Patient-reported outcomes after discontinuation of long-term topical corticosteroid treatment for atopic dermatitis: a targeted cross-sectional survey
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Introduction

The prevalence of atopic dermatitis (AD) has dramatically increased over recent decades.¹ In Japan, the average reported age of patients with AD has increased, and some patients experience severe and refractory symptoms.² Topical corticosteroid (TCS) treatment is widely prescribed for AD, but may cause skin atrophy, tachyphylaxis, and exacerbation of symptoms after discontinuation of long-term use.³⁵

The Guidelines for the Management of Atopic Dermatitis in 2000 published by the Japanese Dermatological Association recommend TCS treatment as first-line therapy for AD, combined with secondary treatments such as skin hydration, elimination of aggravating factors, and immunosuppressant medications.⁶ Although the current Japanese treatment guidelines discourage long-term TCS use, especially on the face,⁷ discontinuation may be difficult. Severe exacerbation of symptoms such as rosacea-like dermatitis has been reported after long-term use and discontinuation of TCS in Japanese patients,⁸¹⁰ and in other populations.¹¹⁻¹⁴ However, no systematic review is reported for TCS use in AD patients in the Cochrane Library, and durations...
of randomized placebo-controlled trials for TCS use in AD patients are all short (mostly up to 20 weeks; 44 weeks at the longest)\textsuperscript{13} and do not focus on withdrawal outcome (including exacerbation). Under such circumstances, some AD patients have refused to apply TCS,\textsuperscript{16,17} and they tend to be considered as having corticosteroid phobia in medical practice.\textsuperscript{18} Only a few studies have focused on patient-reported outcomes in AD patients who experienced exacerbation of symptoms after discontinuation of TCS use.\textsuperscript{16,17}

This study aimed to conduct a large-scale questionnaire survey targeting adult AD patients who had difficulty using TCS or preferred to avoid TCS, to evaluate short- and long-term outcomes after discontinuation of TCS use.

**Subjects and methods**

A mail survey was conducted from April 2006 to March 2007, targeting AD patients aged ≥16 years who had difficulty using TCS or preferred to avoid TCS. The questionnaires were distributed by 24 doctors who did not recommend TCS as first-line therapy for patients who preferred to avoid TCS. Questionnaires were distributed to 1,812 patients, who were asked to return the questionnaires by mail.

The questionnaire (see Figure S1) was constructed by Takahashi-Ando, together with medical doctors and AD patients. The following data were collected for each patient: sex, age, past TCS use, duration of TCS use, current TCS use, past and current tacrolimus ointment use, past discontinuation of TCS use, exacerbation of symptoms after discontinuation of TCS use, limitations to daily activities because of AD at the time of the worst symptoms and at the time of the survey, what improved the symptoms of AD if they were under control, and difficult experiences with medical treatment and consulting medical experts (see Figure S1).

Stata/SE (v13.0 for Windows; StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Binary logistic regression was used to estimate odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for binary response variables measured in the survey. Ordinal logistic regression was used to estimate OR and 95% CI for ordinal response variables. Wald tests were used to estimate \( P \)-values to test the null hypothesis of no association between response and explanatory variables. Contrasts were used to compare the effects of the five categories of duration of TCS use on severity of exacerbation. The contrasts were based on comparing each category with the observation-weighted mean of subsequent categories. The proportional odds assumption for ordinal regression was tested using a likelihood ratio test.

**Results**

Completed questionnaires were received from 918 respondents (393 males, 523 females, two unknown). The age of distribution of respondents was as follows: 16–20 years, 8.4%; 21–30 years, 41.5%; 31–40 years, 38.8%; 41–50 years, 8.4%; ≥51 years, 2.4%; and unknown, 0.5%.

TCS use at any time was reported by 97.7% of the respondents (897/918). Duration of TCS use was as follows: <1 year, 7.7%; 1–5 years, 23.5%; 5–10 years, 22.7%; 10–20 years, 25.8%; >20 years, 14.6%; unknown, 5.7%. Among respondents who reported TCS use, 92.3% (828/897) had experienced discontinuation of TCS use. 83.2% (746/897) were not current users of TCS, 53.4% (479/897) had ever used tacrolimus ointment, and 10.1% (91/897) were current users of tacrolimus ointment.

Among respondents who had experienced discontinuation of TCS use, 63.9% (529/828) reported experiencing their most severe AD symptoms ever immediately after discontinuation (Table 1). Figure 1 shows that a longer duration of TCS use was associated with greater exacerbation of symptoms after discontinuation. Statistical analysis showed a 27% increased odds of reporting more severe exacerbation of symptoms by increasing duration of TCS use (OR: 1.27, 95% CI: 1.12–1.44, \( P<0.001 \)). There was no evidence of non-proportionality of odds across the categories of the response \( (P=0.28) \). We also investigated whether the effect of duration of TCS use on severity of exacerbation after discontinuation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity of exacerbation after discontinuation of TCS use</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Current TCS use*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes: n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Most severe symptoms ever</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>42 (7.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Substantial exacerbation</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>25 (16.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Moderate exacerbation</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>23 (26.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. No change in symptoms</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>15 (33.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Other/unknown</td>
<td>10/3</td>
<td>3 (23.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Odds of reporting current TCS use decreased by 47% for each increase in severity category (OR: 0.53, 95% CI: 0.44–0.65, \( P<0.001 \); excluding other/unknown).

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; TCS, topical corticosteroids.
is confounded by age in a multivariable ordinal logistic regression. Results showed that the duration of TCS use is not confounded by age (OR changed minimally from 1.27 to 1.24) and that age is not an independent predictor of severity of exacerbation \((P=0.12)\).

In an additional analysis, we investigated further the relationship between duration of TCS use and severity of exacerbation after discontinuation by performing contrasts between the five categories of duration. Results showed that <1 year duration is statistically different from durations of >1 year \((P<0.0001)\); 1–5 years duration may be different from durations >5 years \((P=0.058)\); however, there was no evidence of a difference between durations longer than 5 years \((P>0.4)\).

Patients who experienced more severe exacerbation after discontinuation of TCS use were significantly less likely to be current users of TCS and tacrolimus ointment than those who experienced less severe exacerbation (Tables 1 and S1). Statistical analysis showed that increasing severity of exacerbation of symptoms immediately after discontinuation of TCS use was associated with a 47\% decreased odds of current use of TCS \((OR: 0.53, 95\% CI: 0.44–0.65, P<0.001)\) and a 23\% decreased odds of current use of tacrolimus \((OR: 0.77, 95\% CI: 0.60–0.98, P=0.035; Table S1)\).

Among respondents who reported their most severe AD symptoms ever immediately after discontinuation of TCS use, 86.2\% (456/529) were not current users of either TCS or tacrolimus ointment. In these subjects, limitations to daily activities because of AD were evaluated (Table 2). At the time of their worst symptoms (immediately after discontinuation of TCS use), 37.3\% reported difficulty with indoor movement and only 5.0\% reported no limitations to any of the activities surveyed. At the time of the survey, 48.5\% reported no limitations to any of the activities.

### Table 2 Limitations to daily activities because of AD among AD patients who reported their most severe AD symptoms after TCS discontinuation and no current use of TCS nor tacrolimus ointment \(n=456\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>At the time of worst symptoms</th>
<th>Current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indoor movement</td>
<td>170 (37.3%)</td>
<td>16 (3.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaving the home</td>
<td>364 (79.8%)</td>
<td>134 (29.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of public transportation</td>
<td>305 (66.9%)</td>
<td>91 (20.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commuting*</td>
<td>325 (71.3%)</td>
<td>180 (39.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None of the above</td>
<td>23 (5.0%)</td>
<td>221 (48.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Subjects who did not commute were asked to imagine what it would be like to commute. Multiple answers were allowed.

Abbreviations: AD, atopic dermatitis; TCS, topical corticosteroids.

Among those whose symptoms were under control \((n=466)\), the following answers were obtained for the reasons of improvement (multiple choice): spontaneous recovery \((n=64)\), change of environment \((n=57)\), change of diet \((n=180)\), exercise \((n=61)\), use of TCS or tacrolimus ointment \((n=19)\), avoidance of TCS use \((n=310)\), herbal treatments or other alternative remedies \((n=151)\), and other \((n=107)\). There was an association between ‘avoidance of TCS use’ and AD symptoms immediately after discontinuation, with 79.0\% (226/286) of those who experienced their worst symptoms ever after discontinuation, 68.4\% (54/79) of those who experienced substantial exacerbation, 51.2\% (21/41) of those who experienced moderate exacerbation, and 15.0\% (3/20) of those who experienced no change, considering ‘avoidance of TCS use’ to be a reason for improvement of their symptoms. Statistical analysis showed that increasing severity of exacerbation of symptoms immediately after discontinuation of TCS use is associated with a more than two-fold increased odds of reporting ‘avoidance of TCS’ as one of the reasons for improvement of symptoms \((OR: 2.2, 95\% CI: 1.7–2.8, P<0.001)\).

Many patients listed difficulties they had experienced in consulting medical experts and using medical treatments, including: long waiting time prior to consultation, short consultation time, no explanation of how to use medications, medical experts unwilling to listen to patients’ experiences, and unwanted treatments being recommended.

### Discussion

This is the first large-scale study focusing on self-reported short- and long-term outcomes in adult AD patients after discontinuation of TCS use. The severity of exacerbation of symptoms was significantly correlated with the length of TCS use. Our findings are consistent with those of a previous study that reported a correlation between duration of TCS
use and exacerbation of facial AD after discontinuation.\(^\text{19}\) Interestingly, the effect of discontinuing TCS use on severity of exacerbation increased with increasing durations of use over the first 5 years but then appeared to plateau, suggesting at least a partial explanation as to why some patients experienced TCS-induced withdrawal exacerbation, while others did not.

In Japan, it is believed that major reasons for stopping TCS are exaggerated information of adverse effects made by the media and sellers of unproven alternatives to TCS,\(^\text{20}\) and they were simply taken as corticosteroid phobia. However, this study found that those who experienced more severe aggravation after discontinuation were significantly less likely to be using TCS at the time of the survey (\(P<0.001\)), indicating that reluctance to use TCS may be associated with the patient’s own experience of TCS use. Thus, when a patient is reluctant to use TCS, physicians should consider the possibility that he/she has experienced TCS-induced adverse effects.

According to comments in the free description space on the questionnaire, many patients experienced lower effectiveness of TCS after continuous use, which induced them to discontinue TCS. Symptoms such as intense pruritus, redness, burning, and edema after discontinuation of TCS use were severe enough to induce suicidal thoughts in some subjects. Respondents reported symptoms consistent with the previously reported ‘red skin syndromes’\(^\text{11}\) or rosacea-like dermatitis.\(^\text{13,14}\) Many patients experienced severe exacerbation not only on their face but also other areas of their body, and in some cases, symptoms spread to areas where patients had never applied TCS, suggesting that their symptoms were not simple rosacea-like dermatitis, which typically localizes on the face. Dermatitis occurring on areas where patients never applied TCS could possibly be attributed to corticosteroids being absorbed and systemically distributed. Such cases of dermatitis have also been reported by patients using inhaled corticosteroids\(^\text{21}\) or orally administered corticosteroids.\(^\text{22}\)

Many of these patients recovered eventually, and believed that continuing to avoid TCS use was necessary for recovery. Many also expressed frustration that conventional doctors pressured them to continue TCS use. Such patients may stop consulting conventional doctors, and may therefore be underrepresented in some clinical studies. The majority of these patients were not using tacrolimus ointment to control their symptoms.

A limitation of this study is potential selection bias. The response rate was 51%, which could induce selection bias, although the rate is typical for a mail survey. As this study focused on adult AD patients who had difficulty using TCS or preferred to avoid TCS, the population surveyed was not a representative sample of AD patients in Japan and they may have been more liable to experience TCS-induced withdrawal exacerbation than general AD patients. However, data from a multicenter retrospective analysis of 1,271 general AD patients (210 infants, 546 children, and 515 adolescents and adults) by Furue et al,\(^\text{23}\) showed that after 6 months of TCS treatment, 3% had worse symptoms and 58% showed no improvement. In the subgroup of adult cases, the proportion of those with worse symptoms and no improvement was higher than those in the subgroups of children and infants. These results suggest that TCS treatment may not be beneficial for some general AD patients, especially adult cases. Furthermore, it may lead to worsening symptoms and long-term use could potentially result in TCS-induced adverse effects.

In conclusion, the findings of this study show that a substantial number of adult AD patients experience exacerbation of symptoms after discontinuation of TCS use, especially after long-term use, and many patients who experience such an exacerbation subsequently improve. Physicians are urged to be cautious of recommending long-term TCS use, and to be aware of the possibilities of TCS-induced adverse effects when a patient has become reluctant to use TCS. Since TCS is the first-line therapy worldwide, the adverse effects shown in our study could occur in general AD patients; thus, studies that investigate the outcome of TCS withdrawal in general AD patients are urgently needed.
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Supplementary materials

Table S1 Current use of tacrolimus according to the severity of exacerbation of symptoms after discontinuation of TCS use n=828.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity of exacerbation after discontinuation of TCS use</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Current tacrolimus use*</th>
<th>Unknown: n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Most severe symptoms ever</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>35 (6.6%)</td>
<td>8 (1.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Substantial exacerbation</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>15 (9.8%)</td>
<td>5 (3.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Moderate exacerbation</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>13 (14.8%)</td>
<td>2 (2.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. No change in symptoms</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4 (8.9%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Other/unknown</td>
<td>10/3</td>
<td>5 (38.5%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Odds of reporting current tacrolimus use decreased by 23% for each increase in severity category (OR: 0.77, 95% CI: 0.60–0.98, P=0.035; excluding other/unknown).

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; TCS, topical corticosteroids.

A) Sex: Male; Female
B) Age: 16–20 years; 21–25 years; 26–30 years; 31–35 years; 36–40 years; 41–45 years; 46–50 years; 51–60 years; 61 years or older
C) Have you ever used topical corticosteroids? Yes; No; Don’t know
D) How long have you used topical corticosteroids? Less than 1 year; 1–5 years; 5–10 years; 10–20 years; longer than 20 years; Don’t know
E) Are you currently using topical corticosteroids? Yes; No
F) Have you ever used tacrolimus ointment? Yes; No
G) Are you currently using tacrolimus ointment? Yes; No
H) Have you ever attempted to stop using topical corticosteroids? Yes; No
I) What happened when you stopped using topical corticosteroids? Most severe symptoms in my life; Substantial exacerbation of symptoms, but not the most severe symptoms in my life; Moderate exacerbation of symptoms/within usual exacerbation; No change in symptoms; Other/Unknown
J) Please indicate which daily activities were limited by your atopic dermatitis when the symptoms were at their worst. Indoor movement; Leaving the home; Use of public transportation; Commuting (if you did not commute, please imagine what it would have been like to do so); None of the above
K) Please indicate which daily activities are currently limited by your atopic dermatitis. Indoor movement; Leaving the home; Use of public transportation; Commuting (if you do not commute, please imagine what it would be like to do so); None of the above
L) If you feel that you can control your symptoms of atopic dermatitis, what improved your symptoms? Multiple answers are allowed. Spontaneous recovery; Change of environment; Change of diet; Exercise; Use of topical corticosteroids or tacrolimus ointment; Avoidance of topical corticosteroids; Herbal treatments or other alternative remedies; Other
M) Please explain any difficulties you have experienced with the medical treatment of your atopic dermatitis and consulting medical experts (in free description space)

Figure S1 Questionnaire.