The human immunodeficiency virus protease inhibitor ritonavir is potentially active against urological malignancies
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Abstract: The human immunodeficiency virus protease inhibitor ritonavir has recently been shown to have antineoplastic activity, and its use in urological malignancies is under investigation with an eye toward drug repositioning. Ritonavir is thought to exert its antineoplastic activity by inhibiting multiple signaling pathways, including the Akt and nuclear factor-kappaB pathways. It can increase the amount of unfolded proteins in the cell by inhibiting both the proteasome and heat shock protein 90. Combinations of ritonavir with agents that increase the amount of unfolded proteins, such as proteasome inhibitors, histone deacetylase inhibitors, or heat shock protein 90 inhibitors, therefore, induce endoplasmic reticulum stress cooperatively and thereby kill cancer cells effectively. Ritonavir is also a potent cytochrome P450 3A4 and P-glycoprotein inhibitor, increasing the intracellular concentration of combined drugs by inhibiting their degradation and efflux from cancer cells and thereby enhancing their antineoplastic activity. Furthermore, ritonavir’s antineoplastic activity includes modulation of immune system activity. Therapies using ritonavir are thus an attractive new approach to cancer treatment and, due to their novel mechanisms of action, are expected to be effective against malignancies that are refractory to current treatment strategies. Further investigations using ritonavir are expected to find new uses for clinically available drugs in the treatment of urological malignancies as well as many other types of cancer.
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Introduction

New anticancer agents have been developed in an effort to improve treatment outcome in patients with advanced metastatic urological malignancies. Targeted therapies using tyrosine kinase inhibitors and inhibitors of the mammalian target of rapamycin have been replacing immunotherapy in the treatment of renal cancer, and the agents docetaxel, cabazitaxel, enzalutamide, and abiraterone have been used to treat castration-resistant prostate cancer. These treatments are innovative and have contributed to the improved survival of patients. In urothelial carcinoma, on the other hand, there have been no new therapeutic agents significantly improving survival; the cisplatin–gemcitabine combination is of limited efficacy but is still a mainstay in the treatment of metastatic disease. Because there is still no curative treatment for advanced urological malignancies, there is an urgent need for new agents or new combination therapies using agents currently available. Drug repositioning has recently emerged as an attractive strategy for finding candidate anticancer drugs among the existing drugs, and some noncancer drugs have been shown to be potent anticancer agents. Ritonavir is a human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) protease inhibitor approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and widely used for the...
treatment of HIV infection. Its repositioning as an anticancer drug, however, has been suggested by the results of recent studies showing that ritonavir has antineoplastic effects such as induction of apoptosis and inhibition of inflammatory cytokine production, proteasome activity, and cell proliferation and survival. In this article, the anticancer activity of ritonavir and the underlying mechanism of action, as a single agent and in combination with other agents, are reviewed, with a focus on ritonavir’s possible use in treating urological malignancies.

**Ritonavir’s mechanisms of action**

Ritonavir’s mechanisms of action include inhibition of the proteasome; inhibition of heat shock protein 90 (HSP90), cytochrome P450 3A4 (CYP3A4), and P-glycoprotein; and modulation of immune system activity. Inhibition of the proteasome and HSP90 causes unfolded proteins to accumulate and thereby induces endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, whereas inhibition of CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein increases the intracellular concentration of other drugs. Ritonavir may also act against malignancies by enhancing immune system activity (Figure 1).

**Ritonavir acts as a proteasome inhibitor**

Protein degradation by the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway affects the proliferation and survival of both normal and malignant cells, so proteasome inhibitors have been utilized in the treatment of malignancies. Bortezomib is widely used to treat patients with relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma, and carfilzomib is a new oral proteasome inhibitor that has been approved by the FDA for the treatment of multiple myeloma patients who have received at least two prior therapies including bortezomib. On the other hand, the efficacy of proteasome inhibitors is limited in patients with solid tumors. In an effort to ameliorate bortezomib’s efficacy in urological malignancies, combination therapies using bortezomib and a histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor, either suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA) or panobinostat, have been investigated. These studies demonstrated that the combinations induced robust ER stress and killed cancer cells synergistically.

Although ritonavir is an HIV protease inhibitor, it has been shown to also act as a proteasome inhibitor. Gaedicke et al focused on ritonavir’s ability to inhibit the chymotrypsin-like
activity of isolated 20S proteasomes and showed that ritonavir inhibited the growth of murine lymphoma cells both in vitro and in vivo by acting like a proteasome inhibitor. Laurent et al.\textsuperscript{29} showed that in glioma cells, ritonavir had cytostatic and cytotoxic effects due to inhibition of the chymotrypsin-like activity of the proteasome. In that study, however, ritonavir failed to inhibit the tumor growth in vivo because the therapeutic dose level was not reached in the tumor.

Inhibition of the transcription factor nuclear factor (NF)-kappaB is thought to be one of the important consequences of proteasome inhibition by ritonavir because proteasome inhibitors cause the NF-kappaB inhibitor IkappaB to accumulate in the cell by inhibiting its proteasome-dependent degradation.\textsuperscript{29,30} Pati et al.\textsuperscript{31} reported the antineoplastic activity of ritonavir evaluated in experiments using Kaposi sarcoma cells both in vitro and in vivo. They found that ritonavir inhibited NF-kappaB activity and concluded that it had antineoplastic effects independent of its ability to inhibit the HIV protease. Ritonavir was also shown to inhibit transcriptional activation of NF-kappaB in adult T-cell leukemia cells, resulting in inhibition of the Bcl-xL, survivin, c-Myc, and cyclin D2 expression in them.\textsuperscript{32} Inhibition of NF-kappaB by ritonavir in a non-Hodgkin lymphoma was also reported.\textsuperscript{33}

**Ritonavir inhibits HSP90**

Inhibition of HSP90 destabilizes the cancer cell’s aberrant protein subset\textsuperscript{34} and thereby increases unfolded proteins in the cell. This causes ER stress, and chronic or unresolved ER stress leads to apoptosis.\textsuperscript{35} Inhibition of HSP90 is therefore an attractive new strategy against cancer. The geldanamycin derivative 17-allylamino-17-demethoxygeldanamycin (17-AAG) was the first HSP90 inhibitor to be used clinically.\textsuperscript{36} Its efficacy has been investigated in patients with renal cancer,\textsuperscript{37} prostate cancer,\textsuperscript{38} melanoma,\textsuperscript{39} and breast cancer,\textsuperscript{40} but no objective responses were seen in those studies. One of the reasons for this low effectiveness of 17-AAG is that the unrepaired unfolded proteins could be degraded by the proteasome and not accumulated in the cell. Therefore, both HSP90 and the proteasome would have to be inhibited to produce enough ER stress to cause apoptosis. Ritonavir binds HSP90 and partially inhibits its chaperone function,\textsuperscript{41} but unlike 17-AAG, it also inhibits the proteasome.\textsuperscript{27,28} Ritonavir would therefore theoretically be the ideal agent to induce ER stress. Kraus el al.\textsuperscript{42} showed that ritonavir increased the level of ER stress induced by bortezomib enough to cause apoptosis in bortezomib-resistant sarcoma cells, and Sato et al.\textsuperscript{43} showed that a ritonavir–bortezomib combination enhanced ER stress and ubiquitinated unfolded protein accumulation synergistically in renal cancer cells. Interestingly, ritonavir was revealed to enhance 17-AAG activity by inhibiting the 17-AAG-induced expression of heat shock factor-1, an HSP transcription factor. Ritonavir thus enhances 17-AAG activity, leading to thorough HSP90 suppression.\textsuperscript{44}

It has been reported that HSP90 interacts with Akt and that inhibition of Akt-HSP90 binding leads to the dephosphorylation and inactivation of Akt.\textsuperscript{45} Therefore, another important consequence of HSP90 inhibition by ritonavir would be inhibition of the Akt signaling pathway. Ritonavir reportedly inhibited the Akt signaling pathway in breast cancer cells,\textsuperscript{46} ovarian cancer cells,\textsuperscript{47} and pancreatic cancer cells.\textsuperscript{47}

**Ritonavir interferes with drug degradation mechanisms in cancer cells**

Ritonavir inhibits CYP3A4 in liver microsomes. Ikezoe et al.\textsuperscript{48} showed that ritonavir increased the antitumor activity of docetaxel in DU145 prostate cancer cells by protecting docetaxel from inactivation in the cells. Oostendorp et al.\textsuperscript{49} clinically tried the combination of ritonavir and docetaxel in patients with solid tumor. On days 1 and 8 they gave the patients 10 mg or 100 mg oral docetaxel and 100 mg oral ritonavir, either simultaneously or 60 minutes before the docetaxel, and this was followed by 100 mg intravenous docetaxel on day 15 or 22. The combination was well tolerated, and ritonavir was shown to significantly enhance the bioavailability of docetaxel. Sato et al.\textsuperscript{50} showed in renal cancer cells that ritonavir enhances the activity of the HDAC inhibitor panobinostat, which is a substrate of CYP3A4.\textsuperscript{51} Ritonavir also inhibits P-glycoprotein, a drug-efflux pump associated with multidrug resistance.\textsuperscript{52} Therefore, ritonavir could increase the concentrations of combined drugs, thus enhancing their activity, by inhibiting their degradation and efflux from the cell. A matter of great concern is that ritonavir could also inhibit CYP3A4 in the liver, increasing the serum concentrations of combined drugs and leading to adverse events. Hamberg et al.\textsuperscript{53} conducted a clinical study in which panobinostat was administered in combination with the CYP3A4 inhibitor ketoconazole. They concluded that coadministration of panobinostat with CYP3A4 inhibitors is feasible because the observed increase in pharmacokinetics parameters (maximum serum concentration increased 1.6-fold and area under the curve increased 1.8-fold) was not clinically relevant. Inhibiting CYP3A4 activity thus does not necessarily cause severe adverse events. However, careful monitoring of the serum concentrations of combined drugs is mandatory for the patients’ safety.
Ritonavir’s antineoplastic activity includes modulation of immune system activity

Ritonavir acts against acquired immunodeficiency syndrome by decreasing plasma viremia and thereby increasing CD4+ T-cell counts. Interestingly, ritonavir has also been shown to increase lymphocyte levels itself. Weichold et al. found that it increases the viability of peripheral blood mononuclear cells, decreases their susceptibility to apoptosis, and decreases their production of tumor necrosis factor. Similarly, Sloand et al. showed that ritonavir decreases CD4+ T-cell apoptosis by inhibiting caspase 1 expression independent of HIV infection, which could increase the number of CD4+ T cells. CD4+ T cells are important in tumor immunity because they are needed to sustain the cytolytic CD8+ T cells, which can kill cancer cells, and because they recruit cells of the innate immune system, such as macrophages and mast cells. Ritonavir is thus thought to enhance tumor immunity and act against cancer by increasing the number of CD4+ T cells.

Experimental use of ritonavir to treat urological malignancies

In our laboratory at National Defense Medical College we have been investigating the antineoplastic activity of ritonavir in studies focusing on its possible use to treat urological malignancies such as renal cancer, prostate cancer, and bladder cancer. Although ritonavir alone is effective in some cancer cell lines, it exerts very strong anticancer activity when combined with agents such as proteasome inhibitors and HDAC inhibitors. These combinations are especially attractive because they generally induce drastic ER stress, which has recently been shown to be useful in the treatment of malignancies.

Ritonavir as a single agent

Using human renal cancer cell lines, we have previously shown in vitro that ritonavir itself induces ER stress, evidenced by the increased expression of the ER stress markers such as glucose-regulated protein 78 and HSP70 and that it inhibits the growth of cancer cells in a dose-dependent fashion. This ER stress and growth inhibition are thought to be due to the ability of ritonavir to inhibit both HSP90 and the proteasome. In vivo, however, ritonavir as a single agent significantly suppressed tumor growth in a murine allograft tumor model using the mouse renal cancer cell line Renca (Figure 2) but not in xenograft models. These results, together with those of the in vivo study using glioma cells, indicate that in human solid tumors the effectiveness of ritonavir as a single agent is limited.

Ritonavir in combination with proteasome inhibitors

Proteasome inhibitors inhibit the degradation of unfolded proteins and therefore cause them to accumulate and induce ER stress. Because ritonavir inhibits HSP90 and increases the amount of unfolded proteins in the cell, the combination of ritonavir and a proteasome inhibitor is expected to cause drastic accumulation of unfolded proteins and, thereby, drastic ER stress. Figure 3 shows photomicrographs of PC-3 human prostate cancer cells treated with the combination of ritonavir and the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib. The combination killed PC-3 cells drastically (most of the cells are floating), whereas each individual agent had a minimal effect at the indicated concentrations. The ritonavir–bortezomib combination was shown in mice xenograft tumor models to suppress tumor growth significantly and shown in vitro to kill renal cancer cells synergistically. It also induced ER stress and ubiquitinated unfolded protein accumulation synergistically. A beneficial effect of combining ritonavir and bortezomib was also found in an in vitro study using human bladder cancer cells, where the combination decreased the expression of cyclin D1 and cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK)4 and increased the expression of p21, inhibiting both the expression and function of the cyclin D1/CDK4 complex. In that study, the combination induced ER stress, caused ubiquitinated proteins to accumulate, and enhanced
histone acetylation synergistically by decreasing the expression of HDACs. We have shown in vitro that ritonavir combined with carfilzomib, a novel proteasome inhibitor that inhibits proteasomal activity irreversibly, inhibits human renal cancer growth synergistically by inducing ER stress and autophagy, and studies using ritonavir and the novel proteasome inhibitor delanzomib are currently underway at our laboratory.

**Ritonavir in combination with HDAC inhibitors**

The combination of ritonavir and HDAC inhibitors is also an attractive approach to killing cancer cells effectively. Levels of histone acetylation reflect the balance between the activities of histone acetyltransferases and HDACs, and the acetylation and deacetylation of histones play important roles in the regulation of gene transcription. Their deacetylation, for example, tightens their interaction with DNA, resulting in a closed chromatin structure and inhibiting gene transcription. HDACs are associated with a number of cellular oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes leading to development of malignancy, so compounds that target HDACs have generated great interest as anticancer drugs. HDAC inhibitors basically exert their antineoplastic activity by inducing histone acetylation, but they have another important mechanism of action: inhibition of HSP90. Many HDAC inhibitors inhibit HDAC6, and ablation of HDAC6 induces hyperacetylation of HSP90, disrupting its chaperone function. Ritonavir acts not only as an HSP90 inhibitor and a proteasome inhibitor but also as a CYP3A4 inhibitor and P-glycoprotein inhibitor. It is therefore expected to further increase the amount of unfolded proteins due to HDAC inhibitors and also to enhance the activity of HDAC inhibitors by inhibiting their degradation or efflux from the cell. The combination of ritonavir and the HDAC inhibitor SAHA was shown to inhibit human renal cancer growth synergistically in vitro. It inhibited the expression of the inhibitor of apoptosis family members X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein and survivin and increased the expression of active caspase 3, thus inducing apoptosis. It also promoted histone acetylation, suggesting that ritonavir enhanced the activity of SAHA. The efficacy of ritonavir in
combination with the HDAC inhibitor panobinostat was also investigated. That combination induced caspase-dependent apoptosis and inhibited human renal cancer growth both in vitro and in vivo by enhancing histone acetylation. This enhancement was thought to be in part due to CYP3A4 inhibition by ritonavir because panobinostat has been shown to be a CYP3A4 substrate. Interestingly, the combination decreased the expression of HDACs, which would be another mechanism enhancing histone acetylation.

**Ritonavir in combination with 17-AAG**

Combining the HSP90 inhibitor 17-AAG with ritonavir is a reasonable approach because the combination could inhibit HSP90 function cooperatively. In fact, the combination of 17-AAG and ritonavir caused apoptosis and inhibited the growth of human renal cancer cells effectively in vitro. It inhibited the expression of cyclin D1, CDK4, survivin, and X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein. Although 17-AAG inhibits HSP90, it generally increases the expression of HSPs, and this could attenuate the effect of 17-AAG. Ritonavir was found in that study to suppress 17-AAG-induced HSP expression by inhibiting the expression of heat shock factor-1, an HSP transcription factor. Thus ritonavir enhanced 17-AAG activity, leading to thorough HSP90 suppression.

**Future perspectives**

Ritonavir has been shown to be potentially active against cancer. Because its antineoplastic activity is much stronger when ritonavir is combined with specific agents, future investigations should be devoted to finding the drug combination with the highest efficacy. Combining ritonavir with agents that increase the amount of unfolded proteins in the cell (eg, proteasome inhibitors, HDAC inhibitors, and HSP90 inhibitors) is a promising approach because the resulting combinations would be expected to increase the amount of unfolded proteins and thereby induce ER stress leading to apoptosis. Agents that are substrates of CYP3A4 and/or P-glycoprotein are also good candidates because their intracellular concentration can be increased by ritonavir. Most importantly, ritonavir’s mechanisms do not act separately. Bortezomib, for example, is thought not only to enhance ER stress in combination with ritonavir but at its increased concentration due to ritonavir’s inhibition of CYP3A4 further to enhance the ER stress. Clinical trials using ritonavir-containing regimens are also important. Because ritonavir could affect CYP3A4 in the liver as well as in cancer cells, Phase I study with careful drug monitoring is mandatory. Furthermore, we have shown that ritonavir-containing regimens are effective against cancers with very different characteristics: renal cancer, prostate cancer, and bladder cancer. This suggests that ritonavir-containing regimens work irrespective of cancer type and that investigating the effects of ritonavir-containing combinations on other types of cancer would be a promising next step.

**Conclusion**

The HIV protease inhibitor ritonavir is potentially an anticancer agent. Its mechanisms of action include inhibition of the proteasome, inhibition of HSP90, inhibition of CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein, and modulation of immune system activity. In urological cancer cells, ritonavir exerts strong anticancer activity when combined with proteasome inhibitors, HDAC inhibitors, or an HSP90 inhibitor. Further studies exploring more effective drugs to be combined with ritonavir are underway. Ritonavir-containing regimens should also be clinically tried in patients with advanced urological malignancies because they act by mechanisms completely different from those of the currently available noncurative treatments. Ritonavir is thus a promising anticancer agent, and investigation of its use in urological malignancies as well as other types of cancer is strongly encouraged.
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