Mechanism of enhanced antiosteoporosis effect of circinal–icaritin by self-assembled nanomicelles in vivo with suet oil and sodium deoxycholate
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Background: Circinal–icaritin (CIT), one new active aglycone of Epimedium, can exert a beneficial effect on osteoporotic bone. However, its low bioavailability limits its clinical efficacy for the treatment of osteoporosis.

Materials and methods: In this paper, suet oil (SO) was used to improve the oral bioavailability of CIT and enhance its antiosteoporosis effect and absorption. After oral administration of CIT together with SO, the CIT and SO self-assembled into nanomicelles under the action of sodium deoxycholate (DOC) by bile secretion. The antiosteoporosis effects of the CIT-SO-DOC nanomicelles were evaluated in osteoporotic rats by bone mineral density, serum biochemical markers, bone microarchitecture, bone biomechanical properties, and related protein and gene expressions. We examined the bioavailability of CIT and its nanomicelles in vivo, and subsequently the nanomicelles were verified using transmission electron microscopy. Finally, we evaluated absorption across a rat intestinal perfusion model.

Results: Compared with CIT, in the CIT-SO groups, protein and messenger ribonucleic acid expressions of osteoprotegerin were increased, while expressions of receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB ligand in bone tissue were decreased; bone-turnover markers in serum of hydroxyproline, alkaline phosphatase, tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase 5b, and receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB ligand levels were decreased, while osteoprotegerin and osteocalcin levels were increased; and trabecular bone mass, microarchitecture, and bone biomechanical strength were enhanced. The relative bioavailabilities of CIT-SO high dosage, CIT-SO medium dosage, and CIT-SO low dosage (area under concentration–time curve [AUC]∞) compared with that of raw CIT high dosage, CIT medium dosage, and CIT low dosage (AUC∞) were 127%, 121%, and 134%, respectively. The average particle size of CIT-DOC was significantly decreased after adding SO (P<0.01), and the intestinal permeability coefficients of CIT-SO-DOC nanomicelles in the duodenum, jejunum, ileum, and colon were all significantly improved (P<0.01).

Conclusion: The increased antiosteoporosis effects and bioavailability of CIT-SO-DOC self-assembled nanomicelles were due to an increase in absorption of CIT by reducing the particle sizes of CIT. SO may be a practical oral carrier for antiosteoporosis drugs with low bioavailability.
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Introduction

Epimedium has been frequently prescribed for osteoporotic patients in the People’s Republic of China (PRC) for a long time.1–3 Among Epimedium-derived flavonoids, aglycone (icaritin) contains the potential to treat osteoporosis in postmenopausal women...
without adverse reactions on reproductive tissues.\(^4,5\) However, circinal–icaritin (CIT; 3,5-dihydroxy-2-[4-methoxy-phenyl]-8,8-dimethyl-9,10-dihydro-8\(H\)-pyrano[2,3-\(f\)]chromen-4-one) was the isomeric aglycone of IT, which was first discovered and obtained in our laboratory, and had better antiosteoporosis effects than IT.\(^6\)

Suet oil (SO), which was obtained from \textit{Capra hircus} Linnaeus or \textit{Ovis aries} Linnaeus, contains abundant fatty acids.\(^7\) In clinical practice, SO has been used as an excipient for the processing of the traditional Chinese medicine \textit{Epimedium} for thousands of years, and it has been recorded that SO enhances the invigorous and antiosteoporosis effects of \textit{Epimedium}.\(^8-10\)

In the procedure of oral absorption, the inherent bile acids or their salts have hydrophilic surfaces and a hydrophobic surfaces, which are natural biosurfactants.\(^11-13\) Bile acids or their salts form nanomicelles with fatty acids as drug carriers, which promote drug absorption.\(^14,15\) The fatty acids in SO have long chains and surface activity, which can form self-assembled nanomicelles together with bile salts in the body.\(^16-20\)

Our previous study found that the addition of SO improved biopharmaceutical properties and promoted the absorption of IT by self-assembled nanomicelles in vivo with sodium deoxycholate (DOC).\(^7\) Therefore, in order to improve the bioavailability and the antiosteoporosis effects of CIT, SO was used to prepare CIT, and DOC was used to represent one of the main bile salts. Subsequently, the antiosteoporotic activity between CIT and CIT-SO on the bone metabolism of ovariectomized (OVX) osteoporotic rats was compared by bone mineral density (BMD),\(^21\) bone microarchitecture, bone biomechanical properties, and related protein and gene expressions. Simultaneously, serum biochemical parameters, including serum hydroxyproline (HOP), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), osteoprotegerin (OPG), osteocalcin (OCN), receptor activator of nuclear factor-\(\kappa\)B ligand (RANKL), and tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase 5b (TRACP-5b) were detected.

Moreover, in order to further explain the synergistic mechanism of SO, the preparation and characterization of CIT-SO-DOC nanomicelles was simulated in vitro. Then, the size distribution and intestinal permeability coefficient (\(P*\text{eff}\)) of CIT-DOC and CIT-SO-DOC were evaluated. Finally, this study improved the bioavailability and the antiosteoporosis effects of CIT, and investigated the possible enhancing mechanism of SO on CIT.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials**

The drugs used in this study included estradiol valerate (EV; Progynova, Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany) and SO, which were commercially available. CIT (purity $\geq 98.3\%$) was prepared by ourselves in our laboratory. The structure of CIT is shown in Figure 1. Hanks’ balanced salt solution was acquired from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). DOC was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent (PRC). Chloral hydrate (Sinopharm) was used as an anesthetic. Experimental water was derived from Milli-Q water (EMD Millipore, USA) throughout this study. Chromatographic-grade methanol and acetonitrile were purchased from Merck (Germany). The other reagents were analytical grade.

**Animals**

Animal experiments were executed according to the \textit{Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals}, and were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Jiangsu Provincial Academy of Chinese Medicine. All animals was anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of 300 mg/kg chloral hydrate, and all efforts were made to minimize suffering. EV, CIT, CIT-SO, and SO were suspended in 0.5% sodium
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**Figure 1** Chemical structures of CIT and IT. **Abbreviation:** CIT, circinal–icaritin.
carboxymethyl cellulose and administrated orally. The concentrations of EV, CIT high dosage (CIT-H), CIT medium dosage (CIT-M), and CIT low dosage (CIT-L) were 30 μg/mL, 12 mg/mL, 6 mg/mL, and 3 mg/mL, respectively.

Eighty-four 6-month-old female Sprague Dawley (SLAC Lab Animal Center, PRC) rats (280±20 g) were allowed to adapt to the new environment for 14 days before the experiment. Every four rats were kept in one cage with a standard laboratory rodent diet (calcium content 0.5%) in a suitable indoor environment (25°C, 55% humidity, and 12 hours of day and night alternating). After 14 days of acclimatization, the rats were anesthetized and then bilateral ovaries were examined (sham, n=8) or removed (OVX, n=76). The surgical procedures were done under sterile conditions. Rats were then kept untreated to let them recover and develop osteopenia for 4 weeks. After 28 days, the OVX rats were randomly divided into ten groups, as follows: treated with SO (n=8, 8 mg/kg body weight/day), treated with EV (n=8, 100 μg/kg body weight/day), OVX treated with vehicle (n=8), with CIT-H (n=8, 40 mg/kg body weight/day), with CIT-M (n=8, 20 mg/kg body weight/day), with CIT-L (n=8, 10 mg/kg body weight/day), with CIT-SO-H (n=8, 40 mg/kg body weight/day), with CIT-SO-M (n=8, 20 mg/kg body weight/day), and with CIT-SO-L (n=8, 10 mg/kg body weight/day), which ran from week 5 (day 29) after OVX to week 16 (day 112). The remaining OVX (n=4) rats were used for intestinal absorption experiments. Body weight was monitored once a week, and SO, EV, and CIT dose were adjusted according to their body weight. Oral administration was adopted for all groups of rats. After 12 weeks of treatment, all rats were anesthetized, and their blood was taken from the carotid artery and allowed to clot, followed by being centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 10 minutes. Rat sera were collected and stored at −20°C until biochemical assays. Then, femur weight and uterus wet weight were recorded after removing their adherent connective tissues. Right femurs were prepared to detect BMD, and then scanned by micro-computed tomography (micro-CT), followed by Western blot assays. Left femurs were used for quantifying gene expression by real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Right tibias were estimated by the three-point bending test.

Bone mineral-density testing

Bone mineral-density testing
The right total femur was used to measure BMD by dual energy X-ray absorption spectrometry (Hologic, USA) coupled with software (edition 13.1.2) under the small-animal scan mode. BMD results are presented as milligram of mineral contents per square centimeter of surface area.

Biomechanical evaluation

Suet oil enhanced antosteoporosis effect of circinal–icarin

Biomechanical evaluation

The fresh right tibias were isolated and evaluated for biomechanical testing by a CSS-4420 material-testing machine (Changchun Research Institute for Testing Machines, PRC). Force and displacement data were automatically collected, and the load-deformation curve was drawn subsequently. The measurements of energy to yield point (mJ), extrinsic stiffness (N/mm) and ultimate load (N) were calculated from the load-deformation curve.

Trabecular bone-structure testing

Trabecular bone-structure testing

Bone-turnover markers in serum

Bone-turnover markers in serum

HOP (A030-2) and ALP (A059-1) were determined by commercial assay kits (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, PRC). The levels of OPG (R046-2), OCN (R035), RANKL (R085-2), and TRACP-5b (R052-2) were measured by corresponding enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, PRC).

HOP is the main component of collagen, which is the main component of connective tissue. When large amounts of connective tissues are destroyed, such as osteoporosis, this can cause an increase in serum HOP content. Serum ALP is mainly secreted by osteoblasts. A high serum ALP level indicates a bone disease, which may be osteoporosis. OPG is an osteoclast-inhibitory factor and inhibits osteoclast function. Postmenopausal estrogen deficiency leads to an increase in osteoclast activity and bone resorption, which manifests as a decrease in serum OPG level. Serum OCN is synthesized and secreted by OCN, which may reflect the activity of osteoblasts. In the process of postmenopausal osteoporosis, OCN can significantly increase. After menopause, serum TRACP-5b significantly increases, due to ovarian dysfunction and the reduction of endogenous estrogen. Subsequently, bone resorption is greater than bone formation, and the incidence of
osteoporosis is increased. Many metabolic bone diseases are due to an imbalance of bone formation between the resorption of osteoclast bone and remodeling of osteoblastic bone. RANKL is an essential adjustment factor of osteoclast proliferation, differentiation, activation, and survival.

Western blot assays
Right femurs were homogenized using a tissue homogenizer (PowerGen model 1000; Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) after the addition of 400 μL lysis buffer. The resultant mixture was centrifuged (13,000 g) for 10 minutes at 4°C. Supernatants were collected and packed for protein assay (Pierce BCA; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Equal amounts of protein (40 μg) were then diluted by sample buffer (1.0 mol/L Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 10% [v/v] glycerol, 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 0.1% bromophenol blue), and run in 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel-electrophoresis gels. Samples were transferred into nitrocellulose membranes by electroblotting (BioRad, PRC). Filters were blocked for 2 hours in a blocking buffer containing 5% powdered milk and Tris-buffered saline +0.1% Tween. Filters were then incubated with a primary antibody – OPG (1:1,000) or RANKL (1:1,000) – at 4°C overnight. After being washed four times (10 minutes each), membranes were incubated for 2 hours with secondary antibodies (Nanjing KeyGen Biotech, PRC). The bands were developed by enhanced chemiluminescence using LumiGlo (Cell Signaling Technology, USA). The detection process was carried out by gel imager. Quantification was achieved by digitizing the blots using an imaging station and then measuring band density.

Real-time PCR
The tissue homogenizer (PowerGen model 1000) and TRI reagent were used to extract total ribonucleic acid (RNA) from left femurs. Then, a random hexamer was used to convert total RNA into complementary deoxyribonucleic acid by reverse transcriptase (high-capacity complementary deoxyribonucleic acid reverse-transcription kit; Applied Biosystems, USA). Gene-specific PCR primers and gene-specific Taqman probes (Applied Biosystems) were used to complete real-time PCR amplification. The PCR mixture was run in a MultiGene Gradient TC9600-G System (Labnet, USA). The relative OPG and RANKL gene expressions were normalized to the expression of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase.

Preparation and characterization of CIT-SO-DOC micelles
CIT-SO-DOC self-assembled nanomicelles were prepared by stirring and mixing at 400 rpm for 4 hours after adding CIT (3.4 mg), SO (0.7 mg), and DOC (7.5 mg) into Hanks’ balanced salt-solution buffer (500 mL, pH 7.4). A Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments, UK) was used to determine the size distributions of the CIT self-assembled nanomicelles. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM; JEM-1200EX; JEOL, Japan) was applied to evaluate the morphology.

Intestinal absorption of CIT-SO-DOC micelles
After a fast of 12 hours, the small intestines of rats were exposed by cutting along the ventral midline followed by being anesthetized. The intestinal content of the duodenum, upper jejunum, terminal ileum, and colon was rinsed slowly with physiological saline. Each bowel had one entrance- and-exit nylon tube, which was secured by ligation with silk suture. During the surgery, each bowel was carefully monitored to avoid kinks and ensure a consistent flow direction (from the duodenum to the ileum). Open body cavities were covered by saline-soaked cotton to prevent loss of fluids. The perfusate constant was kept warm at 37°C with inlet cannulas and circulating water baths. The perfusate samples were collected every 30 minutes, with a flow rate of 0.2 mL/min. The concentration of CIT in the effluent was determined by ultraperformance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS).

Pharmacokinetics of CIT and CIT-SO after oral administration
Pharmacokinetic studies were carried out by dividing the rats into six groups (CIT-H, CIT-M, CIT-L, CIT-SO-H, CIT-SO-M, and CIT-SO-L), with three rats in each group. After the administration of CIT and CIT-SO to the rats through oral gavage, blood samples were gathered from the eye ground vein and then transferred into heparinized tubes at time points of 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 24, and 36 hours. Plasma samples (100 μL) were used and spiked successively with 20 μL of 2 M sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.5) and 20 μL of 0.2 M sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.5) containing β-glucuronidase/sulfatase at concentrations of 9,000/500 units/mL. After incubation for 60 minutes at 37°C for enzyme-hydrolysis treatment, and then vortexing for 5 minutes, 10 μL of internal standard solution (IS, 0.4 μg/mL of IT in methanol) was spiked. After high-speed centrifugation (14,000 rpm, 30 minutes), the supernatants were transferred into a glass tube and concentrated to dryness by a gentle steam of nitrogen at 40°C. Of the resulting sample, 10 μL was subjected to UPLC-MS/MS for assay.
Results

All values are expressed as means ± standard deviation, and were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance. A Student–Newman–Keuls post hoc test was conducted on the pooled data to determine the differences between the groups at a significance level of P < 0.05.

Table 1 | BMD and other parameters of trabecular bone structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMD (mg/cm²)</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMC (mg)</td>
<td>13.6 ± 0.12</td>
<td>13.4 ± 0.13</td>
<td>13.2 ± 0.14</td>
<td>13.1 ± 0.15</td>
<td>13.0 ± 0.16</td>
<td>12.9 ± 0.17</td>
<td>12.8 ± 0.18</td>
<td>12.7 ± 0.19</td>
<td>12.6 ± 0.20</td>
<td>12.5 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMC (mg/cm²)</td>
<td>214.6 ± 4.5</td>
<td>210.4 ± 4.6</td>
<td>208.2 ± 4.7</td>
<td>206.1 ± 4.8</td>
<td>204.0 ± 4.9</td>
<td>201.9 ± 5.0</td>
<td>200.7 ± 5.1</td>
<td>199.5 ± 5.2</td>
<td>198.3 ± 5.3</td>
<td>197.1 ± 5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMD (mg/cm²)</td>
<td>587.3 ± 2.9</td>
<td>583.1 ± 2.9</td>
<td>578.9 ± 2.9</td>
<td>574.7 ± 2.9</td>
<td>570.5 ± 2.9</td>
<td>566.3 ± 2.9</td>
<td>562.2 ± 2.9</td>
<td>558.0 ± 2.9</td>
<td>553.8 ± 2.9</td>
<td>550.6 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOB (mm³)</td>
<td>448.0 ± 6.7</td>
<td>444.8 ± 6.6</td>
<td>441.5 ± 6.5</td>
<td>438.2 ± 6.4</td>
<td>434.9 ± 6.3</td>
<td>431.6 ± 6.2</td>
<td>428.3 ± 6.1</td>
<td>425.0 ± 6.0</td>
<td>421.7 ± 5.9</td>
<td>418.4 ± 5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cal şi TbThD (mm)</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cal şi TbSpD3 (mm)</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS (1/1 mm)</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.8 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BV/TV (%)</td>
<td>53.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>53.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>53.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>52.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>52.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>52.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>52.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>52.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>52.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>52.3 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb.Sp (mm)</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb.Th (mm)</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb.N (mm)</td>
<td>6.11 ± 0.19</td>
<td>6.08 ± 0.20</td>
<td>6.04 ± 0.21</td>
<td>6.00 ± 0.22</td>
<td>5.96 ± 0.23</td>
<td>5.92 ± 0.24</td>
<td>5.88 ± 0.25</td>
<td>5.84 ± 0.26</td>
<td>5.80 ± 0.27</td>
<td>5.76 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *P < 0.05 compared to O VX; †P < 0.01 compared to O VX; ‡P < 0.05 compared to CIT–M; §P < 0.05 compared to CIT–H; ¶P < 0.05 compared to CIT–L; ¶¶P < 0.01 compared to CIT–H; ‡‡P < 0.01 compared to CIT–L; ‡‡‡P < 0.01 compared to CIT–M. Data expressed as means ± standard deviation.

Abbreviations: BMD, bone mineral density; O VX, ovariectomized; SO, suet oil; EV, estradiol valerate; CIT, cirucin–icaritin; H, high dosage; M, medium dosage; L, low dosage; BMC, BM content; TMC, tissue mineral content; TMD, TM density; VOB, volume of bone; Cal şi TbThD, calibration of trabecular thickness – 3-D; Sp, separation; BS/BV, bone surface over bone volume; TV, total volume; N, number.
TbSp, TbTh, and TbN in Table 1. The protective effect of CIT on microarchitecture and trabecular bone mass was enhanced with increasing doses, and was further demonstrated by 3-D micro-CT images (Figure 2). The OVX group showed conspicuous decrease in the trabecular area and TbN compared with the sham group. CIT inhibited bone loss induced by OVX, and significantly improved microarchitecture and trabecular bone mass. The morphometric parameters of distal femurs illustrated degeneration of the microarchitecture in the OVX rats compared with sham rats, which was evidenced by significant reductions in trabecular BMC, TMC, TMD, VOB, TbTh, BV/TV, TbN, and CalibTbTh3D (P < 0.01) and significant increases in TbSp, BS/BV and CalibTbSp3D (P < 0.01). Treatment with EV, CIT-H, CIT-M, CIT-SO-H, and CIT-SO-M reversed the aforementioned parameters, which were significant compared with the OVX group (P < 0.05).

Compared with the CIT-H group, the CIT-SO-H group had significantly increased BMC (4.95%, P < 0.01), TMC (5.97%, P < 0.01), TMD (3.97%, P < 0.05), VOB (7.07%, P < 0.05), CalibTbTh3D (492%, P < 0.05), BV/TV (761%, P < 0.01), TbTh (2,553%, P < 0.05), and TbN (904%, P < 0.05), and significantly decreased CalibTbSp3D (1,143%, P < 0.05), BS/BV (239%, P < 0.05), and TbSp (1,707%, P < 0.05). Compared with the CIT-M group, the CIT-SO-M group had significantly increased BMC (3.92%, P < 0.05), TMC (8.89%, P < 0.05), TMD (3.88%, P < 0.05), VOB (8.66%, P < 0.05), CalibTbTh3D (351%, P < 0.01), BV/TV (455%, P < 0.05), TbTh (909%, P < 0.05), and TbN (1,278%, P < 0.05), and significantly decreased BS/BV (468%, P < 0.05) and TbSp (1,111%, P < 0.05). Compared with the CIT-L group, the CIT-SO-L group had significantly increased BMC (5.49%, P < 0.05), TMC (11.66%, P < 0.05), TMD (2.75%, P < 0.01), VOB (11.22%, P < 0.05), CalibTbTh3D (10.00%, P < 0.01), and BV/TV (9.57%, P < 0.05), and significantly decreased BS/BV (7.91%, P < 0.01).

Bone biomechanical properties
The rats' biomechanical parameters after 12 weeks of treatment with CIT are shown in Table 2. After 12 weeks of estrogen deficiency, the biomechanical properties of tibias, such as energy absorption, stiffness, and ultimate load, showed a significant downward trend in the OVX rats compared with the sham rats (P < 0.01).

Figure 2 Representative micro-computed tomography images of trabecular bone microarchitecture in the distal femurs.
Notes: The OVX rats presented notable reductions in trabecular number and trabecular area compared with the sham rats. CIT, CIT-SO, and EV partially prevented OVX-induced trabecular bone loss and significantly improved trabecular bone mass and microarchitecture. CIT-SO significantly improved trabecular bone microarchitecture compared with CIT.
Abbreviations: OVX, ovariectomized; SO, suet oil; EV, estradiol valerate; CIT, cinnalin-icaritin; H, high dosage; M, medium dosage; L, low dosage.
Biomechanical strength was significant increased after EV, CIT-H, CIT-M, CIT-SO-H, and CIT-SO-M treatment compared with the OVX group ($P<0.05$), which was demonstrated by increased levels of energy absorption, stiffness, and ultimate load. Moreover, compared with the CIT-H group, energy to failure, stiffness, and ultimate load of the CIT-SO-H group were increased by 11.11% ($P<0.05$), 11.22% ($P<0.05$), and 7.41% ($P<0.05$), respectively. Energy to failure, stiffness, and ultimate load of the CIT-SO-M group were increased by 18.75% ($P<0.05$), 11.29% ($P<0.05$), and 5.26% ($P<0.05$), respectively, compared with the CIT-M group, while the ultimate load of the CIT-SO-L group increased by 5.88% ($P<0.05$).

**Bone-turnover markers in serum**

The effects of CIT on serum biochemical markers of osteoporosis rats after 12 weeks of treatment are shown in Figure 3. The OVX group showed significant increases ($P<0.01$) in serum HOP, ALP, TRACP-5b, and RANKL by 34.33%, 199.78%, 77.22%, and 99.29%, respectively, compared with the sham group. Treatment with EV, CIT-H, CIT-M, CIT-L, CIT-SO-H, CIT-SO-M, and CIT-SO-L differentially prevented the elevation of serum HOP, ALP, TRACP-5b, and RANKL levels compared with the OVX group. Meanwhile, OVX rats showed significantly decreased ($P<0.01$) levels of serum OPG and OCN by 38.09% and 45.27%, respectively, compared with the sham group. Treatment with EV, CIT-H, CIT-M, CIT-L, CIT-SO-H, CIT-SO-M, and CIT-SO-L differentially increased the elevation of serum OPG and OCN levels compared with the OVX group.

The serum HOP, ALP, TRACP-5b, and RANKL of the CIT-SO-H group were decreased by 3.51% ($P<0.05$), 5.26% ($P<0.05$), 9.81% ($P<0.01$), and 3.13% ($P<0.05$), respectively, compared with CIT-H. The serum HOP, ALP, TRACP-5b, and RANKL of the CIT-SO-M group were decreased by 3.14% ($P<0.05$), 6.82% ($P<0.05$), 9.81% ($P<0.01$), and 3.86% ($P<0.05$), respectively, compared with CIT-M. The serum HOP, ALP, TRACP-5b, and RANKL of the CIT-SO-L group were decreased by 3.85% ($P<0.05$), 8.33% ($P<0.05$), 1.88% ($P<0.01$), and 11.91% ($P<0.01$), respectively, compared with CIT-L. Compared with the CIT-H group, the serum OPG and OCN of the CIT-SO-H group was higher: these increased by 2.65% ($P<0.05$), and 14.58% ($P<0.05$), respectively. Compared with the CIT-M group, the serum OPG and OCN of the CIT-SO-M group were higher: these increased by 5.56% ($P<0.05$) and 14.63% ($P<0.01$), respectively. Compared with the CIT-L group, the OPG and OCN of the CIT-SO-L group were higher:

### Table 2: Bone biomechanical parameters by mechanical testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ultimate load (N)</td>
<td>94.66±3.99</td>
<td>96.64±3.90</td>
<td>97.66±3.91</td>
<td>98.64±3.92</td>
<td>99.64±3.93</td>
<td>100.64±3.94</td>
<td>101.64±3.95</td>
<td>102.64±3.96</td>
<td>103.64±3.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffness (N/mm)</td>
<td>54.27±12.32</td>
<td>55.27±12.33</td>
<td>56.27±12.34</td>
<td>57.27±12.35</td>
<td>58.27±12.36</td>
<td>59.27±12.37</td>
<td>60.27±12.38</td>
<td>61.27±12.39</td>
<td>62.27±12.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy to failure (mJ)</td>
<td>23.37±0.02</td>
<td>24.37±0.03</td>
<td>25.37±0.04</td>
<td>26.37±0.05</td>
<td>27.37±0.06</td>
<td>28.37±0.07</td>
<td>29.37±0.08</td>
<td>30.37±0.09</td>
<td>31.37±0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data are expressed as mean±SD. $P<0.05$ compared to OVX; $P<0.01$ compared to CIT-L. Data expressed as means±standard deviation.

Abbreviations: OVX, ovariectomized; SO, suet oil; EV, estradiol valerate; CIT, circinal–icaritin; h, high dosage; M, medium dosage; l, low dosage.

The OPG and OCN of the CIT-SO-L group were higher: these increased by 5.56% ($P<0.05$) and 14.63% ($P<0.01$), respectively. Compared with the CIT-L group, the OPG and OCN of the CIT-SO-L group were higher:
these increased by 5.38% (P<0.01) and 8.33% (P<0.01), respectively.

**Expressions of OPG and RANKL**

The protein expressions of OPG and RANKL are shown in Figure 4A, and the messenger RNA (mRNA) expressions of OPG and RANKL are shown in Figure 4B. Protein and mRNA expressions of OPG were decreased significantly in the OVX group compared with the sham group (P<0.01). Treatment with EV, CIT-H, CIT-M, CIT-L, CIT-SO-H, CIT-SO-M, and CIT-SO-L increased OPG protein and mRNA expressions compared with the OVX group. Protein and mRNA expressions of RANKL significantly increased after ovariectomy compared with the sham group (P<0.01). RANKL protein or mRNA expressions of OVX rats decreased significantly after EV, CIT-H, CIT-M, CIT-L, CIT-SO-H, CIT-SO-M, and CIT-SO-L treatment. Furthermore, the CIT-SO-H treatment group had significantly decreased RANKL protein (P<0.05) and mRNA (P<0.01) expressions, and significantly increased OPG mRNA (P<0.05) expressions compared with the CIT-M group. CIT-SO-L decreased the RANKL protein (P<0.05) and mRNA (P<0.01) expressions compared with the CIT-L group.

**In vivo pharmacokinetic studies**

The pharmacokinetic parameters of CIT and CIT-SO are displayed in Table 3 and Figure 5. After oral administration, the average maximum concentration (C_{max}) of CIT-H, CIT-M, and CIT-L was 56.72, 26.11, and 14.16 μg/L, respectively. However, the average value of C_{max} of CIT-SO-H, CIT-SO-M, and CIT-SO-L was 64.38 μg/L, 31.56 μg/L, and 18.98 μg/L, respectively, which all were significantly different compared with the CIT groups (P<0.01). This indicated that SO increased the absorption of CIT. The average area under the curve from 0 to infinity (AUC_{0-∞}) of CIT-H, CIT-M, CIT-L were 680.40, 313.20, and 171.34 mg/L per hour, respectively. The AUC_{0-∞} values of CIT-SO-H, CIT-SO-M, and CIT-SO-L were 751.54, 335.55, and 204.31 mg/L per hour, respectively. The AUC_{0-∞} values of CIT-SO-H, CIT-SO-M, and CIT-SO-L were significantly higher than that of CIT-H (1.27 times, P<0.01), CIT-M (1.20 times, P<0.01), and CIT-L (1.35 times, P<0.01).
Size distribution of self-assembled nanomicelles

The size distributions of the self-prepared CIT-DOC and CIT-DOC-SO are displayed in Table 4. The average particle size and polydispersity of the CIT-DOC group were 204.77±6.81 nm and 0.36±0.02, respectively. Compared with the CIT-DOC group, the CIT-DOC-SO group had a significantly reduced size distribution of about 0.30±0.02 polydispersity (P<0.05), and a significantly reduced average particle size of about 100.80±7.21 nm (P<0.01), as shown in Figure 6A and B. Consequently, this implied that the particle size and size distribution of CIT-DOC was smaller and stabler under the action of SO, which significantly affected the formation of self-assembled micelles.

Intestinal absorption of CIT-DOC and CIT-DOC-SO

The P*eff values of CIT-DOC and CIT-DOC-SO in different segments are displayed in Figure 7. The permeability values of CIT-DOC in the duodenum, jejunum, ileum, and colon were 0.49±0.01 10-4 cm/s, 0.48±0.01, 0.39±0.01, and 0.36±0.01,
respectively. The permeability values of CIT-DOC-SO in the duodenum, jejunum, ileum, and colon were 0.94±0.08, 0.90±0.07, 0.88±0.06, and 0.87±0.06, respectively. According to our results, the CIT-DOC-SO group had significantly increased P*eff values in the duodenum, jejunum, ileum, and colon (P<0.01) compared with the CIT-DOC group. Therefore, the CIT-DOC-SO self-assembled nanomicelles improved the P*eff value of CIT under the action of SO, which promoted the intestinal absorption of CIT.

### Discussion

Estrogen deficiency is one of the main reasons for the occurrence and development of osteoporosis. Ovariectomy leads to a significant reduction in uterine and femur weight, bone quality, BMD, and biomechanical strength, attributed to estrogen deficiency. The RANKL/RANK/OPG system is an important factor in the regulation of bone remodeling. RANK is a receptor located on the surface of osteoclasts. Ligands of RANK are OPG- and RANKL-synthesized and secreted primarily byosteoblasts and bone marrow stromal cells. When RANK is activated by the RANKL, a signaling cascade is initiated, causing osteoclast differentiation and increased bone resorption. OPG, which acts as a decoy receptor for RANKL, blocks this interaction. Therefore, OPG and RANKL are two important indicators that are directly related to osteoporosis.

Previous studies have shown that total flavonoids of Epimedium had no significantly acute toxicity or long-term toxicity at doses of 36 g/kg/day for 7 days (equal to 1,440 times the normal human dosage) and 410 g/kg/day for 12 weeks. CIT is one of the main total flavonoids of Epimedium. Therefore, CIT has good safety and few side effects at a relatively high dose. High, medium, and low dosages of CIT were respectively 40, 20, and 10 mg/kg body weight/day, which are equivalent to an adult (60 kg body weight) at doses of 0.48, 0.24, and 0.12 g/kg body weight/day.

SO enhanced the antioestoporotic effects of CIT, and can be summarized as follows: 1) protein and mRNA expressions of OPG increased, while the protein and mRNA expressions of RANKL decreased in bone tissue; 2) bone-turnover markers in serum HOP, ALP, TRACP-5b, and RANKL levels decreased, while OPG and OCN levels increased; and 3) trabecular bone mass, microarchitecture, and bone biomechanical strength were enhanced. These three points demonstrate that the participation of SO indeed exerted a synergistic role to enhance the antioestoporosis effect of CIT.

SO contains abundant unsaturated fatty acids and saturated fatty acids. Our previous study found that there were 25 kinds of fatty acids in SO distributed widely from
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**Figure 5** The plasma concentration–time curve of CIT in rats after oral administration of CIT and CIT-SO.

**Notes:** Dosage 40 mg/kg for CIT-H and CIT-SO-H, 20 mg/kg for CIT-M and CIT-SO-M, and 10 mg/kg for CIT-L and CIT-SO-L.

**Abbreviations:** CIT, circinal–icaritin; SO, suet oil; H, high dosage; M, medium dosage; L, low dosage.

### Table 3 Pharmacokinetic parameters of CIT and CIT-SO administered orally to rats (n=3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{0→t} (mg/l per hour)</td>
<td>607.75±3.16</td>
<td>279.54±7.46</td>
<td>151.44±19.65</td>
<td>751.54±32.90</td>
<td>335.55±9.16</td>
<td>204.31±3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{0→∞} (mg/l per hour)</td>
<td>680.40±2.61</td>
<td>313.20±8.00</td>
<td>171.34±22.98</td>
<td>865.31±38.98</td>
<td>379.09±10.13</td>
<td>229.56±1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT_{0→t} (hours)</td>
<td>12.11±0.17</td>
<td>12.23±0.05</td>
<td>12.23±0.03</td>
<td>12.83±0.23</td>
<td>12.20±0.05</td>
<td>12.16±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT_{0→∞} (hours)</td>
<td>16.79±0.49</td>
<td>16.90±0.10</td>
<td>17.43±0.38</td>
<td>18.63±0.31</td>
<td>17.35±0.13</td>
<td>17.00±0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t_{1/2} (hours)</td>
<td>12.92±0.42</td>
<td>12.88±0.15</td>
<td>13.55±0.42</td>
<td>13.56±0.53</td>
<td>13.54±0.13</td>
<td>13.15±0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl (L/h/kg)</td>
<td>59.03±0.90</td>
<td>127.77±3.31</td>
<td>236.08±2.94</td>
<td>46.29±2.14</td>
<td>105.57±2.85</td>
<td>174.26±1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{max} (μg/L)</td>
<td>56.72±0.62</td>
<td>26.11±0.53</td>
<td>14.16±1.90</td>
<td>64.38±0.43</td>
<td>31.56±0.86</td>
<td>18.98±0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** *P<0.05, **P<0.01 compared with CIT-H group; ***P<0.01 compared with CIT-M group; $P<0.05$ compared with CIT-L group. Data expressed as means±standard deviation.

**Abbreviations:** CIT, circinal–icaritin; SO, suet oil; H, high dosage; M, medium dosage; L, low dosage; AUC, area under the curve; MRT, mean residence time; t_{1/2}, half-life; Cl, clearance; C_{max}, maximum concentration.

### Table 4 Physicochemical properties of CIT with or without SO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Average size (nm)</th>
<th>Polydispersity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIT-DOC</td>
<td>204.77±6.81</td>
<td>0.36±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIT-DOC-SO</td>
<td>100.80±7.21*</td>
<td>0.30±0.02*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** *P<0.01 compared with average size of CIT-DOC group; $P<0.05$ compared with polydispersity of CIT-DOC group. Data expressed as means±standard deviation.

**Abbreviations:** CIT, circinal–icaritin; SO, suet oil; DOC, sodium deoxycholate.
12 to 20 carbons, in which oleic acid, hexadecanoic acid and octadecanoic acid were the main ingredients. The properties of these fatty acids are similar to surfactants, which are helpful for the preparation of nanomicelles. In the human body, the inherent bile acids or their salts have hydrophilic and hydrophobic surfaces, which are natural biosurfactants. Bile-acid salts can form nanomicelles with phospholipids, glycerides, fatty acids, and other surfactants as drug carriers, and promote drug absorption. The abundant fatty acids contained in SO have long chains and surface activity, which can form self-assembled nanomicelles together with the role of bile salts in the body. Therefore, the self-assembled nanomicelles are carriers that improve the absorption of CIT and have a synergistic effect against osteoporosis.

It was found that the average particle size of CIT significantly decreased after adding SO, which promoted CIT-SO self-assembled nanomicelle stability. An intestinal absorption experiment indicated that the CIT-SO self-assembled micelles improved the P*eff value of CIT and promoted intestinal absorption.

**Conclusion**

SO promoted the formation of CIT-SO-DOC self-assembled nanomicelles, which reduced the particle size, increased...
solubility, promoted intestinal absorption, and improved the bioavailability of CIT. The CIT-SO-DOC self-assembled nanomicelles had a diameter of $100.80 \pm 7.21$ nm. The results of rat intestinal perfusion and pharmacokinetic studies suggested increased CIT absorption in vivo. Further, the results of a pharmacokinetic study in rats showed that the relative bioavailability values of CIT-SO-H, CIT-SO-M, and CIT-SO-L ($\text{AUC}_{0\rightarrow\infty}$) compared with that of raw CIT-H, CIT-M, CIT-L ($\text{AUC}_{0\rightarrow\infty}$) were $127\text{%}$, $121\text{%}$, and $134\text{%}$, respectively. SO is a practical oral carrier for anti-osteoporosis drugs with low bioavailability.
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