Cell death in response to antimetabolites directed at ribonucleotide reductase and thymidylate synthase
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Abstract: New agent development, mechanistic understanding, and combinatorial partnerships with known and novel modalities continue to be important in the study of pancreatic cancer and its improved treatment. In this study, known antimetabolite drugs such as gemcitabine (ribonucleotide reductase inhibitor) and 5-fluorouracil (thymidylate synthase inhibitor) were compared with novel members of these two drug families in the treatment of a chemoresistant pancreatic cancer cell line PANC-1. Cellular survival data, along with protein and messenger ribonucleic acid expression for survivin, XIAP, cIAP1, and cIAP2, were compared from both the cell cytoplasm and from exosomes after single modality treatment. While all antimetabolite drugs killed PANC-1 cells in a time- and dose-dependent manner, neither family significantly altered the cytosolic protein level of the four inhibitors of apoptosis (IAPs) investigated. Survivin, XIAP, cIAP1, and cIAP2 were found localized to exosomes where no significant difference in expression was recorded. This inability for significant and long-lasting expression may be a reason why pancreatic cancer lacks responsiveness to these and other cancer-killing agents. Continued investigation is required to determine the responsibilities of these IAPs in their role in chemoresistance in pancreatic adenocarcinoma.
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Introduction
Pancreatic adenocarcinoma is the fourth leading cause of cancer death.1,2 Out of all diagnosed patients, only 2%–5% survive 5 years, and the average survival time is only 4–6 months.3–6 There are a number of treatments available for patients, but the option for metastatic pancreatic cancer is limited to chemotherapy, of which only the antimetabolite drugs gemcitabine (Gem) and 5-fluorouracil (5FU) are clinically used.3,7,8 Antimetabolite drugs are designed to stop deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) synthesis and replication. Each antimetabolite differs from one another in their mechanism of actions, leading to different cytotoxic effects. 5FU is converted into its active form FdUMP, which then acts as a thymidine synthase inhibitor. It inhibits the conversion of dUMP to dTMP by binding to thymidine synthase and folate.9,10 Unlike 5FU, Gem has three mechanisms of action, making this antimetabolite a broad-spectrum agent. Once Gem enters the cell, it is subjected to phosphorylation by deoxycytidine kinase into Gem monophosphate. Conversion of Gem into its di- and triphosphorylation states by nucleoside kinases converts this antimetabolite into a ribonucleotide reductase and polymerase inhibitor, respectively.11–13 There have been numerous efforts to improve chemotherapy treatment regimens by combining these chemotherapies with either 5FU or Gem in combination. Unfortunately, most of these
studies have confirmed that combination therapy does not show significant improvements. In addition to the failure to improve the treatment regimen, patients face the challenge of chemoresistance. A low response rate in patients treated with Gem has been shown to be associated with innate and acquired chemoresistance. Additional studies still need to be conducted to understand resistance to Gem and 5FU in pancreatic cancer patients.

The inhibitor of apoptosis (IAP) family of proteins includes survivin, XIAP, cIAP1, and cIAP2. IAPs are characterized by a ~70 amino acid baculovirus IAP repeat (BIR) domain and, except for survivin, a RING domain in the C-terminus of each family member. XIAP directly binds to activated caspase-3, -7, and -9 using its BIR domains, inhibiting the caspases’ function. On the other hand, while cIAP1 and cIAP2 are weak caspase inhibitors, these IAPs act as E3 ubiquitin–protein isopeptidase ligases on Smac using their RING domains to promote Smac degradation.

Survivin, the smallest IAP, is both structurally and functionally unique among the rest of the IAP family, having a multifunctional role in various cellular activities, which includes the regulation of mitosis, protection from cell death, and adaptation to stressful environments. This IAP is also found to be localized in the cytoplasm, mitochondria, and nucleus, with its subcellular location determining its function. Our lab has shown that an extracellular pool of survivin exists, which causes neighboring cancer cells to become resistant to therapy, to rapidly proliferate, and to acquire an increased potential to be invasive.

Recently, our lab has discovered that survivin is released by small (40–100 nm) membrane-bound vesicles called exosomes. Tumor cell-derived exosomes (TEX) have been shown to be released constitutively into the extracellular space, both in vitro and in vivo. TEX have different molecular profiles and biological roles, giving an indication of the cell of origin. In addition, specific protein content found on and within TEX and exosomes in general establishes their functional role. The goal of this study is to examine whether antimetabolite treatments in PANC-1 cells modulate IAP protein and message levels both intracellularly and exosomally. Such IAP modulation may indicate that these chemotherapeutic agents may contribute to chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer cells.

Materials and methods

Cell cultures

The pancreatic carcinoma (PANC-1) cell line was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) (Manassas, VA, USA) and maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (ATCC) supplemented with 100 U penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, 100 µg/mL normocin (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA), and 10% fetal bovine serum (CellGro, Manassas, VA, USA). The cells were grown in a humidified atmosphere of 37°C in 95% O2/5% CO2 until 60% confluent. Their conditioned medium (CM) for exosome collection was collected after 24 hours of treatment with cladribine (CldA), Gem, hydroxyurea (HU), 5-fluorodeoxyuridine (5FdU), and 5FU (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA). All antimetabolites were dissolved in water and various concentrations were added to cells. For CM collection for exosome isolation, cells were plated 24 hours prior to treatment. Medium was changed before antimetabolite treatment to ensure no apoptotic bodies were present. PANC-1 cells were treated for 24 hours, after which CM and cells for Western blots and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) were harvested.

Apoptosis and cell proliferation analysis

Cells at 60% confluency and 37°C were treated with vehicle (water) or various doses of antimetabolites for 24, 48, and 72 hours. Cells were harvested and stained with annexin V and propidium iodide (BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA) per the manufacturer’s directions. Apoptosis and cell proliferation studies were performed and analyzed using an MACS Quant flow cytometer and FlowJo software (Tree Star, Ashland, OR, USA).

Exosome isolation

Exosomes were isolated as previously described with the following modifications. Briefly, CM was centrifuged three times prior to ultracentrifugation: 400×g for 10 minutes to remove cells, 2,000×g for 20 minutes to remove cell debris, and 10,000×g for 30 minutes to remove nucleic acid and soluble albumin. The supernatant was collected and stored at −80°C until needed. Exosomes were isolated from the CM by ultracentrifugation on a 30% sucrose cushion at 100,000×g for 16 hours. The exosomes in the sucrose cushion were extracted and washed once in PBS by ultracentrifugation at 100,000×g for 2 hours. The exosome pellet was resuspended in 100 µL PBS or lysis buffer.

Exosomes were also isolated using ExoQuick TCTM (Systems Biosciences, Mountain View, CA, USA). Briefly, CM was collected from the treated cells and centrifuged at 3,000×g for 15 minutes. Two milliliters of ExoQuick TCTM was mixed to 10 mL of CM and incubated at 4°C overnight. Following incubation, the CM was centrifuged at 1,500×g for 30 minutes to pellet exosomes. The exosome pellet was
resuspended in the appropriate buffer and used for ribonucleic acid (RNA) extraction studies.

**Exosome quantification**

The amount of exosomes released was semiquantified by assessing the acetylcholinesterase activity, as our lab has described previously. Briefly, 40 µL of the isolated exosomes was suspended in 110 µL PBS. The PBS-diluted exosome fraction was equally divided to three individual wells on a 96-well flat-bottomed microplate. Acetylcholine (1.25 mM) and 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (0.1 mM) were added to the exosomes to a total volume of 300 µL. The change in absorbance at 412 nm was monitored every 5 minutes for 30 minutes.

To determine total exosome number, exosomes were diluted 1:100 in PBS from the total isolated exosome sample and analyzed using a NanoSight LM10-HS microscope (Wiltshire, UK). Size distribution and total number of exosomes per milliliter were calculated by the nanoparticle tracking analysis software (Wiltshire, UK).

**Western blots**

For total cell Western blot analysis, cells are harvested and lysed in cell lysis buffer (0.5% Triton X-100, 300 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris/HCl, 1 mM PMSF) with sonication. The lysates were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm at 4°C for 20 minutes to remove cell debris. For exosome Western blot analysis, exosomes were solubilized in lysis buffer. Protein concentration was determined using the BCA protein assay (Pierce Chemical, Rockford, IL, USA). A total of 50 µg cellular protein or 40 µg exosomal protein was separated using 10% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA). Blots were immunostained with antibodies against survivin (1:500, Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA), β-actin (1:1,000, Cell Signaling) was used as control for cell samples, and Lamp-1 (1:500–1,000, Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA). Blots were probed with secondary antibodies: mouse antibody for Lamp-1 (1:500, Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA) was used as control for exosome samples, and β-actin (1:1,000, Cell Signaling) was used as control for exosome samples. Goat antirabbit and antimouse antibodies (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA) were used as secondary antibody. The immunoreactive bands were visualized using the Odyssey imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences).

**PCR**

Harvested cells and isolated exosomes were resuspended in TRI Reagent® (Molecular Research Center, Cincinnati, OH, USA) and stored at −80°C until needed. RNA was extracted per manufacturer’s directions. RNA quantification was performed using a NanoDrop 2000c (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Reverse transcription of RNA was performed using the First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Syd Labs, Inc., Malden, MA, USA). Genomic DNA was eliminated prior to reverse transcription of RNA into cDNA. A total concentration of 100 ng/µL cDNA was utilized to perform PCR reactions using Phusion® Flash High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix (Finnzymes, Thermo Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). Forward and reverse primers (IDT, San Diego, CA, USA) have been designed to detect survivin, cIAP1, cIAP2, and XIAP genes (Table 1).

cDNA was amplified for detection of survivin, cIAP1, cIAP2, XIAP, and GAPDH (housekeeping gene) using the LightCycler 1.0 system real-time thermal cycler and the LightCycler FastStart DNA MasterPLUS SYBR Green kit (Roche Applied Science). The assessment of samples as positive for expression was based on 1) observing reproducible cycle threshold (Ct) values in two replicates of the target gene where the GAPDH housekeeping gene showed a Ct value of <30 cycles and 2) melting curve analysis showing superimposable melting curves across samples and replicates for a given target gene in the absence of a superimposable product in negative control samples. Gene-specific primer pairs were designed to span introns using Roche’s Assay Design Center (www.roche-applied-science.com). Primers were as follows: GAPDH forward, 5'-GAG TCC ACT GCC GTC TTC AC; GAPDH reverse, 5'-GTT CAC ACC CAT GAC GAA CA; survivin forward, 5'-ATG GGT GCC CCG ACG TT; survivin reverse, 5'-TCA ATC CAT GGC AGC CAG; XIAP forward, 5'-AGT ATG GGT GGC CCG ACG T; XIAP reverse, 5'-GATT CCT GCT CTC TGT CAG; cIAP1 forward, 5'-AGC TAG TCT GGG ATC CAC CTC; cIAP1 reverse, 5'-GGG GTT AGT CCT CGA TGA AG; cIAP2 forward, 5'-TGG AAG ACT CTA CCT CTC AGC CCT; cIAP2 reverse, 5'-GGA ACT TCT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward</th>
<th>Reverse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Survivin</td>
<td>5’-ACGCACATCCTTACATTTCAAGA-3’</td>
<td>5’-TCTGACAGCTTTCAAAAAATTC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cIAP1</td>
<td>5’-GAGGGAATCAGCCGCTCCCGAAGA-3’</td>
<td>5’-TTAGGAGAGAAATGTACGAAACGTG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cIAP2</td>
<td>5’-ATGCACTTATGAGAAAACGACAATA-3’</td>
<td>5’-TCTAGGAGAAATGATCGAACGTG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIAP</td>
<td>5’-ATGACTTTTACAGTTTGTGAAGA-3’</td>
<td>5’-TTAGACATATAAATTGGTCTGG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>5’-ACCAGTTTGGTCTGTATTGCGG-3’</td>
<td>5’-CTCTGGAGATGTGGATGG-3’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CAT CAA GGC AGA. Cycling parameters for all products were initial denaturation of 15 minutes at 95°C followed by 50 cycles of 10 seconds at 95°C (denaturing), 5 seconds at 60°C (annealing), and 15 seconds at 72°C (elongation).

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using a two-way analysis of variance with the aid of GraphPad Prism statistical software (La Jolla, CA, USA), with paired t-test used for group analysis. Densitometric analysis was conducted using our Licor Odyssey Imager (Licor, Lincoln, NE, USA). Density of individual bands was divided by β-actin, GAPDH, or LAMP-1 as the internal controls for cytosolic cellular protein, block PCR mRNA samples, or exosomal proteins, respectively, with each sample then divided by the particular baseline control.

Results
Antimetabolite treatments induce growth inhibition and cell death in PANC-1 cells
CldA treatment of PANC-1 cells with various doses (50 nM, 100 nM, 1 μM, 20 μM) showed that lower doses did not inhibit cell growth or show cytotoxic effects (Figure 1A and B). At 1 μM CldA, there was a decrease in cell proliferation but no significant killing, unlike treatment at 20 μM. Treatment

Figure 1 (Continued)
IAPs expression levels are not reduced by antimetabolite treatments and do not play a role in inhibiting cell death in PANC-1 cells

To determine whether IAPs play a part in cell death inhibition in PANC-1 cells and play a role in chemoresistance, sublethal and lethal doses were chosen to stress the cells for 24, 48, and 72 hours. Ribonucleotide reductase inhibitors did not significantly alter the protein expression of IAPs at 24 and 48 hours (Figure 2A and B). Although not significant, modulation of survivin expression was shown after 72 hours, in particular with HU treatment at 500 μM (Figure 2C). In both mRNA (Figures 2D and S1) and protein, IAP expression levels were either maintained or increased across the doses of ribonucleotide reductase inhibitors.

As shown with the ribonucleotide reductase inhibitors, treatments with thymidine synthase inhibitors did not significantly alter IAP protein (Figure 3A–C) or mRNA (Figures 3D and S1) expression levels across all time points and doses. Following the same trend as with the other antimetabolite family, the IAP protein and mRNA were either maintained or modestly increased.

Exosome amount released changes with treatment

To determine whether antimetabolite drug treatment stress would affect the amount of exosomes released, an AChE assay was performed. There was no significant difference in the

**Figure 1** Reduction of cell proliferation in PANC-1 cells treated with ribonucleotide reductase and thymidine synthase inhibitors. Notes: PANC-1 cells were treated with various concentrations of ribonucleotide reductase inhibitors, (A, B) cladribine, (C, D) gemcitabine, (E, F) hydroxyurea and thymidine synthase inhibitors, (G, H) 5-fluorodeoxyuridine, and (I, J) 5-fluorouracil for different time periods. It was evident that antimetabolite treatment causes growth inhibition in PANC-1 cells. To determine the sublethal and lethal doses, annexin/propidium iodide assay, along with the cell proliferation assay, was performed on cells treated with (B) cladribine, (D) gemcitabine, (F) hydroxyurea, (H) 5-fluorodeoxyuridine, and (J) 5-fluorouracil. Cell death in PANC-1 was a time- and dose-dependent manner. Data are the mean ± standard error of two independent experiments as compared with the control.

Abbreviation: h, hours.
Figure 2 Modulation of IAPs after treatment of ribonucleotide reductase inhibitors. 

Notes: Treatment with sublethal and lethal doses of ribonucleotide reductase inhibitors did not decrease the levels of IAP proteins at (A) 24 hours, (B) 48 hours, and (C) 72 hours, as well as IAP mRNA (D) in PANC-1 cells. IAP expression was either maintained or increased. Data are the mean ± standard error of two independent experiments as compared with the control.

Abbreviations: IAP, inhibitor of apoptosis; mRNA, messenger ribonucleic acid; ClAd, cladribine; Gem, gemcitabine; HU, hydroxyurea.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>5FdU</th>
<th>5FU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 nM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 nM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 nM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 μM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MW × 10⁻³</th>
<th>85</th>
<th>85</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A** 24 hours

**B** 48 hours

**C** 72 hours

**D**

**Figure 3** IAP protein and mRNA levels after treatment with thymidine synthase inhibitors.

**Notes:** Intracellular IAP protein and 12-hour mRNA levels (D) were slightly modulated after treatment with sublethal and lethal concentrations of thymidine synthase inhibitors at (A) 24 hours, (B) 48 hours, and (C) 72 hours. Data are the mean ± standard error of two independent experiments as compared with the control.

**Abbreviations:** IAP, inhibitor of apoptosis; mRNA, messenger ribonucleic acid; 5FdU, 5-fluorodeoxyuridine; 5FU, 5-fluorouracil.
amount of exosomes released in the untreated cells compared with the treated cells (Figure 4A). Interestingly, the BCA protein assay showed less total protein concentration in the untreated cell sample compared with the treated cells (Figure 4B). To verify the results, exosomes were examined using a NanoSight LM10-HS, which determines the number of exosomes present per milliliter. Figure 4C shows that compared with the untreated exosome sample, there were more exosomes present in the pooled sample of treated exosomes.

**Protein and mRNA inhibitor of apoptosis proteins released via exosomes**

To further investigate whether IAPs, in addition to survivin, are released into the extracellular space, exosomes were isolated from CM taken from treated and nontreated cells after 24 hours. Western blotting was performed to determine the presence of IAP proteins in exosomes. As shown in Figure 5A and B, not only was survivin present in exosomes but so were XIAP, cIAP1, and cIAP2. In addition, treatment with antimetabolites affected the levels of some released exosomal IAP proteins. Treatment with ribonucleotide reductase inhibitors (Figure 5A) decreased the levels of exosomal cIAP2, cIAP1, XIAP, and survivin at all concentrations, while exosomal IAPs released from cells treated with thymidine synthase inhibitors were only effective in reducing the exosomal levels of cIAP1, cIAP2, and XIAP (Figure 5B). Though survivin was modestly reduced after the treatment of 5FdU, 5FU was unable to change its level (Figure 5B).

We next determined whether IAP mRNAs were also present in exosomes. PCR analysis indicated that all IAPs were present in exosomes (Figures 5C and S1B). Surprisingly, while survivin and cIAP1 mRNA levels remained unchanged with treatment, the presence of cIAP2 and XIAP mRNA was not consistent across the samples (Figures 5C and S1B). In addition, XIAP mRNA levels decreased in the majority of the treatments using both families of inhibitors.

**Discussion**

In various types of cancer, the function and/or expression of the IAPs is not properly regulated. This can be due to a
decrease in levels of endogenous IAP inhibitors, abnormalities in the gene, or an increase in the expression of either mRNA or protein. Specifically, the high levels of survivin expression in cancer cells have been associated with dismal prognosis, disease progression, metastatic dissemination, chemo- and radiotherapy resistance, and overall dismal disease outcome. In many cancer types, such as glioblastoma, renal cell carcinoma, and liver and pancreatic cancer, the chromosome region of 11q21–23, which includes both the cIAP1 and cIAP2 genes, is shown to be amplified in these diseases, making cIAP1/2 protooncogenes. Additionally, in about 50% of surveyed mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma cases, the BIR domain of cIAP2 is fused to the C-terminus of the paracaspase mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma translocation protein 1 (MALT1). This fusion protein in turn constitutively activates NF-κB.

Figure 5 Presence of IAP protein and mRNA in exosome.
Notes: Not only is survivin protein exported into extracellular space but also XIAP, cIAP1, and cIAP2 are present in exosomes. (A, B) Exosomes isolated from Panc-1 cells treated with antimetabolites showed a decrease of IAP protein levels. (C) IAP mRNA is found to be released extracellularly by exosomes. Presence of cIAP2 mRNA, however, was not consistently found in exosomes. Data are the mean ± standard error of two independent experiments as compared with the control.

Abbreviations: IAP, inhibitor of apoptosis; mRNA, messenger ribonucleic acid; CldA, cladribine; Gem, gemcitabine; HU, hydroxyurea; 5FdU, 5-fluorodeoxyuridine; 5FU, 5-fluorouracil.
Overexpression of XIAP correlated with poor clinical outcome, lower survival rates, and aggressive tumor growth in diffuse large B-lymphoma, colorectal cancer, and clear-cell renal cell carcinoma, respectively.\textsuperscript{40} In pancreatic adenocarcinoma specifically, it has been shown that survivin, XIAP, cIAP1, and cIAP2 are constitutively upregulated by NF-κB in cell lines and tissue samples. This abnormal upregulation of IAPs also correlates with chemotherapy resistance.\textsuperscript{44} Numerous efforts have been made to target these IAPs to address the problem with resistance to therapy. XIAP silencing by siRNA in pancreatic cancer cell lines has been shown to increase Gem sensitivity,\textsuperscript{45} as well as an enhancement of cell death when treated with both Gem and proton radiation.\textsuperscript{42} Other studies have targeted survivin, showing that knocking this IAP down with siRNA caused Gem chemosensitivity.\textsuperscript{46} Chemotherapy treatment for metastatic pancreatic cancer is limited, and modulation of IAP protein and message levels by these antimetabolites is yet to be determined, both intracellularly and exosomally.

In this study, we first determined that CldA, Gem, and HU were all able to decrease cell proliferation in addition to inducing cell death in a time- and dose-dependent manner (Figure 1A–F). We expected IAP protein and mRNA levels to be modulated by the ribonucleotide reductase inhibitors in both sublethal and lethal doses, as cell death was evident at these doses. Surprisingly, we observed that the IAP levels were maintained or even increased (Figure 2). The sublethal dose of Gem was not able to decrease the levels of IAP mRNA, but instead increased expression of all four IAPs. In addition, the lethal dose of Gem consistently increased the levels of IAP protein across all time points.

Like the ribonucleotide reductase inhibitors, cell proliferation was decreased with treatments of 5FdU and 5FU. Cell death was also evident in a time- and dose-dependent manner (Figure 1G–J). However, treatments with the sublethal and lethal doses also did not reduce IAP protein and mRNA expression levels (Figure 3). Since cell death was shown in both sublethal and lethal doses, we expected the IAP protein and mRNA levels to be modulated by these agents. Surprisingly, we observed that the IAP levels were maintained or even increased. This indicates that cell death shown in Figure 1 may not result from a decrease of IAP levels in these cells but is through a different mechanism. It is thus not surprising that studies using antimetabolite compounds against leukemias have been recently shown to overcome apoptosis resistance and trigger necroptotic cell death.\textsuperscript{47} Additionally, the failure to reduce the levels of IAPs intracellularly by not only Gem and 5FU but all the other agents in both antimetabolite families may play a role in chemoresistance in pancreatic adenocarcinoma patients and why combination therapies do not improve patient survival rates. In studies involving colon cancer cells, cIAP2 reduction has proven to be the only means to increase the efficacy of 5FU.\textsuperscript{48,49}

Tumor exosomes have been described as “multipurpose carriers”, having a supportive role in the survival and growth of the tumor cells and being involved in promoting host tissue invasion and the subsequent metastasis and facilitating immune response evasion.\textsuperscript{50–52} It is speculated that the diverse function of tumor exosomes is due to the various bioactive molecules on and within the vesicles, which strongly influences the tumor microenvironment.\textsuperscript{53–56} We have also shown that extracellular survivin has a significant effect on the tumor microenvironment, causing cells to become highly proliferative, invasive, and resistant to therapy.\textsuperscript{31} In addition to survivin being exosomal, we found that XIAP, cIAP1, and cIAP2 proteins and mRNA are also released into the extracellular space via exosomes (Figure 5).

We looked at the exosomal levels of IAP proteins treated with ribonucleotide reductase inhibitors and observed that the intracellular IAP expression levels did not reflect the levels of extracellular IAP expression (Figure 5A). Here, the levels of exosomal IAPs were reduced, with the exception of the treatment of HU (500 µM). Looking at the exosomal IAP levels, we saw that 5FU treatments increased survivin levels in comparison with the other IAPs, as with the cIAP2 with 5FdU treatment at 100 nM (Figure 5B). We expected the exosomal IAP levels would reflect the IAP levels found intracellularly. However, there were modest reductions in the exosomal IAP protein levels in the treated samples. We hypothesize that in an attempt to compensate for decreasing levels of IAPs in the exosomes, chemotherapy-treated cells released more exosomes into the extracellular space (Figures 4 and 5). IAP mRNAs were also present in the exosomes (Figures 5C and S1B). The levels of cIAP1 and survivin appear rather consistent across treatment, but the inconsistent presence of XIAP and cIAP2 mRNA may be due to truncated mRNA that is found within the exosomes. Further work in our lab and others is still evaluating this possibility.

Taken together, the results of this study suggest that protein and mRNA IAPs are found in exosomes and that both cellular and exosomal IAPs should be investigated for their roles in drug resistance in pancreatic cancer.
Moreover, though these antimetabolites reduced survival and cell proliferation, levels of the four IAPs studied here only modestly changed and at times increased in both locations depending upon the IAP. Our findings demonstrate for the first time that IAP protein and mRNAs are found in exosomes. More studies, however, are needed in order to fully determine the function of exosomal IAPs in the extracellular space and whether they exhibit similar effects as extracellular survivin. We also showed that other players are most likely involved in the cell death of PANC-1 cells after antimetabolite treatments, while the failure to decrease the levels of both protein and mRNA intracellular IAPs may play a role in chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer patients. Although Gem was not able to reduce intracellular IAP protein and mRNA levels, it continues to be the first-line treatment against metastatic pancreatic cancer. The ability to have different mechanisms of action depending on its phosphorylation state may be why Gem continues to be superior compared with other antimetabolites. The failure to significantly decrease the levels of both protein and mRNA intracellular IAPs may play a role in chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer patients. Innate and acquired chemoresistance in patients is a continuing problem in the clinic. Therefore, it is important to continue to find better ways to treat pancreatic adenocarcinoma to try to overcome the problem of resistance and improve overall patient survival rates.
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Supplementary material

Figure S1  Ribonucleotide reductase and thymidine synthase inhibitors do not significantly affect cellular (A) or exosomal (B) levels of IAPs.

Notes: PANC-1 cells were treated for 24 hours with the indicated amounts of ribonucleotide reductase and thymidine synthase inhibitors. Cell lysates or conditioned medium were extracted for exosomes followed by mRNA. Level of IAP mRNA was determined by real-time polymerase chain reaction. Relative IAP to GAPDH ratios were shown. Data are the mean ± standard deviation of three independent experiments (*P<0.001) as compared with the control.

Abbreviations: IAP, inhibitor of apoptosis; mRNA, messenger ribonucleic acid; CldA, cladribine; Gem, gemcitabine; HU, hydroxyurea; 5FdU, 5-fluorodeoxyuridine; 5FU, 5-fluorouracil.