The role of dasatinib in the management of chronic myeloid leukemia
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Abstract: Dasatinib is a second-generation tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) for chronic, blastic, or accelerated phase chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) patients who are resistant or intolerant to previous treatment. It potently inhibits BCR/ABL and SRC-family kinases (SRC, LCK, HCK, YES, FYN, FGR, BLK, LYN, FRK), as well as c-KIT, PDGFR-a and -b, and ephrin receptor kinase. Various clinical trials have provided evidence that it has more durable complete hematologic and cytogenetic responses, as well as more potency in imatinib-resistant or -intolerant CML, and it has also shown its advantages in newly diagnosed CML compared to imatinib. In this review, we mainly focus on the structure, mechanisms, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacogenetics of dasatinib. We also summarize clinical trials with dasatinib on CML and provide our recommendations for dasatinib in the treatment of CML.
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Introduction

Chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) is a malignant hematopoietic stem cell disorder with an incidence of 1–2 cases per 100,000 adults. It is characterized by cells carrying a Philadelphia (Ph) chromosome, forming by the BCR-ABL oncogene, which was a fusion of the Abelson oncogene (ABL) from chromosome 9q34 and the breakpoint cluster region (BCR) gene on 22q11.2.1,2 The BCR-ABL gene results in a deregulated kinase activity that promotes growth and replication through downstream pathways such as RAS, RAF, JUN kinase, MYC, and STAT, leading to impaired apoptosis and uncontrolled proliferation.3-5 Deregulating BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase (TK) activity provides an identifiable target that has considerably revolutionized the treatment of CML and changed the management and outcome of CML patients, with a survival rate of 88%–95% reported at 6 years.6-9 The first-generation of BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) is imatinib mesylate, and it is the frontline therapy for CML; however, in newly diagnosed patients with chronic phase CML, the rate of resistance to imatinib at 4 years was up to 20%, increasing to 70%–90% for patients in the accelerated/blastic phase.

Resistance to imatinib led to the development of novel TKIs.10,11 Dasatinib (Sprycel®, Bristol-Myers Squibb, New York, NY, USA), a second-generation TKI, was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2010 at 100 mg once daily as the starting dose in patients with chronic phase CML and at 70 mg twice daily in patients for the treatment of chronic, blastic, or accelerated phase CML resistant or intolerant to previous treatment, including imatinib.11-13 Various clinical trials have provided evidence that it has more durable complete hematologic and cytogenetic responses and more potency (325 times more active) compared to imatinib.11,14,16 In this review, we mainly focus on addressing the structure, mechanisms, pharmacokinetics,
and pharmacogenetics of dasatinib. We also summarize clinical experiences with dasatinib on CML and provide our recommendations for critical strategies of dasatinib in the treatment of CML.

Structure, mechanisms, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacogenetics

Structure of dasatinib
Dasatinib (Figure 1) is a multitargeted kinase inhibitor that was discovered by and named after Jagabandhu Das. It potently inhibits BCR/ABL and the SRC family kinases (SRC, LCK, HCK, YES, FYN, FGR, BLK, LYN, FRK), as well as c-KIT, PDGFR-a and -b, and ephrin receptor kinase. Clinically more significant, dasatinib has been shown to block G1/S transition and inhibit cell growth and BCR-ABL with greater potency compared to other BCR-ABL inhibitors.4,17

The mechanism of dasatinib for CML
The concrete mechanism behind dasatinib is still unknown and there are many theories. It may cause immunological modifications that can display more diverse T cell populations compared with imatinib; this could be confirmed by having a higher proportion of effector CD4+ T cells in dasatinib-treated CML patients.2,18 Increases in dendritic cells, cytotoxic T and natural killer cells in peripheral blood samples have already been reported in cases treated by dasatinib,19 and one study confirmed that elevated serum interleukin-10 levels by activated dendritic cells could modulate the chemotherapeutic activity of dasatinib.20 Based on previous findings, dasatinib treatment may provide clinical benefit in inflammatory diseases.21,22 However, the strength of immunomodulatory effects could also be influenced by some clinically relevant side effects such as pleural effusions and thrombocytopenia after the treatment of dasatinib.23,24

Dasatinib may also have a more profound effect on the leukemic stem and progenitor cells than imatinib, and influences the tumor microenvironment.4,25,26 but it still needs further confirmation. Some studies have also showed that dasatinib induces defects in spindle generation, cell cycle arrest, and centrosome alterations in leukemia cells, tumor cell lines, as well as in normal cells.27

Pharmacokinetics and pharmacogenetics
Dasatinib can be rapidly absorbed and reach peak plasma concentrations 0.5–3 hours after oral administration, for which the solubility is dependent on pH. In patients with leukemia, the calculated apparent volume of distribution for dasatinib was 2,502 L and the estimated elimination half-life was approximately 3–5 hours. It is extensively metabolized in the liver, predominantly by cytochrome P 450 (CYP) 3A4.17 Optimal clinical benefit from dasatinib could only depend to a large extent on achieving optimal drug exposure of plasma concentrations. This can be affected by several factors, such as individual patient variability in absorption, distribution, and metabolism, genetic or demographic differences between individuals, and even the gastrointestinal tract. Adherence, drug-to-drug and drug-to-diet interactions or environmental influences could also impact on drug exposure.28

The pharmacogenetics of dasatinib are seldom reported; however, we think that the stratification of patients according to genotypes could be proposed for drug selection between dasatinib and other TKIs, and will represent an attractive opportunity for new clinical trials.

Basic research of dasatinib in CML
Several basic studies have been dedicated to the relationships between dasatinib and CML. Dasatinib has significant inhibitory activity against both wild-type KIT and the KIT oncoprotein (KITD816V) mutation.29 The receptor tyrosine kinase DDR1 and the oxidoreductase NQO2 have also been identified as the targets of dasatinib as discovered by Rix et al recently.22

The expansion of a mutant Ph-positive clone could be the reason why some CML patients respond well to dasatinib.
after failing of imatinib. It may be due either to late acquisition of a second mutation in the originally mutated clone (eg, T315I), or to acquisition of a completely new mutant clone (eg, F317L). One point that has to be mentioned is that the F317L mutation is resistant to dasatinib but sensitive to other TKIs. The prognosis is dependent mostly on the disease stage.

What we are reluctant to see is that ongoing TKI like dasatinib exposure might alter the patterns of recurrence and favor the outgrowth of clones with TKI-resistant mutations. A high frequency of polymutant BCR-ABL1 alleles is involved in resistance to dasatinib in CML and may unveil unique mechanisms of escape of dasatinib therapy to CML, however, the disease phase has nothing to do with dasatinib failure. Silveira et al found that long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are implicated in the pathway, leading to tumorigenesis, and may be involved in dasatinib resistance in CML. Skorski suggested that CML refractory or resistant to TKIs was associated with leukemia stem cells (LSCs) and/or leukemia progenitor cells (LPCs) and eventually generates additional TKI-resistant clones with complex karyotypes.

In order to overcome the CML resistance of TKIs, many scientists are working hard to find new ways and strategies. Bu et al discovered that the histone deacetylase inhibitor (HDACi) suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA) could exert synergistically with a novel combination regimen S116836 to promote apoptosis in the CML, including those resistant to imatinib or dasatinib. Chen et al discovered that treatment with omacetaxine mepesuccinate can kill more than 90% of the LSCs with BCR-ABL-induced CML, while less than 9% or 25% of the LSCs were killed after treating with imatinib or dasatinib. The activity of omacetaxine in CML seems to be independent of the BCR-ABL mutation status and it can be found in dasatinib-resistant CML.

**Clinical trials of dasatinib in CML**

The efficacy of dasatinib in the treatment of CML has been investigated in several clinical trials, including various Phase I, II, and III studies on register.

**Dasatinib in patients with imatinib-resistant or -intolerant CML**

For clinical trials on dasatinib in the treatment of imatinib-resistant or -intolerant CML, dasatinib produced hematologic and cytogenetic responses in a significant number of patients.

A Phase I study performed by Talpaz et al enrolled patients at various phases of CML or with Ph+ acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) who could not tolerate or were resistant to imatinib. Dasatinib (15–240 mg per day) was administered in 4-week treatment cycles, once or twice daily. A complete hematologic response (CHR) was seen in 37 of 40 patients with chronic-phase CML, and major hematologic responses (MaHRs) were achieved in 31 of 44 patients with accelerated-phase CML, CML with blast crisis, and Ph+ ALL. In these two phases, the rates of major cytogenetic responses (MCyRs) were 45% and 25%, respectively. Responses were maintained in 95% of patients with chronic-phase disease and in 82% of patients with accelerated-phase disease, with a median follow-up of more than 12 months and 5 months, respectively. Nearly all patients with lymphoid blast crisis (LBC) and Ph+ ALL had a relapse within 6 months. From a Phase I/II clinical study, Sakamaki et al reported their results in Japanese patients, demonstrating that dasatinib was promising as a new treatment for Japanese patients with CML.

Another Phase I study was conducted only for children and adolescents with relapsed or refractory leukemia. Compared to CML in adults, either 60 mg/m² or 80 mg/m² of the dasatinib dosage for children can be selected, but further studies were required.

Cortes et al reported the results of their Phase II clinical trial of dasatinib in patients with imatinib-resistant or -intolerant blast crisis CML (myeloid blast crisis [MBC], n=74; LBC, n=42). At the 8-month follow-up, dasatinib induced MaHRs in 34% and 31% of MBC- and LBC-CML patients and MCyRs in 31% and 50% of these patients, respectively. Most (86%) of these MCyRs were complete cytogenetic responses (CCyRs). Cytopenias were common and could be managed by dose modification. In another Phase II study, Guilhot et al showed their results of dasatinib only with CML in the accelerated phase (CML-AP). At 8 months’ minimum follow-up, 81%, 64%, and 39% of patients achieved overall response, MaHRs, and CHRs, respectively, whereas 33% and 24% attained major and complete cytogenetic remission. Seventy-six percent of patients were estimated to be alive and progression-free at 10 months. Response rates for the 60% of patients with baseline BCR-ABL mutations did not differ from the total population. From the follow-up of this study, the 12-month progression-free survival and overall survival rates were 66% and 82%, respectively. Hochhaus et al also conducted a Phase II study of 186 patients with chronic-phase CML (CML-CP) and evaluated the efficacy and safety of dasatinib (70 mg twice daily). They found that dasatinib induced notable responses, with 90% and 52% of patients achieving CHR and MCyR, respectively. After their extended follow-up of this trial, they again confirmed that dasatinib
was associated with high response rates in patients with imatinib-resistant or -intolerant CML-CP.\(^{44}\) Another Phase II study in CML-CP conducted by Kantarjian et al\(^{45}\) randomized patients with CML-CP 2:1 to 140 mg dasatinib (n=101) or 800 mg imatinib (n=49), and after a median follow-up of 15 months, CHRs were observed in 93% and 82% of patients receiving dasatinib and high-dose imatinib, respectively; thus, dasatinib resulted in higher MCyRs (52%) than high-dose imatinib (33%). In their 2-year follow-up of this trial, dasatinib demonstrated durable responses and improved response and progression-free survival rates.\(^{46}\) From the interim results of a Phase II study, Ottmann et al\(^{47}\) confirmed that dasatinib induces rapid hematologic and cytogenetic responses in adult patients with Ph\(^+\) ALL with resistance or intolerance to imatinib.

In an open-label Phase III trial, 670 patients with imatinib-resistant or -intolerant CML-CP were randomly assigned 1:1:1:1 between four dasatinib treatment groups: 100 mg once daily, 50 mg twice daily, 140 mg once daily, or 70 mg twice daily, and with a minimum follow-up of 6 months, dasatinib 100 mg once daily was discovered to retain the efficacy of 70 mg twice daily with less toxicity.\(^{48}\) In Shah et al’s follow-up study, they found that imatinib-resistant or -intolerant patients with CML-CP could experience long-term benefit with dasatinib therapy, particularly if achieving BCR-ABL no more than 10% at 3 months.\(^{48}\) In another Phase III study, Kantarjian et al\(^{49}\) compared the efficacy and safety of dasatinib 140 mg once daily with the current twice-daily regimen and reported their results from the subgroup with CML-AP with a median follow-up of 15 months, and their results demonstrated that dasatinib 140 mg once daily has similar efficacy to dasatinib 70 mg twice daily but with an improved safety profile. Saglio et al\(^{50}\) also confirmed the same results in blast phase CML. However, in a Phase III study conducted by Lilly et al\(^{51}\) dasatinib 140 mg once daily showed similar overall efficacy and safety in patients with imatinib-resistant or -intolerant Ph\(^+\) ALL.

### Clinical trials on dasatinib versus imatinib with newly diagnosed CML

Two hundred and fifty-three patients with newly diagnosed CML-CP were randomized to imatinib 400 mg/day or dasatinib 100 mg/day in a study conducted by Radich et al.\(^{32}\) They discovered that the proportion of patients achieving a complete cytogenetic remission rate was superior with dasatinib (84% vs 69%), as was the 12-month molecular response (MR) by the proportions of patients achieving >3-log, >4-log, and >4.5-log reductions in BCR-ABL transcript levels. Among patients who achieved CHR, 3-year relapse-free survival was 91% with dasatinib and 88% with imatinib 400 mg. Thus, dasatinib induced more CCyR and deeper MRs after 12 months.

Kantarjian et al\(^{52}\) assessed the efficacy and safety of dasatinib, as compared with imatinib, for the first-line treatment of CML-CP. Five hundred and nineteen patients with newly diagnosed CML-CP were randomly assigned to receive dasatinib at a dose of 100 mg once daily (259 patients) or imatinib at a dose of 400 mg once daily (260 patients). After a minimum follow-up of 12 months, the rate of confirmed CCyR was higher with dasatinib than with imatinib. The safety profiles of the two treatments were similar. As a result, dasatinib may improve long-term outcomes among patients with newly diagnosed CML-CP. The 2-year follow-up by the same researchers demonstrated that dasatinib continues to show faster and deeper responses compared with imatinib.\(^{54}\) Dasatinib 100 mg once daily has demonstrated efficacy in both the East Asian subpopulation and Japanese population after follow-up.\(^{55,56}\) The same results were also confirmed by a study conducted by Hjorth-Hansen et al.\(^{57}\)

### Side effects of dasatinib treatment

Dasatinib was generally well-tolerated by the patients reported in the clinical trials above;\(^{41,44,58-61}\) however, side effects also occurred in a small group of patients. According to the results of clinical studies, the most common non-hematologic adverse events were gastrointestinal disorders such as abdominal pain, diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, gastrointestinal bleeding, mucosal inflammation, abdominal distension, and dyspepsia. Respiratory disorders such as pleural effusion, dyspnea, cough, and even pulmonary arterial hypertension were less common. Nervous system disorders including headache and neuropathy were also less common. Cardiac adverse events such as left ventricular dysfunction, cardiac failure, cardiomyopathy, ventricular failure, diastolic dysfunction, and QT-interval prolongation were less frequent. Other toxicities such as skin sequelae, severe infections, irregular menses, vertigo, appetite disturbances and hemorrhage were reported. Management of these toxicities could be dose reduction, interruption, or discontinuation.

### Comparison with other second-generation TKIs

Other second-generation TKIs include nilotinib, bosutinib, and INNO-406. Several studies compared the efficacy of dasatinib and nilotinib. Signorovitch et al\(^{52}\) compared MR in patients taking nilotinib 300 mg twice daily with that in
those taking dasatinib 100 mg once daily by 12 months and through 48 months. The results suggested that nilotinib is associated with higher rates of achieving MR by 12 months compared to dasatinib for the treatment of newly diagnosed CML-CP and higher rates of MR achievement with nilotinib were also maintained through 48 months of follow-up. In another study, dasatinib treatment after nilotinib failure analyses showed that the ranking of strategies was mostly influenced by the duration of first- and second-line therapies.

Other choices after dasatinib failure
There are other choices after the failure of dasatinib treatment. Changing to other TKIs could be an option. The study of ponatinib (AP24534), a third-generation TKI, which blocks both native and mutated BCR-ABL, has shown promise in recent years. Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation could also be offered for those patients presenting with blast phase at diagnosis, T315I and other BCR-ABL1 mutations that are resistant or intolerant to all TKIs.

Cost-effectiveness of dasatinib
A few studies were performed to assess the cost-effectiveness of dasatinib versus other treatment in CML. In a retrospective study in Sweden, Ghatnekar et al compared the cost of dasatinib and high-dose imatinib treatment in CML-CP patients, and found that the incremental societal cost amounts to €4,250 during the lifetime period for one person. Another study compared CML patients treated with nilotinib and dasatinib; nilotinib patients were found to be more adherent and experienced lower health care resource utilization, so nilotinib is more cost-effective in medical service cost savings compared to in dasatinib patients. As expected, Hoyle et al showed that the cost-effectiveness of dasatinib and nilotinib for imatinib-resistant individuals is uncertain for the immature clinical data. Taking into consideration the difference of each country’s system and production cost, we think it is very difficult to compare the cost-effectiveness of dasatinib and other TKIs.

Conclusion and future directions
Dasatinib is an effective therapy for patients with CML. Though the mechanisms on CML are still confusing, it shows its advantages over high-dose imatinib in patients who have failed standard-dose imatinib and in those newly diagnosed CML patients. Though dasatinib is well-tolerated in most patients, side effects also occurred in a few patients. In future, the concrete mechanisms of dasatinib and its role in CML as well as how to decrease the toxicity of dasatinib require further investigation; the efficacy of dasatinib combined with other TKIs should also be evaluated in clinical trials.
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