Chikungunya virus outbreak expansion and microevolutionary events affecting epidemiology and epidemic potential
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Abstract: Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) is a mosquito-borne virus that is associated with severe and prolonged arthralgia. Starting in 2004, CHIKV reemerged in a series of outbreaks along the east coast of Africa and on several islands of the Indian Ocean. Over the subsequent 10 years, the virus spread throughout the globe and caused over three million cases. Molecular characterization of the genomes over time revealed changes that were associated with changes in epidemiology and transmission patterns. Monitoring and exploitation of these changes may lead to better understanding of viral movement and potential options for prevention and control.
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CHIKV biology and transmission

Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) is one of 31 viruses within the family Togaviridae, genus Alphavirus. Like all alphaviruses, it has a single-stranded, positive-sense RNA genome of approximately 11.7 kb in length. The genome contains two open-reading frames. The first comprises the upstream two-thirds of the genome and encodes for the four nonstructural proteins. These proteins are involved in genome replication and are not associated with the intact virions. The structural proteins are generated from a subgenomic mRNA that is collinear with the 3′ one-third of the genome. These proteins encapsidate the nascent genomic RNA and include the surface glycoproteins responsible for viral binding and cellular entry.

CHIKV is a zoonotic pathogen and is maintained in a cycle comprising forest-dwelling mosquito vectors (primarily of the subgenus Aedes (Stegomyia)) and vertebrate reservoirs. The most commonly associated enzootic mosquito vectors are Aedes furcifer, Aedes luteocephalus, and Aedes africanus. Outside of Africa, the only mosquito species associated with CHIKV are Aedes aegypti, Aedes albopictus, and most recently, Aedes hensilli, all of which have been linked to outbreaks of CHIKV. Nonhuman primates are thought to be the primary reservoir in Africa, but it is postulated that other mammals such as rodents are also likely involved in zoonotic maintenance. Humans become infected when they enter the forest habitat and are bitten by an infected mosquito. Movement of an infected human from the forested areas to peri-urban or urban ecologies results in outbreak conditions where a human-to-mosquito-to-human cycle can result (Figure 1). It is interesting to note that no zoonotic maintenance cycle has ever been definitively identified in Asia, although a recent study in Malaysia identified Asian genotype CHIKV in macaques. How the virus will be maintained in the new world...
is currently unknown and a subject of extensive speculation. There are approximately 200 known species and subspecies of New World primates but no published information regarding how CHIKV might behave in these vertebrates.

**CHIKV clinical presentation**

Human infection with CHIKV was historically reported as resulting in a “mild, febrile illness”. However, given the tremendous amount of recent human illness (over three million cases in the past decade), it has become clear that infection results in a highly debilitating disease that leaves patients incapacitated for up to weeks or months with severe polyarthralgia. Cases of chronic rheumatism have even been reported years after the original infection, although the frequency of these chronic cases and an understanding of how viral strain may impact long-term disease are still unclear.

Infection typically begins with the rapid onset of a high fever (>102°F) followed by development of severe joint pain bilaterally in the peripheral small joints. The onset of symptoms typically occurs within 3–7 days postinfection. An estimated 90% of patients present with these two symptoms and may or may not exhibit a range of other signs/symptoms including rash, myalgia, headache, or conjunctivitis. Historically, rash was considered one of three primary symptoms, but recent outbreaks have demonstrated significant variability in the percent of patients with rash. When it is present, it is typically maculopapular, but vesiculobullous or vasculitic skin lesions have also been reported, particularly in infants. In a small percentage of patients, atypical manifestations occur. These can include ocular lesions, neurological manifestations, or myocarditis. These more severe presentations usually occur in the elderly or very young. Overall, the disease is typically self-limiting, but for those with chronic joint pain, therapeutic options are needed but currently unavailable. Because so many of the clinical symptoms could also be associated with other common infections (eg, dengue, malaria, measles), laboratory diagnosis is needed for etiologic confirmation. For acute samples, nucleic acid detection is the method of choice as CHIKV generates high-titered viremias, and serum samples collected within a week of illness onset can provide a rapid positive diagnosis. Serological methods are used for non-acute specimens or to detect seroconversion. However, test quality can vary widely requiring careful selection of protocols and monitoring of control samples.

**Molecular epidemiology and the continued reemergence of CHIKV**

While the western world has become more aware of CHIKV only during the past decade, the virus has been causing large epidemics since the 1950s. First identified in 1952 during an outbreak in modern day Tanzania, the virus spread from its origins in East Africa to India and Southeast (SE) Asia where major epidemics occurred affecting hundreds of thousands of people. In 1962–1964, a large urban outbreak was documented in Bangkok, Thailand. In 1962 alone, up to 70,000 pediatric cases of CHIKV were speculated to have occurred. A similarly large epidemic affecting approximately 400,000 people was reported during the same time period in Calcutta and Vellore, India with a second large Indian outbreak in the early 1970s in Maharashtra. After these large epidemics, periodic but smaller outbreaks
of CHIKV were reported in both Africa and Asia over the next 30 years.

The ability to quickly and easily obtain genomic sequence of CHIKV during new emergence events became particularly useful in monitoring the movement of the virus. When the virus emerged during 2004 in coastal Kenya, sequence analysis rapidly demonstrated that the virus was of the Central/East African (or ECSA) genotype. This was not unexpected given the geographic location of the outbreak. Shortly after this Kenyan outbreak, sequences obtained from strains recovered from Comoros and La Réunion in 2005/2006 demonstrated that these island outbreaks were not new emergence events but rather a continuation and geographic expansion of the epidemics from Lamu and Mombassa.32 Similarly, as outbreaks erupted in India in 2005–2006, genetic data clearly revealed that the same lineage of virus identified on the islands of the Indian Ocean was found in India33 marking the rare event of the Central/East African genotype being identified outside of Africa. (A Central/East African strain had previously been found in a mosquito pool in India in 2000, but the origin of this strain was unclear.34) From India, due to the immense scope of the outbreak in this country (>1.4 million cases estimated in the first year alone35), the same lineage of virus was identified in a multitude of countries including Singapore, Sri Lanka, Malaysia, Bangladesh, Thailand, and in 2007, Italy.36–47 Complete genome analyses have led to the identification of unique mutations that came to be associated with particular geographic locales.48–51 These specific mutations have further enabled the tracing of the virus movement and suggested possible routes of spread.

The massive and rapid spread of the virus generated significant public health interest in the virus, the result of which was increased surveillance for CHIKV, even in areas where cases were infrequent or had never previously been reported. Because of this intense interest, additional independent emergence events were identified. For example, in 2006, an outbreak was reported in Gabon with several thousand cases. Molecular characterization of strains from this event indicated that the virus was indeed of Central/East African genotype but part of a distinct lineage from the one circulating broadly in India and SE Asia.52 Similarly, a study of factory workers in West Java in between 2000 and 2008 demonstrated that CHIKV was actively circulating in Indonesia.53 However, these cases were linked to the Asian genotype and supported the recognition that not only multiple lineages were circulating but also that the Asian genotype was being actively transmitted. An outbreak of Asian genotype was also reported in Malaysia during the same time period.44,54 This identification of movement and spread of the Asian genotype was unexpected given the widespread and rampant expansion of the Central/East African genotype throughout SE Asia. However, further evidence of the reemergence and spread of the Asian genotype was provided by the identification of Asian genotype CHIKV in New Caledonia in 2011.55 While fewer than 50 cases were reported during the initial emergence, the presence of this genotype in a region that had no prior record of autochthonous CHIKV activity was a clear indicator of the complex patterns of circulation and movement of various CHIKV lineages. Additional regional movement of the Asian genotype was documented by the identification of this genotype in Taiwan during 2006–2009,56 Singapore during 2008–2009,49 and the Philippines in 2012–2013.57 Further expansion of the Asian genotype occurred in 2013–2014 when a large outbreak occurred on the island of Yap in the Federated States of Micronesia (FSM) just east of the Philippines.58 Molecular analyses of the strains from Yap revealed a close relationship to sequences from the Philippines and from strains that were characterized in the People’s Republic of China.59 This movement would not be unexpected given the geographic proximity and the degree of shipping interaction between FSM and these near neighbors. This outbreak was also significant in that it demonstrated the ability of additional Aedes (Stegomyia) subgenus species to cause epidemic CHIKV activity.60 Determination that additional Stegomyia vectors could mediate transmission during outbreaks became of further concern when the virus began to spread throughout numerous islands of the Pacific Ocean, many of which have populations of various Stegomyia sp mosquitoes. In 2014, CHIKV transmission was identified across the South Pacific in Tonga, American Samoa, Samoa, Tokelau, and French Polynesia61,62 where, in addition to Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus, other regional Stegomyia sp such as Aedes polynesiensis, Aedes cooki, and Aedes tongae may play a role.

The most significant geographic expansion of CHIKV occurred with the detection of localized transmission of the virus in the Caribbean in the late 2013. Curiously, numerous travel-related cases were detected in the US, Canada, French Guiana, Brazil, and the Caribbean between 2006 and 2010, yet no autochthonous cases were identified during this time frame.63–66 The molecular characterization of the isolates from St Martin and Martinique revealed that the strains were of Asian genotype similar to those from Yap and the Philippines.67 Movement of the virus through the islands of the Caribbean occurred with stunning speed: just 1 year after the report of the first case, local transmission of the virus had
occurred in 26 countries in the Caribbean, all seven countries in Central America, seven countries in South America, Mexico, and the US with nearly one million suspect or confirmed cases reported (Table 1). With the approach of the end of the 2014 rainy season, case numbers might be expected to fall, but with the extensive entrenchment of the virus in the Americas, it is highly probable that case numbers will resurge with the onset of the 2015 rains in early summer and that an enzootic state is likely to have been established.

Microevolutionary events affecting mosquito transmission

A highly noted single-amino acid change in the early Indian Ocean ECSA lineage was likely responsible for keeping the outbreak alive in La Reunion in 2006. Had this E1 glycoprotein change not been selected for, it is likely that the outbreak would have ended early in 2006 in La Reunion before significant movement of the virus to India and elsewhere due to the lack of highly efficient mosquito vectors on the island. In 2005, while the epidemic was raging on Comoros, there was only a trickle of cases in La Reunion. However, in early 2006, the number of cases exploded in La Reunion with an estimated 40,000 cases per week during the peak of the epidemic. Molecular genetic analyses of strains collected both before and after the increase in cases revealed a small number of amino acid changes that might have been involved in the shift in epidemiological patterns. Using infectious clone technology, individual point mutations were sequentially evaluated to determine the role of each change from early during the outbreak. One of these mutations, the now famous alanine-to-valine switch at E1 amino acid position 226, was found to increase the ability of the virus to infect *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes; a difference of approximately 2log10, was found when comparing identical viruses containing changes only at this single locus. Interestingly, this particular mutation had little impact on the ability to infect *Ae. aegypti*. While this minor variant may have been present in the population for some time, the selection for the valine variant was significant in La Reunion due to the fact that *Ae. albopictus* was the predominant species identified, while the vector in Kenya and Comoros, *Ae. aegypti*, was only sparsely found in La Reunion. The presence of this mutation was of such significance in La Reunion due to the fact that *Ae. albopictus* was the predominant species identified, while the vector in Kenya and Comoros, *Ae. aegypti*, was only sparsely found in La Reunion. The presence of this mutation was of such significance in La Reunion due to the fact that *Ae. albopictus* was the predominant species identified, while the vector in Kenya and Comoros, *Ae. aegypti*, was only sparsely found in La Reunion. The presence of this mutation was of such significance in La Reunion due to the fact that *Ae. albopictus* was the predominant species identified, while the vector in Kenya and Comoros, *Ae. aegypti*, was only sparsely found in La Reunion.

### Table 1 Countries with confirmed autochthonous CHIKV transmission in the Americas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month and year of first report</th>
<th>Country/territory</th>
<th>Cumulative estimated number of cases*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 2013</td>
<td>Guadeloupe</td>
<td>80,962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Martinique</td>
<td>79,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St Barthelemy</td>
<td>1,156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St Maarten</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St Martin</td>
<td>4,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2014</td>
<td>British Virgin Islands</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dominica</td>
<td>3,916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2014</td>
<td>Anguilla</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>French Guiana</td>
<td>7,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St Kitts and Nevis</td>
<td>459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2014</td>
<td>Aruba</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2014</td>
<td>Antigua and Barbuda</td>
<td>1,394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>499,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St Lucia</td>
<td>896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St Vincent and the Grenadines</td>
<td>494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2014</td>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>64,709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>20,073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2014</td>
<td>Grenada</td>
<td>2,852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suriname</td>
<td>1,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US Virgin Islands</td>
<td>1,339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>7,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2014</td>
<td>Bahamas</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Barbados</td>
<td>1,427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Turks and Caicos</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2014</td>
<td>Cayman Islands</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Curacao</td>
<td>1,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>1,098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trinidad and Tobago</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2014</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>22,372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2014</td>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Montserrat</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2014</td>
<td>Belize</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>123,229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Includes only cases reported to Pan American Health Organization as of November 21, 2014; includes both suspect and confirmed cases.

Abbreviation: CHIKV, Chikungunya virus.
mutations, but laboratory studies have demonstrated that vector competence could be easily modified with only minimal additional selection events. Laboratory studies examining the virus populations generated by mosquito anatomical barriers have also identified mutations that could arise because they confer fitness advantages to their host systems. These reports strongly support early monitoring of viruses emerging in new regions to provide timely information on viral variants that may affect transmission and epidemiology.

While modification of the ECSA genotype affected mosquito transmission of the virus in the Eastern hemisphere, no similar or other mutations have been identified in the Asian genotype lineage circulating in the Americas to date. Variation at the E1226 position has not been shown to affect transmissibility of the Asian genotype viruses, and numerous populations of both Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus from around the Americas have been shown to be quite competent for CHIKV transmission. Monitoring for additional genetic changes in the virus that could affect transmissibility of the virus in the Americas will be a priority activity.

**Identified mutations associated with altered virulence**

While there have been a number of studies focused on the microevolutionary changes that impacted the ability of CHIKV to interact with the mosquito vectors during the past decade, identifying mutations or processes that may affect pathogenesis or virulence in the human hosts has been more challenging. Limited recent studies have indicated that primary cellular targets of CHIKV infection are fibroblasts and osteoblasts; infection of these cells is associated with the musculoskeletal disease observed. The resulting infiltration of these tissues with inflammatory cells such as monocytes and macrophage is also believed to be associated with pathogenesis. Other immune system elements that have been found to be associated with CHIKV disease include several cytokines and chemokines such as interleukin-6, monocyte chemotactic protein 1 (MCP-1), and monokine induced by gamma interferon. Increased levels of each of these are linked to disease severity. However, the individual role of each of these elements has not yet been elucidated.

Because human studies are difficult to perform, significant efforts have been undertaken to develop suitable animal models for pathogenesis studies. Most of these models have focused on isolating particular elements of the immune response, and several have demonstrated a disease pattern of joint swelling and musculoskeletal injury similar to that seen in human patients. Use of mouse strains that are lacking in particular immune functions can aid in identifying the role of the immune system in pathogenesis. For example, infection of mice lacking mature B and T cells (Rag1−/−) results in reduced levels of joint swelling and less severe disease, and a similar phenomenon is found with mice lacking CD4+ T cells. Together, these results suggest a role for CD4+ T cells in disease progression.

Unfortunately, while there is some preliminary evidence for the role of various immune cells and factors in disease progression and severity, how individual genomic elements of the virus modulate disease is even less well characterized. The clearest data to delineate specific viral elements involved in virulence come from studies related to evaluation of vaccine strains. Comparison of the genome of the vaccine strain 181/25 with its virulent progenitor, AF15561, revealed two mutations that were implicated in viral attenuation. A more detailed study looking at the mechanism of this attenuation process suggested a role for binding to glycosaminoglycans which affected not only tissue tropism but also the related destruction that accompanies infection. In particular, a change of amino acid at E2 position 82 affected swelling, inflammation, and necrosis induced by infection. The authors found that an arginine in this position (as in the vaccine strain) reduced virulence, while replacing the arginine with a glycine as in the virulent AF15561 strain resulted in muscle destruction and more severe tendonitis. Interestingly, the presence of the arginine residue also affected the ability of the virus to replicate in lymphoid tissues. Given that this residue was originally presumed to be involved primarily in binding, these findings that this locus affects virulence merit further mechanistic studies. Even more research is needed with currently circulating epidemic strains to identify any viral elements in this lineage that may have the ability to impact the course of disease progression.

**Strategies for prevention and control**

The ultimate goal of obtaining information regarding specific viral data is that it would be helpful in controlling transmission of the virus. One potential control strategy is the utilization of a CHIKV-specific vaccine. A number of approaches have been utilized in this effort to design a highly efficacious vaccine including live-attenuated vaccines, virus-like particles, vector-based vaccine expression systems, DNA vaccines, and subunit vaccines. However, only three candidate products have yet to advance to clinical trials. The first was a live-attenuated variant developed by scientists at the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research and the US Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases by passaging a human isolate.
until an attenuated phenotype was achieved. A Phase II trial was completed on this candidate with 85% of the recipients maintaining CHIKV-specific antibodies at 1 year postvaccination. The second candidate is a virus-like particle (VLP) that, like its predecessor, stimulated a strong and long-lasting antibody profile but also had the advantage of increased safety because no live virus would ever be generated from this vaccine. The third clinically tested option is a recombinant measles/CHIKV system that incorporates the structural protein genes of CHIKV into a measles vector which results in the generation of CHIKV VLPs. While all of these candidates have shown promise in the early clinical trials, additional information regarding the mechanism of attenuation would be valuable. Understanding how particular epitopes or mutations result in attenuation would allow the development of more advanced vaccines with targeted control pathways. One such approach utilized the fact that live-attenuated arbovirus vaccines have the common feature of exhibiting an increased positive surface charge. A series of mutants with single-amino acid changes in the CHIKV E2 glycoprotein revealed a particular mutation that was attenuating via sensitivity to competition with the heparin sulfate analog, heparin. By using strategic targeting approaches to identify particular mutations associated with certain mechanisms of control, these individual elements can be combined to make safer, more stable vaccines that can act through multiple pathways.

This knowledge of mechanistic actions involved in the infection process is also important for the development of products for a second control strategy: antiviral compounds. With recent discoveries of the role of various immune system components, compounds targeting these specific immune pathways can be utilized. For example, knowing that MCP-1 is strongly induced upon infection with CHIKV, research involved in treatment of mice with the MCP inhibitor, bindarit, demonstrated elimination of disease symptoms and reduction in bone loss associated with infection. Another example targeting cellular proteins known to interact specifically with CHIKV proteins involves the small interfering RNA-mediated knockdown of HSP-90 transcripts; this limits the interaction of HSP-90 with CHIKV nsP3 or nsP4 and thus reduces the ability of the virus to replicate. Alternate immune-mediated approaches, such as monoclonal-antibody therapy, have the added advantage of specifically targeting CHIKV infection rather than modulation of the immune system as a whole. Monoclonal antibodies have been found to map to specific areas of the viral surface glycoproteins, blocking fusion and limiting entry into host cells. Because different monoclones have distinct epitope targets, combining multiple antibodies could generate a more effective therapy while minimizing the risk of antibody resistance. Finally, targeting very specific viral elements, such as viral genomic sequences using antiviral small interfering RNAs, could be an additional option for therapeutic control of infection. Because several of these options could be sensitive to viral adaptation to the treatment, a combination therapy may prove most promising for long-term control. Awareness of mutations arising in the CHIKV strains circulating in a population will also be critical for ensuring that any genome-specific antiviral strategies remain effective targets for control.

Conclusion

CHIKV has become one of the most prominent human disease-causing arboviruses. In just over 10 years, it moved from being an agent causing focal outbreaks in Africa and SE Asia/India to a pandemic pathogen. Notably, increased risk factors associated with previously unaffected areas have facilitated the spread of this medically important arbovirus. CHIKV has also become a symbol of the need to monitor emerging viruses for changes in their epidemiological capacity.

Advanced molecular detection tools have provided researchers and public health officials critical information regarding the movement patterns of the virus, changes in risk to populations due to altered vectorial capacity elements, and a wealth of potential vaccine and therapeutic options. These tools provide the means to significantly impact and reduce the scope of future outbreaks due to CHIKV. However, monitoring the microevolutionary changes is only the first necessary step in curtailing such emergence events. Partnerships between public health officials, vector control specialists, clinicians, government and academic researchers, and pharmaceutical companies to effectively utilize microevolutionary data are needed for successful interventions and long-term strategies for control of emerging pathogens such as CHIKV.
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