Diagnosis, treatment, and rehabilitation of stress fractures in the lower extremity in runners
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Abstract: Stress fractures account for between 1% and 20% of athletic injuries, with 80% of stress fractures in the lower extremity. Stress fractures of the lower extremity are common injuries among individuals who participate in endurance, high load-bearing activities such as running, military and aerobic exercise and therefore require practitioner expertise in diagnosis and management. Accurate diagnosis for stress fractures is dependent on the anatomical area. Anatomical regions such as the pelvis, sacrum, and metatarsals offer challenges due to difficulty differentiating pathologies with common symptoms. Special tests and treatment regimes, however, are similar among most stress fractures with resolution between 4 weeks to a year. The most difficult aspect of stress fracture treatment entails mitigating internal and external risk factors. Practitioners should address ongoing risk factors to minimize recurrence.
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Introduction
Stress fractures of the lower extremity are common injuries among individuals who participate in endurance, high load-bearing activities such as running, military and aerobic exercise and therefore require practitioner expertise in diagnosis and management.¹–¹⁰ Stress fractures in the lower extremity account for 80%–90% of all stress fractures, representing between 0.7% and 20% of all sports medicine injuries.³,⁶,⁹,¹⁶ Specifically, stress fracture incidence in runners approaches 16% of all injuries.¹ The most common stress fractures occur in the tibia (23.6%) but also develop in the tarsal navicular (17.6%), metatarsals (16.2%), femur (6.6%), and pelvis (1.6%).¹,²,⁷,¹³–¹⁵,¹⁷–¹⁹ Stress fractures occur due to overuse and/or overload, when the rate of stress-induced microfractures exceeds the rate at which bone repairs, requiring the recognition and management of risk factors.¹²

Accurate diagnosis for stress fractures is dependent on the anatomical area. Regardless, early recognition is the optimal goal to minimize the potential for microfractures to become macrofractures. Anatomical regions such as the pelvis, sacrum, and metatarsals offer challenges due to difficulty differentiating pathologies with common symptoms. Special tests and treatment regimes, however, are similar among most stress fractures with resolution between 4 weeks to a year. We present evidence-based concepts regarding lower extremity stress fractures to provide practitioners with an updated overview of diagnosis, treatment, and rehabilitation.

Diagnosis
Clinical treatment decisions based on history, clinical examination, and special tests present similarly for most stress fractures (Table 1). Stress fracture injuries...
most often evolve with an insidious onset that typically occurs at the end of physical activity with a focal point of tenderness.\textsuperscript{3,7} Athletes may identify a history that articulates the progression of stress fracture from pain with activity to persistent pain during activity and finally during daily ambulation. The history may include a recent change or increase in physical activity or repetitive exercise with minimal recovery time.\textsuperscript{6,21–23} Physical examination typically identifies tenderness localized over the involved bony area, both with and without localized swelling. Special tests for

| Stress fracture location | Differential diagnosis | History and physical evaluation | Special considerations*  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Great toe sesamoid</td>
<td>• Sesamoiditis</td>
<td>• Focal point tenderness and swelling</td>
<td>Surgical management suggested if conservative treatment unsuccessful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Avascular necrosis</td>
<td>• Pain on dorsiflexion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Synchronosis</td>
<td>• Pain during weight bearing and push off</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Partite sesamoid</td>
<td>• Increasing pain with activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Osteomyelitis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Bursitis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metatarsals</td>
<td>• Strain</td>
<td>• Pain during weight bearing</td>
<td>Conservative management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Planter fasciitis</td>
<td>• Focal swelling</td>
<td>1st through 4th metatarsal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Morton’s neuroma</td>
<td>• Focal tenderness</td>
<td>Surgical management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Metatarsalgia</td>
<td></td>
<td>5th metatarsal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibia – medial</td>
<td>• Medial tibial stress syndrome</td>
<td>• Focal pain during weight-bearing/or activity along tibial shaft</td>
<td>Conservative management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Meniscal pathology (medial tibial condyle)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ligamentous injury (medial malleoli, tibial condyle)</td>
<td>• Pain with percussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibia – anterior</td>
<td>• Malignant tumor (medial tibial condyle)</td>
<td>• Focal pain during weight-bearing/or activity along tibial shaft</td>
<td>Surgical when conservative treatment fails – intramedullary rodding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibula</td>
<td>• Meniscal injuries</td>
<td>• Pain with percussion</td>
<td>Conservative management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lateral ligament sprains</td>
<td>• Focal pain and tenderness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Rectus femoris strain</td>
<td>• Referred knee pain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Adductor strain</td>
<td>• Dependent on location of injury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Groin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Anterior thigh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Gluteal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Knee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femur/femoral shaft</td>
<td>• Trochanteric bursitis</td>
<td>• Activity related pain</td>
<td>Conservative management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Strain in hip musculature</td>
<td>• Hip pain at end ranges of motion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Pain with one leg hop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• No pain on palpation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Night pain may be present</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral neck</td>
<td>• Anterior groin pain</td>
<td>• Increasing pain with activity</td>
<td>Internal fixation recommended in stress fractures on the superior neck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Pain with straight leg raise</td>
<td>• Pain with log roll</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Pain with one leg hop</td>
<td>• Pain with one leg hop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvis (pubic rami)</td>
<td>• Strain of adductors</td>
<td>• Groin, buttock, or thigh pain</td>
<td>Conservative management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Bursitis</td>
<td>• Focal tenderness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Pain with single leg stance on affected side</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Positive hop test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Point tender (may be extreme) on pubic rami</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacrum</td>
<td>• Sciatica</td>
<td>• SI and/or buttock pain during palpation and load bearing activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Disk pathology</td>
<td>• Low back pain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Sacroiliac joint pathology</td>
<td>• Radiculopathy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Strain of gluteus maximus</td>
<td>• Additional physical examinations are typically unremarkable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Strain deep external rotators or piriformis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Strain hamstring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: MRI is considered the most sensitive imaging method and is used for diagnosis; *In general, the treatment regime (conservative management) follows the two-phased approach, and this column represents rehabilitation/treatment techniques that augment the standard stress fracture approach.

Abbreviations: MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; SI, sacroiliac.
specific anatomical areas of stress fracture include the hop test, fulcrum test, and hyperextension test.⁷

Currently, an overall classification system to grade stress fractures is lacking;⁴ although Fredericson et al① have reported a grading system for tibial stress fractures with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (Table 2). Thus, the closest grading, prognosis, and treatment system in the literature to classify stress fractures is high or low risk (Table 2).¹²,¹⁶ High risk fractures typically require surgical repair based on a likelihood that the stress fracture will progress to a complete fracture, delayed union or nonunion, or requires assisted/nonweight-bearing. Low risk stress fractures generally respond to conservative treatment. Assessment of low and high risk stress fractures should not only include history and physical evaluation, but also imaging to identify classification and determine treatment and rehabilitation parameters.

Imaging is adjunctive to patient history and physical examination (Table 3).¹⁶ Regardless of stress fracture location, MRI is currently the gold standard, largely due to the instrument’s ability to display both soft tissue and bone edema.¹ One of the earliest signs of stress fracture is bony edema, which is not easily visible on standard radiographic imaging.⁵ Radiographic films may provide a supplement to clinical history by exhibiting information related to periosteal bone formation, cortical margin, and fracture line, all of which may not be visible within the first 2 weeks of symptomatic complaints.⁵ Radiographs lack the ability to determine acute stress fractures since it may take 3 weeks for cortical irregularities and periosteal reactions to become evident, therefore, other imaging techniques are suggested.¹⁶,²⁰ Likewise, computer tomography scans have been identified as useful in the diagnosis of stress fractures but lack the sensitivity of MRIs to provide concurrent evaluation of soft tissue.⁶ Bone scans (scintigraphy) are also a highly sensitive modality in the diagnosis of stress fractures yet are seldom used due to radiation exposure and the advent of MRI sensitivity in diagnosing stress fractures.⁶,²⁷ Although literature supporting the use of ultrasonography is limited, potential exists for future uses. Currently, MRI is the most sensitive and specific diagnostic imaging tool.

**First metatarsal and sesamoid**

Great toe sesamoid stress fractures account for approximately 0.4% of all running injuries.²⁸ Differential diagnosis of sesamoid stress fractures with sesamoiditis, avascular necrosis and partite sesamoid bones, osteomyelitis and bursitis between the sesamoid, and flexor hallucis brevis tendon may be complicated or delayed, as all have similar symptoms to stress fractures.²⁸,²⁹ Signs and symptoms are identical to general stress fracture assessment findings, including normal plain films and MRI identification of focal inflammation. Stress fractures of sesamoids are more common in one bone compared to sesamoiditis, bursitis, tendinosis, and tenosynovitis, which more commonly involve both sesamoids.²⁸,³⁰,³¹

**Metatarsal**

Metatarsal stress fractures typically occur in the second and third metatarsal shafts, which overall, constitutes 20% of lower extremity stress fractures.²  Although fifth metatarsal stress fractures occur, they are rare, and athletes typically report a recent history of trauma.⁹ Stress fractures of the metatarsals

| Table 2 Low and high risk stress fracture classification and Fredericson tibial MRI classification |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| **Low risk classification**     | **High risk classification**    | **Fredericson classification for tibial stress fractures** |
| • Heal with conservative treatment | • Risk for complete fracture     | • Grade 1: periosteal edema only |
| • Nonsurgical management        | • Risk for nonunion             | • Grade 2: bone marrow edema visible on T2-weighted images |
| • Compression stress fractures   | • Delayed union                | • Grade 3: bone marrow edema visible on both T1-weighted and T2-weighted images |
| • Typically includes             | • Typically requires surgical intervention | • Grade 4: intracortical signal abnormalities |
| o Femoral shaft                  | • Requires nonweight-bearing or assisted weight-bearing | |
| o Medial tibia                   | • Tension stress fractures      | |
| o Fibula                        | • Typically includes            | |
| o Calcaneus                     | o 5th metatarsal                | |
| o 1st-4th metatarsals           | o Anterior tibia                | |
|                                 | o Tarsal navicular              | |
|                                 | o Femoral neck                  | |
|                                 | o Patella                       | |
|                                 | o 1st metatarsal sesamoid       | |

**Note:** Data from Kaeding et al⁴ and Fredericson et al.⁵⁶ **Abbreviation:** MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.
may be due to fatigue of plantar flexion musculature during prolonged or strenuous running, which decreases dissipation forces and increases stress on the metatarsals thereby contributing to stress fractures. An understanding of the etiology may enhance prevention strategies to reduce risk fractures through training modifications. Athletes typically present with pain upon weight-bearing, focal swelling, and point tenderness. A history of change in terrain, training regime, and/or recent trauma is standard.

Distal fourth metatarsal fractures are more common than proximal fourth metatarsal or proximal fifth metatarsal stress fractures. These fractures usually incur a prolonged healing rate with athletes experiencing symptoms beyond 3 months of rest and immobilization. Delayed union or nonunion is more common in metatarsal stress fractures and may require surgical intervention with intramedullary fixation that also avoids potential surgical complications.

**Tarsal bones**

Stress fractures of the tarsal bones, particularly the navicular, constitute approximately 20% of stress fractures in runners; although, the majority are identified in sprinters. The navicular is vulnerable to stress fractures due to limited vascularity, which also diminishes healing. Diagnosis is difficult due to the location and diffuse midfoot pain that radiates to the medial arch and begins insidiously and increases with activity. Pain and tenderness is evident on the dorsal navicular upon palpation. The cuboid, however, is more difficult to diagnose, perhaps due to the rarity or differential diagnosis of peroneal tendon pathology.

Talar stress fractures reveal themselves with pain along the talar dome. MRI is the best diagnostic tool for an acute and/or recent talar stress fracture. Current literature indicates that nonsurgical treatment with nonweight bearing immobilization for 6 weeks is comparable to surgery yet avoids potential surgical complications.

**Fibular**

Fibular stress fractures account for 7%–12% of all stress fractures. The most common site for stress fractures occurs at the distal fibula, with proximal stress fractures more common to jumpers rather than distance runners. The stress fractures present with local pain and tenderness over the fibula, with occasional referred knee pain. Signs and symptoms specific to fibular stress fractures are typical with a history of progressive pain during activity, focal tenderness, and localized swelling. Imaging findings are similar to other stress fractures, with MRI evidence being the most sensitive.

**Tibia**

The tibia is the most common site of stress reactions and stress fractures in runner athletes. The majority of stress fractures are low risk and located posteromedially. Anterior medial stress fractures are less common yet considered high risk due to the high incidence of nonunion. Signs and symptoms generally include pain and tenderness on the medial shaft of the tibia which increases with exercise. Athletes with smaller tibial cross sectional dimensions are at a greater risk for the development of tibial stress, yet this might be difficult to delineate prior to injury. Much like the majority of stress fractures, plain radiographs are seldom abnormal, with MRI constituting the most sensitive and specific findings.

Diagnosis of stress fractures at the medial tibial condyle and medial malleolus may be more difficult to diagnose since they mimic meniscal tears, ligamentous injuries, and cartilage pathologies. The large amount of bone marrow indicated on MRI with these stress fractures may be mistaken.

### Table 3 Imaging techniques for stress fractures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imaging modality</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computer tomography</td>
<td>Differentiates malignancies, stress fractures, and stress reactions</td>
<td>Lower sensitivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High sensitivity (80%–100%)</td>
<td>High radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High specificity (100%)</td>
<td>High cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiographs</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>Access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low radiation</td>
<td>Poor sensitivity (10%) within first 2–3 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scintigraphy</td>
<td>High sensitivity (74%–100%)</td>
<td>False positives in cases of tumor or infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate specificity (68%)</td>
<td>Radiation exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasonography</td>
<td>Low cost</td>
<td>Limited data exists on specificity (75%) sensitivity (83%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
for malignant tumors, often resulting in unneeded biopsy. Tobacco smoking, nutritional and menstrual irregularities in women, smoking, and sport are intrinsic or extrinsic factors. Intrinsic factors such as race (Caucasian), maturity, nutritional and menstrual irregularities in women, smoking, and sport (distance/endurance runners) constitute factors that impact the occurrence of stress fractures. Modifying or minimizing the risk factors may reduce recurrence and enhance the rehabilitation plan.

The vast majority of stress fractures heal within 8 weeks through conservative treatment (Table 4); however, a small percentage may require surgical intervention due to non-union, avascular necrosis, and arthritic changes. MRI is the best diagnostic test to depict stress fractures. The morbidity rate ranges from 20%–86% in the literature from complete fractures, malunion, impingement, nonunion, avascular necrosis, and arthritic changes. The most common stress fracture site is of the femoral shaft, followed by the lesser trochanter and intertrochanteric region. Regardless of region, athletes typically present with pain during activity which may be reproducible on passive range of motion, specifically internal rotation and when asked to hop on the affected limb. Femoral stress fractures have proven to be elusive with the average delay in diagnosis around 14 weeks. Plain radiographs are typically normal and again, MRI is the best diagnostic test to depict stress fractures of the femur. Treatment of femoral stress fractures is dependent on the location and any displacement. Displacement is the primary indicator for prognosis with 60% displacement the marker for reduction of activity level in sport with potential avascular necrosis. The majority of femoral stress fractures that lack displacement respond to conservative treatment within 8–14 weeks. Femoral neck fractures on the superior aspect tend to be tension fractures with a greater risk for displacement; management for these includes internal fixation. Continued follow-up with repeated imaging is recommended for conservative treatment to verify resolution and minimize progression to displacement, which increases complications.

Pelvis
Pelvic stress fractures represent approximately 1%–2% of all stress fractures. Stress fractures at the pubic rami near the symphysis are the most common pelvic stress fractures among runner athletes. Symptoms include low back, buttock, groin, and thigh pain during activity, which may become debilitating in progressed stress fractures. Pain upon deep palpation of the pubic ramus may assist in differentiation between affected and an overlying soft-tissue pathology. Most pelvic stress fractures are nondisplaced, requiring an MRI for diagnosis. Return to participation ranges from 7–12 weeks with conservative treatment.

Sacrum
Sacral stress fractures are uncommon injuries characterized by low back and buttocks pain. Symptoms include low back and/or buttock pain typically exacerbated by single leg hopping. Sacral stress fractures are difficult to diagnose given the symptoms are representative of several injuries including low back, disk disease, sciatica, sacroiliac joint pathology, and piriformis syndrome. Scintigraphy or MRI are useful in diagnosis when coupled with a history of load-bearing endurance activities, sacral iliac joint and low back tenderness, and a positive hop test. Plain radiographs may assist in eliminating other pathologies but are not typically useful in diagnosing stress fractures. Participants typically return to athletic participation within 4 to 6 weeks with management similar to other stress fractures such as removal from activity and reduced load-bearing activities associated with running or jogging.

Treatment and rehabilitation
Treatment, whether conservative or surgical, should be based on recognizing and modifying risk factors that may be intrinsic or extrinsic factors. Intrinsic factors such as race (Caucasian), maturity, nutritional and menstrual irregularities in women, smoking, and sport (distance/endurance runners) constitute factors that impact the occurrence of stress fractures. Modifying or minimizing the risk factors may reduce recurrence and enhance the rehabilitation plan.

The vast majority of stress fractures heal within 8 weeks through conservative treatment (Table 4); however, a small percentage may require surgical intervention due to non-union, avascular necrosis, and arthritic changes. MRI is the best diagnostic test to depict stress fractures. The morbidity rate ranges from 20%–86% in the literature from complete fractures, malunion, impingement, nonunion, avascular necrosis, and arthritic changes. The most common stress fracture site is of the femoral shaft, followed by the lesser trochanter and intertrochanteric region. Regardless of region, athletes typically present with pain during activity which may be reproducible on passive range of motion, specifically internal rotation and when asked to hop on the affected limb. Femoral stress fractures have proven to be elusive with the average delay in diagnosis around 14 weeks. Plain radiographs are typically normal and again, MRI is the best diagnostic test to depict stress fractures of the femur. Treatment of femoral stress fractures is dependent on the location and any displacement. Displacement is the primary indicator for prognosis with 60% displacement the marker for reduction of activity level in sport with potential avascular necrosis. The majority of femoral stress fractures that lack displacement respond to conservative treatment within 8–14 weeks. Femoral neck fractures on the superior aspect tend to be tension fractures with a greater risk for displacement; management for these includes internal fixation. Continued follow-up with repeated imaging is recommended for conservative treatment to verify resolution and minimize progression to displacement, which increases complications.

Pelvis
Pelvic stress fractures represent approximately 1%–2% of all stress fractures. Stress fractures at the pubic rami near the symphysis are the most common pelvic stress fractures among runner athletes. Symptoms include low back, buttock, groin, and thigh pain during activity, which may become debilitating in progressed stress fractures. Pain upon deep palpation of the pubic ramus may assist in differentiation between affected and an overlying soft-tissue pathology. Most pelvic stress fractures are nondisplaced, requiring an MRI for diagnosis. Return to participation ranges from 7–12 weeks with conservative treatment.

Sacrum
Sacral stress fractures are uncommon injuries characterized by low back and buttocks pain. Symptoms include low back and/or buttock pain typically exacerbated by single leg hopping. Sacral stress fractures are difficult to diagnose given the symptoms are representative of several injuries including low back, disk disease, sciatica, sacroiliac joint pathology, and piriformis syndrome. Scintigraphy or MRI are useful in diagnosis when coupled with a history of load-bearing endurance activities, sacral iliac joint and low back tenderness, and a positive hop test. Plain radiographs may assist in eliminating other pathologies but are not typically useful in diagnosing stress fractures. Participants typically return to athletic participation within 4 to 6 weeks with management similar to other stress fractures such as removal from activity and reduced load-bearing activities associated with running or jogging.

Treatment and rehabilitation
Treatment, whether conservative or surgical, should be based on recognizing and modifying risk factors that may be intrinsic or extrinsic factors. Intrinsic factors such as race (Caucasian), maturity, nutritional and menstrual irregularities in women, smoking, and sport (distance/endurance runners) constitute factors that impact the occurrence of stress fractures. Modifying or minimizing the risk factors may reduce recurrence and enhance the rehabilitation plan.

The vast majority of stress fractures heal within 8 weeks through conservative treatment (Table 4); however, a small percentage may require surgical intervention due to non-union, avascular necrosis, and arthritic changes. MRI is the best diagnostic test to depict stress fractures. The morbidity rate ranges from 20%–86% in the literature from complete fractures, malunion, impingement, nonunion, avascular necrosis, and arthritic changes. The most common stress fracture site is of the femoral shaft, followed by the lesser trochanter and intertrochanteric region. Regardless of region, athletes typically present with pain during activity which may be reproducible on passive range of motion, specifically internal rotation and when asked to hop on the affected limb. Femoral stress fractures have proven to be elusive with the average delay in diagnosis around 14 weeks. Plain radiographs are typically normal and again, MRI is the best diagnostic test to depict stress fractures of the femur. Treatment of femoral stress fractures is dependent on the location and any displacement. Displacement is the primary indicator for prognosis with 60% displacement the marker for reduction of activity level in sport with potential avascular necrosis. The majority of femoral stress fractures that lack displacement respond to conservative treatment within 8–14 weeks. Femoral neck fractures on the superior aspect tend to be tension fractures with a greater risk for displacement; management for these includes internal fixation. Continued follow-up with repeated imaging is recommended for conservative treatment to verify resolution and minimize progression to displacement, which increases complications.
delayed-union. A two-phased protocol for rehabilitation for the runner with lower extremity stress fractures is generally accepted as a suitable trajectory for return to participation. The first phase of a conservative rehabilitation protocol includes rest of the anatomical site, maintenance of aerobic fitness, physical therapy modalities, and oral analgesics other than nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, which potentially slow bone healing. Phase one should include weight-bearing as tolerated and ambulation modification if needed, yet running should be avoided. Likewise, minimal-impact activities to maintain cardiovascular fitness should be initiated, such as cycling, pool running, antigravity treadmill running, cycling, and swimming. The second phase of stress fracture rehabilitation should begin 2 weeks after the athlete is pain-free with ambulation and cross-training and focus on progressive return to full impact activities such as running. Rehabilitation during the second phase should focus on muscular endurance training, core and pelvic girdle stability, balance/proprioception training, flexibility, and gait retraining when appropriate. Muscular endurance and stability should focus on whole body training two to three times per week, with the loading variable based on experience. The novice may incur light loads for ten to 15 repetitions while advanced athletes may assume heavier loads of ten to 25 repetitions. Once the athlete is pain-free for 10 to 14 days, with resolution of focal point tenderness, phase two should begin. Phase two includes the initiation of a running progression. Runners should gradually increase to preinjury level over 3 to 6 weeks under medical supervision dictated by pain recurrrence.

Return to sport activity should coincide with pain free weight-bearing. The average time to return is based upon injury classification (high and low grade) (Table 4). These clinical practice guidelines are based on MRI observations associated with a sufficient amount of healing. A progressive running plan coupled with a comprehensive rehabilitation protocol are effective for returning individuals to running. Return to running activity should begin with between 30% and 50% of the pre-injury (reference normed to the individual) and progress using the 10% rule. The 10% rule increases running mileage and intensity no more than 10% per week once weight bearing is approved (Table 4). Although general guidelines are provided for return to activity, practitioners should monitor runners based on pain, range of motion, and signs and symptoms, with referral for additional imaging with return of symptoms. Likewise, any return to participation should include modifications of potential risk factors such as biomechanical, nutrition, training, and equipment factors. Although the identification of risk factors are noted in the literature, the usefulness of mitigating risk factors in the prevention of stress fractures is lacking, and thus recommendations for specific regimens are absent. Individual assessment and reassessment to minimize the injury is therefore suggested.

High risk stress fractures in grade 1 or 2 categories (Table 2) typically resolve nonsurgically with immobilization and weight bearing modification, and return to activity only after the fracture has achieved complete healing is essential to avoid full fracture. The selection of surgery as a treatment choice should be a decision between the athlete and sports medicine professional, based on sport, fracture site, grade of fracture, and competitive participation requirements.

Average return to participation timelines based on low and high risk categories indicate that low risk, low grade stress fractures average 61 days to return, followed by low risk, high grade at 153 days; high risk, low grade at 135 days; and high risk, high grade at 131 days. Consequently the most precarious stress fractures for return to participation are the low risk, high grade stress fractures, particularly where athletes may interpret the lack of risk for full fracture as a license to return prematurely. Practitioners must maintain cardiovascular fitness and creativity in rehabilitation and perhaps employ a sport psychologist to ensure athletes continue to adhere to the rehabilitation regime and minimize the risk of early return.

### Modifying risk factors

The management of risk factors such as biomechanical stresses, nutrition, and overtraining may be the key to long term and successful treatment. External risk factors such as training regimes and equipment may play a role in risk management of stress fractures. Higher mileage is associated with an increased risk for fractures; however, a difficulty in providing therapeutic alternatives exists. Bone recovery may be a greater risk factor in the development and treatment of stress fractures.
fractures; therefore, the implementation of recovery periods with alternate training (eg, water running, cross-training) benefits recovery time without decreasing fitness levels.71,75

Terrain and equipment may contribute to risk factors and, therefore, treatment considerations. Runners who change terrain or run hilly landscapes are more likely to incur stress fractures.67,70

Thus, limiting hills and multiple terrains during recovery and for future training in individuals who are susceptible for stress fractures is pertinent. The use of orthotics may be effective for some athletes in reducing lower extremity stressors by increasing shock absorption.100 In addition, decreases in shoe shock absorption can be avoided by changing shoes every 6 months or 300–500 miles to limit overuse injuries.9,69

Intrinsic factors such as nutrition and biomechanical variances is controversial in the literature related to the prevention of stress factors.17,22,98,100 Current literature indicates that high levels of calcium (1,500–2,000 mg) and vitamin D supplementation (800–1000 IU) may be a component of stress fracture prevention; however, the literature is conflicting.3,17,22,101–103 Bisphosphonates have been commonly used to treat stress fractures, yet some concerns exist with the potential for abnormal long term bone deposition and a lack of Food and Drug Administration approval for this intervention.50,68,104,105 Athletes should be assessed for deficiencies, eating disorders, and medication-induced deficiencies prior to added supplementation.3,106

Biomechanical factors such as calf girth, muscle mass, genu valgus greater than 15%, excessive hip adduction, rear foot eversion, and female athlete triad (amenorrhea, osteoporosis and eating disorder), may predispose athletes to stress fractures.47,67,91,98 Likewise, a low bone mass density, menstrual irregularities, and energy deficiency may contribute and should therefore be assessed in individuals with stress fractures to provide appropriate treatment parameters.106

Conclusion
Diagnosis, rehabilitation, and return to running activities require similar assessment and progression for most lower extremity stress fractures. Specific special tests and differential diagnosis may vary, depending on the anatomical site of the stress fracture; regardless, prompt diagnosis is imperative in order to begin appropriate treatment plans. The most difficult aspect of stress fracture treatment entails mitigating internal and external risk factors. Practitioners should address ongoing risk factors to minimize recurrence.
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