Xerostomia induced by radiotherapy: an overview of the physiopathology, clinical evidence, and management of the oral damage
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Background: The irradiation of head and neck cancer (HNC) often causes damage to the salivary glands. The resulting salivary gland hypofunction and xerostomia seriously reduce the patient’s quality of life.

Purpose: To analyze the literature of actual management strategies for radiation-induced hypofunction and xerostomia in HNC patients.

Methods: MEDLINE/PubMed and the Cochrane Library databases were electronically evaluated for articles published from January 1, 1970, to June 30, 2013. Two reviewers independently screened and included papers according to the predefined selection criteria.

Results: Sixty-one articles met the inclusion criteria. The systematic review of the literature suggests that the most suitable methods for managing the clinical and pathophysiological consequences of HNC radiotherapy might be the pharmacological approach, for example, through the use of cholinergic agonists when residual secretory capacity is still present, and the use of salivary substitutes. In addition, a modified diet and the patient’s motivation to enhance oral hygiene can lead to a significant improvement.

Conclusion: Radiation-induced xerostomia could be considered a multifactorial disease. It could depend on the type of cancer treatment and the cumulative radiation dose to the gland tissue. A preventive approach and the correct treatment of the particular radiotherapeutic patient can help to improve the condition of xerostomia.
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Introduction

Xerostomia is a term used to describe the subjective symptoms of a dry mouth deriving from a lack of saliva. A large variety of causes can lead to xerostomia, eg, radiotherapy and chemotherapy,1-4 the chronic use of drugs,5-7 and rheumatic and dysmetabolic diseases.8,9

Saliva is an important host defense component of the oral cavity. Major salivary glands contribute to most of the secretion volume and electrolyte content of saliva (the parotid, submandibular, and sublingual glands, which account for 90% of saliva production), whereas minor salivary glands contribute little secretion volume and most of the blood-group substance.10 Saliva components interact in related functions in the following general areas:

1) bicarbonates, phosphates, and urea act to modulate pH and the buffering capacity of saliva;
2) macromolecule proteins and mucins serve to cleanse, aggregate, and/or attach oral microorganisms and contribute to the dental plaque metabolism;
3) calcium, phosphates, and proteins work together as an antisolubility factor and modulate demineralization and remineralization of tooth surfaces;

4) immunoglobulins, proteins, and enzymes provide antibacterial action.

Objectively, patients affected by xerostomia have a hypofunction of the salivary output\(^1\,\,^{12}\) leading to functional oral disorders such as sore throat, altered taste, dental decay, changes in voice quality, and impaired chewing and swallowing function.\(^1\) These factors may ultimately cause reduced nutritional intake and weight loss and significantly affect general health and quality of life of the subjects involved.

Head and neck cancer (HNC) actually includes many different malignancies. The most common type of cancer in the head and neck is squamous cell carcinoma, which originates in the cells that line the inside of the paranasal sinuses, nasal cavity, salivary glands, oral cavity, esophagus, pharynx, and larynx.\(^1\) Worldwide, lip and oral cavity cancer along with thyroid cancer has the highest incidence; esophagus cancer is the most aggressive presenting a 4.9% mortality rate (Table 1).

Similar findings regarding the incidence, mortality, and prevalence of cancer in the European Union have been reported. The highest mortality rate belongs again to esophagus cancer with a predominance of 2.3% (Table 2). Other less common types of HNCs include salivary gland tumors, lymphomas, and sarcomas.\(^1\)

The way a particular HNC behaves depends on the primary site in which it arises, and the spread to the lymph nodes in the neck is relatively common. A patient may receive radiotherapy before, during, or after surgery. Some patients may receive radiotherapy alone without surgery or any other treatment; others may receive radiotherapy and chemotherapy at the same time. The timing of radiotherapy depends on the type of cancer and on the goal of the treatment (cure or palliation). Radiotherapy treats cancer by using doses of high-energy X-rays to destroy the cancer cells while avoiding as much harm as possible to normal cells. The treatment is usually given every weekday with a pause at the weekend; some protocols are based on more than one irradiation a day, and occasionally include therapy during the weekend. The treatment will usually last 3–7 weeks, depending on the type and size of the cancer. Most of the time, patients with HNC treated with radiotherapy receive a dose between 50 Gy and 70 Gy once a day for 5 days a week (2 Gy per fraction);\(^4\) on the other hand, if the radiotherapy protocol is just preoperative, the total amount of radiation is usually lower. Conformal radiotherapy is the most common type of radiotherapy used for the treatment of HNC; a special attachment to the radiotherapy machine carefully arranges the radiation beams to match the shape of the cancer, reducing the radiation to the surrounding healthy cells. Another similar type of radiotherapy used against HNC, known as intensity-modulated radiotherapy, allows a more accurate delivery of specific radiation to be distributed to the tumor mass according to its location and severity, sparing the tissue and organs at risk, eg, salivary glands.\(^10\)

### Aims

The aim of the study is to systematically determine the current treatment option for cancer-/radiation-induced xerostomia among patients treated for HNC, and to describe the strategic prevention and management enhancements.

### Materials and methods

#### Systematic review methodology

**Search strategy**


### Table 1 World incidence, mortality, and 5-year prevalence of head and neck cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cancer</th>
<th>Incidence</th>
<th>Mortality</th>
<th>5-year prevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>ASR (W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lip, oral cavity</td>
<td>300,373</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasopharynx</td>
<td>86,691</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other pharynx</td>
<td>142,387</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophagus</td>
<td>455,784</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larynx</td>
<td>156,877</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid</td>
<td>298,102</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** % = risk of getting or dying from the disease before age 75.
**Abbreviation:** ASR, age-standardized rate.
Table 2 European Union incidence, mortality, and 5-year prevalence of head and neck cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cancer</th>
<th>Incidence</th>
<th>Mortality</th>
<th>5-year prevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lip, oral cavity</td>
<td>43,847</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>14,467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasopharynx</td>
<td>3,267</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1,494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other pharynx</td>
<td>26,585</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>12,583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophagus</td>
<td>34,777</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>29,845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larynx</td>
<td>28,336</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>12,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid</td>
<td>37,440</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3,637</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: % = risk of getting or dying from the disease before age 75.
Abbreviation: ASR, age-standardized rate.

OR [Composition Saliva Xerostomia] AND [Head and Neck Cancer] OR [Radiotherapy] OR [Radiation-induced Xerostomia] OR [Parotid-Sparing Intensity-Modulated Radiotherapy] AND [Quality of Life Analysis-Xerostomia] OR [Management Strategies Salivary Gland Hypofunction] OR [Prevention Xerostomia] OR [Treatment Xerostomia]. The search results were imported into a computerized database Review Manager 5.2. The search results from each of the electronic databases of MEDLINE/PubMed and the Cochrane Library were combined, and duplicated publications were eliminated. Subsequently, an update to include studies published up to June 30, 2013, was performed.

Criteria for selecting studies
After completing the search, articles for review were selected based on:
- English language
- Original data of cancer therapy protocols
- Oral complications associated with cancer therapies
- Human.

Exclusion criteria
The reasons for exclusion were defined as follows:
- Studies without original and/or actual data
- Studies with data from previous publications
- Opinion papers
- Editorials.

In this way, a preliminary set of potentially relevant publications, removing irrelevant citations according to the criteria, was created. Two reviewers (RP and GC) independently screened the registered title and abstracts, and author and references in two separate files (one for included abstracts and one for excluded abstracts) using a screening guide based on eligibility criteria. Studies rejected at this stage or subsequent stages were reported in the table of excluded studies (Table 3). The full text of all potentially eligible studies in at least one screening was retrieved.

Reviewers then evaluated the full text for inclusion using a screening guide and a second reviewer (RP) screened all the findings. When disagreement occurred, a third reviewer (IM) was consulted. For each review, the following information was recorded: year, authors, journal, aim, and number of papers reviewed (Table 4); and for clinical trial papers included: year, authors, journal, aim, number of patients, and results (Table 5). All studies meeting the inclusion criteria then underwent validity assessment. Two reviewers (RP and GC) read the papers independently. The qualities and relevance of each study were graded as follows: high (+++), medium (++), or low (+) using a study-quality checklist. External validity, internal validity, and study precision were analyzed to obtain an overall assessment of quality. The assessment was used as a basis for the discussion between the two examiners to grade the studies. In the case of disagreement, all authors discussed the paper until a consensus was reached.

Results
The electronic searches identified about 1,000 titles and abstracts, and after reviewing the titles, 411 studies were evaluated. Subsequently, during the review of the abstract, 336 studies were excluded. The final analysis included 70 articles that conformed to the criteria for the present review (Figure 1). Although animal studies have been excluded, important information regarding the experimental results on two of the papers was considered useful and therefore they were discussed.

Table 3 Papers excluded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Spielman</td>
<td>J Dent Res</td>
<td>Animal study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Kuntz et al</td>
<td>Int J Pharm Compound</td>
<td>Opinion paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Waltimo et al</td>
<td>Schweiz Monatssch. Zahnmed</td>
<td>German</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Journal</td>
<td>Aim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>Dreizen et al</td>
<td>Postgrad Med</td>
<td>Narrative – To evaluate the main injury of the surrounding tissues during radiotherapy for oral cancer that can have devastating physical and psychological consequences for the patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Atkinson and Wu</td>
<td>J Am Dent Assoc</td>
<td>Narrative – To evaluate the three most common known causes (medication, radiotherapy, and Sjögren’s syndrome) of salivary gland dysfunction and their clinical management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Scully and Epstein</td>
<td>Eur J Cancer B Oral Oncol</td>
<td>Narrative – To discuss the etiopathogenesis and current means available for preventing, ameliorating, and treating radiotherapy complications, as well as indicating research directions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Bivona</td>
<td>N Y State Dent J</td>
<td>Narrative – To evaluate generally physiopathological features and clinical management of Sjögren’s syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Dyke</td>
<td>Int J Pharm Compound</td>
<td>Narrative – To evaluate physiopathological features and clinical management of Sjögren’s syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Sreebny</td>
<td>Int Dent J</td>
<td>Systematic – This paper reviews the role of saliva, the prevalence of oral dryness, and consequent importance of salivary flow as well as the relationship between xerostomia and salivary gland hypofunction among the causes of oral dryness. Other aspects: association between saliva and Sjögren’s syndrome and esophageal function; use of saliva as diagnostic tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Pedersen et al</td>
<td>Oral Dis</td>
<td>Narrative – This paper reviews the role of human saliva and its compositional elements in relation to the gastrointestinal functions of taste, mastication, bolus formation, enzymatic digestion, and swallowing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Cassolato and Turnbull</td>
<td>Gerodontology</td>
<td>Narrative – To outline for clinicians the common etiologies, clinical identification, and routine therapeutic modalities available for individuals with xerostomia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Argiris et al</td>
<td>Lancet</td>
<td>Narrative – To review the epidemiology, molecular pathogenesis, diagnosis and staging, and the latest multimodal management of squamous cell carcinoma of the HNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Sagar</td>
<td>Curr Treat Options Oncol</td>
<td>Narrative – To describe the effectiveness of acupuncture to control the symptoms of cancer patients, with an evidence-based approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Ramos-Casals et al</td>
<td>JAMA</td>
<td>Systematic – To summarize evidence on primary Sjögren’s syndrome drug therapy from randomized controlled trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Jensen et al</td>
<td>Support Care Cancer</td>
<td>Systematic – To assess the literature for management strategies and economic impact of salivary gland hypofunction and xerostomia induced by cancer therapies and to determine the quality of evidence-based management recommendations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Physiopathological and clinical consequences in cancer therapy

Each of the reviews concerning the physiopathological and clinical consequences are listed in Table 6.

Physiopathological consequences

A total of six articles reported the physiopathological effects of radiotherapy on salivary glands parenchyma: one systematic review, one narrative review, one pilot study, one animal experimentation study, and one cohort study.16–20 Radiotherapy-induced xerostomia could be considered a multifactorial disease. On the one hand, the damage to the oral cavity has been strongly related to the radiation dose, fraction size, volume of irradiated tissue, fractionation scheme, and type of ionizing irradiation, but on the other, it may be difficult to distinguish changes caused by radiotherapy itself from those related to the malignant disease, the concomitant systemic diseases, and the medication needed for the treatment of the cancer.16,17

The salivary glands are superficially located compared to most head and neck tumors, and thus, the ionizing radiation has to pass through the salivary glands to effectively treat the tumor.18 Tissues with a rapid turnover rate are more susceptible than tissues with a slow one and even with the most accurate therapeutic protocol, X-rays cause unwanted changes in non-tumoral tissues. Despite the fact that salivary gland cells turnover is slow, production and quality of saliva change after radiation, so they are not as radioresistant as they are supposed to be.19 There are differences among the various types of salivary glands; in fact, the submandibular gland is less radiosensitive than the parotid gland.20 From this point of view, the most severe and irreversible forms of salivary gland hypofunction result from the damage/loss of salivary acinar cells, giving rise to rapid and predictable compositional changes, and reduction in saliva production and in the quality of the flow.

Radiation-induced changes in saliva

Nineteen articles analyzed the effects of the radiotherapy on salivary flow and composition, and the changes in microbial population: one narrative review, four randomized controlled trials, nine cohort studies, and five cross-sectional studies.21–40

Salivary flow

One of the main problems resulting from tissue damage generated by radiotherapy is the reduction of salivary flow. The radiation level necessary to cause severe dysfunction to gland tissue is >52 Gy. Below this threshold, the radiation damage generally has a transient and reversible duration.22 Routinely, HNC patients receive a total of 50–70 Gy, the radiation dose normally used to destroy malignant cells, which very often leads to the onset of chronic xerostomia.21 The major reduction in salivation after radiotherapy is observed in the period from the onset of radiotherapy to 3 months after completion. During radiotherapy, the first 10 days are the worst ones as a massive decrease in saliva production occurs; especially in the first week, it could reduce by 50%–60%.22 After this period, the flow rate is reduced by <10% of the initial conditions.23

Chemical and immunochemical alterations

Radiotherapy can also induce alterations in electrolytes and antibacterial systems. Salivary electrolyte levels are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Number of papers reviewed</th>
<th>Qualities and relevance of studies included score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Jensen et al</td>
<td>Support Care Cancer</td>
<td>Systematic – To assess the literature for prevalence, severity, and impact on quality of life of salivary gland hypofunction and xerostomia induced by cancer therapies</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Radvansky et al</td>
<td>Am J Health Syst Pharm</td>
<td>Narrative – To evaluate current strategies for preventing and managing radiation-induced dermatitis, mucositis, and xerostomia, with an emphasis on pharmacologic interventions</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Zhuang et al</td>
<td>Integr Cancer Ther</td>
<td>Systematic – To evaluate the preventive and therapeutic effect of acupuncture for radiation-induced xerostomia among patients with HNC</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: +++ , high level; ++ , medium level; + , low level.
Abbreviation: HNC, head and neck cancer.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Qualities and relevance of studies included score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>Chen and Webster</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>One hundred and one cases of head and neck cancer were subjected to oral culture for Candida albicans before, during, and 1 month after radiotherapy</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>Thirty percent of the patients had a positive culture before radiotherapy. During the course of radiotherapy, almost half of the negative patients turned positive. The severity of the acute radiation reaction of the oropharyngeal mucosa was not related to the apparent presence or absence of C. albicans. Amphotericin B (1 cm³ [100 mg] four times daily) converted about one-third of the positive patients to negative</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>Ben-Aryeh et al</td>
<td>Int J Oral Surg</td>
<td>Flow rate, pH, electrolytes, protein, and phosphate evaluation of whole saliva in 15 healthy individuals and 15 patients with malignant tumors in the head and neck region before and during irradiation therapy</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>The most significant finding was the increased sodium content. Irradiation reduces the reabsorption ability of the tubuli, causing the sodium content of the saliva to increase</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>Dreizen et al</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Saliva and serum electrolyte concentrations were monitored in 30 patients given a course of xerostomia-producing cancer radiotherapy</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>The xerostomic saliva was more concentrated and had a greater salinity than the pretreatment saliva in each instance. In contrast, none of the serum electrolytes measured was significantly altered by the subtotal salivary shutdown</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Abelson and Mandel</td>
<td>J Dent Res</td>
<td>To evaluate the impact of the saliva on plaque in vivo, following exposure to a sucrose substrate, in ten caries-resistant and ten caries-susceptible subjects under varying conditions of salivary access</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>The study results indicate that saliva plays a major role in mediating plaque pH and qualitatively reflects caries status</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Keene et al</td>
<td>Caries Res</td>
<td>To evaluate the prevalence of Streptococcus mutans in both preradiation and irradiation patients</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>S. mutans were detected in 82% of the irradiated patients and 100% of the preradiotherapy patients. In the irradiated group without current caries, S. mutans prevalence was inversely related to the number of elapsed years postradiotherapy</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Izutsu et al</td>
<td>Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Radiat Oncal Biol Phys</td>
<td>Albumin concentrations were measured in whole and parotid saliva samples collected from patients who were undergoing various cancer treatment protocols and had a high incidence of stomatitis</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>The salivary albumin increases always preceded and often occurred in the absence of stomatitis, suggesting that the whole saliva albumin level may be a useful measure and predictor of this condition</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Marks et al</td>
<td>Int J Radiat Oncal Biol Phys</td>
<td>To establish a dose–response curve for the human parotid, selective measurements of right and left parotid salivary flow were done for 15 age-matched control patients whose parotids were not irradiated, 17 patients who had both parotids irradiated, and 12 whose parotids were irradiated by unilateral electron beam technique</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>In this study there clearly exists a dose–response correlation for the late effects of radiation on parotid salivary flow. Indeed, parotid salivary flow progressively decreased with increasing doses of radiation. A change in pH of saliva that, especially at high doses, becomes acidic was found</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 1986
**Makkonen et al.** (Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol)
**Title:** To analyze the radiation-induced changes in the flow rate and protein composition of stimulated whole saliva in eleven patients treated for malignant conditions of the head and neck.
**Summary:** It is concluded that the observed qualitative changes in whole saliva components are net effects caused by the cancer itself, radiotherapy given, systemic diseases, or medications, as well as mucosal inflammations.

### 1987
**Fox et al.** (J Am Dent Assoc)
**Title:** Describes the responses to a questionnaire of oral findings and QOL in patients with reduced saliva flow. Moreover, an objective measurement of the major saliva output unstimulated and stimulated.
**Summary:** In this study there exists a correlation between clinical symptoms and low-rate salivary flow. The questionnaire clearly helps to identify xerostomic patients and allows appropriate management to begin.

### 1988
**Hase and Birkhed** (Arch Oral Biol)
**Title:** The aim was to study the effect of different salivary secretion rates on glucose clearance in saliva and on pH change in dental plaque in man, in normal and hyposalivation conditions.
**Summary:** The pH changes in dental plaque after the mouth rinse with glucose at extremely low secretion rate were significantly more pronounced than the normal flow rate. Thus, the salivary secretion rate affects both the glucose clearance in saliva and the pH changes in dental plaque in man.

### 1991
**Maciejewski et al.** (Radiother Oncol)
**Title:** To evaluate the effects and efficacy of the application of 2% silver-nitrate solution for several days before radiotherapy in 16 patients treated for squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity or oropharynx.
**Summary:** The application of solutions and astringents is effective in modifying the development of acute side effects of radiotherapy and to decrease signs, symptoms, and suffering by modulating the biological status of critical normal tissue before the onset of radiotherapy. Unfortunately, their application before radiotherapy has not given significant results in order to promote reparative processes.

### 1993
**Lingström and Birkhed** (Acta Odontol Scand)
**Title:** To evaluate plaque pH and oral retention after consumption of starchy snack products at normal and low salivary secretion rate.
**Summary:** All products resulted in greater pH falls and remained at a low level for a longer period during low secretion rate. There were no differences in concentration of carbohydrates in saliva after consumption of starchy snack products. Low secretion rate increased the oral retention for all products.

### 1993
**Valdez et al.** (Cancer)
**Title:** To evaluate whether the sialogogue pilocarpine given during radiotherapy may reduce the severity of xerostomia and salivary dysfunction. The patients, requiring head, neck, or mantle radiotherapy, took either 5 mg of pilocarpine or placebo four times daily for 3 months, beginning the day before radiotherapy. Subjective complaints and salivary functions were assessed.
**Summary:** The stimulation with pilocarpine may reduce the severity of salivary dysfunction and associated oral symptoms during radiotherapy.

### 1997
**Bagheri et al.** (Eur J Clin Pharmacol)
**Title:** To compare the effects of yohimbine, an alpha-2 adrenoceptor antagonist, and anethole trithione, a reference drug in the treatment of dry mouth, in patients treated with psychotropic drugs (tricyclic antidepressants or neuroleptics) and suffering from xerostomia.
**Summary:** The study results show that under experimental conditions, yohimbine, but not anethole trithione, stimulates salivary secretion after a 5-day treatment in patients receiving antidepressants or neuroleptics and suffering from dry mouth.

---

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Qualities and relevance of studies included score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Ramirez-Amador et al</td>
<td>Oral Med</td>
<td>To quantify oral Candida colonization, assessing symptoms, and response to antifungal management, especially Candida, and evaluate the influence of smoking and dentures</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>When salivary glands are included in the field of radiation, xerostomia occurs causing progressive increases in oral Candida colonization. Because 17.4% developed clinical candidiasis during radiotherapy and the question of fungal resistance remains speculative, a recommendation for the prophylactic use of antifungal medication is unresolved</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Almståhl and Wikström</td>
<td>J Dent Res</td>
<td>To evaluate the effect of hyposalivation on the oral microflora</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>The results indicated that a low salivary secretion rate mainly promotes a flora associated with the development of caries</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Ravald and List</td>
<td>Swed Dent J</td>
<td>The investigation is designed to study caries and periodontal conditions in a selected group of patients with primary Sjögren's syndrome. Clinical examination includes registrations of dental caries, restorations, and periodontal condition</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>The patients with primary Sjögren's syndrome face a high risk of developing both coronal and root caries due to xerostomia. The periodontal conditions are similar to those found in patient groups in general dentistry</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>De-Graeff et al</td>
<td>Oral Oncol</td>
<td>To describe prospectively the QOL and mood in patients with oral or oropharyngeal cancer treated with surgery radiotherapy</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>After treatment, a gradual improvement in emotional functioning occurred. Surgical treatment for oral or oropharyngeal cancer results in significant deterioration of physical functioning and symptoms during the first year, especially when combined with radiotherapy</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Hamada et al</td>
<td>Am J Med Sci</td>
<td>To evaluate the efficacy of AT, a cholagogue, for xerostomia signs management</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>The results indicate that AT sufficiently stimulates salivation and improves xerostomia</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Almståhl et al</td>
<td>Oral Microbiol Immunol</td>
<td>To compare lactoferrin, amylase, and MUC5B concentrations in stimulated whole saliva collected from subjects with radiation-induced hyposalivation, subjects with primary Sjögren's syndrome, and subjects with hyposalivation of unknown origin or due to medicines. In addition, the data in relation to the presence of selected microbial species that have been associated with oral disorders were analyzed</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>The saliva composition in subjects with hyposalivation of unknown origin or due to medicines was close to that in the healthy controls. All three hyposalivation groups tended to display a decrease in the concentrations of MUC5B and amylase. None of the microbial species analyzed correlated with concentration of MUC5B in saliva</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Burlage et al</td>
<td>Radiother Oncol</td>
<td>It was studied whether differences in acute radiosensitivity exist between parotid and submandibular/sublingual glands</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>The results revealed that salivary flow rates decreased dramatically during the first 2 weeks of radiotherapy. Neither recovery nor significant differences were observed between the production of saliva from the parotid and submandibular/sublingual glands during the 13-week observation period</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Journal</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Epstein et al</td>
<td>Head Neck</td>
<td>To assess the QOL, oral function, and oral symptoms in a cohort of patients during and after radiotherapy, by QLQ-C30, with an added oral symptom and function</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>++</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Moore et al</td>
<td>Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod</td>
<td>The study evaluates the prevalence of dry-mouth symptoms (xerostomia), the prevalence of hyposalivation in this population, and the possible interrelationships between salivary dysfunction and diabetic complications</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Wijers et al</td>
<td>Head Neck</td>
<td>The first aim of the study was to evaluate the degree of xerostomia in 39 long-term survivors treated between 1965 and 1995 by conventional 2D radiotherapy and currently without evidence of disease. The second aim was to develop a concise instrument to evaluate the subjective aspects of xerostomia</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>++</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Koseki et al</td>
<td>Oral Dis</td>
<td>To investigate oral symptoms and clinical parameters in dry eye patients</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>++</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Eliasson et al</td>
<td>Eur J Oral Sci</td>
<td>To investigate the secretion rate from palatal, buccal, and labial glands, and to analyze the IgA concentrations in relation to age, sex, circulatory disease, diabetes, medication, smoking, and pregnancy</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>++</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Chambers et al</td>
<td>Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys</td>
<td>To assess the safety of long-term cevimeline treatment of radiation-induced xerostomia in patients with head and neck cancer; and to assess the efficacy of cevimeline in these patients</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The EORTC QLQ-C30 questionnaire with the oral assessment addendum provides a measure of the QOL and oral function in head and neck cancer patients and may provide useful outcome measures for assessment of oral care prevention and management strategies in these patient populations.

Subjects with type 1 diabetes who had developed neuropathy more often reported symptoms of dry mouth as well as symptoms of decreased salivary flow rates.

In this survey, 64% of the long-term survivors, after treatment by conventional 2D radiotherapy for a malignancy in the head and neck region, still experienced a moderate-to-severe degree of permanent xerostomia.

The sensation of a dry mouth and changes in oral soft tissues, dental caries, and oral Candida frequently occurred in dry eye patients.

The results did not suggest any effect of aging on the secretion capacity of minor salivary glands, but the IgA concentration seemed to increase with age. Women had lower buccal and labial saliva secretion rates, and lower levels of IgA in buccal saliva, than men. For whole saliva, resting, but not stimulated, saliva secretion rates were reduced with age, and the secretion rate of stimulated whole saliva was lower in women than in men.

Cevimeline 45 mg three times daily was generally well tolerated over a period of 52 weeks in subjects with xerostomia secondary to radiotherapy for cancer in the head and neck region.

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Qualities and relevance of studies included score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Chambers et al</td>
<td>Int J Radiat Oncal Biol Phys</td>
<td>To study the efficacy and safety of cevimeline in two double-blind trials enrolling patients with head and neck cancer in whom xerostomia developed after radiotherapy</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Cevimeline was well tolerated by patients with xerostomia after radiotherapy for head and neck cancer, and oral administration of 30–45 mg of cevimeline three times daily increased unstimulated salivary flow</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Brand et al</td>
<td>Br Dent J</td>
<td>To assess the severity of xerostomia in HSCT patients and to investigate the association of xerostomia with other chronic oral complications</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>HSCT patients have more severe xerostomia, which is associated with other oral complaints</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Busato et al</td>
<td>Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod</td>
<td>To evaluate the impact of xerostomia on the QOL of adolescents with DMI</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Xerostomia is frequent and has a negative impact on QOL of adolescents with DMI</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Tomiita et al</td>
<td>Mod Rheumatol</td>
<td>To evaluate the efficacy and safety of orally administered pilocarpine hydrochloride for juvenile-onset Sjögren’s syndrome patients</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>The results of this study suggest that orally administered pilocarpine is safe and effective for treating xerostomia in juvenile-onset Sjögren’s syndrome patients</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Almståhl et al</td>
<td>Arch Oral Biol</td>
<td>To analyze the frequency of different Lactobacillus spp. in relation to the pH-lowering potential of the plaque</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>There were large intra- and interindividual variations in frequencies of Lactobacillus spp. and Lactobacillus counts, but no specific species could be related to plaque acidogenicity</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Almeida and Kowalski</td>
<td>Braz J Otorhino-laryngol</td>
<td>To report on the experience with pilocarpine on the treatment of xerostomia in thyroid cancer patients submitted to adjuvant RIT</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Pilocarpine seems to relieve xerostomia complaints in thyroid cancer patients because it is able to stimulate salivary flow, but the observed side effects made the patients refuse long-term therapy continuation</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Sher et al</td>
<td>Int J Radiat Oncal Biol Phys</td>
<td>A retrospective study of all patients treated at the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute for HNCUP with IMRT between August 2004 and January 2009. The primary endpoint was overall survival; the secondary endpoints were locoregional and distant control, and acute and chronic toxicity</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>In a single-institution series, IMRT-based chemoradiotherapy for HNCUP was associated with superb overall survival and locoregional control. The xerostomia rates were promising, but the aggressive therapy was associated with significant rates of esophageal stenosis</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Villa et al</td>
<td>J Am Dent Assoc</td>
<td>To estimate the prevalence of the subjective perception of dry mouth in dental patients in Italy, to relate these estimates to the patients' ages and sexes, and to determine whether xerogenic medications taken by these patients were associated with complaints of xerostomia</td>
<td>1,201</td>
<td>The authors found that medication use and age were highly significant risk factors for dental patients reporting xerostomia</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Xerostomia induced by radiotherapy

To examine the symptoms and risk factors associated with self-reported xerostomia

Participants reported having dry mouth in 19.6% of cases. Older individuals were significantly more likely to report dry mouth, and the prevalence of xerostomia increased with advancing age. The prevalence of xerostomia in patients taking one or more drugs was significantly higher compared to medication-free patients, and increased with increasing numbers of medications used. Finally, individuals with a nervous or mental disorder, or who wore removable dentures, were five times more likely to develop xerostomia than patients without mental disorder or dentures.

Notes: ++, high level; +++, medium level; +, low level. *The cohort of patients who had been free of disease for 5 years or longer.

Abbreviations: eC, ethylenedicysteine; eCD, N,N′-1,2-ethylenediylbis-l-cysteinediethylester; AT, anethole trithione; QLQ, Quality of Life Questionnaire; IgA, immunoglobulin A; HSCT, hematopoietic stem cell transplantation; QOL, quality of life; DM1, type 1 diabetes mellitus; RIT, radioactive iodine therapy; HNCUP, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; IMRT, intensity-modulated radiotherapy; 2D, two-dimensional; EORTC, European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer; QLQ-C30, Quality of Life Questionnaire.
and the host protection decreases giving rise to changes in the oral flora.  

**Microbial changes**

All of these radiation-induced changes cause a different oral flora growth and acidogenic, cariogenic microorganisms are more present than non-cariogenic microorganisms. Unfortunately, even if there is an increase in immunoprotein and lysozyme levels, a significant immunoprotein deficit occurs due to the decrease in salivary flow rate. *Streptococcus mutans*, *Lactobacillus* spp., and *Candida* spp. are the most prevalent in the plaque of irradiated patients. In a longitudinal study, Brown et al assessed the effects of radiation-induced xerostomia on the human oral microflora and on the subsequent development of dental caries.  

Five intraoral specimens consisting of resting saliva, gingival sulcus fluid, dental plaque, lingual swabs, and stimulated whole saliva were collected from each patient two times during 1 week before radiation, one time per week during radiotherapy, at 3-month intervals during the first postradiation year, and at 6-month intervals thereafter. During irradiation, the development of xerostomia was matched by a parallel and pronounced shift in certain microbial populations at each intraoral site assessed. The most prominent changes were the increase in *S. mutans* and species of *Lactobacillus*, *Candida* (primarily *Candida albicans*), and *Staphylococcus*, with parallel decreases in *Streptococcus sanguis* and species of *Neisseria* and *Fusobacterium*. Microbial differences were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Number of papers reviewed</th>
<th>Qualities and relevance of studies included score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Scully and Epstein</td>
<td><em>Eur J Cancer B Oral Oncol</em></td>
<td>Narrative – To discuss the etiopathogenesis and current means available for preventing, ameliorating, and treating these complications, as well as indicating research directions</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Sreebny</td>
<td><em>Int Dent J</em></td>
<td>Systematic – This paper reviews the role of saliva, the prevalence of oral dryness, and consequent importance of salivary flow as well as the relationship between xerostomia and salivary gland hypofunction among the causes of oral dryness. Other aspects: associations between saliva and Sjögren’s syndrome and esophageal function; use of saliva as diagnostic tool</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>Dreizen et al</td>
<td><em>Postgrad Med</em></td>
<td>Narrative – To evaluate the main injury to surrounding tissues during radiotherapy for oral cancer that can have devastating physical and psychological consequences for the patients</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Atkinson and Wu</td>
<td><em>J Am Dent Assoc</em></td>
<td>Narrative – To evaluate the three most common known causes (medication, radiotherapy, and Sjögren’s syndrome) of salivary gland dysfunction and their clinical management</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Pedersen et al</td>
<td><em>Oral Dis</em></td>
<td>Narrative – This paper reviews the role of human saliva and its compositional elements in relation to the gastrointestinal functions of taste, mastication, bolus formation, enzymatic digestion, and swallowing</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Radvansky et al</td>
<td><em>Am J Health Syst Pharm</em></td>
<td>Narrative – To evaluate current strategies for preventing and managing radiation-induced dermatitis, mucositis, and xerostomia, with an emphasis on pharmacologic interventions</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: +++: high level; ++: medium level; +: low level.
Radiotherapy can cause some temporary side effects. Although these may be worse if the treatment is combined with chemotherapy, they gradually disappear after the treatment has finished. Most radiotherapy side effects occur toward the middle and end of the course of treatment and continue during the first couple of weeks after the treatment. The effects can be mild or more troublesome, depending on the dose of radiotherapy and the length of treatment. Thus, the quantitative and qualitative salivary changes predispose the irradiated patient to a variety of problems.

Radiotherapy in HNC is inevitably associated with damages to the oral tissues and, in addition, the clinical consequences of radiotherapy include also dermatitis and osteoradionecrosis. In fact, salivary glands are often involved and, as a result, patients may have a salivary gland hypofunction, even if 3D planning and unilateral irradiation have considerably reduced the side effects by minimizing the dose to normal tissues. However, the final degree of damage to gland tissue depends on individual patient characteristics, such as pretreatment already done, age, and sex.

Xerostomia may affect 80% of the patients who need radiotherapy as a primary treatment, as an adjunct to surgery, in combination with chemotherapy, or as palliation. Hyposalivation represents the biggest acute side effect in HNC radiotherapy and, in general, is always associated with oral function problems, such as chewing and swallowing, or carries at a later stage. Normally, during radiotherapy, salivary composition may change and it becomes more viscous than usual, so its color may turn yellow, brown, or even white (Figure 2). Furthermore, salivary glands with high flow rates before the initiation of radiotherapy show less reduction in salivary flow rate. As a consequence of the reduction in the rate of saliva flow, which is correlated to the amount of radiation given to the patient, oral complications occur.

The buccal mucosa has a dry and sticky appearance (Figure 3). The normally moist, glistening appearance of the oral cavity is often replaced with a thin, pale, cracked appearance that is more susceptible to gingivitis and bleeding. Another frequent acute side effect is oral mucositis, which can be experienced by >50% of patients receiving HNC radiotherapy. Some typical side effects are onset of erythema, edema, and pain in the oral mucosa. Patients may also exhibit a dry irritated tongue with an erythematous appearance of the dorsal surface, the hard or soft palate, the commissures of the mouth, and under-removable prostheses.
Furthermore, the lack of saliva may lead to angular cheilitis, cracked lips (Figure 4), periodontal disease, aching of the mouth, and halitosis.

When part or all of the mouth is treated, the sense of taste may change quickly during the radiotherapy, and some patients may even either lose their sense of taste completely or find that everything tastes the same (usually rather metallic or salty). Changes in taste are correlated to the direct irradiation of the taste buds, and also to the reduction in salivary flow rate that alters the ionic composition of saliva that is related to the sensation of taste.46

Moreover, the loss of saliva compromises mastication and nutrition. Some patients lose their appetite as a general effect of radiotherapy. Dryness of the mouth and lips can cause discomfort, ranging from a mild irritation to a severe burning sensation with difficulties in normal eating habits, particularly eating spicy or acidic food. A sore, dry mouth can also make eating and swallowing difficult because moistening of food is insufficient and oral mucosa surfaces are not wet and not lubricated enough.47

Furthermore, an insufficient lubrication, due to a diminished salivary output, causes intolerance to prosthetic appliances, so more friction is present between the mucosa and the resin that can injure the delicate irradiated epithelial layer. In addition, the inadequate presence of saliva weakens the stability of prostheses in the mouth. Ulceration is more likely because the dry mucosa is more vulnerable to trauma.

A further complication that tends to occur later in irradiated patients is the increased risk of developing dental caries and oral infections, due to the alterations in the saliva flow and consequently in oral microflora.46 The decay is most often recurrent or primary and located at sites generally not usually susceptible to caries such as the cervical margins, incisal margins, or the tips of teeth (Figure 4).

Another issue is the high incidence of yeast infections during xerostomia.48 An example being the C. albicans infection, which is very common in both dentate and edentulous individuals and allows a colonization of oral mucosae increasing the risk of oral mucosal infections.49

Another acute side effect of radiotherapy is dermatitis, which can be experienced by up to 95% of patients.41 The skin over the face and neck is very likely to gradually redden or darken and become sore. At the same time, the mouth and throat become sore and inflamed after a couple of weeks of treatment and mouth ulcers may occur; the voice may also become hoarse.

Discussion
The treatment of xerostomia has four aims: increasing existing saliva flow or replacing lost secretions, the control of the state of oral health, the control of dental caries, and the treatment of possible infections.51

Therapy options in xerostomia depend on the presence of residual secretion or the absence of it. When residual
duration of its sialogogic effect seems to be unclear. Clinical
concentrations in approximately 90 minutes without food. The
in cardiac and respiratory tissues. This receptor subtype
saliva and sweat. M2 and M4 receptor sites predominate
leading to an increase in exocrine gland secretion including
specifically the M1 and M3 subtypes present, for instance,
to muscarinic acetylcholine receptors in exocrine glands,
confusion, and parkinsonian-like syndromes. 

The salivary flow can also be stimulated by the use of
cholinergic pharmaceutical preparations, such as pilocarpine
or cevimeline. These two parasympathomimetic drugs are
approved by the Food and Drug Administration for treat-
mant of xerostomia; pilocarpine is approved for Sjögren’s
syndrome and radiotherapy-induced xerostomia, while
cevimeline seems to be more specific for Sjögren’s syndrome.
Pilocarpine, a natural alkaloid, is a parasympathomimetic
agent with β-adrenergic effects that activates cholinergic
receptors, stimulating the residual function of the salivary
glands. The recommended dose is 5 mg orally three times a
day. Severe adverse effects are rare, but side effects associ-
ated with the use of the drug are vomiting, sweating, head-
ache, increased urinary frequency, wheezing, watery eyes,
and nausea, and gastrointestinal intolerance. Hypotension,
rhinitis, diarrhea, and visual disturbances can also occur.
Normally, these are moderate in intensity and last for a short
period of time. Patients with asthma, high blood pressure,
heart diseases, and in therapy with β-blockers cannot use
pilocarpine because this drug is a nonselective antagonist of
muscarinic receptors and, therefore, it can interfere with the
cardiac and respiratory functions in those patients. For the
same reason, pilocarpine, stimulating muscarinic receptors
in the central nervous system, can cause onset of agitation,
confusion, and parkinsonian-like syndromes.

Cevimeline is analogous to acetylcholine, which binds
to muscarinic acetylcholine receptors in exocrine glands,
specifically the M1 and M3 subtypes present, for instance,
in the epithelium of the salivary and lachrymal glands,
leading to an increase in exocrine gland secretion including
saliva and sweat. M2 and M4 receptor sites predominate
in cardiac and respiratory tissues. This receptor subtype
selectivity is presumed to mitigate the systemic adverse
effects of muscarinic–cholinergic stimulation. It is rapidly
absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract, reaching peak con-
centrations in approximately 90 minutes without food. The
duration of its sialogogic effect seems to be unclear. Clinical
trials have shown it to be more effective than placebos in
relieving the symptoms of a dry mouth. The recommended
dose is 30 mg orally three times a day, but in two clinical
trials, it has been shown that the use of cevimeline in treat-
ning radiation-induced xerostomia, increasing the dose to
45 mg, was well tolerated by patients, with an increase of
unstimulated salivary flow. This medication is not recom-
med for patients with uncontrolled asthma, narrow-angle
inter Oliveira...
of modulating the complex process of salivary secretion. There are some studies that provide encouraging results, suggesting an effective increase in salivary flow, while others do not detect statistically significant differences in the increase in salivary flow between the treated subjects and controls. However, the results of systematic reviews do not indicate the efficacy of acupuncture in the treatment of xerostomia due to the current lack of relevant randomized clinical trials.

When stimulation of salivary secretion fails, patients can be given palliative oral care in the form of application of mouthwashes and saliva substitutes. Although the daily use of a mouthwash or one of the saliva substitutes that are formulated to mimic natural saliva, is strongly recommended, they do not stimulate salivary gland production. Commercially available products come in a variety of formulations including solutions, sprays, gels, and lozenges. In general, they contain an agent to increase viscosity, such as carboxymethylcellulose or hydroxypropylmethylcellulose, hydroxyethylcellulose, and polyglycerylmethacrylate, minerals such as calcium and phosphate ions and fluoride, preservatives such as methyl or propylparaben, and flavoring and related agents.

Also homeopathic remedies such as olive oil, aloe vera gel, and rape oil spray may be effective alternatives in the palliative management of xerostomic patients.

In order to minimize problems related to the absence of or reduced secretion of saliva, all patients should be encouraged to take an active role in the management of their xerostomia; so a daily mouth examination, checking for red, white, or dark patches, ulcers, or tooth decay, is highly recommended.

Patients with reduced saliva should also be encouraged to consider visiting their dentist more frequently because they have got a greater susceptibility to dental problems. Teeth should be cleaned at least twice a day, so brushing and flossing regularly and the daily use of fluoride and chlorhexidine rinses may also be useful in preventing caries by reducing amounts of Streptococcus and Lactobacillus in the mouth. For daily use, a special dentifrice (eg, children’s toothpaste or anti-xerostomia dentifrices) is recommended, they do not stimulate salivary gland production.

Patients with decreased salivary flow should also be made aware of the necessity to comply with suggested oral hygiene regimens after exposure to acid-producing food sources. Recommendations for professional and home fluoride treatments should be considered carefully for patients with salivary dysfunction, especially those with high caries rates and exposed root surfaces. A modified diet can be useful to minimize the effects of xerostomia; for instance, they should avoid sugary or acidic foods and also avoid dry, spicy, astringent, or excessively hot or cold foods that are more irritating, while eating foods such as carrots or celery may also help patients with residual salivary gland function. The addition of flavor enhancers such as herbs, condiments, and fruit extracts may make food more palatable to patients complaining of their food tasting bland, papery, salty, or otherwise unpleasant; at the same time, taking frequent sips of water throughout the day and sucking on ice chips are helpful.

Conclusion

The resulting salivary gland hypofunction and xerostomia arising from radiotherapy for HNC can cause a serious and chronic condition. The stomatologic complications could depend on the type of cancer treatment and the cumulative radiation dose to the gland tissue. They can be reversible or irreversible, transient, or enduring. The best approach to manage the radiotherapeutic patient begins with a careful clinical assessment of the individual case, followed by preventive therapy aimed to reduce oral complications when possible. Therefore, the clinician must keep this kind of patients under careful control in order to palliate the symptoms of xerostomia and improve their quality of life.
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