Cell–matrix interactions governing skin repair: matricellular proteins as diverse modulators of cell function
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Abstract: With the classification first proposed by the Bornstein group in 1995, matricellular proteins represent a diverse and expanding class of molecules that contribute to cell phenotype and regulate interactions with the extracellular matrix. Based on initial analysis, matricellular protein expression was thought to be limited to development, but in the intervening 20 years it has become apparent that it plays a pivotal role during healing in several different tissue types. Furthermore, while considered to modulate cell behavior, it is now apparent that matricellular proteins also function in the organization and crosslinking of the extracellular matrix during healing. The focus of this review is to discuss matricellular proteins in the context of skin healing, which in healthy individuals occurs through four overlapping temporal phases. We will also discuss matricellular proteins as potential therapeutics for the treatment of impaired skin healing.
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Introduction
When skin is injured, a highly complex and coordinated set of processes is initiated to restore tissue structure and barrier function1 (for a review of skin healing, see Reinke and Sorg2). Involving multiple and diverse cell populations, four temporal phases occur, encompassing hemostasis (blood clotting), inflammation, proliferation, and remodeling, which result in re-establishment of skin integrity1 (Figure 1). As will be highlighted, concomitant with the inflammatory, proliferative, and remodeling phases of healing, keratinocytes proliferate and migrate across the wound bed to restore the epithelium and barrier function. In this review, we will consider re-epithelialization as a separate process concurrent with the four phases of healing shown in Figure 1. In its simplest form, skin healing can be considered an initial host immune response that triggers mesenchymal cell infiltration, re-epithelialization, and blood vessel ingrowth and is followed finally by temporal remodeling of the newly formed tissue.4,6 In most healthy individuals, this pattern of skin healing is evident, but nonhealing or “chronic” dermal wounds are a growing and significant clinical complication associated with diabetes/ischemia7,8 and immobility.9 Nonhealing skin wounds are a major burden for health care systems around the world, and the cost of treatment within North America is currently approaching $6 billion per annum.10 It is estimated that up to 20% of patients in long-term care facilities in Canada have chronic skin wounds,11,12 and the lifetime incidence of foot ulcers in diabetic patients is between 15% and 25%.13 The dysfunction leading to nonhealing wounds is extremely complex and multifactorial,14–19 but, fundamentally, the cells do not receive the necessary molecular signals to be...
able to progress to the proliferative and remodeling phases of wound healing.\textsuperscript{20} As a result of low clinical efficacy of current treatments, new therapeutics are needed, and this has driven intensive research to increase our understanding of the molecular signals that regulate skin healing.\textsuperscript{21}

With the discovery of growth factors, our understanding of wound healing increased significantly, particularly with respect to the regulation of inflammation\textsuperscript{22} and the proliferative phases of healing.\textsuperscript{23} However, clinical studies with local delivery of growth factors such as transforming growth factor beta (TGF-\(\beta\)) were not as effective at stimulating healing of stalled or chronic wounds as would have been anticipated,\textsuperscript{24} highlighting that growth factors alone may not be sufficient to regulate skin healing. First described in 1995 by the Bornstein group\textsuperscript{25} at the University of Washington, matricellular proteins (MPs) specifically modulate cell–matrix interactions and cell function (adhesion, spreading, migration, proliferation, and differentiation)\textsuperscript{26} by interacting with cell surface receptors (eg, integrins) and other bioeffector molecules, as well as with structural matrix proteins such as collagens, fibronectin, and other MPs.\textsuperscript{27} MPs are expressed and act in a temporal and spatial manner to control different aspects of skin repair.\textsuperscript{28-33} While fibrin, collagen, and fibronectin provide structural support to the cells and matrix during healing, MPs modulate the adhesion, migration, proliferation, and differentiation of inflammatory cells, perivascular cells, dermal fibroblasts, and keratinocytes.\textsuperscript{28,29} Initial description of MP regulation and function was based on analysis of in vivo expression patterns in mice and rats predominantly, with in vitro assays used to assess potential functions.\textsuperscript{34-37} While providing important information, this type of approach did not allow direct assessment of protein function in vivo.

The advent of genetic knockout (KO) mice was a significant step to allow analysis of MP function in physiologically relevant models. Of great significance, although somewhat confounding, was that as each MP genetic KO was derived, only two exhibited severe phenotypes; CCN1 deletion\textsuperscript{38} is embryonic lethal, and CCN2 deletion results in perinatal lethality.\textsuperscript{39} Indeed, many of the MP KO mice exhibited no obvious developmental phenotype. With the advent of these murine genetic KO models, it did, however, allow rigorous analysis of the roles of MPs in development, pathological insults, and, as is the focus of this review, skin healing.\textsuperscript{28,30,40-44}

**Normal skin healing process in mice**

As skin healing in mice follows a very predictable and temporal pattern, deletion of individual MPs can alter the kinetics of skin excisional wound closure. As such, it is first important to define the normal temporal process of healing in mice (shown schematically in Figure 1). Experimentally, it is possible to assess skin healing using incisional or excisional wounds. In incisional injuries, re-epithelialization is the process responsible for healing, but in excisional wounds where the tissue is removed to the fascia planes, the healing process is much more involved.\textsuperscript{55} For the purpose of this review, we will describe the healing process following full-thickness excisional wounding.

Upon initial injury, hemostasis occurs within a few minutes, and after cessation of bleeding with platelets forming a hemostatic plug, the initial inflammatory phase of
repair is triggered. As a result of the coagulation process, a provisional matrix of fibrin and fibronectin forms, which will mature into granulation tissue. During the initial phase of inflammation (≤48 hours postinjury), neutrophils, monocytes, and macrophages enter the wound bed, facilitating debridement of damaged extracellular matrix (ECM). The role of macrophages is particularly pivotal in the healing response; deletion of macrophages significantly impedes the skin healing process. Macrophage phenotype during normal skin healing is highly variable and, as was eloquently highlighted by Charles Mills, macrophages are at the crossroads of immunity and stimulating tissue healing through a “fight (M1) or fix (M2)” polarization. Macrophages entering the wound bed at the early hours of inflammation have a classical proinflammatory cytokine signature also known as M1 polarization, secreting iNOS, high levels of interleukin (IL)-12, and low levels of IL-10. However, as the inflammatory phase progresses, macrophage phenotype switches to an alternatively activated state known as M2, characterized by secretion of TGF-β1 and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF). Secretion of these cytokines is in part responsible for initiating the proliferative phase of repair and the initial migration of fibroblast and perivascular progenitor cells (also known as pericytes) into the developing granulation tissue matrix. PDGF destabilizes perivascular progenitor cells, which are associated with blood vessels, allowing them to migrate into the wound bed, where they are pivotal in new blood vessel formation, wound contraction, and ECM synthesis. As fibroblasts and pericytes migrate into the wound bed on this newly developed ECM, they undergo a phenotypic change triggered by TGF-β1, becoming α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA)-expressing myofibroblasts. Myofibroblasts are contractile and highly migratory, facilitating contraction of the wound edge. Moreover, myofibroblasts secrete new ECM during the remodeling phase, primarily fibronectin and type I collagen, which, in combination with re-epithelialization, results in wound resolution.

As will be evident from this review, the first information on expression patterns of MPs during skin healing arose from temporal analysis of healing skin in wild type mice, as well as from studies in rats, pigs and humans. While the expression patterns implied potential involvement of certain MPs in skin healing, as will be illustrated, genetic deletion did not necessarily confirm these findings. Based on the temporal nature of skin healing, using genetic deletion of each MP allows assessment of changes in kinetics of closure, which points to which particular phase of the repair process may be affected (Figure 1). We will discuss the role of each MP within the context of each stage of healing, with certain MPs potentially showing different effects depending on cell types present in the wound. These findings are summarized in Table 1.

### Hemostasis and the inflammatory phase of healing

During the hemostatic phase, after cessation of bleeding with platelets forming a hemostatic plug, neutrophils, monocytes, and macrophages enter the wound bed, facilitating debridement of damaged ECM and triggering the inflammatory phase of repair. Only one MP, thrombospondin-2 (TSP-2), has been linked to changes in hemostasis; TSP-2 platelets show a defect in aggregation, although this appears to be an indirect effect. Instead, megakaryocytes, which give rise to platelets, contain high levels of TSP-2 in the milieu of the bone marrow, and deletion of TSP-2 results in defects in megakaryocyte structure and function. Platelets do not themselves express TSP-2, and although the KO animals have an increased susceptibility to bleeding and fewer platelets, it does not manifest in altered blood coagulation.

As a result of blood coagulation, a provisional matrix of fibrin and fibronectin is formed that will subsequently mature into granulation tissue and act as a scaffold for cell infiltration. With respect to MPs, in inflammatory events (up to 5 days postwounding), galectin-3, osteopontin, hevin, and TSP-1 are expressed in this phase of inflammation and, in particular, regulate neutrophil and monocyte recruitment as well as macrophage polarization and function.

Galectin-3 is initially expressed highly in the developing granulation tissue and at the wound edge during the inflammatory phase, with messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) levels peaking at day 1 postwounding (Hamilton lab, unpublished data, 2015). Although the KO mice have been created, a full assessment of genetic deletion of galectin-3 on inflammatory processes in excisional wounds has yet to be performed. However, galectin-3 has been implicated in having a number of roles that would be expected to support or regulate the innate immune response, which plays a critical role during initial wound healing. In skin healing in rat models, the number of galectin-3-positive cells peaks at day 1, but these cells persist through to day 20. The majority of these cells represented ED1+ (CD68) macrophages, which are associated with high lysosomal activity, wound debridement, and a classic proinflammatory phenotype. In the initial “fight” stage of inflammation, some studies suggest that galectin-3 may also enhance neutrophil infiltration in response to the presence of bacteria in vivo, although this was dependent on the specific pathogen used, and this hypothesis was not tested...
specifically in a skin wound healing model. Galectin-3 does, however, stimulate neutrophil migration, although it does not act specifically as a chemoattractant for neutrophils in vitro.\textsuperscript{66} Thus, at initial stages of inflammation, galectin-3 is linked to infiltration of neutrophils and macrophages and regulation of the essential proinflammatory response. However, even though its expression peaks at day 1, galectin-3 expression does persist, with additional influence evident in later inflammatory processes. Once the acute phase of inflammation has peaked, neutrophils undergo apoptosis and are phagocytosed to prevent tissue damage from intracellular matrix-cleaving enzymes. The binding of galectin-3 to apoptotic neutrophils greatly enhances macrophage engulfment and clearance of these neutrophils from the wound bed.\textsuperscript{50} This clearance is significant, as neutrophils are also a potent source of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-\(\alpha\)), a cytokine that prolongs inflammation, inhibits the proliferative phase of healing,\textsuperscript{67,68} and is abundant in a nonhealing skin wound and wound fluid.\textsuperscript{69}

During late inflammation, macrophage phenotype changes from M1 to the “fix” M2 polarization, and galectin-3 is also implicated in this process. Although bone marrow-derived macrophages from galectin-3 KO and WT mice show similar release of the proinflammatory cytokines TNF-\(\alpha\)
and IL-6 in response to stimulation with lipopolysaccharide or interferon-γ.51 Macrophages isolated from galectin-3 KO mice exhibit reduced IL-4/IL-13-induced alternative macrophage activation in vitro compared with those from WT mice.64 Following treatment with IL-4, the KO macrophages showed significantly lower mRNA levels of the mouse M2 macrophage markers, mannose receptor, arginase I, FIZZ-1, and Ym-1. Confirmation of this has, however, not been established in vivo during skin healing and remains a significant point yet to be addressed. However, galectin-3 still stands as a potentially important matricellular regulator of neutrophil and macrophage behavior in skin healing.

Osteopontin has been linked to macrophage infiltration in injury models,76–78 and addition of osteopontin-neutralizing antibodies reduces macrophage infiltration by up to 60% in response to an intradermal injection of N-formyl-met-leu-phe (macrophage chemotactic peptide).59 With respect to skin healing, osteopontin is upregulated 6 hours postincisional wounding in WT mice, and localizes primarily to the wound margin, as assessed through in situ hybridization.73 This corresponds to areas containing high levels of leukocytes and neutrophils and, to a lesser extent, macrophages. Interestingly, analysis of osteopontin KO mice demonstrated no significant difference in the number of macrophages infiltrating the wounds; instead, it appears that the macrophages show a reduced ability to debride the wound bed, one of their primary functions at this stage of healing.73 and the macrophages from KO animals expressed lower levels of the mannose receptor. This receptor has been shown to be involved in collagen degradation but is more typically associated with M2 alternatively activated macrophages rather than the typical proinflammatory phagocytosis phenotype.75 It is becoming clear that macrophage polarization results in a heterogeneous population, such that delineating M1 and M2 cells in a wound is complex based on markers identified thus far.75 Knockdown of osteopontin using antisense oligodeoxynucleotides in excisional wound healing results in faster healing,60 which is concomitant with a reduction in macrophages and neutrophils at 3 and 7 days postwounding. Expression of osteopontin in inflammatory cells has also been demonstrated in horses during skin healing,59 but functional significance was not determined. In summary, osteopontin appears to regulate macrophage function.

TSP-1 is a known chemoattractant for macrophages, and its expression peaks at day 3 postwounding.62 Deletion of TSP-1 significantly reduces the number of macrophages present in the wound bed at day 7 postwounding, suggesting a significant impairment in monocyte or macrophage recruitment.76 In support of this, TSP-1 mice exhibit increased inflammation in acute cutaneous hypersensitivity.77 Interestingly, hevin, a member of the secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine (SPARC) family,51 has also been implicated in the regulation of macrophage recruitment. Hevin KO mice show increased macrophage infiltration during skin healing, although this does not manifest in wound area changes until day 10.

Overall, MPs in the context of the inflammatory phase appear to predominantly influence neutrophil and macrophage infiltration and/or phenotype. When many of these initial studies were performed, our knowledge of inflammation was considerably less, and the importance of M1/M2 macrophage polarization was not understood. Based on our current, increased understanding of inflammation and macrophage polarization and their role in the healing process, re-evaluation of the role of MPs in these processes may be warranted.

Proliferative phase: crossroads of myofibroblasts and ECM formation

The proliferative phase of skin repair is characterized by mesenchymal cell infiltration (eg, resident dermal fibroblasts, pericytes, and progenitor cells) beginning at day 3 followed by a proliferative response, angiogenesis, and matrix deposition (Figure 1). TGF-β1 secreted by macrophages52 is in part responsible for this initial mesenchymal cell migration into what represents relatively loose and compliant granulation tissue.5 At this stage, MPs modulate the adhesion, migration, proliferation, and differentiation of dermal fibroblasts, pericytes, and progenitor cells up to 15 days postwounding in mice.3–29 Tenascin (TN)-C, SPARC, TSP-2, hevin, peristin, CCN2, and CCN3 are expressed at this stage of healing.

As a class of molecules, TNs were first shown to be upregulated in skin healing in 1988, before their classification as MPs.34,35 TNs represent a family of ECM glycoproteins, with five members.78 Using a rat model, Mackie et al64 were the first to assess TN (in this case TN-C) expression postwounding, observing upregulation in the dermis and particularly in the basement membrane under the unwounded epithelium. The latter will be discussed in the section on re-epithelialization. TN appeared in the granulation tissue at day 3 postwounding and persisted at day 6, although TN did not strongly colocalize with myofibroblast populations. By day 10, it was downregulated significantly in the regenerating dermis and was not associated with scar tissue formation. Interestingly, TN-C was one of the first MPs to be studied in skin healing.
using whole body genetic deletion in mice.\textsuperscript{79} TN-C KO mice exhibited no defects in development, adult tissue function, or lifespan. During skin healing, no major structural abnormalities or differences in healing kinetics were observed in KO animals, except a reduction in fibronectin content in the granulation tissue. In summary, neither study pointed to TN-C as being important or required for the wound healing process as its initial expression patterns had.

In subsequent years, the role of another family member, TN-X, has been investigated in skin healing using genetic deletion.\textsuperscript{80} The deletion of TN-X did not alter the kinetics of wound closure compared with WT mice, and expression of the protein in WT mice was relatively low at 7 and 14 days postwounding. However, deletion of TN-X significantly reduced the breaking strength of healing skin at 7 days postwounding onwards, suggesting that TN-X is involved in matrix maturation. However, as it is downregulated in wound bed tissue compared with noninjured skin, the exact role of TN-X is yet to be determined. In healthy skin, the patterns of TN-C and TN-X expression are distinct and appear to be regulated independently of each other,\textsuperscript{81} but, in wound healing, TN-X appears to have more functional importance than TN-C.\textsuperscript{80}

Genetic deletion of SPARC (also termed osteonectin) highlighted a defect in healing in the mice of 25 mm oblong excisional wounds; wounds resolved by day 24 in WTs, but in KOs this occurred at day 31.\textsuperscript{28} The study identified that loss of SPARC impaired ECM secretion and cell migration. However, one potential issue with this study is that histological analysis was actually performed using 6 mm dermal punch wounds, not 25 mm. The kinetics of wound closure could be significantly altered in wounds with such a size discrepancy, and the cellular processes involved could be very different between the two types of wounds. The role of SPARC in skin healing became contentious when a second manuscript showed the exact opposite finding, that SPARC deletion increased the speed of wound closure in KO mice in comparison with WT.\textsuperscript{82} Although this study also identified a significant decrease in collagen content in healing tissue in SPARC KOs compared with WTs, they suggested that this reduction enhanced contractibility of the fibroblast populations, with significant decreases in wound size evident by day 4 (beginning of the proliferative phase of healing) in SPARC KOs. No difference in cell proliferation or cell number in the granulation tissue was evident between SPARC KOs and WTs. Interestingly, they measured a faster migration in KO cells, contradicting the findings of Basu et al,\textsuperscript{28} who reported impaired migration in SPARC-null fibroblasts.

As we have previously highlighted, in analyzing MPs in skin healing, the devil appears to be in the detail.\textsuperscript{83} When considering these two papers on SPARC alone, different wound sizes were used and methods of analysis were not the same. If we focus on analysis of SPARC-null and WT fibroblast migration, standardized methods were not followed in both papers. In scratch wound assays, to assess the influence of migration alone, it is necessary to inhibit proliferation, commonly achieved through addition of mitomycin-C to the culture medium. While the Basu et al\textsuperscript{28} study, which reported an inhibition of migration, used mitomycin-C, Bradshaw et al,\textsuperscript{82} who reported increased scratch wound closure, did not add mitomycin-C to their medium and, as a result, likely measured both proliferation and migration in their assays. As MP function is very much context dependent, we suggest that to eliminate these types of contradictory results, standardization of methods is pivotal as we further analyze the role of these proteins in skin healing.

Largely overlooked in the MP field but defined as an MP due to its described effects on cellular deadhesion, which is a hallmark of MPs,\textsuperscript{84} hevin has also been implicated in the proliferative phase of skin healing, and null mice were observed to close excisional wounds significantly faster than WT mice.\textsuperscript{63} Analysis of healing tissue in hevin KO mice demonstrated that loss of the protein affected the structure of ECM in the regenerating dermis indirectly through regulation of decorin levels and collagen fibril assembly. Addition of recombinant hevin to null fibroblasts recovered decorin production.\textsuperscript{85} Of significance is that this study highlights that the effects of MPs are often indirect.

The potential involvement of TSP-2 in aspects of the proliferative phase of healing was first published by Kyriakides et al\textsuperscript{66} in 1999, assessed through a full-thickness 6 mm excisional wound model. Although wound closure kinetics were not measured in this study, deletion of TSP-2 resulted in altered collagen orientation in the regenerating dermis at day 14 in KOs, as well as an increase in blood vessel number.

Osteopontin, as as described in Hemostasis and the inflammatory phase of healing, is upregulated at 6 hours postwounding and appears to have direct influence over inflammatory events postwounding.\textsuperscript{73} At the proliferative phase of healing, loss of osteopontin manifests in a greater disorganization of the matrix and an alteration of collagen fibrillogenesis, leading to smaller diameter collagen fibrils.\textsuperscript{73} As osteopontin is downregulated between 4 and 6 days postwounding, which corresponds to the first 2 days of the proliferative phase of healing, any effects of the protein on the proliferative and remodeling phases of healing appear to
be indirect. Indeed, it appears that these alterations in ECM structure specifically arise as a result of the deficits in the inflammatory phase in the absence of osteopontin.

Of the MPs studied in the context of the proliferative phase of repair, periostin, CCN2 (formerly known as connective tissue growth factor), and CCN3 (formerly known as NOV) appear to be pivotal. These proteins first appear in the developing granulation tissue within the wound bed at days 3–5 postwounding, showing an expression profile that is clearly different from galectin-3, hevin, and TSPs, which all peak in the inflammatory phase. At day 7 postwounding, unlike several other MPs that are downregulated at this phase, the expression of CCN2, CCN3, and periostin peaks.

As shown by our group, periostin becomes detectable at the mRNA and protein level in the granulation tissue at day 3 postwounding, where it functions as a key modulator of myofibroblast differentiation of mesenchymal cells during wound healing. As fibroblasts, pericytes, and progenitor cells migrate into the wound bed on the newly developed granulation tissue, they undergo a phenotypic change triggered by TGFB-1, becoming α-SMA-expressing myofibroblasts. Myofibroblasts are contractile and highly migratory, facilitating contraction of the wound edge. Our analysis of the periostin KO mouse demonstrated that at day 7, when α-SMA peaks in WT mice, there is no corresponding peak in the KOs at the mRNA or protein level. Furthermore, temporal analysis of the protein demonstrated that this peak never occurs. In vitro, periostin KO dermal fibroblasts showed reduced ability to generate force and were unable to contract collagen gels, although contraction could be recovered by the addition of recombinant periostin. Interestingly, α-SMA was expressed at the edge of the wounds in the KO animals, an area that corresponds to the highest level of stiffness, but not in the granulation tissue (Figure 2). This suggested that periostin may be required to induce myofibroblast differentiation in the more compliant granulation tissue. Using polyacrylamide gels of different stiffness, we demonstrated that as substrate stiffness increased, periostin KO dermal fibroblasts were able to differentiate into myofibroblasts, which correlated with the in vivo findings. Of potential significance, addition of periostin into wounds in KO animals was sufficient to recover α-SMA expression in the granulation tissue at day 7. A further study, by Onsuka et al., demonstrated that exogenous periostin stimulated fibroblast migration, although our analysis of the KO mouse showed no difference in cell recruitment in KO animals compared with WT. However, the contradictory results represented an interesting finding, as they highlighted that addition of recombinant protein may have different effects on cells if the protein is endogenously expressed.

CCN2, a member of the CCN family of MPs, was first shown to be upregulated in cutaneous tissue repair in 1993. As will be highlighted, the role of CCN2 represents a cautionary tale with respect to exactly what cellular processes MPs may be involved with postwounding. In healing, CCN2 was thought to be involved in pericyte recruitment and secretion of proangiogenic factors, but it has also been shown to enhance myofibroblast differentiation and ECM synthesis in response to TGF-β1. In the dermal punch mouse model, CCN2 promoter activity is induced by day 3 and in essentially all fibroblasts in the healing wound by day 7; conversely, CCN2 promoter activity was absent in epithelia. In day 7 wounds, CCN2-expressing fibroblasts are α-SMA positive, and approximately one-third of these cells are also positive for the progenitor/pericyte marker NG2. Expression of CCN2 by fibroblasts, although not required for the overall kinetics of cutaneous tissue repair, is required for the recruitment of progenitor cells such as pericytes to the wound. Thus, it appears that the mode of action of CCN2 is on pericyte or skin progenitor cells, not dermal fibroblasts.

CCN3 is significantly upregulated in 6 mm excisional wounds at day 5, peaks at day 7, and is downregulated by day 14. CCN3 was detectable in migrating keratinocytes but was predominantly expressed by fibroblasts and endothelial cells in the granulation tissue. Based on in vitro assays with CCN3, it altered fibroblast adhesion and induced chemotaxis and gene expression related to matrix turnover. MP modulation of the proliferative phase of healing appears to fall into two categories: 1) effects arising indirectly from MP functions during inflammation or 2) direct and indirect effects due to upregulation of MPs specifically within this phase of healing. As will be discussed next, the role of MPs in re-epithelialization, a process that spans both the inflammatory and proliferative phases of repair, complicates yet further the function of MPs in skin healing.

Re-epithelialization
For the purpose of this review, we will discuss re-establishment of the epidermis separately, as this is a temporal process that occurs during both the inflammatory and proliferative phases of healing. In healthy skin, the epithelial layer is separated from dermis through a basement membrane. Upon injury, keratinocytes respond rapidly to re-establish the barrier function of the skin, and it is necessary to understand the
cellular processes that occur in the epithelium to put the expression of MP in context (for an in-depth appraisal of re-epithelialization, see Pastar et al96). In homeostasis, basal keratinocytes are tightly bound to their basement membrane through hemidesmosomes, and the lateral migration of keratinocytes across the developing granulation tissue requires these adhesion sites to be severed. A hallmark of MPs is stimulating intermediate states of cell adhesion that facilitate migration,84 such that expression of MPs would be anticipated. To this point in the review, we have been discussing MPs in the context of granulation tissue, but for re-epithelialization to be initiated, upregulation of MPs under the keratinocytes at the wound edge would be hypothesized. Some MPs, such as osteopontin, have been shown to have no effects on re-epithelialization rates.73 However, TN-C, TSP-2, galectin-3, and periostin have been directly or indirectly implicated in the regulation of keratinocyte migration postwounding.

Historically, the first MPs assessed in the context of re-epithelialization were TNs. Sparsely expressed in healthy basement membrane between the epidermis and dermis, Mackie et al34 in 1988 were the first to describe TN upregulation in the wound edge following incisional wounding in rats, and using explant cultures demonstrated that TN was
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**Figure 2** α-Smooth muscle actin expression is significantly reduced in periostin knockout mice compared with wild-types at 7 days postwounding. Red arrows mark the wound edge.

**Abbreviations:** E, epidermis; GT, granulation tissue.
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upregulated at the migrating edge. This expression pattern was confirmed in human healing,\textsuperscript{36} and further studies demonstrated that it is basal keratinocytes that express TN-C based on in situ hybridization.\textsuperscript{37} While both these studies strongly implicated TNS in keratinocyte migration, analysis of the TN-C KO mouse found no defect in re-epithelialization,\textsuperscript{70} with the caveat that wound closure kinetics were not actually measured.

TSP-2 was implicated in re-epithelialization by Kyriakides et al\textsuperscript{86} in 1999. Deletion of TSP-2 resulted in altered epithelial structure. In both WT and KO animals, the epithelium had completely covered the wound bed at day 7, re-establishing the barrier function of the epidermis, but, in KO mice, the epidermis had formed rete pegs. Rete pegs are invaginations of the epidermis into the underlying dermis, increasing attachment and strength of the skin. However, if re-epithelialization is considered to be 100% coverage of the wound bed by the migrating epithelium, then no apparent difference was evident between TSP-2 KOs and WTs. Wound closure kinetics were not measured in either WTs or KOs in this study, such that although the epithelial structure was more mature in KOs by day 7, barrier function was also present in WT animals, suggesting that no changes in actual wound closure rates were present.

Galectin-3 has been postulated to play an important role in re-epithelialization of skin wounds in mice.\textsuperscript{98,99} Using an excisional partial-thickness wound in miniature pigs, Klima et al\textsuperscript{100} in 2009 observed galectin-3 in activated keratinocytes, suggesting a potential role in migration. Previously shown to be an important mediator of epithelial migration in corneal healing,\textsuperscript{95} galectin-3 KO epidermal keratinocytes showed impaired migration in vitro, assessed by a reduced capacity to close scratch wounds compared with WT cells.\textsuperscript{79} In this study, epithelial migration was also measured in vivo in KO animals but was only measured at day 2, to avoid the confounding factor of wound contraction. Although the epithelial tongue was bigger in area in WTs compared with galectin-3 KOs, no measure of closure kinetics was performed and, as such, no direct evidence was presented that showed that the in vitro impairment in migration actually translated to an in vivo reduction in closure of the epithelium. Nevertheless, in this system, intracellular galectin-3 was found to regulate trafficking of epidermal growth factor receptor, enabling endocytosed receptor to be recycled back to the plasma membrane after stimulation.

In 2010, we first implicated periostin in keratinocyte response after wounding.\textsuperscript{33} Using an incisional wound model, we showed that periostin protein expression increases in the basal lamina under the undamaged skin at the wound edge, which correlated spatially with increasing proliferation in the basal keratinocyte layer. As keratinocyte migration and proliferation are required for re-epithelialization of the wound, we concluded that periostin could trigger these processes.\textsuperscript{33} Alternatively, as MPs are known to stimulate an intermediate state of cell adhesion associated with increased migration, periostin may act in this capacity to stimulate keratinocyte migration into the wound area. The first direct evidence presented that periostin was functionally required for re-epithelialization was reported by Nishiyama et al\textsuperscript{101} in 2011 from their analysis of healing in periostin-null mice. By measuring percentage re-epithelialization in periostin KO and WT mice at day 3 and day 5, they found significantly lower rates of epithelial closure in the KOs. Using HaCAT cells transfected with periostin–HA vector in vitro, they demonstrated that cells overexpressing periostin closed scratch wounds faster than those with the control vector, but that this was likely due to proliferation, not migration.\textsuperscript{102} While this work pointed to a role for periostin in re-epithelialization, independently, using a different derivative of the KO mouse, we were not able to identify a defect in epithelial migration. Although the overall closure kinetics we measured were the same as those quantified by Nishiyama et al,\textsuperscript{101} we measured the distance migrated by the epithelium and found no difference between periostin KO and WT animals.\textsuperscript{42} We concluded that due to a defect in wound contraction, to fully re-epithelialize the wound, periostin KO keratinocytes had to migrate further, as the edges of the wounds were not contracting together (for a detailed explanation, see Elliott et al\textsuperscript{85}).

**Context of MP function: does redundancy exist?**

As is evident from this review, deletion of different MPs can affect similar processes; for example, galectin-3,\textsuperscript{37,65} osteopontin,\textsuperscript{73} and TSP-1\textsuperscript{76} all influence macrophage behavior and recruitment. However, analysis of the phenotypes reveals very subtle variations in the way the genetic deletions each manifest (see “Hemostasis and the inflammatory phase of healing”). This suggests that each MP has a specific function and that redundancy may be very low; the specificity of each MP is extremely context dependent and depends specifically on how it is measured. However, it should also be pointed out that a comprehensive analysis of all MP expression profiles in mice with specific MP deletions has never been performed; that is, in an osteopontin-null mouse, do the expression patterns of galectin-3 or TSP-1 change?
This could provide direct evidence for redundancy of function if expression patterns of these molecules change. A more rigorous approach is the use of double KOs, although this has been sparsely applied to skin healing. To our knowledge, wound healing has been assessed in two double KOs, TSP-1/TSP-2 and SPARC-TSP-2. In the TSP-1/TSP-2 double KO, TSP-1 was the limiting factor. Interestingly, they did not measure significant differences in the double KO compared with TSP-1 KOs, which may not be overly surprising based on the expression patterns of the proteins; TSP-1 is upregulated at 6 hours and TSP-2 is upregulated at 7 days postwounding. Therefore, it would be expected that the loss of TSP-1 early in healing would be the rate-limiting step, but TSP-2 clearly could not compensate for the loss of TSP-1, suggesting no functional overlap. Individually, both SPARC and TSP-2 mice have been reported to show enhanced wound closure, which is also evident in the SPARC-TSP-2 double KOs. Exactly whether these effects were additive was not definitively tested, as the double KOs were not compared with the single KOs, as was performed in the TSP-1/TSP-2 case. Both of these studies, however, show that the effects of MPs may not necessarily overlap, and compensatory effects could be minimal. Generation of further double KOs will be required as we dissect further the roles of MPs in skin repair.

**In vitro versus in vivo: can we really dissect MP function in monolayer cultures?**

The effects of MPs are clearly highly context dependent. In our analysis of the peristin KO mouse, we observed a clear defect in myofibroblast differentiation in vivo, but initially we could not recreate this defect in KO cells in vitro. All KO dermal fibroblasts in culture differentiated into myofibroblasts, and only when we changed the compliance of the culture substrate did the defect become apparent in the KO cells. At this stage, we were then able to address how peristin influenced the cells and myofibroblast differentiation. In the case of CCN2, initial analysis suggested that the protein regulated myofibroblast differentiation in vitro based on cell culture assays, but analysis of the phenotype in vivo eventually demonstrated that it is not required for dermal fibroblasts to assume a myofibroblast phenotype in vivo but is required for recruitment of Sox2 progenitor cells. These examples from our previous work highlight that in vitro assays must be carefully chosen and certainly not over-interpreted without a clear analysis of the KO animal.

**MP expression in human skin healing: what do we know?**

As described in this article, dissection of the roles of MPs in skin healing has involved the use of genetic deletion of the proteins in mice. It should be noted that several major differences exist between mice and human skin, but of most relevance is that skin healing in mice predominantly occurs as a result of wound contraction but in humans occurs by both re-epithelialization and contraction. While MP expression has been relatively well described in mice, the expression pattern of MPs in human skin healing is relatively uncharacterized. Here we will document what is known about MP expression patterns in human skin healing, information summarized in Table 2.

TSP-1 expression was assessed in wounds retrieved from burn patients. The pattern of expression was consistent with that evident in murine wounds; TSP-1 was upregulated in injured skin immediately after the injury, particularly in stromal cells. It was observed to be rapidly downregulated as the tissue healed and was not present in scar tissue that formed. This is similar to mice, where TSP-1 peaks at day 3 postwounding. TN was also studied in 56 surgically treated human skin wounds ranging in age from 8 hours to 7 months postwounding. TN first appeared in lesions 2 days postwounding, but in all wounds of greater than 5 days, it was identified localizing to the dermal–epidermal junction and wound edge. A further study showed that TN is not expressed under the leading edge of migrating keratinocytes in human excisional wounds but is upregulated in the granulation tissue at later time points. As highlighted previously, basal epidermal keratinocytes are the main source of TN during wound healing, but it does not appear to be a substrate promoting migration. Finally, the only other MPs to be assessed in human skin healing are the members of the CCN family, namely CCN1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. Interestingly, Rittie et al demonstrated that each CCN family member is temporally regulated during the different phases of healing in human partial-thickness wounds created using a CO2 laser. CCN2, which we highlighted earlier in the review, is downregulated in the inflammatory phase but peaks at day 7 in human wounds, mirroring almost exactly the expression pattern seen during excisional skin healing in mice. In contrast to CCN2, CCN3 is significantly downregulated in human healing and does not return to baseline levels until 4 weeks postwounding. In mice, CCN3 peaks at day 7, suggesting that the protein may not be as important in human skin healing, although it must be noted that the murine wounds were full-thickness wounds and the human wounds partial thickness,
Table 2 Known expression profiles of matricellular proteins in human wounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matricellular protein</th>
<th>Expression in normal human skin healing</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPARC</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombospondin-1</td>
<td>Thrombospondin-1 expression is upregulated following injury and peaks during early wound healing from days 1 to 3 where expression is most prominent in the tissue adjacent to the epidermal layer. A steep decrease in thrombospondin-1 expression occurs from days 5 to 9 as the wound heals. At day 7, thrombospondin-1 is localized in the matrix, and at day 9 thrombospondin-1 expression decreases and becomes more specific for the larger vascular structures</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombospondin-2</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenascin</td>
<td>Two days postwounding, tenascin is visible around the fibroblastic cells of the wound area. Beginning at 3 to 5 days postwounding, tenascin is observed as a network-like structure. As wounds age, tenascin staining intensity in the granulation tissue decreases but remains present at 1.5 months. Tenascin expression shows upregulation in the papillary dermis adjacent to hyperproliferative epidermis but is not found underneath the leading edge of the migrating keratinocyte sheet. Following re-epithelialization, tenascin is expressed in the wound bed</td>
<td>36, 106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenascin-C</td>
<td>During the early phase of wound healing in situ, mRNA hybridization identified migrating basal keratinocytes as the main source of tenasin-C, with maximal expression observed at 7 days, coinciding with wound closure. Tenascin-C protein is observed beneath the migrating keratinocytes at 2 and 4 days. At 7 days, tenasin-C is observed in the dermis, with peak expression at 14 days. Tenascin-C expression increases in the dermis during wound healing, where it colocalizes with fibrillin-2</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hevin</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCN proteins</td>
<td>In the epidermis, CCN3 mRNA levels are downregulated during re-epithelialization (2 weeks postwounding) and return to baseline levels at 4 weeks. Protein expression is downregulated at 2 and 3 weeks and returns to normal levels by 4 weeks. CCN5 mRNA and protein levels are downregulated in the epidermis during healing up to 4 weeks postwounding In the dermis, CCN1 mRNA levels are upregulated at 1 week postwounding and return to baseline by week 2. CCN2, CCN3, and CCN5 mRNA levels are downregulated at 24 hours, 24 hours, and 3 days, respectively. CCN2 returns to baseline levels at 3 days, with CCN3 and CCN5 returning to baseline levels at 4 weeks postwounding. CCN2 protein is observed at 1 week postwounding, associated with extracellular matrix proteins. CCN3 and CCN5 protein expression is strongly downregulated in the wounded dermis</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periostin</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteopontin</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SPARC, secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine; N/A, not applicable; mRNA, messenger ribonucleic acid.

the latter requiring little formation of granulation tissue where CCN3 was predominantly expressed in mice.

In summary, our knowledge of the expression pattern of MPs in human skin healing is limited, and observations to date highlight some similarities but some contrasting findings in expression patterns between mice and humans. Performing full-thickness wound healing experiments on healthy volunteers could provide important information on MP expression during normal healing, but depending on the country, human ethics approval represents a significant roadblock to this type of work. For this reason, we have focused part of our program on assessment of MP expression in situations of abnormal healing, with a focus specifically on nonhealing skin wounds.

**Nonhealing skin wounds and MPs as potential therapeutics**

Nonhealing or “chronic” dermal wounds are a significant and growing clinical complication associated with vascular disease, diabetes, and immobility. The field of regenerative medicine has made considerable strides toward the development of alternative strategies for the regeneration of chronic wounds, but significant problems persist and no reproducible strategies exist yet. At the cellular level, chronic wounds remain in a proinflammatory state, which subsequently manifests in an inhibition of keratinocyte migration, fibroblasts failing to migrate into the wound bed and differentiate into myofibroblasts, impaired pericyte recruitment, and a correlative reduction in ECM synthesis and secretion, including collagen type I. Impaired formation of granulation tissue is a well-characterized feature of chronic wounds. As we have highlighted, the provisional matrix formed upon hemostasis would normally provide the essential signals regulating inflammation, with many processes associated with neutrophils and macrophages in mice regulated or modulated by MPs (see “Hemostasis and the inflammatory phase of healing”). Persistence of neutrophils and proinflammatory...
macrophages within the wound prevent wound transition, although the exact reasons why the wounds remain trapped in the inflammatory phase are not fully elucidated. When considering chronic wound repair, it is important to consider the microenvironmental factors present that result from a prolonged inflammatory response within the damaged tissue; this is not a normal skin repair situation. Hypoxia (low oxygen), infection, wound fluid, and high levels of TNF-α secreted by neutrophils all impact negatively on the quality of healing, ultimately with the wounds unable to transition from a proinflammatory state.

To assess the potential involvement of MPs in healing, we have assessed the expression patterns of galectin-3 and periostin (Figure 3) in noninvolved skin as well as within the wound bed. Galectin-3 expression is significantly decreased in the wound bed, and interestingly there is an increase in the presence of advanced glycation end products; galectin-3 has been shown to be a receptor for the clearance of advanced glycation end products. Moreover, as galectin-3 has been implicated in regulation of macrophage phenotype and neutrophil clearance, it is interesting to note that galectin-3 mRNA and protein expression is absent in the wound bed, an area associated with a high inflammatory cell infiltrate. Therefore, although we have yet to confirm it, it is possible that this downregulation of galectin-3 in nonhealing wounds results in a failure of macrophages to polarize to an M2 phenotype, hindering TGF-β release and subsequent transition to the proliferative and remodeling phases of healing. Similarly to galectin-3,
periostin, which is important in myofibroblast differentiation, is also downregulated in the wound bed compared with non-involved skin (Figure 3). This correlates with an absence of myofibroblast differentiation in and surrounding the wound bed, which is detrimental for skin healing. Of direct relevance is that we and others have shown that periostin is over-expressed in hypertrophic and keloid scarring in skin, where it promotes myofibroblast persistence. While the downregulation of periostin in nonhealing wounds is a correlative finding, based on our studies in mice showing that periostin modulates myofibroblast differentiation during the proliferative phase of healing, it is certainly intriguing.

It is clear that mechanisms to stimulate mesenchymal cell recruitment, proliferation, differentiation, and ECM synthesis within the wound are still not being adequately addressed by current wound care products. Design of scaffolds that mimic the structure of the ECM while possessing the necessary biological signals is one of the major hurdles in regenerative medicine. Whether MPs represent new therapies has yet to be elucidated, but they certainly represent potential targets for nonhealing wounds.

Conclusion

Over the last two decades, MPs have emerged as a significant factor governing cellular and matrix interactions during skin healing. While much progress has been made, we consider this field to be very much still in its infancy, and considerable efforts need to be made to increase our understanding of these molecules. We have revised Midwood et al’s 2004 expression profile based on studies performed in the intervening years (Figure 4), but, as can be seen, much is still to be learnt. We suggest that standardization of wound models would significantly aid in our interpretation of the roles of each MP, especially considering their spatiotemporal expression, which is often extremely context dependent and also varies depending on which cell type the MPs are acting on. As our understanding increases, we suggest that local delivery of MPs may represent a new therapeutic for nonhealing skin lesions.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References


Cell–matrix interactions governing skin repair

Dovepress

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com
Dovepress

For personal use only.

Research and Reports in Biochemistry downloaded from https://www.dovepress.com by 54.70.40.11 on 02-Jun-2019


