Random-start GnRH antagonist for emergency fertility preservation: a self-controlled trial
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Abstract: The aim of this study is to evaluate the feasibility and safety of random-start controlled ovarian hyperstimulation (COH) for emergency fertility preservation, regardless of the phase of the menstrual cycle. A self-controlled pilot clinical trial (NCT01385332) was performed in an acute-care teaching hospital and in two private reproductive centers in Barcelona, Spain. Eleven egg donors participated in the study. Two random-start gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) antagonist protocols were assessed in which ganirelix was initiated on either day 10 (protocol B) or on day 20 (protocol C) of the menstrual cycle and was continued until estradiol levels were below 60 pg/dL. These protocols were compared with a standard protocol (protocol A). The main outcome of interest was the number of metaphase 2 oocytes retrieved. Results from this study show that the number of mature oocytes retrieved was comparable across the different protocols (14.3±4.6 in the standard protocol versus 13.0±9.1 and 13.2±5.2 in protocols B and C, respectively; values expressed as mean ± standard deviation). The mean number of days needed for a GnRH antagonist to lower estradiol levels, as well as the ongoing pregnancy rates, were also similar when protocols B (stimulation in follicular phase) and C (stimulation on luteal phase) were compared with protocol A (standard stimulation). GnRH antagonists can be effectively used for random-start controlled ovarian hyperstimulation with an ovarian response similar to that of standard protocols, and the antagonists appear suitable for emergency fertility preservation in cancer patients.
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Introduction

Reproductive-age women who are diagnosed with a malignant disease face the potential risk of losing their fertility because of chemotherapy or radiation therapy and, therefore, they risk losing the opportunity to have children. In 2009, the American Cancer Society predicted more than 190,000 new cases of breast cancer in women. They estimated that roughly 18,600 of these women would be younger than 45 years of age. In a study of 82,699 incident cases of breast cancer diagnosed in Spain during the period from 1980–2004, breast cancer increased annually by 1.7% during that period for women younger than 45 years of age. Also, in a survey of 657 young women with a history of early-stage breast cancer, 57% recalled having substantial concerns at the time of diagnosis about becoming infertile with treatment.

The best approach and treatment options for cancer patients who are concerned about preserving and managing their fertility are still matters of debate, albeit fertility preservation approaches should be considered as early as possible during treatment...
planning. For women whose cancer treatment cannot be delayed, there is a narrow window of opportunity for egg harvesting. Vitrification of oocytes is an efficient method for oocyte cryopreservation, although previous controlled ovarian stimulation is necessary. The conventional approach requires approximately 2 weeks of ovulation induction from the beginning of the menstrual cycle; this process could entail a delay by up to 6 weeks for starting cancer treatment, depending on the phase of the menstrual cycle during which the patient is referred.

The use of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) antagonists has emerged as a convenient strategy to reduce the duration of the treatment cycle. However, there is limited evidence regarding the feasibility of GnRH antagonist protocols to initiate controlled ovarian hyperstimulation (COH) at a random date in the menstrual cycle. Random-start COH could be a valuable approach for emergency fertility preservation in cancer patients. Therefore, a self-controlled, exploratory pilot clinical trial was designed to assess whether the use of GnRH antagonists to initiate COH at a random day of the menstrual cycle would allow the retrieval of the same number of mature oocytes as a standard long protocol would.

Materials and methods
Design and study population
This self-controlled clinical trial was conducted on egg donors recruited consecutively at an acute-care teaching hospital and at two private reproductive medicine centers in Barcelona, Spain between January 2011 and December 2011. The purpose of this study was to determine the efficacy and safety of two new protocols for COH, defined as the total number of retrieved oocytes. Although this study was performed on egg donors, these new protocols are intended to be implemented on fertility preservation patients. All participants gave written informed consent for ovarian stimulation and egg donation. In addition, all recipients who received embryos obtained from these protocols also gave written informed consent. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee for Clinical Research of Parc de Salut Mar, Barcelona, Spain. The study was registered with ClinicalTrials.gov on May 28, 2010 (NCT01385332).

Women enrolled in the study were between 18 and 32 years of age and had no previous history of chemotherapy, exposure to any gonadotoxic drugs (for example, methotrexate), a history of ovarian surgery, nor infertility. Other inclusion criteria comprised a body mass index (BMI) between 12 kg/m² and 28 kg/m², and baseline follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) levels below 10 mIU/mL. Patients included were negative for hepatitis C virus, hepatitis B virus, human immunodeficiency virus, and syphilis infection. In addition, women with polycystic ovarian syndrome and gonadotropin allergies were excluded from this study. Male factor, defined by the World Health Organization criteria, was also considered an exclusion criterion.

All participants were scheduled in the early follicular phase (days 3–5 of the menstrual cycle) for a baseline evaluation in which serum levels of FSH, estradiol, and luteinizing hormone (LH) were measured. An antral follicle count (AFC) was performed using transvaginal ultrasonography on days 1 or 2 of the menstrual cycle.

Ovarian stimulation cycles
All women underwent two COH cycles. First, the standard COH protocol was initiated during the early follicular phase (protocol A, control group). Then, following a self-controlled, open-label design, patients underwent a second cycle of COH either in the midfollicular phase by starting a GnRH antagonist on day 10 of the menstrual cycle (protocol B), or in the early luteal phase by starting a GnRH antagonist on day 20 of the menstrual cycle (protocol C). Prior to the first COH cycle, participants were randomly assigned to protocol B or C using a computer-generated table of random numbers.

Protocol A (control group)
Ovarian stimulation was initiated on day 2 of the menstrual cycle with 225 IU/day of recombinant FSH (rFSH) (Puregon®; Merck and Co, Inc., Whitehouse Station, NJ, USA) for 5 days. The dose of rFSH was adjusted for each patient according to the follicular growth detected by ultrasonography after the 5th day of rFSH administration. A GnRH antagonist (ganirelix; Orgalutran®; Merck and Co, Inc.) at a dose of 0.25 mg/dL per day was administered from day 6 of rFSH onwards to prevent LH surge. When at least three follicles at least 17 mm in diameter were observed by ultrasonography, a GnRH agonist (Procrin®; Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL, USA) at a dose of 0.2 mL/ IU was given as a trigger. Oocytes were retrieved transvaginally 36 hours later and fertilized either by conventional in vitro fertilization (IVF) or by means of intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI).

Protocols B and C (intervention)
In protocols B and C, ganirelix treatment was initiated on day 10 (protocol B, midfollicular phase) or on day 20 (protocol C, early luteal phase) of the menstrual cycle at
a dose of 0.25 mg/dL per day. Ganirelix treatment was given until serum estradiol levels were below 60 pg/dL. Then, the standard protocol was started with 225 IU/day of rFSH (Puregon®; Merck and Co, Inc.). As in protocol A, the dose of rFSH was adjusted depending on the individual ovarian response after the 5th day of treatment. A fixed dose of ganirelix, 0.25 mg/dL per day, was administered from day 6 of rFSH treatment onwards to prevent premature LH surges. When at least three follicles had reached at least 17 mm in diameter, 0.2 mL/IU of the GnRH agonist Procrin® (Abbott Laboratories) was administered to induce ovulation. Oocytes were retrieved transvaginally 36 hours later and fertilized either by conventional IVF or ICSI. The details of protocols B and C are shown in Figure 1.

**Priming/transfer protocol**

Recipients of donor eggs were downregulated with 3.75 mg of a GnRH agonist, Decapeptyl® (Ipsen Pharma, Paris, France), and endometrial priming was induced by a transdermal patch, Evopad® (Janssen Pharmaceutica NV, Beerse, Belgium), which released 75 µg/day of estradiol. Natural micronized progesterone (Utrogestan®; SEID S.A., Barcelona, Spain) at a dose of 800 mg/day was used as luteal supplementation. A single embryo was transferred on day 3.

---

**Figure 1** Random-start GnRH antagonist protocols in the midfollicular phase (protocol B) versus the early luteal phase (protocol C).

**Abbreviations:** E2, estradiol; GnRH, gonadotropin-releasing hormone; antag, antagonist; sc, subcutaneous; rFSH, recombinant follicle-stimulating hormone; COH, controlled ovarian hyperstimulation.
Outcome measures
The number of mature oocytes, defined as the number of 
metaphase 2 oocytes retrieved, was the main outcome of 
interest. Participants were followed for 1–3 hours after fol-
licular aspiration. Fertilized embryos were classified on day 3 
in accordance with the Spanish Association of Reproductive 
Biology (ASEBIR) embryo assessment criteria (ASEBIR, 
2008). Egg recipients were followed until the 12th week of pregnancy.

Analysis
Sample size calculation was not considered because of 
the exploratory design of this pilot study. It was estimated 
that five egg donors per group (with each participant being 
her own control) would be sufficient to assess the efficacy 
and safety of the two new protocols for COH. Continuous 
variables are expressed as the mean values ± standard 
deviation (SD), and categorical variables as frequencies and 
percentages. Differences in quantitative variables between 
the control group and the corresponding intervention groups 
(protocol A versus protocol B; protocol A versus protocol C) 
were analyzed with the Wilcoxon signed-rank test for paired 
samples, and differences in categorical variables with 
the McNemar’s test. Statistical significance was set at a 
$p$-value $<0.05$. Analysis was performed with the Statistical 
Package for the Social Sciences (IBM Corporation, Armonk, 
NY, USA), version 15.0 for Windows.

Results
A total of 15 eligible egg donors were invited to partici-
pate in the study, although one woman refused to sign the 
informed consent. Therefore, 14 participants participated in this 
self-controlled clinical study. In the early luteal arm, two 
women were excluded. (For one participant, COH with the 
standard protocol was cancelled, and the other participant 
abandoned the study prior to the second stimulation for 
personal reasons). In the midfollicular arm, one participant 
abandoned the study for personal reasons. Therefore, six 
participants were included in the analysis of midfollicular 
GnRH intervention (protocol B) and five in the analysis of 
the early luteal GnRH intervention (protocol C). The flow 
chart of the study population is shown in Figure 2.

Baseline characteristics of the participants are shown in 
Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences in 
terms of age, BMI, and pretreatment serum levels of FSH or 
AFC across the study groups.

The numbers of mature oocytes retrieved were similar for 
both protocols B and C and the standard protocol (mean ± SD, 
14.3±4.6 in the standard protocol versus 13.0±9.1 and 
13.2±5.2 in protocols B and C, respectively, as shown in 
Table 2). In addition, no differences were observed in terms 
of the fertilization rate, the percentage of top-quality embryos 
achieved, or the mean number of frozen embryos when the 
standard protocol was compared with either the midfollicular 
phase protocol or the early luteal phase protocol, as shown in 
Table 2.

The mean ± SD number of days of GnRH antagonist 
treatment needed to decrease serum estradiol levels below 
60 pg/dL was 2.8±0.8 days in protocol B and 3.6±1.6 days in 
protocol C (Table 2). In addition, patients were on ganirelix 
during more days for protocols B and C than for the standard 
protocol (Protocol B: 5.8±1.9 versus 7.8±3.3 in the midfollicular 
arm; and Protocol C: 6.5±0.6 versus 8.2±3.5 in the 
early luteal arm; although the differences were not statisti-
cally significant). The mean numbers of days of stimulation 
were also similar for both GnRH antagonist protocols B 
and C and for the standard COH protocol (Table 2). Additionally, 
the ongoing pregnancy rates for egg recipients were also 
similar in both arms when comparing protocols B or C with

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of the participants included in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Total women (n=11)</th>
<th>Midfollicular phase (n=6)</th>
<th>Early luteal phase (n=5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>25.8±3.7</td>
<td>25.6±3.8</td>
<td>26.0±4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>23.4±3.5</td>
<td>22.6±2.6</td>
<td>24.1±4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum FSH, mIU/mL</td>
<td>6.9±1.3</td>
<td>7.1±1.5</td>
<td>6.8±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFC</td>
<td>11.5±2.6</td>
<td>12.8±3.6</td>
<td>10.6±1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Values are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation.

Abbreviations: n, number; BMI, body mass index; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; AFC, antral follicle count.
the standard protocol (83.3% versus 50% in the midfollicular arm, and 40% versus 40% in the early luteal arm, respectively, as shown in Table 2).

No cases of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS) were recorded.

**Discussion**

The results of this exploratory pilot study, conducted for ethical reasons in egg donors rather than in cancer patients, show that COH can be initiated at a random date in the menstrual cycle when GnRH antagonists are used to achieve estradiol suppression. The number of mature oocytes retrieved when ganirelix was used in both midfollicular and early luteal phases was similar to that retrieved with the standard COH protocol, independent of whether ganirelix was given on day 0 of the menstrual cycle.

The available evidence regarding the different emergency fertility preservation strategies for COH and their effectiveness is sparse. In this regard, various case reports and series of short cases on random-start COH have been published with encouraging results. In the study by Sönmezer et al., random-start COH on three patients diagnosed with breast cancer commenced immediately on menstrual cycle days 11, 14, or 17 with the use of leetrozole to suppress estradiol levels; between nine and 17 oocytes were harvested from each patient. Meanwhile, Ozkaya et al. initiated random-start COH on a patient, who was diagnosed with Hodgkin lymphoma, on day 11 of her menstrual cycle with the use of the GnRH antagonist ganirelix from day 1 of COH; from this patient, 17 mature oocytes were obtained. Similarly, in the study by Nayak and Wakim, a random-start GnRH antagonist cycle with cetorelix from day 1 of COH was used on four patients, two of whom were on the 10th day of their menstrual cycles, one of whom was on the 17th day of her menstrual cycle, and one whose day of her menstrual cycle was unknown; between six and 30 mature oocytes were harvested from each of these patients. In addition, Kuang et al. described how luteal phase ovarian stimulation with human menopausal gonadotropin and letrozole after spontaneous ovulation was also a feasible option for producing high-quality oocytes and embryos (11.2±7.2 oocytes were harvested from the human menopausal gonadotropin group, and 4.8±4.1 oocytes from the letrozole group); optimal pregnancy outcomes after embryo transfer were achieved.

However, because of the nature of these study designs, no control group was used to compare the results; hence, the applicability of these results must be interpreted in this context.

Findings from our trial indicate that the duration of an ovarian stimulation cycle for egg retrieval can be shorter compared to that of the standard protocols of COH. According to the standard protocols, if a reproductive-age cancer patient is referred for emergency fertility preservation on day 10 of her menstrual cycle and has regular menses, clinicians should wait until day 2 of the following menstrual cycle to initiate COH (an approximately 20-day period). However, when the proposed GnRH antagonist ganirelix protocol was followed, ovarian stimulation was initiated 2.8±0.8 days after the midfollicular phase started and was finished in 9.8±0.8 days. Similar results were obtained when the GnRH antagonist was used during the early luteal phase; these results allowed clinicians to initiate COH 3.6±1.6 days after the phase started and proceed to oocyte retrieval after 10.6±2.1 days. Surprisingly, the implementation of this protocol entailed...
shorter time intervals than those observed in fertility preservation programs through oocyte cryopreservation via vitrification.\textsuperscript{16,17}

Interestingly, results from this trial did not show differences in terms of the mean numbers of immature oocytes retrieved among participants who underwent either the mid follicular phase or the early luteal phase protocols when compared to the mean number of oocytes obtained during the standard COH protocol (6.2±8.5 versus 8.6±10.6 in the mid follicular arm, and 5.2±5.5 versus 4.6±4.6 in the early luteal arm, respectively). The study showed no differences in either oocyte quality and maturation or pregnancy rates; this finding suggests that the fertility potential of the oocytes was not altered. Ongoing pregnancy rates were similar for the early luteal phase protocol and for the standard protocol (40% versus 40%, respectively). However, in the mid follicular arm, higher rates were observed with the mid follicular protocol compared to those with the standard protocol (83.3% versus 50%). However, these could be random differences due to the small sample size. Nevertheless, in a study by Budak et al\textsuperscript{16} regarding the experience in oocyte donation cycles, the results showed an improvement in ongoing pregnancy rates, which increased from 31% to 44.3% over a period of 10 years.\textsuperscript{16} The latter results are consistent with the data obtained in our study.

The higher ovarian response obtained with the mid follicular phase protocol than with the early luteal phase protocol could be explained by differences in the baseline AFC between the two groups. Interestingly, differences in terms of AFC in the baseline characteristics comparison were not statistically significant; however, it would be reasonable to consider that the small sample size could interfere with the accuracy of these results. AFC is a well known marker of ovarian response for controlled ovarian stimulation in conventional IVF and ICSI.\textsuperscript{19,20} Finally, an additional advantage of applying a GnRH antagonist cycle with a GnRH agonist trigger is to prevent OHSS, whose incidence is reduced significantly by this type of treatment; thereby, this approach constitutes a safe strategy for egg donors.\textsuperscript{18} None of our patients had OHSS, despite the large number of oocytes retrieved.

The main limitations of this study include the exploratory and pilot nature of the trial and the small sample size. However, this is the first trial to assess the efficacy and safety of two different random-start GnRH antagonist protocols in two different phases of the menstrual cycle. Results from this trial show that GnRH antagonists are an effective and safe strategy to initiate COH at a random date to achieve an ovarian response similar to that obtained with the standard COH protocol. This new approach of emergency fertility preservation overcomes the inconveniences of waiting for the menstrual period to initiate and responds to the urgent treatment needs of cancer patients. However, larger randomized controlled studies are necessary to confirm these findings.
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