Effects of a selective educational system on fatigue, sleep problems, daytime sleepiness, and depression among senior high school adolescents in Taiwan
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Objective: The aim of the study reported here was to clarify the effects of academic pressure on fatigue, sleep problems, daytime sleepiness, and depression among senior high school adolescents in Taiwan.

Methods: This cross-sectional study enrolled 757 senior high school adolescents who were classified into four groups: Grade 1 (n=261), Grade 2 (n=228), Grade 3T (n=199; Grade 3 students who had another college entrance test to take), and Grade 3S (n=69; Grade 3 students who had succeeded in their college application). Fatigue, sleep quality, daytime sleepiness, and depression were assessed using the Chinese version of the Multidimensional Fatigue Symptom Inventory – Short Form, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index-Taiwan Form, the Chinese version of the Epworth Sleepiness Scale, and the Chinese version of the Beck Depression Inventory –II (BDI-II), respectively.

Results: Physical, emotional, and mental fatigue scores were all higher in higher-grade groups. The Grade 3T (test) students had the worst fatigue severity, and the Grade 3S (success) students had the least fatigue severity. More than half of the students (60.9%) went to bed after 12 am, and they had on average 6.0 hours of sleep per night. More than 30% of the students in Grade 2 (37.3%) and Grades 3T/S (30.2%/30.4%) possibly had daily sleepiness problems. The students in Grade 3T had the worst BDI-II score (13.27±9.24), and the Grade 3S students had a much lower BDI-II score (7.91±6.13).

Conclusion: Relatively high proportions of fatigue, sleep problems, daytime sleepiness, and depression among senior high school adolescents were found in our study. The severities of fatigue, sleep problems, and depression were significantly diminished in the group under less academic stress (Grade 3S). Our findings may increase the understanding of the mental health of senior high school students under academic pressure in Taiwan. Further large sample size and population-based study should be done for better understanding about this topic.
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Introduction

Fatigue is a subjective feeling and its ill effects are frequently seen in many ways, such as task performance decrement,¹ cognitive impairment, and emotional disturbance.² In school children, fatigue can lead to a decline in school performance, negative health outcomes, and refusal to attend school.³ A study in the UK reported that 11% of participants aged 11 to 14 years and 17% of participants aged 13 to 16 years showed severe fatigue.⁴ In another study in the Netherlands, 20.5% of female participants and
6.5% of male participants reported severe fatigue. Therefore, the influence of fatigue on children and adolescents is an important issue worthy of further research.

Fatigue and daytime sleepiness in adolescents are associated with sleep problems, psychosocial impacts, and medical disorders. Several studies have reported that adolescents require a similar duration of sleep to younger children, up to ~9.00-9.25 hours per night. However, the reported average duration of sleep in adolescents is around 7.00-7.5 hours, with only 20% sleeping up to 9 hours. Adolescents not only sleep for a shorter time than they require, but they also go to bed and wake up later than younger children. The lack of adequate sleep and excessive daytime sleepiness in adolescent students may increase the risks of cognitive and emotional disturbances, poor academic performance, and injury. Possible explanations for the sleep pattern of adolescents are the effects of adolescent physical development, school tasks and pressure, circadian rhythm change, emotional disturbance, part-time jobs, and extracurricular activities.

Academic pressure and school schedule have been shown to be the major sources of depression and anxiety for Asian adolescents. For example, one Korean study found that academic stress could be one of the predictors of suicidal behavior among Korean adolescents. Several cross-cultural studies also found that Asian students experience higher academic stress compared with their Western peers. In Taiwan, students attend senior high school for 3 years (Grades 1–3) at the ages of 16 to 18 years. Each academic year starts in September and ends the following June. There is a 1-month winter vacation in January or February (depending on the lunar calendar), and a 2-month summer vacation over July and August. Senior high school students used to take the Joint College Entrance Exam in July, and the pressure to pass this test was very high. Parents and teachers place an enormous amount of academic pressure on adolescents in Taiwan, and more than 80% of senior high school students attend a cram school for further academic study after school hours, and many senior high schools also provide extra lessons during long vacations. In 2002, the Ministry of Education in Taiwan adopted a selective educational system called the “General Scholastic Ability Test” (GSAT) with the aim of reducing academic stress. This system was established to give as many high school students as possible the chance to matriculate before graduation. The GSAT is held in January for Grade 3 students. For students who wish to obtain college admission, a higher GSAT score is required, and then they need to take the Recommendation-Selection Admission Program, which is held by distinct departments of each college. This Recommendation-Selection Admission Program requests applicants provide details of special achievements attained during their high school years, and the admission test is an oral exam or interview. Application results are released in April. For those who fail in the GSAT or Recommendation-Selection Admission Program, another exam, the Advanced Subjects Test (AST) is held in July, replacing the Joint College Entrance Exam. Students need to pass the AST to earn a second chance to enter college. Although the purpose of the new educational policy was to guide to standardize teaching and reduce academic pressure, some studies found that it cost students and parents more money for the extra studying in cram schools and the academic pressure was still high. Ambitious parents long to see their children succeed academically, they pressure school teachers, and therefore out-of-school tuition is still a thriving business for Chinese students. Such high academic pressure is also seen in South Korea and Singapore.

To the best of our knowledge, no study has systematically evaluated the relationship between academic pressure and the different dimensional aspects of fatigue in Chinese senior high school adolescents. The aim of the study reported here, therefore, was to clarify the interaction between fatigue and academic stress, and sleep problems and mental health in senior high school adolescents in Taiwan. Previous studies have revealed that academic pressure is one of the most influential factors in Asian high school students’ daily life, but were unsure about the level of the impact, so we did our assessment at a particular time, in April. At this time, some of the Grade 3 students had succeeded in their college applications, while others were still struggling with their preparations for the coming exam. Our hypothesis was that the stress from the request for academic achievement would play a role in these students’ fatigue, sleep, mood, and daytime sleepiness. We did within-group (in Grade 3) and among-group (in Grades 1–3) comparisons in order to gain a view of the whole picture of the interaction between academic pressure and students’ mental and physical condition. Moreover, fatigue was surveyed by a multidimensional scale for a comprehensive understanding of fatigue. We tried to figure out its correlates and possible predictors to better understand fatigue in adolescents.

**Methods**

**Participants and procedures**

This study was performed in a medium-sized senior high school in Taipei. Students (757 in total, 228 female) from all three high school grades (Grades 1–3) were recruited.
(effective response rate: 97.2%). Data from cross-sectional surveys were collected in April 2005, when some of the Grade 3 students had already attended the GSAT in January and succeeded in their college application.

School counselors helped our research group to conduct the surveys. The inclusion criteria were: (1) no current general medical condition, (2) agreement to complete all questionnaires, and (3) approval of the usage of their personal information and evaluation results. Individual characteristics included age, school grade, sex, height, weight, and smoking habit. Self-administered questionnaires included the Chinese version of the Multidimensional Fatigue Symptom Inventory-Short Form (MFSI-SF-C), the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index-Taiwan Form (PSQI-T), the Chinese version of the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (CESS), and the Chinese version of the Beck Depression Inventory-II (C-BDI-II). The protocol of this research conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Instruments**

**Chinese version of the Multidimensional Fatigue Symptom Inventory-Short Form**

Fatigue is thought to be a multidimensional construct. Stein et al developed the Multidimensional Fatigue Symptom Inventory with five domains — general fatigue, emotional fatigue, mental fatigue, physiological fatigue, and vigor. It has been validated in several populations including patients with cancer or chronic fatigue syndrome, and healthy subjects with prominent fatigue symptoms. The Multidimensional Fatigue Symptom Inventory measures fatigue in these five domains with 83 questions. The 30-item short-form questionnaire, the Multidimensional Fatigue Symptom Inventory-Short Form (MFSI-SF) was established in 2004 by Stein et al. Each item is rated on a five-point scale indicating how true the statement was during the last week (0= not at all, 4= extremely). The scores are summed for the first four subscales (general, physical, emotional, and mental fatigue) and the vigor scale is subtracted to obtain a total fatigue score. The Chinese version of the MFSI-SF-C was translated by Pien et al with four subscales, and it has been reported to be both reliable and valid. The Cronbach’s alpha for the MFSI-SF-C total scale and subscales ranged from 0.83 to 0.92. The physical fatigue subscale in the Chinese version is an assortment of general and physical fatigue items from the original form. The emotional fatigue subscale of the Chinese version adds item 11 (“I am confused”) and deleted items 3 (“I feel angry”) and 8 (“I feel nervous”) from the original inventory. This tool can be used to assess participants’ physical, emotional, and mental fatigue, and vigor domains over the previous 7 days.

**Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index-Taiwan Form**

The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) is a 19-item self-reported questionnaire that evaluates sleep quality during the previous month. The PSQI-T was translated by Wang et al and it has been shown to be both valid and reliable. The Cronbach’s alpha for the PSQI-T was 0.79. Each item of the PSQI is scored from 0 to 3, with a score of 0 indicating no difficulty and a score of 3 indicating severe difficulty. The 19 items are grouped into seven component scores: subjective sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, habitual sleep efficiency, sleep disturbances, use of sleep medication, and daytime dysfunction. The total PSQI score ranges from 0 to 21. A cut-off score of 5 is used to distinguish poor from good sleepers.

**Chinese version of the Epworth Sleepiness Scale**

The Epworth Sleepiness Scale, a self-rated questionnaire, was designed to measure daytime sleepiness in eight different situations. Each answer is scored on a scale of 0 to 3. The total score in the range of 10-24 is thought to indicate that the subject requires medical advice. Validation of the Chinese version was done by Chen et al and the Cronbach’s alpha was 0.81. In the current study, only items 1–7 were included, as the last item, “falling asleep at the wheel”, was not relevant to the students.

**Chinese version of Beck Depression Inventory-II**

The BDI-II is a 21-item self-rated inventory that evaluates levels of depression by evaluating depressive symptoms, cognitive function, and physical symptoms during the previous 2 weeks. The Chinese version of the BDI-II (C-BDI-II) has been shown to have good internal consistency and test-retest reliability. The Cronbach’s alpha for the C-BDI-II was 0.94. Participants are asked to evaluate how they have been feeling the past 2 weeks. Each item is scored from 0 to 3, and a total score from 14–19 indicates mild depression, 20–28 shows moderate depression, and 29–63 exhibits severe depression.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (v 22; IBM Corporation, New York, USA), and a P-value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Descriptive results of categorical variables are described as frequency (%), and continuous variables are described as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Group differences were
evaluated by Pearson’s chi-square test and one-way analysis of variance with the Bonferroni post hoc test. Analysis of variance was also conducted to compare differences in mental and emotional fatigue item scores in each grade. The correlation analyses of fatigue severity and total scores of PSQI-T, CESS, and C-BDI-II were done by Spearman’s correlation. A linear regression model was used to identify the variables that were associated with the three MFSI-SF-C fatigue domains.

**Results**

**Demographics of the participants**

Table 1 outlines the characteristic information of the participants. We collected 757 valid questionnaires and classified the gathered data into four groups according to grade and whether they had already succeeded in the GSAT (S) or still had the AST test (T) to take in July: Grade 1 (n=261; 34.5%), Grade 2 (n=228; 30.2%), Grade 3T (n=199; 26.3%), and Grade 3S (n=69; 9%). The age of the enrolled students ranged from 16 to 18 years, with an average 1-year difference among each grade. There were no statistical differences in sex, body mass index (BMI), or smoking history.

**Fatigue, sleep problems, daytime sleepiness, and depressive severity**

The cross-sectional surveys were performed in April, when the Grade 3S students had already succeeded in the GSAT and their college application. Significant differences were found between different groups in the self-rated questionnaires (Table 2). Fatigue inventory scores for physical, emotional, and mental fatigue increased as grade increased. The Grade 3T students had the worst fatigue scores and the Grade 3S students had obviously better scores (all fatigue domains had P-values <0.05).

The mean (SD) scores of the PSQI-T were above the cut-off score of 5 in all four groups, and the scores were higher in the higher grades. More than half of the students went to bed after 12 am, and the average sleep duration was less than 7 hours in each group. The students in Grade 3T had the worst sleep quality, with a total score of 6.39 (2.85) and shortest total sleeping hours at 5.81 (0.97).

The mean scores of the CESS representing daytime sleepiness showed that none of the four groups went beyond the cut-off point of 9. Nevertheless, a significant difference was still seen between the four groups (P=0.018), and more than 30% of students in Grade 2 (37.3%) and Grade 3T/S (30.2%/30.4%) possibly had daily sleepiness problems.

The mean (SD) self-reported C-BDI-II scores were higher in the higher grades. The highest average score was found in Grade 3T (13.27 [9.24]), and the lowest in Grade 3S (7.91 [6.13]), which was much lower than in the other three groups, and the P-value among the four groups was <0.001.

**Subscales of the Chinese version of the MFSI-SF-C**

Table 3 presents the scores of the mental and emotional fatigue subscales associated with the participants’ learning condition and mental health. The scores on items 1, 20, and 25 on the mental fatigue subscale were significantly different among the four groups. The Grade 3T students, who were preparing for the AST, had the highest scores in item 20, while the Grade 3S students had the worst fatigue scores and the Grade 3S students had obviously better scores (all fatigue domains had P-values <0.05).

The mean (SD) scores of the PSQI-T were above the cut-off score of 5 in all four groups, and the scores were higher in the higher grades. More than half of the students went to bed after 12 am, and the average sleep duration was less than 7 hours in each group. The students in Grade 3T had the worst sleep quality, with a total score of 6.39 (2.85) and shortest total sleeping hours at 5.81 (0.97).

The mean scores of the CESS representing daytime sleepiness showed that none of the four groups went beyond the cut-off point of 9. Nevertheless, a significant difference was still seen between the four groups (P=0.018), and more than 30% of students in Grade 2 (37.3%) and Grade 3T/S (30.2%/30.4%) possibly had daily sleepiness problems.

The mean (SD) self-reported C-BDI-II scores were higher in the higher grades. The highest average score was found in Grade 3T (13.27 [9.24]), and the lowest in Grade 3S (7.91 [6.13]), which was much lower than in the other three groups, and the P-value among the four groups was <0.001.

---

**Table 1** Comparison of demographic factors by group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic</th>
<th>Grade 1</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
<th>Grade 3T</th>
<th>Grade 3S</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>177 (67.8)</td>
<td>164 (71.9)</td>
<td>139 (69.8)</td>
<td>49 (71)</td>
<td>0.795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>84 (32.2)</td>
<td>64 (28.1)</td>
<td>60 (30.2)</td>
<td>20 (29)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, N</td>
<td>261 (100.0)</td>
<td>228 (100.0)</td>
<td>199 (100.0)</td>
<td>69 (100.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>15.97±0.53</td>
<td>16.83±0.49</td>
<td>17.62±0.51</td>
<td>17.61±0.52</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>21.37±2.99</td>
<td>21.26±3.28</td>
<td>21.58±3.23</td>
<td>21.25±3.16</td>
<td>0.748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>234 (87.9)</td>
<td>200 (87.7)</td>
<td>173 (86.9)</td>
<td>62 (89.9)</td>
<td>0.787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥25</td>
<td>27 (10.3)</td>
<td>28 (12.3)</td>
<td>26 (13.1)</td>
<td>7 (10.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
<td>5 (2.2)</td>
<td>3 (1.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>260 (99.6)</td>
<td>223 (97.8)</td>
<td>196 (98.5)</td>
<td>69 (100.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Data are presented as n (%) or mean ± standard deviation. *P<0.001.

**Abbreviations:** 3T, still had a test to take; 3S, success in college application; BMI, body mass index.
Table 2 Comparison of the MFSI-SF-C, PSQI-T, CESS, and C-BDI-II scores by group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Grade 1*</th>
<th>Grade 2*</th>
<th>Grade 3T*</th>
<th>Grade 3S*</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Bonferroni post hoc*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total MFSI-SF-C</td>
<td>13.67±16.61</td>
<td>13.93±17.44</td>
<td>17.43±17.75</td>
<td>7.68±12.82</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
<td>2, 3T&gt;3S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical fatigue</td>
<td>11.93±8.56</td>
<td>12.31±8.39</td>
<td>13.27±9.23</td>
<td>9.47±6.28</td>
<td>0.017*</td>
<td>3T&gt;3S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional fatigue</td>
<td>5.00±4.13</td>
<td>5.46±4.44</td>
<td>6.48±4.29</td>
<td>4.00±3.65</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
<td>3T&gt;1, 3S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental fatigue</td>
<td>5.79±3.96</td>
<td>6.40±4.02</td>
<td>6.41±4.19</td>
<td>4.56±3.56</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
<td>2, 3T&gt;3S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vigor</td>
<td>9.05±4.80</td>
<td>10.23±5.50</td>
<td>8.80±4.69</td>
<td>10.35±4.31</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td>2, 1, 3T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PSQI-T</td>
<td>5.73±2.48</td>
<td>6.18±2.64</td>
<td>6.39±2.85</td>
<td>5.30±2.12</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
<td>3T&gt;1, 3S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to bed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before midnight</td>
<td>129 (49.4)</td>
<td>72 (31.6)</td>
<td>68 (34.2)</td>
<td>27 (39.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After midnight</td>
<td>132 (50.6)</td>
<td>84 (68.4)</td>
<td>131 (65.8)</td>
<td>42 (60.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sleep hours</td>
<td>6.19±0.98</td>
<td>5.92±0.92</td>
<td>5.81±0.97</td>
<td>6.10±0.85</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
<td>1&gt;2, 3T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CESS (items 1–7)</td>
<td>7.90±3.15</td>
<td>8.66±3.69</td>
<td>8.13±3.17</td>
<td>7.40±3.22</td>
<td>0.018*</td>
<td>2&gt;3S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤9</td>
<td>197 (75.5)</td>
<td>143 (62.7)</td>
<td>139 (69.8)</td>
<td>48 (69.6)</td>
<td>0.025*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;9</td>
<td>64 (24.5)</td>
<td>85 (37.3)</td>
<td>60 (30.2)</td>
<td>21 (30.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total C-BDI-II</td>
<td>10.23±8.27</td>
<td>12.10±10.42</td>
<td>13.27±9.24</td>
<td>7.91±6.13</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
<td>3T&gt;1, 3S, 2&gt;3S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild (14–19)</td>
<td>38 (14.6)</td>
<td>33 (14.5)</td>
<td>41 (20.6)</td>
<td>9 (13.0)</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate (20–28)</td>
<td>27 (10.3)</td>
<td>29 (12.7)</td>
<td>34 (17.1)</td>
<td>4 (5.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe (29–63)</td>
<td>9 (3.4)</td>
<td>19 (8.3)</td>
<td>12 (6.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Data are presented as n (%) or mean ± standard deviation. 1*: Grade 1; 2, Grade 2; 3T, Grade 3T; 3S, Grade 3S. **P<0.05; ***P<0.001.

Abbreviations: 3T, still had a test to take; 3S, success in college application; MFSI-SF-C, Chinese version of the Multidimensional Fatigue Symptom Inventory-Short Form; PSQI-T, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index-Taiwan Form; CESS, Chinese version of the Epworth Sleepiness Scale; C-BDI-II, the Chinese version of the Beck Depression Inventory-II.

("I am unable to concentrate" \(F=5.30, \ P<0.001\)) and 25 ("I make more mistakes than usual" \(F=4.58, \ P=0.003\)).

They also had the worst scores in every emotional fatigue item ("I felt confused" \(F=6.05, \ P<0.001\), "... sad" \(F=3.68, \ P=0.012\), "... depressed" \(F=5.00, \ P=0.002\), "... tense \(F=5.69, \ P=0.001\), and "... distressed" \(F=7.27, \ P<0.001\)), with similar results in the C-BDI-II scale.

**Correlation analysis between the MFSI-SF-C, PSQI-T, CESS, and C-BDI-II**

We used Spearman’s correlation analysis to evaluate the correlation toward fatigue and other mental conditions (Table 4). The results of correlation coefficient \(\rho\) among total MFSI-SF-C scores and total scores of PSQI-T, CESS, and C-BDI-II were \(\rho=0.53 (P<0.001)\), \(\rho=0.38 (P<0.001)\), and \(\rho=0.68 (P<0.001)\), respectively.

**Regression model of MFSI-SF-C domains**

The MFSI-SF-C evaluates physical, emotional, and mental fatigue domains to capture the full spectrum of fatigue. The results of the generalized linear model revealed that the participants’ depressive level, sleep quality, and daytime sleepiness were significantly related to the physical fatigue domain and accounted for 37.1% of the variance (Table 5).

Table 3 Mental and emotional fatigue item scores: comparison by group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Grade 1*</th>
<th>Grade 2*</th>
<th>Grade 3T*</th>
<th>Grade 3S*</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Bonferroni post hoc*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental fatigue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. I have trouble remembering things</td>
<td>1.12±0.92</td>
<td>1.32±0.96</td>
<td>1.20±0.97</td>
<td>1.07±0.88</td>
<td>4.40*</td>
<td>0.027*</td>
<td>2&gt;3S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. I have trouble paying attention</td>
<td>1.29±1.07</td>
<td>1.46±1.04</td>
<td>1.45±1.07</td>
<td>1.15±0.00</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. I am unable to concentrate</td>
<td>1.10±1.01</td>
<td>1.26±1.01</td>
<td>1.32±1.07</td>
<td>0.81±0.90</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
<td>2, 3T&gt;3S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. I make more mistakes than usual</td>
<td>0.90±1.00</td>
<td>0.92±0.95</td>
<td>1.04±1.04</td>
<td>0.53±0.84</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
<td>1, 2, 3T&gt;3S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. I am forgetful</td>
<td>1.38±1.18</td>
<td>1.44±1.14</td>
<td>1.39±1.17</td>
<td>1.10±0.05</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. 1*: Grade 1; 2, Grade 2; 3T, Grade 3T; 3S, Grade 3S. **P<0.05; ***P<0.001.

Abbreviations: 3T, still had a test to take; 3S, success in college application.
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Sex, BMI, depressive level, and sleep quality were significantly associated with the emotional fatigue domain, accounting for 41.9% of the variance. Grade difference, sex, depressive level, sleep quality, and daytime sleepiness were significantly associated with the mental fatigue domain, accounting for 36.6% of the variance.

Among the independent variables, depression and sleep quality clearly played the most consequential roles in each fatigue domain. Daytime sleepiness was significantly associated with physical and mental fatigue, and there were differences by sex in both emotional and mental fatigue domains.

In summary, the fatigue domains of the senior high school students were mostly associated with depressive symptoms, sleep quality, daytime sleepiness, and sex.

Discussion

This study demonstrates a relatively high proportion of fatigue, sleep disturbance, daytime sleepiness, and depression in senior high school adolescents of each grade in Taiwan. We also investigated how the educational system and college entrance examination influenced fatigue and sleep in these students, which has rarely been discussed in Western countries. Our findings show that the severity of fatigue, sleep problems, and depressive symptoms were worse in the students in higher grades, which is consistent with previous studies in various countries.16,45,46 Nevertheless, the students in Grade 3S, those who had already succeeded with their college application, were less fatigued, had better sleep, and reported better moods compared with their Grade 3T peers. This implies that academic pressure rather than age is the most important factor with regard to adolescent health in Taiwan, similar to a previous study in junior high school students in Taipei.14

Fatigue was the major focus of our study due to its high prevalence in contemporary adolescents. Previous studies have reported that 6.5% to 30.6% of adolescents experience severe fatigue, with the differences being attributable to study design and the enrolled subjects.4,5,47 There is no reported cut-off value in the MFSI-SF to define fatigue, and the original study by Stein et al14 reported that the mean total MFSI-SF score was 0.85 in healthy adults. The mean (SD) score of fatigue was 13.67 (16.61) in the Grade 1 students and 17.43 (17.75) in the Grade 3T students compared with 7.68 (12.82) in the Grade 3S students who were under much less academic pressure (Table 2). This result confirms the relationship between academic stress and fatigue in senior high school adolescents in Taiwan.

Table 3 shows the value of the multidimensional fatigue scale. A previous study reported that fatigue and sleepiness are associated with cognitive deficits in areas such as

Table 4 Spearman’s correlation coefficients (rho; r) between the MFSI-SF-C, PSQI-T, CESS, and C-BDI-II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>MFSI-SF-C</th>
<th>PSQI-T</th>
<th>CESS</th>
<th>C-BDI-II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MFsi-sF-c</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSQI-T</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CESS</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-BDI-II</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All correlations are significant at P<0.001.

Abbreviations: MFSI-SF-C, Chinese version of the Multidimensional Fatigue Symptom Inventory-Short Form; PSQI-T, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index-Taiwan Form; CESS, Chinese version of the Epworth Sleepiness Scale; C-BDI-II, the Chinese version of the Beck Depression Inventory-II.

Table 5 Regression analysis of each fatigue domain of the MFSI-SF-C with related variables (n=757)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Physical fatigue</th>
<th></th>
<th>Emotional fatigue</th>
<th></th>
<th>Mental fatigue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>–0.868</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>–0.136</td>
<td>0.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3T</td>
<td>–0.022</td>
<td>1.043</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>0.686</td>
<td>0.498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3S</td>
<td>–1.013</td>
<td>1.237</td>
<td>0.413</td>
<td>–0.235</td>
<td>0.590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>–0.296</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>–0.084</td>
<td>0.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: female/male</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>0.690</td>
<td>0.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI: &lt;25/≥25 kg/m²</td>
<td>–1.449</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>–0.856</td>
<td>0.380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-BDI-II</td>
<td>0.446</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSQI-T: ≤5/5+</td>
<td>2.521</td>
<td>0.553</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>0.263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CESS: ≥9/9≤</td>
<td>2.937</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R²</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>&lt;0.001***</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>&lt;0.001***</td>
<td>0.366</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Sex: 0= female, 1= male; BMI: 0= <25 kg/m², 1= ≥25; PSQI-T: 0= ≤5, 1= >5; CESS: 0= ≤9; 1= >9. *P<0.05; **P<0.001.

Abbreviations: 3T, still had a test to take; 3S, success in college application; B, unstandardized coefficients; SE, standard error; CESS, Chinese version of Epworth Sleepiness Scale; C-BDI-II, the Chinese version of the Beck Depression Inventory-II; BMI, body mass index; PSQI-T, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index-Taiwan Form; MFSI-SF-C, Chinese version of the Multidimensional Fatigue Symptom Inventory-Short Form.
academic performance, processing, and motor speed.\textsuperscript{48} We used five questions to screen mental fatigue and found that the students under the greatest academic pressure (Grade 3T) had the worst score in item 20 (“I am unable to concentrate”) and 25 (“I make more mistakes than usual”), and a borderline significant difference in item 1 (“I have trouble remembering things”) and 15 (“I have trouble paying attention”). The students in Grade 3T should be expected to perform the best cognitively of all the students to prepare for the highly competitive college entrance exam; however, they seemed to have the worst cognitive condition. Sleep laboratory studies have shown that abnormal sleep patterns in children are correlated with impairments in intellectual functions.\textsuperscript{49,50} Extended sleep is essential for the recovery of central nervous system injuries to improve cognitive performance, quality of life, fatigue severity, and general well-being.\textsuperscript{51} Therefore, students in Taiwan should be educated on how to achieve adequate nocturnal sleep, especially when they are preparing for examinations.

Fatigue in adolescents has been reported to be related to sleep problems, psychiatric disorders, organic illnesses, female sex, physical inactivity, and medications.\textsuperscript{52-47} The correlation analysis of our data demonstrates a high correlation coefficient between fatigue, sleep problems, depression, and daytime sleepiness (Table 4). Our findings also show that depressive symptoms increased the risk of physical, emotional, and mental fatigue (Table 5). There may be substantial clinical overlap between fatigue and depression; however, it has been reported that fatigue and depression have different neuroendocrine responses.\textsuperscript{52} In addition, one epidemiological study also showed independent heritability of fatigue and depression.\textsuperscript{53} Two previous studies reported that psychological distress manifesting as depression was prospectively expressed to predict fatigue in adolescents.\textsuperscript{17,54} The severity of sleepiness was also able to predict fatigue in the current study, consistent with findings from studies in Japan and Finland.\textsuperscript{45,55} The male participants in our study had a higher risk of emotional and mental fatigue than their female peers, which is in contrast to previous findings.\textsuperscript{45,54} One possible explanation for this is a sample-size effect, as twice as many male students as female students were enrolled. Another possible explanation may be related to Chinese culture. In Taiwan, some parents are still male-oriented, and, from childhood, boys are expected to perform better academically than girls.\textsuperscript{45}

Depression is one of the most prevalent psychiatric disorders among adolescents,\textsuperscript{56} and it is associated with impairments in family, academic, social functioning,\textsuperscript{57} and sleep disorders.\textsuperscript{58} Depression has also been associated with important public-health issues such as suicide attempts and substance-use behavior in adolescents.\textsuperscript{59} In the current study, up to 43.7% of the Grade 3T students had mild to severe depression (Table 2), compared with only 18.8% of their Grade 3S peers. This indicates that many of the students had reversible depressive symptoms and that academic stress was one of the major risk factors. Similar results were also seen in the analysis of emotional fatigue items (Table 3) and total fatigue scores (Table 2). We also found a high correlation rate among depression, fatigue, and sleep problems (Table 4). These results suggest that mood, fatigue severity, and sleep problems in students under high academic pressure should have more attention paid to them.

Sleep problems in adolescents is another interesting topic. Recent studies have reported that sleep problems in school-aged children are more prevalent in the Chinese population than in Western countries.\textsuperscript{60,61} One study reported that Chinese senior high school students have an hour less of sleep per night than their American counterparts.\textsuperscript{62} The average duration of sleep of our participants was around 6.0 hours per night. This result was substantially lower than that in other studies in Europe and America (approximately 8 hours).\textsuperscript{16,63} Previous studies in Taiwan have reported a longer average duration of sleep than our study –7.36 (junior high school) and 6.7 (university) hours.\textsuperscript{16,64} We also found that the Grade 1 senior high school students had the longest mean (SD) duration of sleep of 6.19 (0.98) hours, compared with 5.81 (0.97) hours in Grade 3T students. In addition, more than 50% of our participants went to bed after midnight in each grade, and this reached 68.4% and 65.8% in Grade 2 and Grade 3T, respectively. A high prevalence rate of cram school attendance (up to 80%) in the evening\textsuperscript{24} and keen academic competition may explain the late bed time. Because all senior high school students in Taiwan have to be at school by 8 am or earlier, they need to get up at 6 am or 7 am. Attending cram school, staying up late, and then rising early to go to school can lead to an insufficient amount of sleep. In addition, the mean total PSQI-T scores were above the cut-off point of 5 in each group, and a significant difference was noted between Grade 3T and 3S. These results indicate that academic stress can be one of the factors that influences sleep quality. However, even those experiencing less academic stress (Grade 3S) still showed some difficulties in sleeping (mean PSQI-T score: 5.30±2.12; total sleep time: 6.10±0.85 hours). One explanation for the poor sleep quality in these Grade 3S students could be spending a lot of time on the Internet; it is possible that students under less academic
pressure spend more time doing recreational activities including surfing the Internet. Internet addiction is a current major public-health problem around the world, especially in adolescents. Many adolescents put much effort into playing online games and attending to their social media accounts. Several Asian studies have reported that inappropriate Internet use is associated with shorter sleep duration, depressive symptoms, and obesity in adolescents. In addition, specific sleep disorders should be considered in adolescents. They can be classified as primary (insomnia, delayed sleep-phase syndrome) or secondary (eg, sleep-disordered breathing). The polysomnographic study is considered a standard examination to evaluate specific sleep disorders. Sleep-disordered breathing was estimated to affect 1%-3% of adolescents. It can be related to sleep disorders. Sleep-disordered breathing may affect adolescents’ executive function, and waist circumference is considered as a reliable index in predicting the risk of it. The obesity (BMI >25 kg/m²) rate of our participants was 11.6%. Although there was no significant difference between obesity and total PSQI-T scores in our subjects, we should be aware of its effects. Moreover, several studies reported that nocturnal enuresis might affect at least 1%-2% adolescents and this is considered to be related to abnormal sleep structure and learning disability. Further studies are needed to clarify the impact of these findings on adolescent sleep problems in Taiwan.

Daytime sleepiness (CESS score >9) was noted in more than 30% of the students in Grades 2 and 3 in our study, which is a similar finding to that of a study in Canada. Sleepiness is thought to be associated with multiple factors, including sleep problems, societal demands, depression, attention deficit disorder, and medical conditions. In our study, sleepiness was also possibly a risk factor for physical and mental fatigue. There was no significant difference in CESS score between Grade 3T and 3S students. Furthermore, more than 30% of the subjects in Grade 3S had severe daytime sleepiness. This implies that there are reasons in addition to academic stress causing daytime sleepiness. Getting up early to school could be one possible explanation. Our subjects had to attend school by 7:30 am in the morning. Rushing to avoid traffic, having an insufficient breakfast, and insufficient sleep could be risk factors of daytime sleepiness. Several studies have determined that delayed high school start times have significant benefits for adolescents, such as less daytime sleepiness, improved attendance, and decreased levels of fatigue and depression.

Limitations
Although our findings help to extend the understanding of fatigue, sleep, and related problems in Taiwanese adolescents, there were some limitations to this study. First, the self-reported questionnaires may have been affected by cognitive bias and subjects’ cooperation, particularly in adolescents. However, we visited each class and thoroughly explained the questionnaires to the participants. The teachers also encouraged the students to answer the questionnaires carefully. Most of the results also met our expectations.

Second, this was a cross-sectional study performed over a short period of 1 year, which makes it difficult to establish causality. Further longitudinal studies are necessary to confirm our findings.

Third, the academic stress was not estimated by an authorized scale such as the Academic Expectations Stress Inventory. Nevertheless, many studies have shown that Asian students are under relatively more academic stress than students in Western countries. Previous studies have also shown the significant relationship between academic stress and mood (either depression or anxiety) in Asian adolescents. This is both evidence-based and empirical knowledge for our study design.

Finally, there were fewer female subjects (30%) in this study, and this may have influenced the results with regard to sex. Nevertheless, our study had a high effective response rate of 97.2%, and almost all of the students in the school were included in the study.

Further studies should take these factors into consideration.

Conclusion
The most important finding of this study is to show the negative effects of a selective education system with regard to academic stress on senior high school adolescents in Taiwan. We found a relatively high proportion of fatigue, sleep problems, daytime sleepiness, and depression among the senior high school students, and demonstrated the association between fatigue and other factors. Senior students under the highest level of academic stress had the worst mental and emotional fatigue scores, which were possibly related to cognitive performance and depression. Dramatic differences of less fatigue and better mental status were found in the students under less academic stress. In spite of the limitations, we still believe that our findings are useful to understand the similarities and differences in fatigue and mental status among adolescents in other Asian countries.
Our findings suggest that those who deal with students of this age should consider academic pressure as an important factor in Chinese adolescents’ health.
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