Gestational diabetes and the neonate: challenges and solutions
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Abstract: The prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is rising worldwide, along with overweight and obesity. In utero exposure to hyperglycemia increases perinatal complications including preterm birth, macrosomia, neonatal respiratory distress, hypoglycemia, and polycythemia. More significantly, GDM places the offspring at risk of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes mellitus, obesity and cardiovascular disease. The relative contributory roles of the intrauterine environment, shared genes, and postnatal environment on long-term outcomes are not yet fully understood. Maternal obesity in particular remains a significant confounding factor. Opportunities for ameliorating both the perinatal effects and long-term and intergenerational effects of GDM exist at the level of prevention of GDM, screening for and treatment of GDM, and postnatal interventions in offspring.
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Introduction

The rising prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), along with perinatal complications secondary to GDM, and a growing understanding of the significant long-term impacts on offspring of women with GDM, is of increasing importance to obstetric and neonatal clinicians at both an individual and population health level. This review article aims to summarize current literature regarding the diagnostic criteria for GDM, both the perinatal and long-term complications of GDM, and interventions to prevent these complications.

GDM: definition, prevalence, and diagnostic criteria

GDM has been defined as “any degree of glucose intolerance with onset or first recognition during pregnancy”.¹ Consequently, the diagnosis of GDM includes both previously undiagnosed abnormality of glucose tolerance, and glucose intolerance related to the pregnancy alone which disappears postpartum. However, a definitive diagnosis can only be made in the postpartum period. The prevalence of GDM is rising worldwide and has been reported in the range of 3%–14%²–⁴ globally, and in the range of 3%–5%⁵–⁸ in North America, Europe, and Australia.

Variation in reported prevalence is, in part, determined by differences in approaches to GDM screening. In 2010, the International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG) recommended a standardized international approach to screening and diagnosis of GDM⁹ based on risk of adverse pregnancy outcome. The IADPSG consensus statement⁹ proposes a one-step 75 g oral glucose tolerance test.
(OGTT) for all women without a preexisting diagnosis of diabetes at 24–28 weeks’ gestation. A diagnosis of GDM is made where one or more of the following threshold values are exceeded: fasting, ≥5.1 mmol/L; 1-hour, ≥10.0 mmol/L; 2-hour, ≥8.5 mmol/L. These threshold values are derived from the HAPO study,10 and are the average glucose values at which the odds for primary pregnancy outcomes (birth weight >90th percentile, cord C-peptide >90th percentile, and percentage of newborn body fat >90th percentile) were increased 1.75 times over the estimated odds at mean glucose values in the HAPO study population.9,10 The adoption of these new diagnostic criteria has been predicted to result in a per-pregnancy incidence of GDM of almost 20%, and a two- to threefold increase in prevalence.11,12

However, to date, the IADPSG recommendations have not been uniformly adopted (Table 1). In the UK, the NICE13 guidelines continue to recommend screening only of high-risk women (raised body mass index, previous macrosomic infant, previous GDM, first degree relative with diabetes, family origin with high prevalence of diabetes). In the United States, the “two-step” National Institutes of Health (NIH) nonfasting one-hour 50 g glucose load test (GLT) at 24–28 weeks, followed by a fasting 100 g OGTT for those who test positive, remains an alternative option to the IADPSG “one-step” OGTT.14 The Australasian Diabetes in Pregnancy Society (ADIPS) has adopted the World Health Organization (WHO) recommendations for the diagnostic classification of hyperglycemia first detected at any time during pregnancy;15,16 this is currently under consideration by the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists.17

Perinatal complications of GDM

In order to meet the metabolic demands of the developing fetus, normal pregnancy is a state of insulin-resistance,18 which in turn results in an increase in insulin production by pancreatic β-cells.18,19 In a pregnancy affected by GDM, pancreatic β-cell dysfunction means that increased insulin secretion cannot be mounted in response to insulin resistance, leading to maternal hyperglycemia. The effect of this on the fetus is described by the Pedersen hypothesis.20 Maternal hyperglycemia results in increased transplacental transfer of glucose to the fetus. Fetal hyperglycemia in turn stimulates fetal pancreatic β-cells to release insulin, and as insulin is an important growth factor, the outcome is fetal macrosomia. Macrosomia is variably defined as a birth weight greater than 4,000, 4,500, or 5,000 g,21 and is characterized by increased subcutaneous fat, increased muscle mass, and a head circumference which plots on a lower centile than weight.

The Pedersen hypothesis is supported by the strong correlation between fetal size and umbilical total insulin, free insulin, and C-peptide,22 and more recently by the finding of a linear relationship between maternal glucose and umbilical cord C-peptide with birth weight in the HAPO study.10 In addition to the effects of maternal hyperglycemia, maternal insulin resistance also gives rise to excessive fetal

Table 1 Comparison of IADPSG, NIH, NICE, and ADIPS guidelines for GDM screening

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IADPSG</th>
<th>NIH</th>
<th>NICE</th>
<th>ADIPS/WHO 2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target population</td>
<td>Universal: all pregnant women</td>
<td>Universal: all pregnant women</td>
<td>Women with risk factors for GDM:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• BMI ≥30 kg/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Previous baby ≥4.5 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Previous GDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• First-degree relative with DM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Family origin with high prevalence of diabetes: South Asian, Black Caribbean, Middle Eastern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type and timing of screening</td>
<td>2-hour 75 g OGTT at 24–28 weeks</td>
<td>1-hour 50 g nonfasting GLT at 24–28 weeks</td>
<td>2-hour 75 g OGTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If plasma venous glucose at 1 hour is ≥10 mmol/L, proceed to fasting 3-hour 100 g OGTT</td>
<td></td>
<td>At 16–18 weeks if previous GDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>At 24–28 weeks if other risk factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteria for diagnosis of GDM</td>
<td>Any one or more of: Fasting, ≥5.1 mmol/L; 1-hour, ≥10 mmol/L; 2-hour, ≥8.5 mmol/L</td>
<td>Any one or more of: Fasting, ≥7.8 mmol/L</td>
<td>Any one or more of: Fasting, 5.1–6.9 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1-hour, ≥10 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2-hour, 8.5–11 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All values given are for plasma venous glucose.

Abbreviations: IADPSG, International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups; NIH, National Institutes of Health; NICE, National Institute for Health Clinical Excellence; ADIPS, Australasian Diabetes in Pregnancy Society; WHO, World Health Organization; BMI, body mass index; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; GLT, glucose loading test.


growth through increased placental transfer of other growth substrates, such as amino acids and lipids.\textsuperscript{22}

The risks to the fetus and newborn arising from maternal GDM are related to both macrosomia and to other proposed pathophysiological effects of fetal hyperglycemia and hyperinsulinism.\textsuperscript{23–25}

**Intrauterine fetal death and perinatal asphyxia**

Increased fetal substrate uptake arising from chronic fetal hyperinsulinism increases tissue oxygen consumption. This gives rise to relative fetal hypoxia, which increases the risk of intrauterine fetal death,\textsuperscript{26} although the risk of this is less compared to that in maternal type 1 and 2 diabetes mellitus (DM),\textsuperscript{26} and it has been argued that the reported increased risk may be in fact be attributable to undiagnosed type 2 DM.\textsuperscript{22,27} Perinatal asphyxia is also purported to be a potential outcome of relative fetal hypoxia,\textsuperscript{22,28} although macrosomia in itself increases the risk of asphyxia.\textsuperscript{28}

**Premature birth**

The HAPO\textsuperscript{30} study found a continuous linear relationship between the results of glucose tolerance tests and preeclampsia, which is a risk factor for preterm birth.\textsuperscript{28} It has also been shown that, independent of other predisposing factors (including pre-eclampsia, polyhydramnios, and pregnancy induced hypertension), GDM carries an increased risk of spontaneous preterm birth.\textsuperscript{29} Preterm birth is the leading cause of perinatal morbidity and mortality in developed countries.\textsuperscript{28}

**Polycythemia, hyperviscosity, and hyperbilirubinemia**

Fetal hypoxia drives erythropoiesis, leading to polycythemia, which is defined as a venous hematocrit greater than 65%.\textsuperscript{30} The clinical manifestations of polycythemia and resulting blood hyperviscosity may include plethora, cyanosis, lethargy, jitteriness, hypotonia, feeding difficulties, respiratory distress, hypoglycemia, and hyperbilirubinemia.\textsuperscript{31} Less commonly, necrotising enterocolitis, thrombocytopenia, and venous thrombosis (for example, renal vein thrombosis) may result.\textsuperscript{31} In the HAPO study, there was a weak association between hyperbilirubinemia and maternal blood glucose levels on the OGTT,\textsuperscript{30} but the risk of severe hyperbilirubinemia, such as seen in hemolysis, is low.\textsuperscript{21}

**Neonatal respiratory distress**

There is evidence from animal models that fetal hyperinsulinism inhibits lung surfactant synthesis.\textsuperscript{32–34} Surfactant deficiency can result in respiratory distress syndrome (also known as hyaline membrane disease), requiring neonatal intensive care (NICU) admission for respiratory support. Other potential causes of respiratory distress in infants born to mothers with GDM include increased risk of premature birth\textsuperscript{29} (also a risk factor for surfactant deficiency) and elective cesarean section delivery due to macrosomia. Elective cesarean section increases the risk of respiratory distress through the mechanism of retained fetal lung fluid.\textsuperscript{35} In a literature review in 2010, Mitanchez\textsuperscript{26} found there was limited data from which to report on the prevalence of respiratory distress in infants born to mothers with GDM, but that as for many other short-term neonatal complications of GDM, there appeared to be an increased risk in macrosomic infants.

**Birth injuries**

Shoulder dystocia, and resulting birth injury, is a risk of macrosomia, even in the absence of maternal GDM.\textsuperscript{36} Potential birth injuries include brachial plexus injuries (including Erb’s palsy) and fractures of the clavicle and humerus. In a large cohort study in the United States, Zhang et al\textsuperscript{37} confirmed that the risk of birth injury increases with birth weight: odds ratio (OR) = 2.4 (95% confidence interval [CI] = 2.2–2.5) with a birth weight between 4,500 and 4,999 g, and OR = 3.5 (95% CI = 3.0–4.2) with a birth weight > 5,000 g. In a study of perinatal outcomes in women with and without GDM, Esakoff et al\textsuperscript{38} found that GDM increases the odds of shoulder dystocia (adjusted odds ratios [aORs], 16.4 [GDM] vs 9.6 [non-GDM]) and Erb’s palsy (aORs, 41.9 [GDM] vs 6.7 [non-GDM]) associated with a birth weight ≥ 4,000 g.

**Hypoglycemia**

The mechanism for hypoglycemia in infants born to mothers with GDM is transient hyperinsulinism, which both inactivates the usual counter-regulatory responses (glycogenolysis, gluconeogenesis, lipolysis, and β-oxidation of fatty acids) to loss of glucose supply from the placenta, and increases peripheral glucose utilization.\textsuperscript{39} The mainstay of prevention and management of hypoglycemia is prefeed blood glucose monitoring and early and frequent enteral feeding (preferably breast-feeding). Approximately 5% of infants will have hypoglycemia that cannot be managed with enteral feeding alone and will require intravenous glucose therapy.\textsuperscript{26} Esakoff et al\textsuperscript{38} found that macrosomia increases the risk of hypoglycemia in infants born to mothers with GDM: neonates with a birth weight of > 4,000 g, compared with those with a birth weight < 4,000 g, had higher frequencies of hypoglycemia.
(5.3% vs 2.6%; P<0.04). Hyperglycemia in labor also increases the risk.\textsuperscript{40}

**Hypocalcemia**

Functional hypoparathyroidism has been proposed as the basis for hypocalcemia in infants of diabetic mothers.\textsuperscript{41} There is limited data regarding the incidence and significance of neonatal hypocalcemia in GDM. In a retrospective study which was limited by small sample size, Das and Ankola\textsuperscript{42} found an incidence of neonatal hypocalcemia of 6.6% in GDM compared to 32% in pregestational diabetes (P<0.002). In a larger study, Cordero et al\textsuperscript{43} reported the risk to be <1%.

**Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy**

Fetal hyperinsulinism in combination with the normally increased expression and affinity of fetal insulin receptors can lead to proliferation and hypertrophy of cardiac myocytes.\textsuperscript{44} Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is characterized by thickening of the interventricular septum, and to a lesser degree, the ventricular free walls. It is usually asymptomatic and resolves within the first year of life.\textsuperscript{44,45} The risk of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is lower in GDM compared to types 1 and 2 DM,\textsuperscript{46} but fetal ventricular wall thickening and cardiac function impairment may still be seen, even in the setting of good maternal glycemic control.\textsuperscript{47}

**Congenital malformations**

The prevalence of major congenital anomalies in the general population has been reported at 23.9 per 1,000 births.\textsuperscript{48} Diabetic embryopathy is well recognized;\textsuperscript{49} the risk of major congenital anomalies in infants born to mothers with type 1 or 2 DM is at least twofold higher\textsuperscript{50,51} compared to the general population, predominantly driven by congenital cardiac disease and nervous system anomalies.\textsuperscript{51} In a recent systematic review, Balsells et al\textsuperscript{52} found that infants born to women with GDM were at higher risk of congenital malformation compared to the reference population (relative risk [RR] and 95% CI =1.16 [1.07–1.25] in cohort studies and OR =1.4 [1.22–1.62] in case control studies). However, the risk was much lower than for infants born to mothers with preexisting diabetes, and the contribution of factors such as overt diabetes, age, and maternal BMI, could not be ascertained.

**The role of maternal obesity**

The association of obesity with GDM and impact on perinatal outcomes is an important consideration, given that the prevalence of obesity is increasing worldwide, including in women of childbearing age. For example, in the United States, approximately 60% of women of childbearing are overweight or obese.\textsuperscript{53} Applying the IADPSG diagnostic criteria to make a post hoc diagnosis of GDM, and basing definitions of obesity and overweight at 28 weeks gestation on WHO BMI categories, the HAPO study group showed that both GDM and obesity are independently associated with adverse perinatal outcomes (birth weight, newborn adiposity, and cord C-peptide >90th percentile, primary cesarean section delivery, and pre-eclampsia), and that the combination of obesity and GDM substantially increased the ORs of these outcomes compared to either GDM or obesity alone.\textsuperscript{54}

**Long-term complications of GDM**

There is a growing awareness that the impact of maternal GDM extends beyond the perinatal period, through childhood and into adulthood. In particular, offspring of mothers with GDM are at risk of obesity, insulin resistance, type 2 DM, and cardiovascular disease.\textsuperscript{55} This is supported by data from epidemiological, animal, and epigenetic studies.\textsuperscript{45,56,57} As the prevalence of both obesity and GDM rises worldwide, the potential public health implications of the flow-on effects on future generations are significant. The concept of an “intergenerational cycle”\textsuperscript{19} of diabetes and obesity was first identified in the Pima Indian population, where infants born to mothers with preexisting type 2 DM were more prone to obesity and childhood onset of diabetes compared to infants born to mothers who developed diabetes after pregnancy,\textsuperscript{58} implicating the causal role of the diabetic in utero environment.\textsuperscript{58}
In comparison, in a systematic review examining the relationship between offspring BMI z score in childhood (age; 3–16 years) and maternal diabetes, Philipps et al\(^4\) reported that while the unadjusted mean offspring BMI z score was significantly higher for all types of diabetes (with no evidence of a difference in relation to type of diabetes), this effect was no longer apparent after adjustment for maternal prepregnancy BMI. Likewise, Kim et al\(^6\) found that the positive association between maternal GDM and childhood overweight and obesity was consistently attenuated by adjustment for maternal prepregnancy BMI.

The relative contributory roles of the intrauterine environment, shared genes, and postnatal environment on offspring adiposity are as yet unresolved. Maternal obesity remains a significant confounding factor. Given that maternal prepregnancy obesity is more prevalent than GDM, is itself a risk factor for GDM, and is the strongest perinatal predictor of childhood obesity,\(^6\) Kim et al\(^6\) have argued for targeted interventions toward this rather than GDM in isolation.

**Insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular disease**

In a longitudinal cohort study of children who were large for gestational age (LGA) or appropriate for gestational age (AGA) offspring of mothers with or without GDM, Boney et al\(^4\) found that the combination of LGA status and maternal GDM was associated with insulin resistance (OR = 10.4, 95% CI = 1.5–74.4), and a 3.6-fold greater risk of developing metabolic syndrome (obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and glucose intolerance) at 11 years of age. This study was included in a review of nine prospective cohorts of offspring of women with GDM by Burguet\(^5\) who found evidence of increased glycemic disorders (including type 2 DM). However, as for obesity, the role of in utero exposure could not be distinguished from that of genetic transmission. Tam et al\(^6\) have provided some evidence for the influence of the in utero environment: independent of birth weight, and maternal diagnosis of normal glucose tolerance or GDM, in utero hyperinsulinemia (as measured by umbilical C-peptide and insulin levels at birth) was associated with a 17-fold increase in metabolic syndrome at a mean age of 15 years.

Children with elevated systolic BP are at increased risk of hypertension in adulthood,\(^6\) which in turn is a risk factor for cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.\(^6,6^6\) In a systematic review, Aceti et al\(^6\) found that children (age; 2–18 years) of mothers with GDM had a higher systolic blood pressure (BP) compared to controls (mean difference = 1.39 mmHg, 95% CI = 0.00–2.77; \(P = 0.05\)). There was no significant difference in diastolic BP. Five out of the 13 included studies reported on prepregnancy BMI. There was a trend toward reduction in mean difference in offspring systolic BP as the difference in maternal BMI between the diabetic and nondiabetic groups reduced, but this was not statistically significant (\(P = 0.37\)). The systematic review was limited by significant heterogeneity in studies reporting outcomes for GDM, differences in diagnostic criteria for GDM, and lack of reporting of treatment interventions and glycemic control achieved in mothers with GDM.

Novel imaging strategies, which can be performed in the neonatal period, have been suggested as a means of more clearly defining the impact of the intrauterine environment on cardiovascular disease risk. These include carotid and aorta intima–media thickness and retinal vessel imaging.\(^6\) It remains to be seen how these early measures of cardiovascular health might translate into long-term risk of disease.

**Reducing the impact of GDM on offspring: possible solutions**

In view of the short- and long-term health implications of GDM for offspring, and the expected public health burden of increasing rates of type 2 DM, metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular disease, there is an increasing focus on how these impacts can be reduced. Possible solutions fall broadly into four categories: prevention of GDM, optimizing screening for GDM, optimizing treatment of GDM, and postnatal interventions in offspring.

**Prevention of GDM**

Prevention of GDM would certainly negate both the maternal and offspring complications of GDM, as well as the debate around optimal diagnostic criteria for and treatment of GDM. Preventative measures include weight management and physical activity. Prepregnancy obesity, as measured by prepregnancy BMI, is a risk factor for the development of GDM,\(^70\) as is excessive gestational weight gain.\(^7\) In a review of published literature between 1975 and 2009, Morisset et al\(^7\) concluded that while nutritional strategies could be beneficial in reducing the risk of GDM, specific nutritional interventions (such as diet composition) could not be recommended based on available evidence. Higher levels of physical activity both prepregnancy and during early pregnancy appear to protect against development of GDM.\(^73\)

**Optimizing screening for GDM**

The IADPSG recommendations represent an attempt to optimize screening for GDM, and signify a move toward
diagnostic criteria based on increased risk for adverse perinatal outcome. These recommendations will significantly increase the number of women who are diagnosed with and treated for GDM, but are supported by evidence from two randomized trials which showed benefit from interventions in mild GDM, and by cost-benefit analysis. However, the recommendations have not been uniformly adopted, and debate remains regarding the costs, risks, and benefits. Hence, the issue of optimal screening criteria for GDM remains unresolved.

**Treatment of GDM**

In terms of perinatal complications, the two largest studies to date of effect of treatment of GDM have been the ACHOIS trial and that of Landon et al. Both were randomized controlled trials of treatment of mild GDM in populations of women with glucose levels which overlapped with the thresholds for diagnosis recommended by IADPSG, and compared intervention consisting of dietary advice, blood glucose monitoring, and insulin therapy (required by 20% of women in the ACHOIS trial and 8% in the Landon et al trial) with usual antenatal care. In the ACHOIS trial, the composite primary outcome (one or more of death, shoulder dystocia, bone fracture, and nerve palsy) was significantly lower in the treatment group (1% vs 4%, *P* = 0.01), with a number needed to treat (NNT) of 34 (95% CI = 20–103). Landon et al. found no difference between the intervention and control groups for the composite primary outcome of stillbirth or perinatal death and neonatal complications (including hyperbilirubinemia, hypoglycemia, hyperinsulinemia, and birth trauma), but there were significant reductions in the rates of pre-eclampsia and gestational hypertension, in mean birth weight, neonatal fat mass, frequency of LGA infants, birth weight greater than 4,000 g, shoulder dystocia, and cesarean section delivery.

A number of systematic reviews have summarized the effectiveness of GDM treatment with regards to perinatal outcomes, two of which included the ACHOIS and Landon et al studies. Based on studies which employed a two-step screening approach (glucose challenge test [GCT] and/or screening for risk factors, followed by an OGTT), Horvath et al. found evidence of a significant reduction in shoulder dystocia and macrosomia with treatment. Falavigna et al. also found that GDM treatment is effective in reducing shoulder dystocia and macrosomia, and additionally reduces preeclampsia. Horvath et al. reported that the strongest evidence for benefit from treatment came from studies in which the only pharmacological agent used to manage hyperglycemia was insulin. Falavigna et al. could not distinguish between the benefits of lifestyle interventions vs pharmaceutical treatments.

There is a paucity of evidence regarding the long-term impacts of treatment of GDM. Neither of the systematic reviews performed by Horvath et al. and Falavigna et al. could report on long-term benefits of treatment for either women or their offspring. In a study of the children of women who participated in the ACHOIS trial, Gillman et al. found that even though the prevalence of macrosomia at birth was lower in the intervention group, this did not translate to any significant difference in BMI z score between the intervention and control groups at 4–5 years of age. The authors postulated that the long-term effects of treatment of GDM may not be seen until later in childhood, but did not have access to growth data beyond 5 years of age in the study population. Similarly, Malcolm et al. followed-up offspring of women who had participated in a randomized controlled trial of minimal intervention (control) vs tight glycemic control (intervention) for GDM. Seventy-one children underwent an OGTT between 7 and 11 years of age. There was no significant difference in impaired glucose tolerance between the offspring of the two groups. BMI <85th percentile was found in 75.8% of offspring of the intervention group and 84.6% of the offspring of the control group (difference in percentage = –8.9, 95% CI = –27.2 to 7.8). The study was limited by small sample size and potential bias.

**Postnatal interventions in offspring**

Feeding mode presents one opportunity for modifying risk in the offspring of women with GDM. In the general population, breast-feeding in comparison to breast milk substitutes is protective against hypertension, insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes, dyslipidemia, and obesity. In particular, Harder et al. have reported a “dose–response” effect of breast-feeding with respect to obesity, where each additional month of breast-feeding is associated with a 4% reduction in childhood obesity risk (95% CI = –0.06 to –0.02). The beneficial effects of breast milk feeding appear to be mediated through bioactive nutrients found only in breast milk, a higher protein content, and a slower postnatal growth pattern compared to formula fed infants. In a retrospective study, Crume et al. examined the impact of breast-feeding on childhood adiposity following in utero exposure to diabetes (prepregnancy diabetes or GDM). They reported that breast-feeding for 6 months or longer (compared to less than 6 months) was associated with significantly lower BMI, waist
circumference, and visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue at 6–13 years of age.

**Conclusion**

In summary, the prevalence of GDM is rising worldwide. The significant short- and long-term consequences of GDM for offspring necessitate action. Current efforts are focused on the development and adoption of diagnostic screening criteria that appropriately identify women and their offspring who are most likely to benefit from treatment. Randomized controlled trials demonstrate that treatment of GDM reduces macrosomia, which is a significant risk factor for perinatal complications. With regards to solutions to the long-term complications, which carry the greatest public health significance, further understanding of the relative contributory roles of the intrauterine environment, shared genes, and postnatal environment is required. Maternal obesity in particular remains a significant confounding factor; prepregnancy weight loss interventions carry the potential to ameliorate the effects of both maternal obesity and GDM on future generations. In terms of postnatal interventions, breastfeeding appears to have a protective effect. Randomized controlled trials that are long-term and involve large cohorts are required to determine which other pre-, peri-, and postnatal interventions will be most effective in reducing the long-term risk of Type 2 DM, obesity and cardiovascular disease in offspring of women with GDM.
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