Emerging role of SOX11 in mantle cell lymphoma

Abstract: During recent years the neural transcription factor SOX11 has been established as an important biomarker for mantle cell lymphoma. SOX11 is both a diagnostic and prognostic antigen, and may potentially be used for treatment selection for younger patients, in relation to protocols including high dose chemotherapy. The molecular pathways involved are still not fully elucidated and, as SOX11 can interact with several co-transcription factors, functional assays need to be carefully designed to pinpoint SOX11-specific function in a defined cellular context. Furthermore, as SOX11 belongs to a large family of homologous proteins, analysis of SOX11 has been limited by the availability of specific antibodies for detection and pull-down. In this review, we discuss the emerging role of SOX11 in mantle cell lymphoma and discuss the potential impact in relation to tumorigenesis, diagnostics, prognostics, and therapy.
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and may recognize an auto-antigen.\textsuperscript{10,15} Most lymphomas express a functional B cell receptor due to successful rearrangement of one allele, despite the occurrence of translocations involving the Ig heavy chain locus on the other allele, indicating that, at least initially, the B cell was dependent on a functional B cell receptor.\textsuperscript{16} This is further supported by the successful use of BTK inhibitors, such as ibrutinib, in treatment of MCL.\textsuperscript{17}

Cyclin D1 is the hallmark of MCL, and the overexpression is most often caused by a translocation of the \textit{CCND1} gene to the IgH (11;14). The translocation occurs during an attempted primary DH-JH rearrangement in early B cells, but may also occur later during a secondary rearrangement.\textsuperscript{4} Although the majority of MCL patients show overexpression of Cyclin D1, there are Cyclin D1 negative MCL-like cases that have an indolent course of disease.\textsuperscript{18,19} For some Cyclin D1 negative cases, it has been shown that they have similar clinicopathological and gene expression profiles as conventional Cyclin D1 positive MCL but overexpressing Cyclin D2 and Cyclin D3, supporting a role for the D-type cyclins in the pathogenesis of MCL.\textsuperscript{20}

However, although Cyclin D1 is overexpressed in MCL, it has been shown that Cyclin D1 does not induce MCL development in vivo.\textsuperscript{21} Furthermore, the lack of prognostic information related to Cyclin D1\textsuperscript{22} and the existence of Cyclin D1 negative MCL (7%–15%) challenges the requisite of this protein for tumorigenesis.\textsuperscript{18,23} Knockdown of Cyclin D1 has also been reported to only have a limited effect on cell proliferation and apoptosis.\textsuperscript{24} Furthermore, healthy individuals may carry B-cells with the t(11;14) translocations, supporting the need for additional genetic hits for lymphomagenesis.\textsuperscript{25}

It is possible that such additional oncogenic events may precede the translocation of \textit{CCND1} and allow it to occur unhindered. One mechanism for this could be the frequent mutation/deletion of ataxia telangiectasia mutated (\textit{ATM}).\textsuperscript{26,27} ATM, which is involved in the cellular responses to double-strand breaks, is normally expressed in pre-GC B cells and it has been suggested that inactivation of the gene may be involved in malignant transformation.\textsuperscript{28,29}

In MCL, it has been assumed that Cyclin D1 primarily acts through its role in the cell cycle, controlling the transition of G\textsubscript{1} to S phase by binding and activating cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) 4 and CDK6 followed by phosphorylation of the retinoblastoma protein and release of the transcription factor E2F.\textsuperscript{30} However, in other malignancies several CDK-independent functions of Cyclin D1 have been identified, including interaction with the pro-apoptotic protein BAX\textsuperscript{21} and RAD51,\textsuperscript{31} the latter impacting DNA repair. This potential involvement of Cyclin D1 in apoptosis and/or DNA repair pathways in MCL is highly interesting but unexplored.

**SOX11 and its role as a routine diagnostic tool in MCL**

The neural transcription factor SOX11 has during recent years been shown to be aberrantly expressed in several types of cancers, such as medulloblastoma,\textsuperscript{32} glioma,\textsuperscript{33} MCL,\textsuperscript{34} and epithelial ovarian cancer,\textsuperscript{35,36} while corresponding non-malignant tissues lack expression.

The Sox family belongs to a group of 20 TFs within the high-mobility group (HMG) box protein super family, which are characterized by high sequence homology within their DNA-binding HMG domain.\textsuperscript{37} It has been proposed that the HMG domain serves two functions, ie, DNA binding and partner selection, which may permit selective recruitment of SOX proteins to specific promoters and transcription factors. To date, the main known function of \textit{SOX11} has been its importance for neural development\textsuperscript{38} and organogenesis\textsuperscript{39} during fetal development. Recent data also suggest an important role for SOX11 as a transcriptional regulator in adult immature neurons.\textsuperscript{40} Of note, limited information on the role of SOX11 in normal and malignant tissues are available and present data point towards a dual role in relation to survival and growth consistent with previous reports of SOX proteins being promiscuous in the DNA binding,\textsuperscript{31} allowing use of tissue-specific co-transcription factors. So far, functional investigations of SOX11 in both similar and separate cellular contexts show diverse results. This may be explained by the potential use of different co-transcription factors but also by technical challenges to specifically pin-point SOX11 among other homologous SOX members.

In MCL, SOX11 is a disease-associated antigen and can be used to diagnose this subtype with high accuracy using immunohistochemistry,\textsuperscript{34,42} as confirmed by multiple independent laboratories.\textsuperscript{43–45} SOX11 has shown to be an important tool to accurately diagnose the small fraction of Cyclin D1 negative MCL cases.\textsuperscript{42,44} It has also been proposed to correlate to unmutated disease and distinguish rare indolent SOX11 negative MCL from classical MCL,\textsuperscript{46,47} which may have an impact on treatment selection. Subsequently, novel methods and improved tools have been developed to be able to clinically use this biomarker for diagnostic and prognostic purposes. Among others, qPCR-based detection of \textit{SOX11}\textsuperscript{48} and methods to analyze fine needle aspirates\textsuperscript{49} have been developed. It has further been suggested that SOX11 may be used as a marker for minimal residual disease.\textsuperscript{50,51}
Analysis of SOX11 protein, being highly homologous to other SOX4 and SOX12, has been hampered by unspecific tools and only recently a specific monoclonal antibody was developed. The superiority of this antibody has also been confirmed by independent users. Thus, current literature should be carefully interpreted to acknowledge that analysis may include background levels of other SOX family proteins.

In summary, SOX11 has been identified as an important MCL-associated antigen that complements Cyclin D1 overexpression to accurately diagnose MCL and to distinguish it from molecular mimics such as CLL. In unselected cohorts, the numbers of SOX11 negative cases are low and it has been proposed that these might be transformed cases from indolent SOX11 negative disease. If that holds true, SOX11 might be regarded as a stand-alone diagnostic antigen for de-novo classical MCL.

**SOX11 as a prognostic tool**

The portfolio of MCL treatment strategies has increased dramatically during the last decade and includes high dose chemotherapy, stem cell transplantation, immunomodulatory agents, as well as targeted therapies such as rituximab, bortezomib, and ibrutinib. However, there is currently no standard therapy available and treatment selection depends mostly on age and performance status. With a growing arsenal of effective agents – some even providing a possible cure for subgroups of patients – the need for prognostic and predictive biomarkers is urged to guide clinicians in selecting an optimal therapy for each patient.

The MCL International Prognostic Index (MIPI) was developed in 2008 and is based on the independent prognostic factors, age, performance status (ECOG PS), lactate dehydrogenase and white blood cell count. MIPI categorizes patients into low, intermediate, and high risk groups and its prognostic value was recently confirmed in a large set of MCL patients treated with modern protocols, use to further investigate the prognostic relevance of SOX11. Furthermore, as most studies use IHC to assess SOX11 expression, it is worth mentioning that tissue fixation time could have a large impact on the staining intensity. Also, most studies have assessed SOX11 expression using polyclonal reagents, which display a potential cross-reactivity to SOX4 and/or suffer from batch variations. Thus, current literature supports the use of specific monoclonal SOX11 antibodies that today are present on the market.

Besides SOX11, several other molecular biomarkers have been suggested to add prognostic information in relation to MCL. Ki-67 has previously shown prognostic value, and when combined with MIPI, form the biological MIPI.

Another protein commonly affected in tumorigenesis is p53 and disruption of the p53 pathway is a common event in MCL. TP53 is one of the most frequently mutated genes (14%–20%) in MCL patients and both TP53 mutations and strong expression of the protein have been correlated to shorter survival and high proliferation. In our recent study, we explored the impact of the molecular markers Ki-67, Cyclin D1, SOX11, and p53 to add prognostic power to MIPI using multivariate analysis. P53 was the only molecule that remained significant and the combined p53-MIPI was able to identify a low risk group with longer overall survival and a high risk group with significantly shorter event-free survival in patients treated according to the Nordic protocol.

To fully explore the potential of SOX11, p53, Ki-67, and other novel biomarkers, well defined prospective studies...
Epigenetic regulation of SOX11

Transcription factors and chromatin modifying enzymes drive cellular identity, dictating either activation or repression of specific transcriptional programs. The aberrant expression of SOX11 is not a direct consequence of the genetic instability in MCL and no copy number alterations or mutations have been reported. In chicken germ cells, SOX11 has been shown to be regulated by miR-302a and miR-456, but it is unknown if this is species and/or tissue specific as these particular micro ribonucleic acids (miRNAs) were not identified by Navarro et al comparing microRNA expression among SOX11 positive and negative MCL tumors.

Initial investigations on SOX11 promoter methylation in B-cell lymphomas revealed a distinct difference in promoter methylation where SOX11 expressing MCLs have an unmethylated promoter, and non-expressing lymphomas have a strongly methylated promoter. Vegliante et al further demonstrated that the rare subgroup of SOX11 negative MCLs as well as normal peripheral B-cells display an unmethylated promoter and that transcription was silenced by repressive histone marks (as schematically illustrated in Figure 1B). Interestingly, they further showed that SOX11 expression is induced in hematopoietic cells by expression of OCT4, KLF4, SOX2, and c-MYC in induced pluripotent cells. However, OCT4, KLF4, and SOX2 are not expressed in MCL cell-lines.

Although SOX11 is silenced in most adult tissue, the promoter remains unmethylated (unpublished data, 2014). However, many tumors methylate SOX11 de novo during their pathogenesis as reported in B-cell lymphomas (excluding MCL) and subgroups of epithelial ovarian cancer. Additionally, SOX11 methylation has been correlated to lymph
node metastasis in nasopharyngeal carcinoma and included in a five gene signature for detection of bladder cancer.

With the growing interest in using epigenetic therapies in hematological malignancies, investigations on genetic and epigenetic regulation of SOX11 are needed to understand its aberrant expression in disease. While the epigenetic regulation of SOX11 has been initially described, no studies have investigated SOX11 upstream regulatory transcription factors in MCL.

The molecular function of SOX11 in MCL

Improved treatment strategies for MCL patients could be developed if the specific molecular drivers of disease were identified. Among others, novel therapies targeting BTK (ibrutinib) and BCL-2 (ABT-199) show promising effects and may in the future contribute to improved treatment success for MCL patients.

The molecular function of SOX11 has so far mainly been investigated in the normal setting, and proved to be involved in adult and embryonic neurogenesis. However, as SOX11 is promiscuous in its DNA binding capacity, it is expected that down-stream targets are tissue specific. Thus, functional studies of SOX11 need to be performed in MCL to identify involved signaling pathways. In such recent efforts, several important molecular mechanisms of SOX11 have been revealed. Several independent studies have used gene expression profiling (GEP) on SOX11 silenced and overexpressed models to identify pathways involved in SOX11 regulation. Of interest, the Cyclin D1 related pathway Rh-E2F was shown to be affected by the increased level of SOX11 upon transient overexpression. Biologically similar results were shown using a knock-down model where a number of genes, among others DBN1, previously associated with MCL and involved in migration, proliferation, and apoptosis was co-regulated with SOX11, pinpointing the global impact of SOX11 on MCL-associated gene expression. In this stable knock-down model, it was further shown that SOX11 reduction caused increased growth, partially driven by the upregulation of autotaxin (ATX). Of interest, ATX has previously been reported to be involved in tumor aggressiveness and angiogenesis.

Besides GEP, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) has been used alone, or in combination with GEP to assess the transcription factor binding sites of SOX11. Using these technologies, DBN1, SETMAR, and HIG2 were identified as direct targets of SOX11. Further studies using ChIP-seq has pin-pointed WNT signaling as a potential important pathway down-stream of SOX11. In a separate study by Vegliante et al, they suggest that SOX11 blocks terminal B-cell differentiation in aggressive MCL through direct positive regulation of PAX5. It is known that SOX genes are promiscuous in their DNA binding capacity and thus, it is not surprising that several pathways may be affected – but it remains to be determined which ones are of most biological value for MCL pathogenesis (see schematic illustration in Figure 1C). It should be stressed that i) MCL cell lines are molecularly different in various aspects and ii) that immunoprecipitation assays are highly dependent on the specificity of the used antibody for enrichment. Thus, the use of single or few cell lines and the potential use of different and cross-reactive antibodies may explain the poor overlap between GEP and ChIP studies.

Recent in vivo studies of SOX11 have revealed a role in angiogenesis, where SOX11-positive MCL cells promote vasculature development through regulation of PDGFA. Furthermore, in that experimental set-up SOX11 is associated with increased growth, in contrast to previous observations where SOX11 is associated with decreased growth, lower tumorigenicity, and longer time to symptoms of disease compared to SOX11 silenced MCL cells. The development of efficient anti-angiogenic treatment is warranted, but so far the clinical benefit of anti-angiogenic treatment in MCL has been limited, although thalidomide has shown some effect in relapsed patients.

Conclusion

SOX11 has emerged as a highly interesting disease-defining marker for MCL and detailed investigations of involved signaling pathways are warranted to identify potential targets for improved intervention. It is evident that we need to look beyond the apparent conflict between SOX11 as i) a disease-defining antigen for classical MCL and ii) associated with improved prognosis within this diagnostic group. Efforts to identify a molecular link between p53, Cyclin D1, and/or SOX11 have so far not been successful but need to be carefully investigated to, if possible, identify synthetically lethal combinations for MCL cells that can lead to a cure.
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