Inflammatory bowel disease and anxiety: links, risks, and challenges faced
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Abstract: Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) causes severe physical symptoms and is also associated with psychological comorbidities. Abnormal anxiety levels are found in up to 40% of patients with IBD. Anxiety symptoms are often related to flares of IBD but may persist in times of remission. Detection of anxiety disorder (AD) in patients with IBD can be challenging. Patients with anxiety may also exhibit symptoms in keeping with functional gastrointestinal disorders (FGID). Evidence for the effectiveness of pharmacological and psychological therapies for anxiety stems from patients without IBD. Studies in patients with IBD have either been small or shown negative results. In light of this, a combined approach involving IBD physicians to improve disease control and psychologists or psychiatrists to treat anxiety is advised. This review examines the evidence of anxiety issues in IBD with a focus on extent of the problem, risk factors for anxiety, and the effectiveness of interventions.
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Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) comprises two major gastrointestinal disorders namely Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC). It has a profound impact on a patient’s life. IBD can affect people of all ages, but is most often diagnosed at a young age. It has a chronic nature and follows an unpredictable course with flares and remissions. Approximately 25%–50% of patients relapse annually. The etiology of IBD is not well recognized. It is now understood that it may relate to the complex interaction between genetic and environmental factors in vulnerable individuals. This interaction results in an inappropriate and exaggerated intestinal inflammatory response.1–3 IBD is a common condition. In the UK, CD affects around one in every 650 people, while UC affects around one in every 420 people.4 The incidence of CD in Europe ranges from 0.5–10.6 cases per 100,000 person-years, while the estimates for UC range from 0.9–24.3 per 100,000 person-years.5 There are great variations between countries, with generally higher incidences in more northern countries, and an increasing incidence has been noted in developing countries. Psychological effects from IBD and IBD medications are experienced by many patients with IBD. Anxiety, especially, can have a profound effect on quality of life, including the ability to work and have a family life. This paper reviews the current evidence to help clinicians looking after patients with IBD who experience anxiety.

IBD symptoms, complications, and mortality

The symptoms of IBD include abdominal pain, bloody diarrhea, and fatigue. Other bowel related symptoms are frequency of bowel motions, urgency to open the bowels,
and occasionally, fecal incontinence. These symptoms cause immediate disruption of activities, but also have ongoing impacts on daily activities, including dietary restrictions, lifestyle changes, and maintaining close proximity to a toilet. More distal impacts include interference with work, school, parenting, social and leisure activities, relationships, and psychological well-being.6–8

IBD can have numerous complications involving all the systems of the body. CD can affect any part of the digestive tract from mouth to anus but most often leads to inflammation in the ileocaecal region. The main intestinal complications of CD include acute inflammation of the intestines, ulcers, obstruction, and perforation. Despite the use of immunosuppressants, around 80% of patients with CD require surgery in the long-term, most commonly for ileal strictureting or disease refractory to medical interventions. Patients with CD often require a second operation within a few years of the first intestinal resection.9 In contrast to UC, patients with CD can experience fistulating disease where abnormal connections between the bowel and other organs, or the skin, occur. This most commonly affects the perianal area. In contrast, UC only affects the colon in a continuous fashion extending proximally from the rectum. UC causes inflammation but does not lead to stricture formation or fistulation, usually. Surgery for UC can occur for a number of reasons. First, patients with acute severe colitis often require surgery. It is now clear that patients who after 3 days of intravenous steroid therapy and still have marked diarrhea (>8 stools/24 hours) and with a CRP of more than 45 mg/L, have a very high chance (85%) of requiring urgent surgery. Approximately around 15%–25% of patients with a severe attack will require urgent colectomy, regardless of medical therapy given.10,11 Second, an elective colectomy is required for patients developing colorectal dysplasia or cancer, furthermore patients not responding to medical long term management also require colectomy. Up to 25% of patients with UC will ultimately require surgery.9

Surgical therapy often leads to the formation of a permanent ostomy which can be associated with body image disturbances. Problems arising from surgery include healing of the perineal wound, adhesion obstruction, and ileostomy dysfunction. Rarely, sexual dysfunction can also occur in males if a perimuscular excision of the rectum is made.10,11

Other extraintestinal manifestations occurring in CD patients include involvement of the skin, joints, and eyes. Women with CD have higher rates of miscarriage, particularly those with active disease during pregnancy.11 IBD patients are more prone to developing malignant disease, particularly who have UC, have increased risk for developing colorectal cancer when compared with the normal population.12 UC increases the risk of colorectal cancer to 2.4-fold, especially in male patients diagnosed at a young age and patients who have extensive colitis.13 UC is also associated with primary sclerosing cholangitis, which puts UC patients at possible increased risk for malignant transformation to cholangiocarcinoma.14 IBD rarely results in mortality directly. There is largely normal mortality with UC and mild increase of mortality in CD. In UC, mortality rates are similar to those of the general population in most studies. On the other hand the majority of studies of CD mortality demonstrated 1.5-fold increases in the standardized mortality ratios, especially for those diagnosed at younger ages and requiring extensive or multiple resection surgery.15,16

As outlined, IBD can have a very severe physical impact on patients’ health and their quality of life. Even at times of remission real concerns over the future course of disease remain, which can negatively affect the patients’ personal outlook on life.

Links between IBD and anxiety

Anxiety disorder (AD) is defined as a feeling of unease, worry, and/or fear that patients are unable to control. It can be mild or severe, and last for a period of at least 6 months.17 Symptoms of AD have been outlined in Table 1.

IBD is a chronic disease, and it is well known that patients with chronic diseases have higher rates of anxiety compared to the general population.18–20 AD occurs in IBD patients more often than expected by chance, and in particular with CD patients.21–26 UC patients also suffer from AD at a higher rate than either the general population or groups of patients with other types of chronic diseases.26–28

A small study of 51 IBD patients in remission and 28 community controls reported a higher lifetime prevalence of AD in CD patients, compared to the control group.24 Another study that matched 79 IBD clinic patients with 36 healthy controls and used validated symptom patient report measures to assess anxiety symptoms found that IBD patients had higher anxiety levels than the control group.26 The prevalence of AD in IBD patients is increasing.28,29 In IBD patients, the rate of anxiety has been estimated between 29% and 35% during periods of remission and as high as 80% during relapses.30 Indeed the correlation between symptoms and anxiety levels seems strong. A Dutch study on 231 IBD patients suggested that up to 43% had high levels of anxiety, indicative of a psychiatric disorder and that anxiety symptoms and psychiatric complaints in IBD patients are undertreated.31
Mechanisms by which the stress through the nervous system with existing IBD can trigger a flare is less well understood. Symptoms can induce anxiety. Whether anxiety in patients confirms the impression of many clinicians that physical discomfort can predisposes patients to develop IBD remains controversial. The decrease in quality of life was significantly related with female sex. The incidence of FGID was significantly higher in patients with higher anxiety levels. Anxiety can potentially exacerbate these disorders leading to greater psychological comorbidity and poorer quality of life. While increased symptoms are present in patients with AD it is unclear whether these symptoms are due to increased inflammation or overlapping FGID, as IBD symptoms scoring system cannot differentiate the origin of symptoms. Since it can be difficult to differentiate symptoms from FGID and IBD, physicians should, in the first instance, look for objective signs of inflammations. Any inflammation should be treated to induce remission from IBD, which may also improve anxiety and functional symptoms. If symptoms persist in patients with no objective evidence of active IBD, treatment for functional symptoms should be considered.

### Risk factors for developing anxiety in IBD

Many risk factors associated with the development of anxiety in IBD patients have been described in the literature. These factors have been outlined in Table 2.

Older age has been postulated as a risk factor; being 40 years or older was considered an independent predictor of impaired quality of life in a Korean study conducted on inactive IBD patients who have FGID and mood disorders. Female sex as risk factor for psychiatric disorders in IBD is controversial. The decrease in quality of life was significantly related with female sex. Female patients are more prone to develop depression. However, another study did not find a female predominance among anxious patients. IBD disease activity is closely linked to psychological symptoms as anxiety has also been observed more during...
flares of IBD patients. A study on UC patients concluded that endoscopically confirmed active mucosal inflammation is associated with more psychological stress. As such, the study demonstrated the importance of inflammation control for both the physical and psychological well-being of IBD patients (Figure 1). In another large cohort of IBD patients, risk factors for anxiety were severe and active disease.

Many IBD patients require surgery and may end up with permanent stoma following removal of the colon, which is a huge lifelong change for them. In a small study on patients with CD and a stoma, it was found that patients had high rates of psychological comorbidity and low quality of life, and most of the patients were not receiving psychological help. It also concluded that psychological care is indicated for many of these patients. In another study IBD related surgery or hospitalization was associated with a significant risk for anxiety. These effects can be attributed to the severity of disease which necessitated hospital admission in the first instance, the side effects of any treatment received (especially surgery), and the hospital environment which is often seen as intimidating by patients.

Whether disease related patient education has an effect on the risk of developing anxiety is unclear. An Australian study found a correlation between patient knowledge and anxiety levels, suggesting that patients with better knowledge also had higher levels of anxiety. Whether anxious patients are more likely to search for information on IBD or whether better education creates anxiety remains unclear. A small Scandinavian study on group based patient education found that in 49 participants knowledge improved without an increase in anxiety scores. Further work is needed to evaluate the association between anxiety and knowledge and to develop targeted interventions that will improve knowledge and simultaneously reduce anxiety.

Perceived rather than actual stress may also play a role in the development of AD. Another study found that in UC, anxiety scores were associated with perceived stress and a new diagnosis of IBD, while in CD patients anxiety was associated with perceived stress, abdominal pain, and lower socioeconomic status.

Coping mechanisms play an important role as risk factors for flares in CD. Patients who engaged less in avoidance coping (avoiding to deal with stressors) were less likely to experience flares.

The pathophysiology of the gut–brain axis may play an important role in the course of IBD, for both inflammation and psychological symptoms. TNF-alpha, a proinflammatory cytokine, has been postulated as a key factor. Further research in this field may reveal therapeutic targets in the future for both IBD and psychological symptom improvement.

**Current challenges**

Given the association between IBD and AD, clinicians should ensure that detection and treatment of anxiety should be integral parts of IBD clinical care. Detecting AD should be a complement between the primary and secondary care clinicians looking after IBD patients, especially in IBD patients who have associated risks factors for developing AD.

Most IBD physicians have, however, little formal training in the detection and management of psychological comorbidities. This lack of training combined with the hesitancy of many patients to report psychological symptoms can delay the diagnosis and treatment of IBD related AD.

Formal screening questionnaires could overcome this issue. Such screening could occur during follow-up clinics, whether in the community or in specialized IBD clinics, with a structured interview about the symptoms of anxiety. There are screening tools clinicians can use to detect AD, an example of a screening tool is the five item Anxiety and depression detector (Table 3). This detector uses five questions with yes or no answers. It was found to be sensitive, and had reasonable specificity for detecting AD across different sex and racial groups. Another screening tool is the Luebeck Interview for Psychosocial Screening in Patients

![Figure 1 Interaction between anxiety and IBD symptoms.](image)

**Table 3 Anxiety and depression detector**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Have you …</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Had a spell or attack where all of a sudden you felt frightened, anxious, or uneasy?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Been bothered by nerves or feeling anxious or on edge for 6 months?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Had a problem being anxious or uncomfortable around people?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Had recurrent dreams or nightmares of trauma or avoidance of trauma reminders?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over the past 2 weeks, have you …</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Felt down depressed or hopeless with little interest or pleasure in doing things?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with IBD. This scale provides a broader scope of information for patient management. It covers areas including social support, depression and anxiety caused by IBD, and takes an average of 5–10 minutes to administer. Awareness of these screening tools may help in the recognition of patients with anxiety to consider them for appropriate therapy. However preclinic questionnaires that ask for all desirable information (physical symptoms, psychological symptoms, quality of life, medication adherence, etc) can easily become very lengthy and burdensome to the patient. As such a difficult balance between desirable screening and practical approaches has to be struck.

Many IBD patients with AD are under treated and this leads to disability and some even have a stigma against seeking treatment. It has been shown that therapy directed toward psychological comorbidity might independently improve the quality of life in IBD patients. Treatment for AD should be considered once patients with risk factors screen positive for AD, and the patient is showing features of poor health related quality of life (HRQoL). Management should ideally involve a multidisciplinary team of specialists, including a gastroenterologist and a psychologist or psychiatrist, as treatment for AD involves pharmacological and psychological aspects. A multidisciplinary approach is required to ensure optimization of medical IBD therapy as well as therapy for AD to achieve full control of IBD and AD together.

In general, management of otherwise healthy patients with AD includes psychological therapies and the use of pharmacological agents. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) are generally used as first-line agents, followed remotely by tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs), and serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs). These agents have been well established in the literature for being effective in the treatment of AD. The other aspect in AD management is the psychological treatment including cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT). The effectiveness of CBT in treating AD has been demonstrated by a number of large scale studies and meta-analyses. Indeed, a combination of psychological treatment and pharmacotherapy seems to show the greatest treatment benefits.

There is less data on the effectiveness for interventions treating AD in IBD patients. A small single-arm study of nine patients has found that AD symptoms can be improved by CBT in adolescents with IBD. A decrease in anxiety, pain, and disease severity was also observed. A Swedish study examined the effects of a group-based education program for 49 patients with IBD and high anxiety levels. The intervention failed to improve anxiety levels and IBD symptoms, but led to improved patient knowledge.

There has been a multitude of studies addressing the impact of psychological interventions (psychotherapy, patient education, and relaxation techniques) in IBD in general, but these studies have not specifically looked at IBD patients with confirmed AD. A recent Cochrane review summarized the evidence for general adult IBD patients and found no effect of psychotherapy on quality of life, depressive symptoms, or clinical remission from IBD. While there is conclusive evidence for the effectiveness of psychological therapies and the use of pharmacological agents for patients with AD, there is a lack of evidence for an improvement in anxiety in patients with IBD.

Another treatment modality that has not been fully evaluated yet is gut-directed hypnotherapy (GHT). GHT has been used successfully in FGID. A small case series of 15 patients with IBD responded well to hypnotherapy. Improvements in bowel symptoms and quality of life were noted, but this may relate to improving function symptoms rather than inflammation. Further evidence from hypnotherapy stems from a clinical trial of 54 patients with UC in clinical remission. Patients randomized to hypnotherapy were less likely to experience a flare during 1 year follow-up, compared to controls.

**Conclusion and expert opinion**

Psychological symptoms are very common in patients with IBD and can lead to reduced quality of life. AD can lead to IBD related symptoms and additional AD related physical symptoms. At least 40% of patients with IBD will exhibit abnormal anxiety levels. This may often go undetected as physician awareness and training in this aspect is suboptimal. Detection of AD requires an active approach and a good patient–doctor relationship. Active IBD can often cause anxiety, and induction of clinical remission may improve AD symptoms too.

The evidence for interventions is limited to AD in general, as studies in patients with IBD showed either negative results or were too small to deliver meaningful results. Studies of psychological interventions in patients with IBD have not produced convincing results, but in the subgroup of patients with anxiety this may be different. A multidisciplinary approach is advised, with the IBD physician aiming to induce clinical remission and a psychologist to provide input to coordinate psychological and pharmacological interventions for AD (Table 4).

There is a need for further research into the effectiveness of interventions aimed at improving AD in patients with IBD.
Table 4 Conclusion

Be aware of AD in IBD patients
Screen for AD in patients with possible risk factors
Aim for full control of IBD
Initiate the treatment in multidisciplinary fashion
Involve patients in the choice of psychological therapy

Abbreviations: AD, anxiety disorder; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease.

It would also be desirable for patients and mental health specialists to participate in future guideline development on psychosocial issues in IBD.
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