Sitagliptin/Simvastatin: a first combination tablet to treat type 2 diabetes and hypercholesterolemia – a review of its characteristics
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Background: The purpose of this study was to review the current literature and information on the combination product Juvisync™ (sitagliptin + simvastatin), which was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration in October 2011.

Methods: PubMed (2001–2014) was searched for primary and review articles on sitagliptin, simvastatin, or the combination product. Drug manufacturing data and product labeling were also used. Studies of simvastatin, sitagliptin, or the combination were screened and analyzed to include relevant and recent papers. Selected English language trials were limited to those with human subjects and included both safety and efficacy outcomes.

Results: When compared with glipizide as add-on therapy to metformin, sitagliptin was noninferior but had lower rates of hypoglycemia and weight gain. In addition, when compared with insulin glargine, sitagliptin was less effective in decreasing glycosylated hemoglobin, but was associated with significantly lower rates of hypoglycemia. Further, trials have shown a beneficial effect of using statins in patients with diabetes mellitus with regard to decreasing cardiovascular risk, regardless of baseline lipid levels or the presence of a cardiac disease. Both medications have also demonstrated an acceptable side effect profile. However, caution is needed when coadministering with any drug that may increase simvastatin levels to reduce the risk of myopathy and rhabdomyolysis.

Conclusion: Juvisync should be used in patients requiring both sitagliptin and simvastatin. Both agents have shown good efficacy and acceptable safety profiles. Sitagliptin is a good option for diabetic patients to improve glycemic control with a lower risk of hypoglycemia and weight gain.
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Introduction
Type 2 diabetes is a chronic metabolic disease characterized by insulin resistance, where muscle and adipose cells are not able to respond adequately to normal levels of insulin. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the number of people with type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) in the USA is now estimated to be 26 million and projected to triple by the year 2050.1 Diabetes is known as a “silent killer” since patients die more often from chronic complications rather than hyperglycemic crisis if uncontrolled. The disease damages the eyes (retinopathy), the kidneys (nephropathy), and the nervous system (neuropathy). It also has macrovascular complications, including peripheral vascular disease, cerebrovascular disease (CVD), and coronary heart disease (CHD). Therefore, the main goal of diabetes management and control is to minimize...
and delay its complications. However, there has been debate on how much is considered appropriate control. The American Diabetes Association recommends a glycemic target of a glycated hemoglobin (HbA\textsubscript{lc}) <7%, while the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists has a stricter goal of an HbA\textsubscript{lc} <6.5%.\textsuperscript{2,3} Studies have shown that glycemic control in DM slows the progression of microvascular complications.\textsuperscript{4} Further, in a 10-year follow-up of patients in the UK Prospective Diabetes Study, those with a lower glycemic target (HbA\textsubscript{lc} <7%) had a reduced risk of cardiovascular events versus those with a higher target (HbA\textsubscript{lc} <7.9%).\textsuperscript{5} However, results from the ADVANCE trial that included 11,140 patients with type 2 diabetes were different. After an average of 5 years of follow-up, intensive control (HbA\textsubscript{lc} <6.5%) reduced the incidence of combined major macrovascular and microvascular events by 10% (hazards ratio [HR] 0.9; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.82–0.98; \(P=0.01\)), but there was no significant difference in major cardiovascular events (HR 0.94; 95% CI 0.84–1.06; \(P=0.32\)), death from cardiovascular causes (HR 0.88; 95% CI 0.74–1.04; \(P=0.12\)), or death from any cause (HR 0.93; 95% CI 0.83–1.06; \(P=0.28\)). In addition, severe hypoglycemia was more common in the intensive control group (HR 1.86; 95% CI 1.42–2.40; \(P<0.001\)).\textsuperscript{6} Therefore, the glycemic target should be individualized after weighing the microvascular benefits versus the risks of hypoglycemia and weight gain.

Sitagliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase (DPP)-4 inhibitor, showed efficacy similar to that of glipizide in a clinical trial but had a lower risk of hypoglycemia.\textsuperscript{7} Vildagliptin, another DPP-4 inhibitor, showed efficacy similar to that of thiazolidinediones, but without weight gain.\textsuperscript{8} This has made DPP-4 inhibitors promising agents when add-on therapy is needed to maximize glycemic control with a lower risk of hypoglycemia and weight gain.

Having no proof as yet that glycemic control can significantly decrease macrovascular complications, attention has been focused on other means of prevention, especially given that cardiovascular diseases are considered the major cause of morbidity and mortality in DM. Other risk factors for CVD such as hypertension and dyslipidemia usually coexist with DM. Nevertheless, DM is always considered a coronary artery disease risk equivalent. Therefore, the target low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol level in diabetic patients is <100 mg/dL (2.60 mmol/L), and preferably <70 mg/dL (1.8 mmol/L). Lifestyle modification is indicated in all DM patients. In patients with overt CVD or who are more than 40 years of age and have other risk factors for CVD, statins should be added to lifestyle therapy regard-
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**Methods for selection and assessment of the literature**

PubMed (2001–2014) was searched for primary literature and review articles on sitagliptin, simvastatin, or the combination. Mainly clinical trials about each active ingredient of Juvisync and their combination were identified, in addition to reviews, meta-analysis, case reports involving each ingredient individually or in combination, and guidelines for diabetes and lipid-lowering agents. However, we did not find any clinical efficacy studies comparing Juvisync with sitagliptin and simvastatin as separate tablets. The search was limited to human studies published in the English language and included both
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**Figure 1 Structural formula for sitagliptin.**
safety and efficacy outcomes. Manufacturing data and product labeling were also used.

**Pharmacology**

Sitagliptin, a DPP-4 inhibitor, is an oral antidiabetic agent that acts by slowing the inactivation of incretin hormones such as glucagon-like peptide-1 and glucose-dependent insulinoetric polypeptide, thereby increasing their action. These hormones are a part of the body’s physiological system for glucose homeostasis. Their secretion by the intestines is increased in response to elevated blood glucose levels following a meal. Glucagon-like peptide-1 and glucose-dependent insulinoetric polypeptide then act on the pancreas to increase synthesis and release of insulin as well as decreasing secretion of glucagon and release of glucose from the liver; however, these hormones are rapidly deactivated by the DPP-4 enzyme. Thus, by selectively inhibiting this enzyme, sitagliptin increases insulin release and decreases that of glucagon in a glucose-dependent manner. This property makes sitagliptin unique among the antidiabetic agents.

Simvastatin is a prodrug that is hydrolyzed in the body to its active form, β-hydroxyacid. It acts by inhibiting the hepatic enzyme HMG-CoA reductase that is required for the rate-limiting step in the biosynthesis of cholesterol, leading to a decrease in intracellular cholesterol concentrations. The liver then compensates by increasing the number of LDL receptors on its surface, resulting in increased clearance of LDL. It decreases not only LDL, but triglycerides, intermediate-density lipoprotein, and very-LDL as well, and increases high-density lipoprotein.

**Pharmacokinetics**

Bioequivalence studies in healthy subjects show that Juvisync is bioequivalent to coadministration of sitagliptin and simvastatin as separate tablets. Important pharmacokinetic information on sitagliptin and simvastatin is shown in Table 1. Sitagliptin and simvastatin do not have a clinically meaningful pharmacokinetic interaction. A randomized, open-label, crossover study assessing the effect of sitagliptin on the pharmacokinetics of simvastatin showed no statistically significant difference in the kinetics of simvastatin in the combination versus simvastatin alone. Therefore, there is no need to adjust the dose of simvastatin when it is coadministered with sitagliptin.

**Clinical trials**

**Sitagliptin**

Several studies have demonstrated the efficacy and safety of sitagliptin. In a 24-week study, the efficacy and safety of sitagliptin was assessed in 441 patients with type 2 DM who were not controlled on glimepiride alone or combined with metformin. The primary outcome (change in HbA1c from baseline to 24 weeks) was decreased by 0.74% (P<0.001) in those who received sitagliptin when compared with placebo. In those on the combination of metformin and glimepiride, sitagliptin reduced the HbA1c by 0.89% relative to placebo. In those on glimepiride alone, sitagliptin decreased HbA1c by 0.57% relative to placebo. However, sitagliptin did have a slightly higher risk of hypoglycemia and weight gain. In a similar study that assessed the efficacy and safety of combining sitagliptin with a low-dose sulfonyurea, the change in HbA1c was 0.8% (95% CI –0.9 to 0.68, P<0.001).

Sitagliptin was also compared to glipizide as add-on therapy to metformin in uncontrolled patients. In this 52-week study, 1,172 patients were randomized to addition of sitagliptin 100 mg daily or glipizide 5 mg daily to metformin.
The results showed that sitagliptin was noninferior to glipizide in reducing HbA$_\text{lc}$, however, hypoglycemia was significantly worse ($P<0.001$) with glipizide (32%) than with sitagliptin (5%). Further, sitagliptin led to weight loss versus weight gain with glipizide.\(^7\)

Sitagliptin was also compared with insulin. EASIE was a 6-month study comparing sitagliptin with insulin glargine in patients not controlled on metformin. Although the mean decrease in HbA$_\text{lc}$ was greater on insulin glargine (−1.72%) than on sitagliptin (−1.13%; $P<0.0001$), there were significantly more hypoglycemic events on insulin glargine (4.21 versus 0.5 events per patient-year, $P<0.0001$).\(^5\) In another 24-week study, 641 patients with type 2 diabetes were randomized to assess the efficacy of sitagliptin as add-on to insulin therapy. Sitagliptin provided significant improvements in HbA$_\text{lc}$ when compared with placebo. However, there were more hypoglycemic events in patients treated with sitagliptin.\(^6\)

**Simvastatin**

In the subgroup analysis of diabetes in the Heart Protection Study, patients were randomized to receive either simvastatin 40 mg daily or placebo. The primary outcome was the first post-trial incidence of major coronary events and vascular events. The results showed a significant relative risk reduction for the primary outcome. There was a 20% reduction in risk of coronary events and a 37% reduction in nonfatal myocardial infarction. Diabetic patients without CHD were examined for primary prevention, and the results showed a 33% relative risk reduction for major vascular events ($P=0.0003$); the results were not affected by duration of diabetes or extent of glycemic control. In addition, the reduction in risk of the primary outcome was not affected by baseline LDL level. In the subgroup of diabetic patients with baseline LDL <116 mg/dL, the relative risk reduction for major vascular events remained significant (27%, $P=0.0007$).\(^13,14,26\)

CARDIS is another study that assessed statin use specifically in type 2 diabetes. It assessed the efficacy of low-dose atorvastatin (10 mg daily) for primary prevention of CVD in patients with type 2 DM. The primary outcome was a composite of the first occurrence of either an acute CHD event, stroke, or coronary revascularization. The primary outcome occurred in 5.8% of the atorvastatin group versus 9% of the placebo group (HR 0.63; 95% CI 0.48–0.83; $P=0.001$), with a 37% relative risk reduction. Tests for heterogeneity of results for baseline lipid levels, HbA$_\text{lc}$, albuminuria, smoking status, or systolic blood pressure were not significant.\(^12\)

Atorvastatin 20 mg daily was also studied in 1,255 patients with type 2 DM on hemodialysis. The primary outcome was a composite of cardiac death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, and stroke. Atorvastatin caused an 8% relative risk reduction for the composite outcome; however, this was not statistically significant (relative risk 0.92; 95% CI 0.77–1.1; $P=0.37$). This study could not show a significant effect of atorvastatin on cardiovascular events in hemodialysis patients.\(^27\)

In a diabetic subgroup analysis of the LIPID trial, 6 years of treatment with pravastatin 40 mg daily led to a 24% relative risk reduction for CHD ($P<0.001$).\(^29\) A meta-analysis of 58 trials showed the highest LDL-lowering ability for rosuvastatin 80 mg (60%), followed by atorvastatin 80 mg (55%) and simvastatin 40 mg (40%). It also showed that for each 1 mmol/L reduction in LDL, the risk of ischemic heart disease was decreased by 11% in the 1st year, 24% in the 2nd year, 33% from the 3rd to 5th year, and 36% thereafter ($P<0.001$).\(^29\)

Another analysis compared three statins (atorvastatin, simvastatin, and pravastatin) using eight randomized placebo-controlled trials for long-term cardiovascular prevention. Statistical assessment showed only minor heterogeneity in the effect sizes of the trials. The results showed that the three statins reduced lipid levels to a similar degree. Moreover, no statistically significant difference was found with regard to fatal CHD, nonfatal myocardial infarction, stroke, cardiovascular death, or all-cause mortality.\(^30\) However, a large meta-analysis including 170,255 patients from 76 randomized trials assessing the efficacy and safety of statins for cardiovascular disease showed an increased risk of new-onset diabetes (odds ratio 1.09; 95% CI 1.02–1.17; $P=0.001$) and 11% of these cases were attributed to statin therapy itself ($F=11\%$).\(^31\)

In light of this finding, an analysis of the JUPITER trial was done to weigh the benefits versus risks of using statins for primary prevention. In individuals with one or more risk factors for DM (metabolic syndrome, impaired fasting glucose, body mass index $>$30, HbA$_\text{lc}$ $>$6%), rosuvastatin led to a 39% reduction in the primary endpoint, ie, composite of myocardial infarction, stroke, hospital admission due to unstable angina, arterial revascularization, or cardiovascular death (HR 0.61; 95% CI 0.47–0.79; $P=0.0001$), a 36% reduction in risk of venous thromboembolism (HR 0.64; 95% CI 0.39–1.06; $P=0.08$), and a 17% reduction in total mortality (HR 0.83; 95% CI 0.64–1.07; $P=0.15$). On the other hand, it also led to a 28% increase in diabetes (HR 1.28; 95% CI 1.07–1.54; $P=0.01$). For patients with no major risk factors for diabetes, rosuvastatin led to a 52% reduction in the primary endpoint (HR 0.48; 95% CI 0.33–0.68; $P=0.0001$), a 53% reduction in venous thromboembolism (HR 0.47;
95% CI 0.21–1.03; P=0.05), and a 22% reduction in total mortality (HR 0.78; 95% CI 0.59–1.03; P=0.08). However, it did not cause any increase in diabetes (HR 0.99; 95% CI 0.45–2.21; P=0.99). Thus, for patients with pre-existing major risk factors for diabetes, for every 54 cases of new-onset diabetes, a total of 134 vascular events or deaths were avoided. As for those with no risk factors for diabetes, a total of 86 vascular events or deaths were avoided with no new cases of diabetes.32

There have been no clinical efficacy studies comparing Juvisync with sitagliptin and simvastatin administered as separate tablets, and no ongoing clinical trials with Juvisync are currently registered by the FDA.

**Contraindications/adverse events/precautions/drug interactions**

**Contraindications**

Contraindications to the use of Juvisync include any history of serious hypersensitivity to sitagliptin or simvastatin, active liver disease or any persistent unexplained elevation in liver enzymes, and pregnancy and lactation. In addition, Juvisync is contraindicated for coadministration with gemfibrozil, cyclosporine, danazol, or any strong cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitor.16,19

**Adverse events**

The most common side effects of sitagliptin seen in the clinical trials were upper respiratory tract infection, nasopharyngitis, and headache. Hypoglycemia was also seen when added to insulin or sulfonylureas.16 The most common side effects of simvastatin were myalgia, headache, abdominal pain, constipation, and nausea.16

**Precautions**

Post-marketing reports have been published on the incidence of acute pancreatitis in patients on sitagliptin.33,34 However, it remains unknown whether sitagliptin actually does increase the risk of acute pancreatitis. A retrospective cohort study of 786,656 patients was performed with the help of a large medical and pharmacy claims database. The incidence of acute pancreatitis in the nondiabetic control, diabetic control, exenatide, and sitagliptin groups was 1.9, 5.6, 5.7, and 5.6 cases, respectively, per 1,000 patient years. The risk was significantly higher in the combined diabetic groups than in the nondiabetic control group (adjusted HR 2.1; 95% CI 1.7–2.5). The risk of acute pancreatitis was similar in the sitagliptin group versus the diabetic control group (HR 1.0; 95% CI 0.7–1.3). Thus, this study did show an increased incidence of acute pancreatitis in diabetic patients versus nondiabetic patients, but did not find a causal relationship between sitagliptin and acute pancreatitis.35

In addition, a further warning for sitagliptin includes a higher risk of hypoglycemia when combined with insulin or sulfonylureas.16,22,23 Therefore, adjustment of the dose of insulin or sulfonylureas is needed when combining these agents with Juvisync.

The main label warnings for simvastatin are the risk of myopathy, rhabdomyolysis, and liver enzyme abnormalities. In the SEARCH trial, which compared the safety and efficacy of simvastatin 80 mg versus 20 mg in patients surviving myocardial infarction, myopathy developed in 0.9% of the patients receiving the 80 mg dose versus only 0.03% of those receiving the 20 mg dose (relative risk 26.6; 95% CI 6.5–109.3; P<0.0001). Rhabdomyolysis developed in 0.4% at the 80 mg dose and in none at the 20 mg dose.36,37 Thus, the risk of myopathy and rhabdomyolysis appears to be dose-dependent. Although Juvisync does not contain an 80 mg dose for simvastatin, caution is still warranted.

There has also been a case report of a patient with chronic kidney disease who developed acute kidney injury and rhabdomyolysis after receiving high doses of sitagliptin and simvastatin. The patient was already at home on simvastatin 80 mg daily and ezetimibe 10 mg daily, both of which increase the risk of rhabdomyolysis. The patient was started on sitagliptin 50 mg 6 weeks prior to presentation and was titrated to 100 mg daily 3 weeks later. One week after the dose titration, the patient started to have muscle pain in the thighs. Upon admission and diagnosis of acute kidney injury and rhabdomyolysis, all drugs that could have led to this problem were discontinued, including sitagliptin, simvastatin, and ezetimibe. The patient’s kidney function recovered in a week, and all medications were resumed except the aforementioned three drugs. Simvastatin was replaced with lovastatin, with no recurrence of rhabdomyolysis.38

Simvastatin, like all other statins, caused elevations in liver enzymes (more than three times the upper normal limit) in almost 1% of patients who received it in clinical trials.16 Laboratory testing for liver enzymes is required upon initiation of Juvisync and whenever clinically needed.

As discussed earlier, recent studies have suggested that statin use may be linked to occurrence of new-onset diabetes. However, analysis of the JUPITER trial showed that this was only significant in patients with pre-existing risk factors for diabetes, and that the cardioprotective benefits outweigh the risk of new-onset diabetes.32 Further, a retrospective cohort study including 16,027 patients with hypertension...
and dyslipidemia showed that those receiving fluvastatin, lovastatin, or rosuvastatin had a lower risk of new-onset diabetes (HR 0.45, 0.66, and 0.53 respectively; P<0.0001 for all), while those receiving pravastatin had a higher risk (HR 1.4; P<0.0001). Atorvastatin did show a higher risk for new-onset diabetes; however, when adjusted for age, sex, use of concomitant medications, and dose, this finding was no longer statistically significant (adjusted HR 1.15; P=0.5465). Hence, it has a dose-dependent effect on the risk of new-onset diabetes. Simvastatin did not increase the risk for new-onset diabetes (HR 1.1; P=0.3034), thus it seemed to have a neutral effect.\textsuperscript{39}

Drug interactions

The increased risk of myopathy/rhabdomyolysis is a major issue that should be considered when using Juvisync with other drugs. Table 2 indicates the most important drugs that may interact with Juvisync.\textsuperscript{16,19}

Special populations

Precautions should be taken into consideration when using Juvisync in special populations. These are summarized in Table 3.\textsuperscript{16,19}

FDA-approved indications and potential uses

Juvisync (sitagliptin and simvastatin) is approved by the FDA for use in patients who require treatment with both sitagliptin and simvastatin. Sitagliptin is indicated in adults with type 2 DM as an adjunct to diet and exercise. Simvastatin is indicated in patients with an increased risk for atherosclerotic vascular disease due to hypercholesterolemia. It is used as an adjunct to diet when nonpharmacologic measures alone have been inadequate. In patients with existing CHD or at high risk of CHD, it is indicated with diet to reduce CHD-related mortality, risk of nonfatal myocardial infarction and stroke, and the need for coronary and noncoronary revascularization procedures. It is also indicated in primary hyperlipidemia to decrease LDL, total cholesterol, and triglycerides, and increase to high-density lipoprotein.\textsuperscript{16,19}

On September 26, 2013, Merck, known as MSD outside the United States and Canada, said that the company will voluntarily discontinue distributing JUVISYNCTM tablets to pharmacies and wholesalers in the United States and Puerto Rico. This decision is for business reasons only and is not due to the efficacy or safety profile of JUVISYNCTM or the individual components. Sitagliptin and simvastatin will continue to be available as separate medicines. JUVICOR®, the brand name of the sitagliptin/simvastatin combination tablet outside the United States, will continue to be marketed and distributed outside the United States. JUVICOR is currently approved in 10 countries, and Merck plans to continue filing applications in additional countries.\textsuperscript{24}

Dosage, administration, and cost

The available dosages in Juvisync are 100 mg/10 mg, 100 mg/20 mg, and 100 mg/40 mg of sitagliptin/simvastatin once daily in the evening. The tablets should not be split, crushed, or chewed before swallowing.\textsuperscript{16} The recommended starting dose is 100 mg/40 mg per day. If the patient is already taking simvastatin, Juvisync is initiated at the 100 mg dose of sitagliptin and the dose of simvastatin already being taken. If used as an adjunct to an insulin secretagogue or with insulin, the dose of the latter should be lowered to reduce the risk of hypoglycemia. Lipid levels should be measured 4 or more weeks after starting or titrating the dose. Juvisync is not recommended in patients with moderate or severe renal impairment or end-stage renal disease. However,
it can be used in mild renal impairment (serum creatinine levels ≤1.7 mg/dL in men and ≤1.5 mg/dL in women).16

According to the manufacturer, the cost of Juvisync will be the same as the price of Januvia™ (sitagliptin) alone, which is $216 for a one-month supply, so it is cost-effective for patients requiring both medications.40 In addition, the patent for Juvisync will not end before April 2017, hence no generics would be seen until then.

Conclusion

Juvisync should be used in patients requiring both sitagliptin and simvastatin. Sitagliptin was noninferior to glipizide as add-on therapy but with lower rates of hypoglycemia and weight gain. In addition, although it was inferior to insulin, rates of hypoglycemia were significantly lower with sitagliptin. Thus, sitagliptin offers a worthy choice for diabetic patients to improve glycemic control with a lower risk of hypoglycemia and weight gain. Further, all trials have shown cardioprotective benefits of statin use in DM patients regardless of baseline lipid levels or existing cardiac disease. Both medications have also demonstrated an acceptable side effect profile. However, caution is needed when this product is coadministered with any drug that may increase the level of simvastatin to avoid the risks of myopathy and rhabdomyolysis.
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