Immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome in HIV-infected patients
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Abstract: Access to antiretroviral therapy (ART) is improving worldwide. Immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (IRIS) is a common complication of ART initiation. In this review, we provide an overview of clinical and epidemiological features of HIV-associated IRIS, current understanding of pathophysiological mechanisms, available therapy, and preventative strategies. The spectrum of HIV-associated IRIS is described, with a particular focus on three important pathogen-associated forms: tuberculosis-associated IRIS, cryptococcal IRIS, and Kaposi’s sarcoma IRIS. While the clinical features and epidemiology are well described, there are major gaps in our understanding of pathophysiology and as a result therapeutic and preventative strategies are suboptimal. Timing of ART initiation is critical to reduce IRIS-associated morbidity. Improved understanding of the pathophysiology of IRIS will hopefully enable improved diagnostic modalities and better targeted treatments to be developed.
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Introduction

Antiretroviral therapy (ART) has dramatically reduced HIV-associated mortality, which decreased from a peak of 2.3 million in 2005 to 1.6 million in 2012.1–3 This reflects improvement in access to ART in the last decade, especially for HIV-infected patients in low- and middle-income countries, where the number of patients receiving ART has increased more than 30-fold (from 300,000 in 2002 to 9.7 million in 2012) and life expectancy is increasing.3,4 It is now recognized that commencement of ART earlier in HIV infection improves outcomes, and international guidelines have been updated to reflect this.5 Tuberculosis (TB) is now considered to be an indication for ART irrespective of CD4 count, as ART reduces mortality in TB patients.6

However, ART initiation is not without risk of complications, particularly in the first 6 months.3,7,8 HIV-associated immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (IRIS) has emerged as an important early complication of ART initiation, associated with considerable morbidity and mortality, particularly in patients who commence ART with advanced immunosuppression.9 In this condition, immune recovery following ART initiation associates with a pathological inflammatory response, usually directed toward microbial antigens. Although there is considerable clinical and pathophysiological heterogeneity, key features include clinical deterioration in the first weeks to months of ART, with evidence of localized tissue inflammation with or without a systemic inflammatory response.

In this review, we provide an overview of clinical and epidemiological features of HIV-associated IRIS, current understanding of pathophysiological mechanisms, available...
therapy, and preventive strategies, with a particular focus on three important pathogen-associated forms: TB-associated IRIS (TB-IRIS), cryptococcal IRIS (C-IRIS), and Kaposis’s sarcoma (KS) IRIS. A key message is that while IRIS-associated morbidity may be considerable, ART is key to survival in HIV and timing of initiation of ART is critical. Rarely should ART be interrupted or discontinued because of IRIS.

Our discussion is limited to HIV-associated forms of IRIS. However, IRIS has been described following reversal of other forms of immunosuppression, including after reversal of iatrogenic immunosuppression in transplant recipients, following bone marrow recovery after chemotherapy for hematological malignancies, and following discontinuation of anti-tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) therapy for rheumatoid arthritis or treatment with the monoclonal antibody natalizumab for multiple sclerosis.10–13

**Historical perspective and case definitions**

Among the first accounts of IRIS-type phenomena were reports of zidovudine-induced fever associated with lymphadenitis in patients with nontuberculous mycobacterial infections.14,15 French et al reported a case series of unusually localized *Mycobacterium avium-intracellulare* (MAI) infections presenting with fevers and lymphadenitis without mycobacteriemia, which developed soon after commencement of zidovudine monotherapy.16 These presentations were accompanied by the emergence of delayed-type hypersensitivity reactivity to purified protein derivative (PPD) in patients who had previously been PPD unresponsive.

IRIS has emerged as a highly heterogeneous condition, with features differing according to the associated pathogen. However, two distinct temporal patterns of disease were commonly described and are now recognized as “paradoxical IRIS” and “unmasking IRIS” (see Figure 1).17–19 In paradoxical forms of IRIS, symptoms and signs associated with a known opportunistic infection (OI), for which treatment is under way, recur or become acutely worse, despite an earlier favorable response to therapy prior to ART. In unmasking IRIS, a new OI presents with a pronounced inflammatory reaction to therapies prior to ART initiation. Responding to clinical and research needs, the International Network for the Study of HIV-associated IRIS (INSHI) published consensus case definitions for C-IRIS and TB-IRIS.18,19 Such definitions are suitable for use in low-resource settings, as CD4 count and HIV viral load responses are not included as criteria. Consensus case definitions for other forms of IRIS are lacking although much needed.20 The main challenge with all proposed definitions of paradoxical IRIS is the requirement to adequately exclude other causes of clinical deterioration. There is no definitive diagnostic test for IRIS. In resource-constrained settings, clinicians may find themselves treating multiple conditions concurrently in a sick patient, being uncertain of the definitive diagnosis due to limited laboratory support, with IRIS as a diagnosis of exclusion following unsuccessful treatment of other conditions.

The concept of unmasking IRIS is less well defined than that of paradoxical IRIS. The broader term of an “ART-associated OI” is proposed to encompass all OI diagnosed during early ART, as unmasking IRIS may be difficult to differentiate from development of an OI in a patient who is still immunocompromised during early ART and which progresses along a typical clinical course (see Figure 1). Some recent reports have defined all new OI in the first 6 months of ART as cases of unmasking IRIS.21,22 This approach may reduce comparability with earlier studies and increase heterogeneity of IRIS cases, complicating efforts to precisely define IRIS immunopathology.23

**Epidemiology and risk factors**

Numerous infective and noninfective conditions are associated with IRIS in HIV infection (see Table 1).15,24–34 A meta-analysis of 54 studies (published between 1998 and 2009) of 13,103 HIV-infected patients starting ART, reported 1,699 (13%) cases of IRIS.9 The incidence was slightly higher (16.1%) in studies of unselected HIV-infected patients, and reported incidence varied widely depending on study design, population, and associated pathogen. For example, 37.7% of patients with a diagnosis of cytomegalovirus (CMV) retinitis prior to ART initiation developed IRIS, compared to 6.4% patients with a diagnosis of KS.9

The epidemiology of IRIS reflects the epidemiological distribution of HIV-associated OI and the prevalence of various key risk factors in a given population. Table 2 reports the proportion of IRIS attributable to different OI/inflammatory conditions in recently published studies of unselected patients commencing ART, demonstrating that reported incidence varies according to geographic region and by study design.7,21,35–38 Risk factors for IRIS include an advanced state of immunosuppression (low CD4 count) and high infective antigen burden/disseminated OI at ART initiation (see Table 3). These characterize a substantial proportion of patients with newly diagnosed HIV in developing countries where suboptimal access to HIV care and health services in general, and stigma associated with HIV, contribute to late presentation.39 While advanced immunosuppression and late presentation are also
encountered in patients presenting to ART services in higher-resource settings, in low-resource settings IRIS incidence and associated mortality appear to be higher.8,40

Overall mortality in IRIS is reported to be between 0% and 15%, with variability attributed to geography, associated OI, baseline morbidity, and degree of immunosuppression.9,40,41 IRIS affecting the central nervous system (CNS) confers a particularly high mortality. In patients with cryptococcal meningitis (CM)-associated IRIS, mortality is reported at 20.8% and CNS TB-IRIS mortality rates are up to 75%.9,42,43 Where space is limited around a critical organ, such as the brain, excess inflammation with associated cerebral edema has severe effects. Establishing an accurate cause of death and correctly attributing it to IRIS is difficult in many circumstances and reported mortality rates may therefore be under- or overestimates. High rates of mortality occur in the first 6 months of ART in resource-limited settings, even in patients without an IRIS diagnosis. There is difficulty determining from available data sources what the exact contribution of IRIS to these deaths is.8,38,44

**Pathophysiology**

In both C-IRIS and TB-IRIS, at the time of IRIS onset, elevated concentrations of proinflammatory mediators, including C-reactive protein (CRP) and cytokines (eg, interleukin [IL]-6, IL-12, TNF-α) are detectable in serum and may also be elevated in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) in CM-IRIS and TB meningitis (TBM) IRIS.45–49 A proinflammatory cytokine cascade may be a final common pathway by which IRIS inflammation occurs.45,50

The increased incidence of IRIS in patients with lower pre-ART CD4 counts and disseminated OI suggests that more advanced immunodeficiency prior to ART initiation may lead to a higher pathogen load, resulting in excessive inflammation, once the immune system starts to recover. For
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Clinical features of IRIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pathogen-associated</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacteria</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</em></td>
<td>Fever, lymphadenitis, new/ worsening pulmonary infiltrates, pleural effusions, hepatomegaly, paradoxical or unmasking TBM/ tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NTM</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mycobacterium avium-intracellulare</em></td>
<td>Fever, lymphadenitis (painful/suppurative), pulmonary infiltrates and cavitation, inflammatory masses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mycobacterium genavense</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mycobacterium kansasi</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mycobacterium scrofulaceum</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mycobacterium xenopi</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BCG</strong></td>
<td>Pediatric, vaccine associated; local reaction, lymphadenitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bartonella spp.</em></td>
<td>Granulomatous splenitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chlamydia trachomatis</em></td>
<td>Reiter’s syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Viral</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Herpes viruses</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMV</td>
<td>Immune recovery uveitis (usually following previous history of retinitis), retinitis (typically unmasking)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VZV</td>
<td>Dermatologic reactivation (shingles), encephalitis, transverse myelitis, stromal keratitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSV-1, HSV-2</td>
<td>Mucocutaneous ulceration, encephalomyelitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBV</td>
<td>New presentation of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, Burkitt’s lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHV-8</td>
<td>Kaposi’s sarcoma- IRIS, multicentric Castleman’s disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B, Hepatitis C</td>
<td>Hepatitis flare, rapidly progressive cirrhosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Polyomaviruses</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JC virus</td>
<td>Paradoxical PML (clinical deterioration, progression of lesions) or unmasking PML (new diagnosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BK virus</td>
<td>Meningoencephalitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molluscum contagiosum virus</td>
<td>Acute new or recurrent cutaneous papules with florid/ extensive distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parvovirus B19</td>
<td>Pure red cell aplasia, encephalitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPV</td>
<td>Warts (acute recurrence/ relapse or enlargement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fungal</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cryptococcus neoformans</em></td>
<td>Meningitis with raised intracranial pressure, lymphadenitis, pneumonitis, ocular and soft tissue inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pneumocystis jirovecii</em></td>
<td>Unmasking PCP, paradoxical deterioration during or shortly after treatment with worsening hypoxia and new pulmonary infiltrates, organizing pneumonia (rare)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Histoplasma spp</em></td>
<td>Acute fistulous lymphadenopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Candida spp</em></td>
<td>Typically unmasking; mucocutaneous (oral/oesophageal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tinea corporis</em></td>
<td>Inflammatory cutaneous presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parasitic</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Toxoplasma gondii</em></td>
<td>New or enlarging intracerebral lesions (ring-enhancing appearance on contrast neuroimaging)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Schistosoma mansoni</em></td>
<td>Eosinophilia, enteritis, colitis/polyposis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leishmania sp</em></td>
<td>Cutaneous, uveitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leishmania major</em></td>
<td>Post-kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis, visceral leishmaniasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leishmania infantum</em></td>
<td>Cutaneous, mucosal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leishmania braziliensis</em></td>
<td>Gastrointestinal or disseminated presentation; pneumonitis, enteritis, eosinophilia, hepatitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Strongyloides stercoralis</em></td>
<td>Terminal ileitis, duodenitis, cholangitis, gastrointestinal ulceration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cryptosporidium spp</em></td>
<td>Keratoconjunctivitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Microsporidium spp</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-pathogen-associated</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Autoimmune</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grave’s disease</td>
<td>May occur as a new presentation, or an exacerbation of existing autoimmune condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guillain-Barré Syndrome</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymyositis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relapsing polychondritis</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
example, individuals who develop C-IRIS have significantly reduced CSF inflammation during the initial episode of CM compared to non-IRIS patients (lower CSF white cell count, interferon-\(\gamma\) [IFN-\(\gamma\)], IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-\(\alpha\)) and higher pre-ART serum cryptococcal antigen titers.\textsuperscript{46,49} In TBM-IRIS, CSF culture positivity for \textit{Mycobacterium tuberculosis} (\textit{M.tb}) at TBM diagnosis confers a ninefold greater risk of IRIS, compared to those with culture-negative TBM, also suggesting that antigen load at OI diagnosis is important. However, in TBM, prior to ART initiation, higher TNF-\(\alpha\) levels and raised CSF neutrophil counts were observed in IRIS patients compared to those that did not develop IRIS, suggesting that a pro- rather than anti-inflammatory milieu precedes TBM IRIS onset.\textsuperscript{51}

As ART initiation leads to a rapid increase in peripheral blood CD4 T lymphocyte count in most patients, the recovery of pathogen-specific cell-mediated immune responses has been studied in TB and other OI, in HIV-infected patients following ART initiation. In HIV-infected patients, increased CD4 Th1 responses to mycobacterial antigens have been reported following ART initiation.\textsuperscript{16,52–55} Studies indicate these increased responses associate with IRIS.\textsuperscript{16,52,53,55} However, a detailed longitudinal study of CD4 T-cell responses to a range of \textit{M.tb} recombinant antigens found that highly dynamic IFN-\(\gamma\) responses occurred in both TB-IRIS patients and patients who did not develop IRIS and did not clearly differentiate the two groups.\textsuperscript{54} This finding has been supported by the results of two further studies of Th1 responses to mycobacterial antigens in TB-IRIS, which also call into question a causal link.\textsuperscript{56,57}

While a disturbance of regulatory T-cell number or function could explain excessive inflammation, this has not been convincingly demonstrated. A few studies have demonstrated an increased rather than decreased number of regulatory CD4 T-cells in mycobacterial and C-IRIS.\textsuperscript{54,58,59} Reduced IL-10 has been associated with IRIS in some studies, suggesting that regulatory function may be impaired.\textsuperscript{58,60} However, a recent comparison of 20 TB-IRIS patients and 20 non-IRIS control patients found increased IL-10 concentrations in serum of TB-IRIS patients, and increased IL-10 transcript in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of TB-IRIS patients compared to controls, after restimulation with \textit{M.tb}.\textsuperscript{61} One study demonstrated reduced numbers of inhibitory natural killer (NK) receptors on mycobacteria-specific V\textsubscript{\(\delta\)}2 TCR\(\gamma\)\(\delta\) T-cells.\textsuperscript{53} Further studies of regulatory cell types and function in IRIS are required.

Barber et al (studying a murine model of MAI-IRIS) argue that because IRIS is not specific to CD4 T-cell depletion in HIV (and occurs following reversal of HIV-unrelated immunosuppression, eg, post-TNF-\(\alpha\) treatment), it is unlikely that CD4 T-cell responses are the central contributory factor.\textsuperscript{62} Rather, they propose that an uncoupling of innate and adaptive immunity is responsible. They hypothesize that in HIV infection, CD4 deficiency and thus deficiency of CD4 co-stimulation impairs full activation of innate immune cells, particularly macrophages in TB and MAI infection. The resultant antigen accumulation and excessive priming of innate immune cells lead to an excessive inflammatory response, once activation does occur following immune restoration.\textsuperscript{62}

Given their importance in antigen processing and pathogen trafficking, cells of the innate immune system such as monocytes, macrophages, and neutrophils are of increasing interest in IRIS pathophysiology. Favoring a role for innate immunity is the formation of organized tissue granulomas in IRIS (such as granulomatous hepatitis in TB-IRIS). Granulomatous

### Table 1 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Clinical features of IRIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dermatological</strong></td>
<td>Inflammatory presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophilic folliculitis</td>
<td>New or recurrent granulomatous inflammation, typically late (around 12 months post-ART initiation) in patients with CD4 counts &gt;200 cells/mm(^3); typically pulmonary presentation, but may be cutaneous (erythema nodosum, papular lesions) and/or intra-abdominal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seborrheic dermatitis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pruritic papular eruption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acne</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcoidosis</td>
<td>Fever, respiratory distress, negative microbiological tests (may mimic PCP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoid interstitial pneumonitis</td>
<td>Leukoencephalopathy, demyelination, cerebral edema</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CNS IRIS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical features of IRIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fever, respiratory distress, negative microbiological tests (may mimic PCP)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** ART, anti-retroviral therapy; BCG, Bacillus Calmette–Guerin; CMV, cytomegalovirus; CNS, central nervous system; EBV, Epstein–Barr virus, HSV, Herpes simplex virus; HHV-8, Human herpes virus-8 (Kaposi’s sarcoma virus); HIV, human papilloma virus; IRIS, immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome; JC, John Cunningham; NTM, nontuberculous mycobacteria; OI, opportunistic infection; PCP, Pneumocystis jiroveci pneumonia; PML, progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; TBM, tuberculosis meningitis; VZV, Varicella zoster virus.
inflammation, which is notably absent in untreated advanced HIV, suggests enhanced macrophage activity during immune reconstitution. A fatal case of unmasking TB-IRIS associated with a pronounced macrophage-dominated pulmonary infiltrate on postmortem has been reported. Suppurative inflammation may develop in IRIS, which suggests heightened neutrophil activity. Several studies have reported increased inflammatory cytokines and chemokines of myeloid origin present at, and prior to, IRIS development, evident in serum and on restimulation of cells ex vivo with antigen. A cross-sectional study of peripheral blood mononuclear cell responses reported elevated matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) transcripts in TB-IRIS patients compared to controls, accompanied by elevated serum concentrations of MMP-7. MMPs are host enzymes that are upregulated in response to TB infection, mainly myeloid and epithelial cell derived and activated by proinflammatory cytokines. They are capable of breaking down and remodeling extracellular matrix, and may contribute to tissue damage in IRIS.

Two studies have examined NK cell function in TB-IRIS. In a study of unmasking IRIS, these cells were found to express increased activation markers. In a longitudinal study, NK cells isolated from paradoxical TB-IRIS patients had higher expression of CD107a, a degranulation marker, than non-IRIS cells. Development of animal models of IRIS may better allow dissection of precise pathophysiological mechanisms, which may differ for paradoxical and unmasking IRIS, and for different forms of pathogen-associated IRIS.

In summary, recent evidence suggests that innate immune dysfunction in the context of high antigen load plays a role in driving pathological proinflammatory responses in IRIS. The role of pathogen-specific cell-mediated immunity and regulatory mechanisms are less clear (see Figure 2). Studies of patients are frequently limited by small sample size and examination of peripheral blood rather than tissue immune responses. Development of animal models of IRIS may better allow dissection of precise pathophysiological mechanisms, which may differ for paradoxical and unmasking IRIS, and for different forms of pathogen-associated IRIS.

Clinical manifestations

The time of onset of IRIS symptoms is variable, but is typically from a few days to 6 months after ART initiation. Although presentation varies by associated pathogen, a common feature is that onset is usually acute and there are features of inflammation, which may be generalized (eg, fever, tachycardia) or
localized (eg, lymphadenitis). In paradoxical IRIS, symptoms of the previously diagnosed OI may recur or worsen, but a clear improvement is usually reported after the start of OI treatment prior to starting ART (see Figure 1). The original descriptions of MAI-IRIS reported that mycobacteraemia, which was typical of MAI in advanced HIV pre-ART, was not typical of MAI-IRIS, which was characterized by focal lymphadenitis and paucity of bacteria. In severe forms of IRIS (eg, TB-IRIS, CMV immune restoration uveitis, and C-IRIS), paucity of viable pathogen is characteristic at the time of IRIS, despite severe inflammation. Clinical features associated with different forms of IRIS are summarized in Table 1 and described in more detail in subsequent sections.

**Management and prevention of IRIS**

As IRIS is antigen-driven, optimization of treatment of the underlying OI is an important aspect of treatment in many forms of IRIS (see following sections on KS-IRIS and C-IRIS), in order to quickly reduce pathogen load. Supportive management may be required, including intravenous fluids and oxygen. ART is key to eventual immune recovery and we recommend that ART should not be interrupted unless there is concern about concurrent drug toxicity, in which case ART substitution is preferable. There has been no trial of ART cessation in management of IRIS. ART interruption may also be considered in severe, life-threatening cases of CNS IRIS, in patients with a depressed level of consciousness. However, the undesirable effects of stopping ART include a risk of further OI and the emergence of ART resistance.

Various anti-inflammatory agents have been used in treatment of paradoxical and unmasking IRIS, including corticosteroids and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). A randomized controlled trial of oral prednisone for paradoxical TB-IRIS showed benefit, and this is discussed in more detail in the section on TB-IRIS. Use of corticosteroids in other forms of IRIS is based solely on expert opinion. Systemic corticosteroid use is associated with a number of potential adverse effects in HIV, including infective complications, such as reactivation of herpes virus infections, KS progression, and mucocutaneous candidiasis. Additionally, noninfective conditions are associated with chronic oral corticosteroid use, including hyperglycemia, hypertension,
Table 4 Clinical characteristics of TB-IRIS and C-IRIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TB-IRIS</th>
<th>C-IRIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incidence</td>
<td>2%–54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key risk factors</td>
<td>Shorter duration from TB treatment initiation to ART initiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disseminated TB at diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low CD4 count prior to ART</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset</td>
<td>&lt;3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median 14 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differential diagnosis</td>
<td>Drug-resistant TB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug toxicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Another OI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key investigations</td>
<td>Cultures/molecular testing for drug resistance (eg, GeneXpert on sputum, CSF culture if CNS symptoms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consider other infections (eg, unmasking OI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Prednisone 1.5 mg/kg for 14 days, followed by 0.75 mg/kg for 14 days or reduced according to clinical response</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral therapy; C-IRIS, cryptococcal-associated IRIS; CM, cryptococcal meningitis; CNS, central nervous system; CrAg, cryptococcal antigen; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; IRIS, immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome; OI, opportunistic infection; TB, tuberculosis; TB-IRIS, tuberculosis-associated IRIS.

Osteoporosis, and gastrointestinal ulceration. Therefore, aside from cases of TB-IRIS, systemic corticosteroids are recommended for more severe forms of IRIS inflammation, in the absence of contraindications, and more commonly for mycobacterial and fungal-associated IRIS than for viral-associated IRIS. Periocular or intravitreal corticosteroids have been used to treat immune restoration uveitis. NSAIDs are used in milder forms of IRIS, and are not associated with reactivation of other infections, but their efficacy has not been tested by clinical trials. Gastrointestinal irritation and nephrotoxicity are a concern in chronic NSAID usage.

Adjunctive oral corticosteroids are routinely prescribed with TB treatment for certain forms of TB (pericardial and CNS TB). TBM-IRIS may develop despite corticosteroid therapy. The principles of IRIS prevention include optimal prophylaxis of OI in advanced HIV (eg, cotrimoxazole to prevent Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia [PCP]), optimal screening for subclinical OI prior to ART initiation (eg, for serum cryptococcal antigen in patients with CD4 <100), reduction of risk factors for IRIS where possible (see Table 3), and optimal timing of ART initiation informed by clinical trial data for that pathogen (see discussion on TB-IRIS and C-IRIS in following sections).

In the next section, we examine in more detail three common and clinically important forms of IRIS, highlighting clinical features, pathophysiology, and management issues. We then briefly discuss other common forms of IRIS. Reviews of pulmonary and CNS manifestations of IRIS and IRIS management have recently been published. The clinical characteristics of TB-IRIS and C-IRIS are summarized in Table 4.

**TB-IRIS**

TB-IRIS is among the commonest forms of IRIS given the global distribution of TB infection (Table 2). Paradoxical TB-IRIS was reported to occur in 15.7% (95% credibility interval 9.7%–24.5%) of TB patients starting ART in the previously described meta-analysis by Müller et al, which reported on 16 studies of TB-IRIS, although higher rates are reported in some settings. For example, in a recent Indian study, an incidence of 54.2% was reported in patients with culture-confirmed pulmonary TB and a South African study reported 47% incidence of paradoxical TB-IRIS in patients with TBM. In South Africa, where more than 60% of TB patients are HIV coinfected, this translates into a considerable disease burden.

Both paradoxical and unmasking forms of TB-IRIS are now widely reported, although paradoxical IRIS has been more extensively studied. Paradoxical TB-IRIS typically presents in pulmonary TB cases as a recurrence or worsening of respiratory symptoms (cough or shortness of breath), associated with a recurrence or worsening of
Figure 3 This series of three chest radiographs demonstrates features of paradoxical tuberculosis (TB) immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome in a 21-year-old antiretroviral therapy (ART)-naive patient, with CD4 count 34 cells/mm³, who was diagnosed with drug-sensitive pulmonary TB on sputum culture.

Notes: At TB diagnosis, chest radiograph showed bilateral hilar and mediastinal lymphadenopathy, right middle and right upper lobe infiltrates, and a right-sided pleural effusion (A). These abnormalities improved with TB therapy (B) and 10 weeks later ART was initiated. Nine days following ART initiation, she presented with recurrence of cough, right-sided chest pain, fatigue, and weight loss. On examination, tachycardia, tachypnea, and tender hepatomegaly were observed. CD4 count had increased to 161 cells/mm³. Chest radiograph showed a marked deterioration, particularly of the right-sided pulmonary infiltrates, which became more extensive than at the time of initial presentation with TB (C).

The only randomized placebo-controlled trial of treatment for TB-IRIS diagnosed according to INSHI criteria in South Africa. One hundred and ten patients were enrolled (55 in each arm). These data support use of prednisone in TB-IRIS, compared to placebo-treated, patients. There were more mild infections (eg, oral candidiasis) in the prednisone-treated arm, but no excess of severe infections. There were no significant differences in possible drug-related side effects reported in each arm. These data support use of prednisone in TB-IRIS, for moderate and severe cases. Unfortunately, TB-IRIS symptoms may recur following steroid withdrawal, requiring longer courses of treatment. Other immunomodulatory therapies have been considered in management of TB-IRIS, with case reports of favorable outcomes with thalidomide and montelukast, but none has been tested in randomized controlled trials (RCTs).

Strategies for TB-IRIS prevention have focused on optimizing the timing of ART initiation, after it was observed that a shorter time between TB treatment initiation and ART initiation increased TB-IRIS risk. Three RCTs that studied the optimal timing of ART in TB patients have informed practice. These trials demonstrated that in patients with a CD4 count <50 cells/mm³, starting ART around 2 weeks after TB treatment reduced mortality or a combined endpoint of mortality and AIDS progression. Thus, while commencing ART earlier is associated with increased IRIS risk, the survival benefit in these patients with low CD4 counts overrides this. However,
in patients with CD4 >50 cells/mm³, ART can be commenced between 2 and 8 weeks post-TB treatment, and delaying to 8 weeks is not associated with excess mortality, but may reduce IRIS risk. A recent placebo-controlled study of ART timing in smear-positive pulmonary TB patients with CD4 count >220 cells/mm³ demonstrated no benefit in a combined endpoint of TB treatment failure, TB recurrence, and mortality, when ART was commenced at 2 weeks post-TB treatment initiation, compared to delaying until after TB treatment was completed. IRIS rates were similar in the early and late arms (10%). A single RCT of ART timing in TBM demonstrated no survival benefit from starting ART within 7 days of commencing TB therapy, compared to following 2 months of TB treatment, and an increased probability of serious adverse events. As a result, it is recommended that ART initiation be delayed until 8 weeks after TB therapy is commenced in TBM patients.

In environments with a high incidence of both TB infection and HIV infection, a significant proportion of undiagnosed TB may be diagnosed by routine screening of all HIV-infected patients entering care, by sputum culture or GeneXpert (the latter being less sensitive), even those who are asymptomatic. Isoniazid preventive therapy may be indicated for those who do not have active disease. Strategies such as these are likely to reduce the prevalence of undiagnosed TB in patients starting ART and thus may reduce risk of unmasking TB-IRIS. This could be performed alongside ART counseling, but should not unnecessarily delay commencement of ART in immunosuppressed patients. There are considerable logistic and financial challenges to implementing such a strategy in programmatic settings. The World Health Organization currently recommends an approach based on symptom screening, to identify active TB in HIV-infected individuals, in resource-constrained settings. However, the performance of this strategy varies across different clinical settings.

There have been no successful trials of preventive strategies for paradoxical TB-IRIS, although two randomized placebo-controlled trials for paradoxical TB-IRIS prevention are currently under way in South Africa. A randomized placebo-controlled trial of prednisone for TB-IRIS prevention in high-risk patients is recruiting patients in Cape Town (NCT01924286). The TB-IRIS NSAID Cox-2 Inhibitor Prevention Trial is investigating meloxicam for TB-IRIS prevention (NCT02060006).

**Cryptococcal IRIS**

Paradoxical C-IRIS is reported to occur in 13%–45% of HIV-infected persons who start ART after treatment for CM. It occurs a median of 4–9 weeks following ART initiation but delayed cases have been reported up to a year after initiation of ART. The usual presentation is a recurrence of meningitis symptoms (headache, nuchal rigidity, visual disturbance, and vomiting) along with other CNS signs, such as raised intracranial pressure, impaired consciousness, seizures, and focal neurology. Non-neurological presentations are less commonly described but include lymphadenitis, pneumonitis, and eye and soft tissue disease.

As with other neurological forms of IRIS, C-IRIS causes substantial morbidity and mortality (13%–36%) and is an independent predictor of death in CM patients starting ART. The risk of developing C-IRIS is increased in individuals who have high CSF fungal burdens during the initial episode of CM, and in those who fail to clear the infection prior to the initiation of ART. In one study, lower pre-ART CD4 count was also associated with increased risk of C-IRIS.

Diagnosis of paradoxical C-IRIS is based on INSHI criteria with diagnostic workup targeted at excluding other causes. In patients presenting with recurrent meningitis symptoms, a lumbar puncture should be performed and the opening pressure measured; CSF should be sent for bacterial, mycobacterial, and fungal culture to exclude relapsed CM and an alternative cause of meningitis.

As there have been no clinical trials, management of suspected paradoxical C-IRIS is based solely on expert opinion. Reduction of pathogen load is an underlying objective and cryptococcal treatment should be optimized. Raised intracranial pressure can be controlled with therapeutic CSF drainage by lumbar puncture (repeated daily if necessary). Corticosteroids can be considered in severe cases, preferably once other etiologies are excluded and CSF fungal culture result is known to be negative. In patients with life-threatening neurological deterioration, steroids should be started immediately while simultaneously treating with amphotericin B to cover the possibility of a cryptococcal relapse.

The timing of ART initiation in patients with CM has been examined in four trials to date. The first recruited 282 patients, mainly from USA, with a variety of AIDS-related OI, of which 12% had CM. The overall trial result showed that early ART (within 14 days of OI treatment) was associated with a reduced likelihood of AIDS progression or death, compared to ART initiation after OI treatment completion, with no excess risk of IRIS. When the CM patients were analyzed separately, the point estimate showed a trend toward improved outcome in the early arm. A small study of CM patients in Botswana compared early ART (within 7 days) with deferred ART (after 28 days), using intravenous amphotericin...
B as antifungal treatment. Similar to the US study, no difference in mortality was noted; however, early ART was associated with significantly increased IRIS risk.107

An open-label randomized trial in Zimbabwe was conducted comparing ART within 72 hours of CM treatment initiation (fluconazole 800 mg per day) with ART initiation after 10 weeks.108 The trial was stopped early by the data safety monitoring board after excess deaths were noted in the early treatment arm, mainly during the first 2 weeks of ART. The authors suggested IRIS to be the likely cause.108

The Cryptococcal Optimal ART Timing (COAT) trial was conducted to definitively address the question of when to start ART in CM, using amphotericin B-based anticytomegaloviral therapy.109 This was an open-label randomized trial conducted in Uganda and South Africa. ART-naïve patients with a first episode of CM were randomized to early ART initiation (7–14 days after starting amphotericin), or deferred (after 5 weeks). This trial was also stopped early by the data safety monitoring board after significantly increased mortality was noted in the early ART arm (6-month mortality 45% versus 30%, hazard ratio 1.7; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.1–2.8; P=0.03).109 The explanation for this excess mortality was not clear; excess deaths all occurred within a month of starting ART, but reported rates of IRIS were not statistically different between the two study arms, nor was drug toxicity. Specific risk factors for death during early ART included altered mental status at time of randomization (hazard ratio 3.0; 95% CI: 1.0–8.8) and failure to mount a cellular response in the CSF (CSF white cell count <5 cells/mm³) (hazard ratio 3.3; 95% CI: 1.3–8.4).109 Given that paucity of CSF inflammatory response has previously been associated with failure to sterilize the CSF and increased risk of IRIS, it seems plausible that immunopathology may underlie these excess deaths. Following these trials, guidelines for CM now suggest clinicians wait 4–6 weeks after commencing amphotericin B-based CM treatment, before ART is initiated in CM patients.110

In addition to paradoxical C-IRIS, presentation with a new diagnosis of CM shortly after starting ART is also well described, occurring in up to 1% of patients starting ART and in up to 33% of those who have a cryptococcal antigenemia at time of ART initiation.111–116 However, whether this occurs due to a persisting immune deficiency or an unmasking C-IRIS can be difficult to determine and has not been widely studied. INSHI provide case definitions for both ART-associated CM and unmasking C-IRIS and suggest unmasking C-IRIS should be considered if there are “unusual, exaggerated, or heightened inflammatory manifestations” (eg, CSF white cell count >50 cells/µL, persistently raised intracranial pressure refractory to therapy, rapidly expanding CNS lesion, painful or suppurating lymphadenopathy, pneumonitis, granulomatous inflammation on histology).118

Persons who develop such ART-associated cryptococcosis should be managed in the same way as patients not taking ART: potent antifungal therapy to reduce antigen load seems intuitive, and therapeutic lumbar puncture to control raised intracranial pressure is frequently required for the life-threatening complication of raised intracranial pressure.117

**KS-related IRIS**

KS is an HIV-associated malignancy that is driven by replication of human herpes virus-8 (HHV-8), occurring most commonly in regions where there is high prevalence of HHV-8. It is the commonest malignancy associated with HIV infection.118 Patients typically present with localized or extensive mucocutaneous, hyperpigmented lesions, often with edema, most commonly affecting the skin but also frequently the oral mucosa. As a malignancy of lymphatic endothelium, it is capable of causing disseminated disease and may affect the lungs and gastrointestinal tract.119 No specific anti-HHV-8 agent has been shown to be effective, so treatment is limited to reversal of immune suppression with ART and cytotoxic chemotherapeutic agents when disease is extensive.

Paradoxical KS-IRIS occurs when ART is initiated in 7%–31% of cases. This variation is probably related to differences in severity of KS, degree of immunosuppression, and treatment availability in different settings.40,120,121 KS-IRIS frequently presents with inflammation or enlargement of an existing KS lesion and/or worsening edema. Alternatively, during IRIS, KS may extend or appear rapidly at new anatomical sites. Symptoms and signs vary according to the site of the KS lesion. Acute airway obstruction may occur and can be life-threatening.79 Significant gastrointestinal bleeding may occur. Rapid extension of pulmonary lesions, may mimic an infective pulmonary process.25 Onset is between 1 and 22 weeks, and usually in the first 12 weeks post-ART initiation.40,120,122

Little is known about the pathogenesis of KS-IRIS. Proinflammatory and Th1 cytokines are considered to be important in KS pathogenesis.123 Increased KS-IRIS risk is associated with use of ART alone as initial KS treatment, more extensive baseline KS tumor stage, baseline plasma HIV-1 RNA more than 10⁶ copies/mL, and baseline detectable plasma HHV-8 DNA.40
Treatment for KS-IRIS includes systemic chemotherapy and supportive measures, eg, radiotherapy should airway obstruction occur. Liposomal anthracyclines (eg, doxorubicin) are the preferred first-line chemotherapeutic agents for KS and may be indicated in KS-IRIS where available. Corticosteroids may be harmful as there is an association with acute progression of KS lesions, possibly due to a permissive effect on HHV-8 viral replication. ART should be continued. Use of systemic chemotherapy for extensive disease prior to ART initiation may help prevent KS-IRIS, but this has not been systematically studied.

**Mucocutaneous IRIS**

Mucocutaneous conditions caused by viruses, such as herpes simplex virus causing genital ulceration, varicella zoster virus reactivation, molluscum contagiosum virus, and human papilloma virus, in addition to mucocutaneous fungal infections (eg, candida, tinea) collectively form the most common type of IRIS reported in many series (see Table 2). Other cutaneous manifestations include worsening of pruritic papular eruption and acne flares. Management typically involves targeting the causative organism where a treatment is available (eg, acyclovir for herpes simplex virus) and symptomatic treatment. No evidence-based guidelines are available. Although common and distressing for patients, these forms of IRIS are rarely severe.

**CNS IRIS**

CNS IRIS contributes the bulk of IRIS mortality. In addition to C-IRIS and TBM-IRIS (discussed above), progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML) IRIS and, less commonly, IRIS-associated with cerebral toxoplasmosis, have been described. PML-IRIS has been reviewed in detail recently by Post et al, who highlight a role for neuroimaging in PML-IRIS diagnosis, with contrast enhancement of lesions and mass effect due to interstitial edema in PML-IRIS, not typically found in PML. Neuroimaging is not available in many settings and autopsy studies suggest that PML is underdiagnosed, so it is probable that PML-IRIS is also underdiagnosed. Corticosteroids are used in cases of PML-IRIS, although there are no RCT data to support this. A recently published case report described use of maraviro, a CCR5 antagonist, in an HIV-uninfected patient with PML-IRIS, with a favorable outcome, but efficacy has not yet been assessed in a clinical trial.

CNS IRIS has also been described in cases with no evidence of an OI, where it is hypothesized that the reconstituting immune response targets CNS HIV proteins or alternatively host antigens, the latter an autoimmune process. A CD8 (rather than a CD4) lymphocyte infiltration in the perivascular spaces characterizes this condition. Significant mortality is reported. Corticosteroids have been used in some cases, with favorable outcome.

**HIV-associated IRIS – other infectious causes**

A wide variety of infectious pathogens have been linked to HIV-associated IRIS (Table 1). CMV reactivation is associated with advanced immune suppression (CD4 count below 50 cells/mm$^3$), most commonly causing retinitis, which may lead to permanent visual impairment. Immune restoration uveitis may occur following ART initiation in such patients and can be sight-threatening. In HIV–hepatitis B virus coinfected patients, an acute hepatitis flare (with potentially fulminant course) may occur post-ART initiation, and may be difficult to distinguish from ART drug toxicity. $P. jirovecii$ is a common fungal cause of IRIS. Calligaro et al have reviewed PCP-IRIS and other pulmonary IRIS manifestations in more detail.

**Summary and conclusion**

Access to ART is improving worldwide, but because many patients still commence ART with low CD4 counts, IRIS remains a common complication. IRIS is a heterogeneous condition with a number of case definitions in use. Clinical manifestations and epidemiology are well described for some forms of IRIS, but specific diagnostic tests and evidence-based treatment strategies are lacking. CNS IRIS is associated with a high mortality and requires more effective interventions. While ART initiation causes IRIS, it is key to recovery of immune function and improved health outcomes, therefore delay or discontinuation of ART due to IRIS is not usually recommended. A notable exception is in patients with CM, in whom ART should be delayed until 4–6 weeks after CM treatment initiation. Prevention strategies include: 1) treatment of HIV before advanced immunosuppression develops; 2) OI prevention in advanced HIV; 3) screening for and treatment of OI prior to ART initiation; and 4) optimal timing of ART initiation (this varies according to pathogen and CD4 count and takes into account mortality and IRIS risk). Treatment of IRIS involves optimal treatment of the underlying pathogen to reduce antigen load; supportive measures; and, in some cases, immunosuppression with corticosteroids.
Key knowledge gaps in the diagnosis and treatment of IRIS exist. There are no standardized clinical case definitions for many forms of IRIS (TB-IRIS and C-IRIS being the exceptions). Confirmatory diagnostic tests are lacking for all forms of IRIS. Most forms of IRIS lack evidence-based management strategies, the use of prednisone in TB-IRIS being the exception. For all forms of IRIS, other immunomodulatory therapies have not been systematically studied. The specific cell phenotypes and inflammatory and regulatory pathways that are central in the development of IRIS, in the context of an abundance of foreign antigen, need to be more clearly defined. Improved understanding of the pathophysiology of IRIS will hopefully enable improved diagnostic modalities and better targeted treatments to be developed.
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