessments**

Regarding participants’ performance on verbal cognitive tests, the dementia group generated a lower number of evoked words in the semantic fluency task, made more errors in naming pictures of objects, and showed inferior verbal comprehension performance compared to the predementia group. In accordance with these results, we recently observed an inverse relationship between performance on language tests and cognitive impairment level with a more pronounced effect on fluency and comprehension tests.20 It has also been shown that verbal comprehension undergoes some age-related impairment manifested by difficulties in lexical access, syntactic processing, and discourse organization,33 which is aggravated in cognitively declined adults.

Auditory acuity results showed mild-to-moderate hearing impairments according to the WHO standard31 regardless of the degree of cognitive impairment. In fact, our study did not find a significantly higher average level of hearing loss in the dementia group, which has been found in other studies,16,17 possibly because our sample was smaller and there may have been other characteristics that differed from these studies such as the screening criteria used to determine the severity of the cognitive impairment, or audiometric assessments. It is important to note that we only used PTA to assess hearing sensitivity in the present study, and that the possible hearing loss caused by external and middle-ear diseases or by auditory neuropathy cannot be determined.

**Table 2** Audiometric and language scores as a function of Global Deterioration Scale group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Predementia (n=54)</th>
<th>Dementia (n=44)</th>
<th>t (df)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PTA</td>
<td>42.5±11.0</td>
<td>44.9±10.4</td>
<td>−1.100 (96)</td>
<td>0.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFT</td>
<td>9.5±3.8</td>
<td>4.0±2.1</td>
<td>8.509 (96)</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNT</td>
<td>7.7±2.7</td>
<td>4.8±2.0</td>
<td>5.780 (96)</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>48.1±9.5</td>
<td>31.8±10.5</td>
<td>8.069 (96)</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: PTA was of hearing thresholds at 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 kHz in the better-hearing ear (decibels of hearing level). The results are expressed as the mean values ± the standard deviation. **$P<0.001$. 
Abbreviations: PTA, pure-tone average; VFT, Verbal Fluency Test; BNT, Boston Naming Test; TT, Token Test; df, degrees of freedom.
Hearing loss and language impairment relationship

Hearing loss and language comprehension

It has been widely suggested that sensory declines may adversely affect cognitive functions dependent on sensory input. In the present study, greater hearing loss was significantly associated with lower scores on measures of verbal comprehension (TT scores) in the normal/predementia group. It is important to note, at this point, that since the predementia and dementia groups were age-homogeneous, presented a similar degree of hearing loss, and were assessed under similar conditions, the differences between them are unlikely to be confounded by these factors. Possible confounding of age and testing conditions in the relationship between hearing loss and decreased performance in the verbal comprehension task within these groups is therefore reduced.

The finding of a moderate negative association between hearing loss and verbal comprehension is consistent with prior research revealing significant associations between hearing loss and poorer cognitive function on both verbal and nonverbal cognitive tests. This finding suggests that a loss in peripheral hearing sensitivity may partially explain verbal comprehension difficulties in the predementia group, along with a contribution from other cognitive factors, such as working memory and attentional/inhibitory resources and general information processing speed. The lack of significant association between hearing loss and verbal comprehension in the group with moderate/moderately severe dementia suggests that when cognitive impairment increases, the importance of hearing loss on language function becomes smaller.

Understanding syntactically complex sentences such as those in the TT implies demands of cognitive resources, and the greater perceptual effort due to hearing loss appears to affect this, with subsequent diminished performance. A number of different groups have shown that listening comprehension problems in the elderly are due to hearing loss and cognition to varying degrees depending on the situation. Indeed, older adults with normal cognition can use contextual information and their own knowledge to compensate for their deficiencies in auditory perception.

Since only cross-sectional data were analyzed, it cannot be definitely concluded that hearing loss is an inducer of comprehension deterioration in the predementia group or whether there is a shared cause of the two. Based on previous studies and in our own results, it can be concluded that, at least when mild-to-moderate hearing loss is present, there might be some other causes of cognitive origin, such as a slowing down in processing rates or deficits in working memory, or selective attention, in addition to hearing impairment to explain the language difficulties in older adults. Indeed, hearing loss may interact with other risk factors of cognitive impairment.

It is important to note that the present study relied on pure-tone audiometry, which has been considered as a
measure mainly reflecting peripheral hearing loss without higher auditory cortical processing. However, central auditory dysfunction has been shown to be evident in subjects with even mild hearing loss, and it has been recently demonstrated that hearing loss also affects neural systems supporting speech comprehension in older adults, with even moderate declines in peripheral auditory acuity leading to a systematic downregulation of neural activity (primary auditory cortex, thalamus, and brainstem). Based on these results, problems in central auditory processing may be a mediator in the observed language impairment.

**Hearing loss and language production expres/sion**

No significant relationships between hearing loss and impaired verbal fluency and naming ability were found in the present study, regardless of the degree of cognitive impairment. It is important to take into account that these cognitive tests do not rely heavily on presentation of auditory information, and are mainly language production measures. Thus, the modality and the type of test material may partially explain the present findings. In fact, the comprehension of language is crucial to correctly perform the TT, in which verbal instructions that become progressively more difficult are given, and that imply the understanding of the semantic significance of each word.

In regards to verbal fluency, in a previous study exploring verbal retrieval/fluency in older adults with acquired sensorineural hearing impairment, no significant association between hearing loss and category fluency performance was found, but this association was present in letter fluency, suggesting a less flexible phonology in subjects with hearing loss. This kind of result suggests that letter fluency is more sensitive to acquired hearing loss than category fluency as employed in the present study.

It has been shown that the association between hearing loss and lower performance on cognitive tests is weaker when nonverbal, visually presented tests of memory and attention are administered. In this context, it has been suggested that the cognitive ability of older people with hearing loss can be underestimated if they are assessed through verbal memory tests; similarly, some cases of hearing loss can be mistakenly diagnosed as dementia.

Three main classical and possibly interrelated hypotheses have been offered to explain the relationship between sensory and cognitive functioning in the elderly: the sensory deprivation hypothesis, the aging-induced cognitive load hypothesis of sensory performance, and the common cause hypothesis. The sensory deprivation hypothesis states that sensory degradation of sensory input interferes with cognitive efficacy. The cognitive load explanation interferes with cognitive efficacy. The cognitive load explanation suggests that, as hearing loss occurs, greater cognitive resources are dedicated to auditory perceptual processing to the detriment of other cognitive processes. Further, the common cause hypothesis explains the age-related differences in sensory and cognitive function as the outcome of degenerative processes in neural structures. Recently, a new explanation has been offered to account for this association attending to decreased social engagement. In fact, presenting hearing loss tends to isolate people from others, and being socially isolated has been considered as a risk factor for cognitive decline and dementia.

In the present paper, the relationship between hearing loss and cognitive functioning was different across the types of language tests employed and between the predementia and dementia groups, thus the results do not support the common cause hypothesis. In fact, greater hearing loss was significantly associated with lower scores on measures of verbal comprehension (and not on the productive measures such as fluency and naming tasks), and only in the predementia group. Based on these findings, it could be expected that the correction of hearing impairment by the use of hearing aids would not significantly ameliorate the cognitive language dysfunction observed in the dementia group, but possibly would improve social, emotional, and communicative functions and general quality of life. It is important to note at this point that, in the present study, the groups were dichotomized based on the GDS (predementia vs dementia), and it would also be interesting to explore the impact of hearing loss on language performance separately in groups with no cognitive impairment, mild cognitive impairment, and dementia.

The cognitive load hypothesis is difficult to probe in the present study since both groups showed similar mild-to-moderate hearing loss, and thus similar perception difficulties, besides significant differences in language performance. Finally, the mediation of social isolation factors cannot be discarded since social networks were not explored in our study. Thus, it cannot be completely discarded that auditory function plays an indirect role through its effects on social relations.

**Conclusion**

Our results suggest that, in the group with less cognitive impairment (normal/predementia group), hearing loss has a moderate association with language comprehension; however, when cognitive impairment increases (moderate/moderately severe dementia group), the importance of cognitive factors becomes greater. Thus, while hearing loss explains some comprehension problems in older adults, it cannot explain other
language problems. Other causes are likely to be of generalized cognitive origin. This agrees with other studies on the relative contribution of hearing and distributed cognitive functions such as processing speed, working memory, and selective attention to language abilities in older adults. As hearing loss was not related to productive language difficulties, the results do not support the hypothesis that mild-to-moderate hearing loss directly contributes to generalized cognitive impairment. Overall, our results are suggestive of cognitive rather than simply auditory problems to explain the language impairment in the elderly. These findings contribute to a further understanding of the relation between hearing impairment and cognition and may have important implications on the screening and diagnosis of cognitive decline in older people with a hearing impairment. However, future studies including a wider range of hearing loss, central auditory processing tests, and neuropsychological instruments exploring attention and executive processes would be necessary to establish robust conclusions on this topic.
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