Polymeric nanoparticles for targeted treatment in oncology: current insights
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Abstract: Chemotherapy, a major strategy for cancer treatment, lacks the specificity to localize the cancer therapeutics in the tumor site, thereby affecting normal healthy tissues and advocating toxic adverse effects. Nanotechnological intervention has greatly revolutionized the therapy of cancer by surmounting the current limitations in conventional chemotherapy, which include undesirable biodistribution, cancer cell drug resistance, and severe systemic side effects. Nanoparticles (NPs) achieve preferential accumulation in the tumor site by virtue of their passive and ligand-based targeting mechanisms. Polymer-based nanomedicine, an arena that entails the use of polymeric NPs, polymer micelles, dendrimers, polymersomes, polyplexes, polymer–lipid hybrid systems, and polymer–drug/protein conjugates for improvement in efficacy of cancer therapeutics, has been widely explored. The broad scope for chemically modifying the polymer into desired construct makes it a versatile delivery system. Several polymer-based therapeutic NPs have been approved for clinical use. This review provides an insight into the advances in polymer-based targeted nanocarriers with focus on therapeutic aspects in the field of oncology.
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Introduction
Cancer is a disease characterized by the uncontrolled growth and spread of abnormal cells, and is still the second most common cause of death worldwide. Current treatment for cancer includes surgery, radiation, hormone therapy, and chemotherapy. Chemotherapy forms a major strategy for treating the disease. Conventional chemotherapy is highly nonspecific in targeting the drug to cancerous cells, making the normal healthy cells vulnerable to the drug’s undesirable effects. This significantly hampers the maximum allowable dose of the drug. Moreover, rapid elimination and specific distribution into targeted organs and tissues necessitate the administration of large dose of drug, which is not economical and often results in untoward toxicity issues.¹,² Nanoparticles (NPs) are customized drug delivery vectors capable of preferentially targeting large doses of chemotherapeutic agents or therapeutic genes into malignant cells while sparing healthy cells. NPs hold great promise of drastically changing the face of oncology by their ability of targeted delivery, and thereby, overcoming limitations of conventional chemotherapy, which include undesirable biodistribution, cancer cell drug resistance, and severe systemic side effects.³,⁴

There are numerous NP systems currently being employed for cancer therapeutics. The properties of these systems have been modulated to enhance delivery to the tumor; for instance, hydrophilic surfaces provide the NPs with stealth properties for longer circulation times, and positively charged surfaces can enhance internalization into the cancer cells.¹ The types of NPs currently explored for cancer therapeutic applications include dendrimers,
liposomes, polymeric NPs, micelles, protein NPs, lipid NPs, ceramic NPs, viral NPs, metallic NPs, and carbon nanotubes. The broad scope for chemically modifying the polymeric system facilitates its wide utility for targeted and therapeutic aspects in the field of oncology. Polymeric NPs are defined by their morphology and composition in the core and periphery. The therapeutic agent is either conjugated to the surface of the NP, or encapsulated and protected inside the polymeric core. Polymeric NP platforms are characterized by their unique physicochemical structures, including solid polymeric NP, polymeric micelle, polymer conjugate, dendrimer, polymersome, polyplex, and polymer–lipid hybrid system (Figure 1). The functionalization of the NPs helps to achieve extended blood residence time, reduce nonspecific distribution, and target tissues or specific cell surface antigens with a targeting ligand (peptide, aptamer, antibody/antibody fragment, small molecule).

This review details the targeting aspects and various polymer-based nanocarriers for cancer therapy.

### Targeted delivery of NPs

The delivery of an anticancer drug to the target tissue can be achieved by NPs primarily in two ways: passive and ligand-based targeting (Figure 2).

#### Passive targeting

This targeting approach exploits the pathophysiological conditions, such as leaky vasculature, pH, temperature, and surface charge surrounding the tumor for specific delivery of NPs.

#### Enhanced permeation and retention (EPR) effect

Nanoparticulate systems take advantage of unique pathophysiologic characteristics of tumor vessels for passive targeting. When the tumor volume reaches above 2 mm³, diffusion limitation sets in, which eventually impairs nutrition intake, waste excretion, and oxygen delivery. Such rapidly growing cancer cells recruit the generation of new blood vessels, a phenomenon called angiogenesis (or neovascularization). Aberrant tortuosity, abnormalities in the basement membrane, and the lack of pericytes lining endothelial cells are the features of this process, which results in leaky vessels with gap sizes of 100 nm to 2 μm, depending upon the tumor type. Moreover, such tumors exhibit poor lymphatic drainage due to the high interstitial pressure at the core of the tumor than at the periphery. This combination of leaky vasculature and poor lymphatic flow results in enhanced permeation and retention (EPR) effect. NPs can preferentially localize in cancerous tissues owing to their size being smaller than
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**Figure 1** Schematic illustration of polymeric nanoparticle platforms.

**Note:** Blue color represents the polymeric platform.
blood vessel fenestration and be entrapped in the tumor due to higher retention ability than the normal tissues.\textsuperscript{5,7,8}

**Tumor microenvironment**

Passive targeting can also be achieved by exploiting the microenvironment surrounding tumor cells, which is distinct from the normal cells. Rapidly dividing cancer cells exhibit a high metabolic rate. Tumor cells utilize glycolysis to maintain adequate supply of nutrients and oxygen, thereby resulting in an acidic environment.\textsuperscript{9} The pH-sensitive nanoparticulate systems are designed to be stable at a physiologic pH of 7.4 but degraded to release active drug in target tissues in which the pH is less than physiologic values, such as in the acidic environment of tumor cells.\textsuperscript{10} Hyperthermia is implicated in many pathological areas such as human ovarian carcinoma. Thermo-sensitive polymeric system contains polymer that exhibits a low critical solution temperature (LCST) and that tends to precipitate when the temperature is above LCST in the tumor with concomitant release of payload. Localized hyperthermia in tumors can be induced by physical methods such as ultrasound or photothermal means.\textsuperscript{11,12} Additionally, cancer cells express and release unique enzymes such as matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), which are implicated in their movement and survival mechanisms.\textsuperscript{13} An albumin-bound form of doxorubicin (DOX) incorporating a MMP-2-specific octapeptide sequence between the drug and the carrier was observed to be efficiently and specifically cleaved by MMP-2 in an in vitro study.\textsuperscript{14}

**Surface charge**

Passive targeting also entails the use of innate feature of the NP such as charge to target the tumor. Tumor cells bear relatively high negative surface charge than normal cells, thereby enabling favored binding by cationic NP systems.\textsuperscript{15} Targeting of cationic NP system is achieved by electrostatic binding to negatively charged phospholipid headgroups preferentially expressed on tumor endothelial cells.\textsuperscript{16,17} The cytotoxicity potential of polymeric NPs largely depends on cellular internalization and subcellular localization of the NPs, which is governed by the nature of polymeric surface charge (anionic, cationic, or neutral).\textsuperscript{18} Cationic NPs have been found to efficiently deliver small interfering RNA (siRNA) to silence target gene in cancer cells and also sensitize the cancer cells to the effect of paclitaxel (PTX) for improved anticancer activity.\textsuperscript{19,20}

**Ligand-based targeting**

In ligand-based targeting, ligands are conjugated at the periphery of the nanoparticulate system to bind with
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*Figure 2* Overview of targeting approaches of polymeric nanoparticles in cancer.
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appropriate receptors at the target tumor site. The targeting ligands can be categorized as proteins (antibody and its fragments), nucleic acids (aptamers), or other ligands (peptides, vitamins, and carbohydrates), which generally bind to the receptor uniquely overexpressed by tumor cells or vasculature.\textsuperscript{21–23} The targeting ligands play a vital role in enhancing cellular uptake of NPs through the process of endocytosis. Long-circulating NPs enable their efficient delivery to the tumor site by the EPR phenomena, and internalization of the nanosystem results in improved therapeutic effect.\textsuperscript{24–27} The cellular targets for this strategy have been identified on the tumor cell and endothelium.

**Tumor cell targeting**
This targeting approach involves targeting of cell surface receptors overexpressed by tumor cells in order to enhance the cellular uptake of the nanocarriers. The ligand-based targeting is more important for the intracellular delivery of macromolecular drugs such as DNA, siRNA, and proteins, whose site of action is located intracellularly. The cellular internalization of nanocarrier increases the antitumoral efficacy of ligand-targeted nanocarriers.\textsuperscript{5} The ability of the nanocarrier to be internalized post-binding to target cell receptor is requisite for proper selection of targeting ligands.\textsuperscript{2} The most widely studied targets are transferrin, folate, and epidermal growth factor receptors (EGFRs), and glycoproteins.

**Transferrin receptors**
Transferrin, a serum non-heme iron-binding glycoprotein, transports iron through the blood and into proliferating cells by attaching to the transferrin receptor. Once the transferrin is internalized, iron is released as a result of endocytosis in the acidic environment of the cell. The transferrin receptor is an important protein responsible for iron homeostasis and regulation of cell growth. Thus, the overexpression of transferrin receptors in metastatic and drug-resistant cancer cells in comparison to the normal cells due to increased requirement of iron makes this receptor a pertinent target for cancer therapy.\textsuperscript{2,28,29}

**Folate receptors**
The folate receptor is a 38 kDa glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol-conjugated glycoprotein, which is the most widely researched tumor marker. This receptor binds to the vitamin folic acid and folate–drug conjugates or folate-anchored nanocarriers with a high affinity and internalizes into the cells via receptor-mediated endocytosis. Folic acid is necessary for the synthesis of nucleotide bases, viz purines and pyrimidines. Moreover, normal cells transport folic acid only in reduced form such as 5-methyl-tetrahydrofolate and do not transport folate conjugates across their membrane.\textsuperscript{30} The major route of folate conjugate entry into the cancer cells is mainly via the folate receptors, as these receptors are significantly upregulated on cancer cells compared to normal cells.\textsuperscript{31} Functional folate receptors are majorly confined to the apical surfaces of polarized epithelia. A wide range of tumors overexpress folate receptors, including ovary, lung, brain, head and neck, renal cell, and breast cancers. The great utility of these folate ligands stems from the fact that they are inexpensive, non-toxic, and non-immunogenic. They also have high binding affinity, stability on storage and in circulation, and are easily conjugated to nanocarriers.\textsuperscript{30–32}

**Epidermal growth factor receptors**
The EGFRs belonging to a family of tyrosine kinase receptors are highly upregulated on tumor cell surfaces. EGFR binds to six known endogenous ligands: EGF, transforming growth factor-\(\alpha\), amphiregulin, betacellulin, heparin-binding EGF, and epiregulin.\textsuperscript{33} Activation of EGFR by one of these ligands stimulates intracellular signaling processes involved in tumor growth and progression that include proliferation, angiogenesis, invasion, and metastasis.\textsuperscript{34,35} The EGFR is overexpressed in breast, lung, colorectal, and brain cancers.\textsuperscript{36}

**Glycoproteins**
Lectins are proteins that can identify and attach specifically to the carbohydrate entity of glycoproteins expressed on tumor cell surface. Glycoproteins expressed on tumor cells are different from that of normal cells. Lectin targeting can be characterized as direct lectin targeting (lectins included in nanosystems as ligand to target cell surface glycoprotein) and reverse lectin targeting (conjugating nanosystem with carbohydrate moiety to target lectins). The lectin-based targeting has been applied majorly in targeting colon.\textsuperscript{37}

**Tumor endothelium targeting**
The growth of solid tumors can be inhibited by preventing angiogenesis, which is the production of new blood vessels for adequate blood supply mainly in the tumor core to provide oxygen and essential nutrients. Thus, designing of nanocarriers that actively target angiogenesis can prove to be very useful for regulating cancer growth and associated metastatic potential.\textsuperscript{38} Targeting the tumor endothelium has following merits: (i) there is no need for the nanocarriers to cross endothelial barriers to reach their target site; (ii) nanocarriers have the ease of accessibility to bind to endothelial receptors post-intravenous injection; (iii) endothelial cells are less prone to the risk of developing resistance to treatment than tumor cells because of high genetic stability; and
MMPs are known to be an essential physiologic component involved in tissue repair, morphogenesis, and angiogenesis. Membrane type 1 matrix metalloproteinase (MT1-MMP) is expressed on angiogenic endothelial tumor cells, including colon, cervical, and gastric carcinomas, and gliomas, melanomas, and malignancies of the lung.46,47 MT1-MMP functions i) by degrading the extracellular matrix, ii) by playing a role in angiogenesis, metastasis, endothelial cell invasion, and migration, iii) in the formation of capillary tubes, and iv) in recruiting accessory cells.47 It also activates MMP-2 that hydrolyzes Type IV collagen, a cementing component of basement membrane. In addition, targeting the MT1-MMP limits the ligand binding to α3β3 integrin, thereby suggesting it to be a valuable target.46

Polymer-based nanocarriers for targeted cancer therapy

An arsenal of polymeric nanocarriers, viz polymeric NPs, polymeric micelles, dendrimers, polymersomes, polypelexes, polymer hybrid systems, and polymer conjugates, has been explored for targeted delivery of therapeutic moiety in cancer. The polymers employed for fabrication of these nanocarriers may be either of natural or of synthetic origin. These polymeric NPs are capable of ferrying wide range of drugs for a sustained period of time in a controlled manner at target sites to provide enhanced antitumor efficacy with minimal systemic side effects. Also, these nanosystems protect drugs from their rapid metabolism during systemic circulation, and clearance by the liver, kidney, and reticuloendothelial system, which further improves drug’s stability and target specificity.3,48 Several polymer-based NPs have been approved clinically.

Polymeric NPs

Polymeric NPs are solid colloidal systems in which the therapeutic agent is dissolved, entrapped, encapsulated, or adsorbed onto the constituent polymer matrix. Depending upon the process of formation of NPs, the structure of resulting polymeric NPs may vary from nanospheres (matrix systems in which the drug is dispersed throughout the particles) to nanocapsules (vesicular reservoir systems in which the drug is confined to an aqueous or oily cavity surrounded by a single polymeric membrane).49,50 Several polymers such as poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA), polylactide (PLA), polyglycolide, polycaprolactone (PCL), poly(D,L-lactide), chitosan, and PLGA–polyethylene glycol (PEG) have been developed for passive and ligand-targeted delivery of therapeutic moieties exemplified in Tables 1 and 2.51–83 PEGylated PLGA NPs were employed as carrier for PTX to improve its therapeutic index. PTX-loaded NPs were found...
to be three times more cytotoxic on HeLa cells than Taxol. In vivo in transplantable liver tumor-bearing mice, PTX-loaded NPs showed noticeable tumor growth inhibition and enhanced survival rate (14 days) in comparison to Taxol (11 days). This resulted due to EPR phenomena of PTX-loaded NPs and their ability to sustain the drug levels in blood for a longer time. Biodegradable polyethylene oxide (PEO)–PCL NPs loaded with PTX and tamoxifen (TMX) were found to be efficient in overcoming multidrug resistance in ovarian adenocarcinoma. The cytotoxicity assay demonstrated that such NPs led to IC_{50} tenfold and twofold lower in sensitive SKOV3 and resistant SKOV3 cell lines in comparison to drug solution, respectively. Upon intravenous administration of PTX–TMX combination in PEO–PCL NP formulations, significant enhancement in antitumor efficacy and negligible treatment-associated toxicity were observed. Chittasupho et al formulated PLGA NPs targeting the immunologically active receptor, intercellular adhesion molecule-1, by attaching the Cyclo-(1,12)-PenITDGEATDSGC (cLABL) peptide to the NP surface. DOX-loaded cLABL peptide-conjugated PLGA NPs showed more rapid cellular uptake by A549 lung epithelial cancer cells compared to NPs without peptide. The cytotoxicity assessment of cLABL-NPs compared to free drug showed similar IC_{50} values implying that activity of the drug released from NPs was retained. Cheng et al reported A10 aptamer-functionalized PLGA–PEG NPs against prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA)-overexpressing LNCaP cancer cells. PLGA–PEG NPs functionalized with aptamer ligand have shown 3.77-fold increased delivery of NPs to tumors at 24 hours as compared to equivalent NPs lacking this aptamer. PLGA–PEG copolymer-based NPs have been investigated as an active delivery system for DOX by conjugating a novel heptapeptide that targets EGFR. The IC_{50} of DOX-loaded peptide-conjugated PLGA–PEG NPs in SKOV3 cells was lower by 62.4-fold, and cellular uptake efficiency was higher by 3.3-fold than that of peptide-free PLGA–PEG NPs. Biodistribution study in mice highlighted the fact that the accumulation of peptide-conjugated NPs was 30 times more in tumor tissue in comparison with free DOX.

**Polymeric micelles**

The ability of amphiphilic di- or tri-block copolymers to self-assemble into spherical nanosized core/shell structure in aqueous media forms polymeric micelles. The hydrophobic
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**Table 1** Polymeric nanoparticles developed for passive delivery of drugs to treat various cancers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Cancer cell line</th>
<th>In vitro and in vivo study</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLGA</td>
<td>PTX</td>
<td>Human cervical carcinoma cells (HeLa)</td>
<td>In vitro and in vivo</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cisplatin</td>
<td>Colon adenocarcinoma cells</td>
<td>In vitro and in vivo in mice</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-FU</td>
<td>Glioma (U87MG) and breast adenocarcinoma (MCF-7) cell lines</td>
<td>In vitro and in vivo in mice</td>
<td>52,53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOX</td>
<td>MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMX</td>
<td>Breast cancer (C1271) cells</td>
<td>In vivo in mouse</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS</td>
<td>Pancreatic cancer cells (PANCl)</td>
<td>In vivo</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-FU</td>
<td>Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)/SMMC-7721 cells</td>
<td>In vivo and in vivo in mouse</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA–PEG–PLGA</td>
<td>EAT cell lines</td>
<td>In vitro and in vivo in mouse</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBLG–PEG</td>
<td>Human colon cancer (LoVo) cell lines and squamous carcinoma (Tca 8113) cell lines</td>
<td>In vitro and in vivo in mouse</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLGA–mPEG</td>
<td>Gemcitabine</td>
<td>Pancreatic cancer (PCANCl)</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLGA–mPEG + CMC</td>
<td>Cisplatin</td>
<td>Prostate cancer (LNCaP) cells</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS</td>
<td>Human colon cancer (LoVo) cell lines and squamous carcinoma (Tca 8113) cell lines</td>
<td>In vitro and in vivo in mouse</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nPEG-b-P(CL-co-HCL)</td>
<td>DOX</td>
<td>HepG2 cells</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-PLGA–HSA</td>
<td>Rat glioblastoma</td>
<td>In vivo in rat</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLC and PDLLA</td>
<td>TMX</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAMAM–cholesterol</td>
<td>MCF-7 cells</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEO–PCL</td>
<td>Ovarian adenocarcinoma (SKOV3) and MDR-1-positive (SKOV3TR) cells</td>
<td>In vitro and in vivo in nude</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG–PDLLA</td>
<td>Gemcitabine</td>
<td>Human pancreatic cancer (SW1990) cells</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(butyl cyanoacrylate)</td>
<td>Eribulin</td>
<td>Human carcinoma (HeLa and A549) cell lines</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** PLGA, poly(lactide-co-glycolide); PTX, paclitaxel; 5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; DOX, doxorubicin; TMX, tamoxifen; mPEG, methoxy-polyethylene glycol; CMC, carboxymethyl cellulose; GCS, glycosylated chitosan; HA, hyaluronic acid; PEG, polyethylene glycol; EAT, Ehrlich ascites tumor; PBLG, poly[(L-glutamate); P(CL-co-HCL), poly[(L-γ-caprolactone-co-γ-hydroxye-caprolactone); HSA, human serum albumin; PLC, poly((L-lactide-co-caprolactone); PDLLA, poly((L-lactide); PAMAM, polyamidoamine; PEG, polyethylene oxide; PCL, poly(caprolactone).
part of the copolymer, which forms the core, allows for the encapsulation of anticancer drugs, whereas the hydrophilic portion of the copolymer forming the shell of the micelles provides stealth property to the micellar system. This property prevents its uptake by reticuloendothelial system, and thereby, prolongs its circulation time in bloodstream. Polymer-derived micelles exhibit greater stability and lower critical micellar concentration value (in the order of $10^{-6}$ M) in comparison to surfactant-based micelles. Recent clinical study data on few micellar-based preparations of anticancer drugs have highlighted their utility as potential drug carrier in oncotherapy.

Bisht et al synthesized polymeric micelles of cross-linked and random copolymers of $N$-isopropylacrylamide, with $N$-vinyl-2-pyrrolidone and PEG monocrylate to encapsulate curcumin. These micelles demonstrated in vitro anticancer efficacy comparable to free curcumin against human pancreatic cancer cell lines and were found to retain the mechanistic activity specific to curcumin. Jin et al explored the utility of PTX-loaded $N$-octyl-$O$-sulfate chitosan micelles for treating multidrug-resistant (MDR) cancer. These micelles exhibited high cellular uptake about twofold more than Taxol, and the low efflux of PTX resulted in the optimal cytotoxicity in both human hepatocellular liver carcinoma (HepG2) cells and the MDR HepG2 (HepG2-P) cells. Intravenous injection of PTX-loaded micelles into the tumor-bearing mice demonstrated high tumor inhibition rate of 75.5% than that of Taxol (45.3%). These micelles also prolonged survival time of mice, thereby expressing greater therapeutic efficacy than Taxol. Synergetic effect of micelles on drug delivery and permeability glycoprotein inhibition enabled the delivery of PTX in intact form at the tumor site. Polymeric micelles composed of dextran and poly($d$,l-lactide-co-glycolide) block copolymer was investigated for delivery of DOX. The in vitro anticancer effects of the polymeric micelles were investigated in DOX-resistant human cholangiocarcinoma (HuCC-T1) cells and compared with free DOX. The polymeric micelles showed about fourfold higher cytotoxicity to DOX-resistant HuCC-T1 cells than treatment with free DOX, suggesting that the polymeric micelles were effectively taken up by tumor cells by overcoming drug resistance, while free DOX hardly gained access into tumor cell. PEG–polyglutamic acid block copolymer micelles loaded with cisplatin demonstrated remarkably prolonged blood circulation and accumulation in solid tumors (Lewis lung carcinoma cells) about 20-fold higher than free cisplatin. The micellar system was found to confer both sufficient stability to ensure prolonged circulation in the bloodstream and sustained drug release kinetics upon

| Table 2: Polymeric nanoparticles employed for ligand-based delivery of drugs to treat various cancers |
|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Polymer        | Drug           | Targeting ligand | Cellular target | Cancer cell line | In vitro and in vivo study | Reference |
| PLGA           | DOX            | Cyclo-(1,12)-PenITDGeATDGC (cLABL) | ICAM-1 | Lung epithelial cancer cells (A549) | In vitro | 74 |
| PLGA-b-PeG     | Docetaxel      | A10 aptamer | PSMA | Prostate cancer cells (LNCaP) | In vitro and in vivo in mice | 76 |
| PLGA-b-PeG     | Docetaxel      | A10 2′-fluoropyridine RNA aptamer | PSMA | Prostate cancer cells (LNCaP) | In vitro and in vivo in mice | 77 |
| PLGA-b-PeG     | Docetaxel      | A10 aptamer | PSMA | Prostate cancer cells (LNCaP) | In vitro and in vivo in mice | 78 |
| PLGA–PeG       | DOX            | Novel peptide | FA | Human ovarian cancer (SKOV3) cells | In vivo in mice | 81 |
| mPeG           | Mitomycin C    | FA | Folate receptor | HeLa cells | In vivo in mice | 82 |
| Pullulan acetate | Epirubicin    | FA | Folate receptor | Nasopharyngeal epidermal carcinoma (KB) cell lines | In vitro | 83 |
| Abbreviations: PLGA, poly(lactide-co-glycolide); DOX, doxorubicin; ICAM-1, intercellular adhesion molecule-1; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; ICAM-1, intercellular adhesion molecule-1; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; PSMA, prostate-specific membrane antigen; PEG, polyethylene glycol; mPEG, methoxy-polyethylene glycol; FA, folic acid. |
accumulation at the delivery site via the EPR effect. Treatment with micelles led to complete tumor regression with no significant body weight loss, whereas free drug treatment resulted in tumor survivals and approximately 20% of body weight loss at the equivalent dose.99

Vega et al have synthesized immunopolymeric micelle by coupling antibody C225 against EGFR to the poly(t-glutamic acid)-co-PEG block copolymer for targeted delivery of DOX.90 When assessed on human vulvar squamous carcinoma A431 cells that overexpress EGFR, this antibody conjugate exhibited an IC50 of 1.7 μM which was significantly lower than free DOX having an IC50 >10 μM. Thus, the antibody conjugate proved to be more potent than free DOX in inhibiting the growth of A431 cells, owing to selective binding to EGFR and receptor-mediated uptake of the micellar system. Polymeric micelles composed of PEG–phosphatidylethanolamine were attached to antitumor mAb 2C5 having nucleosome-restricted specificity for different cancer cells for target-specific delivery of PTX. These immunomicelles effectively recognized and bound to various cancer cells (murine Lewis lung carcinoma and EL4 T cell lymphoma and human BT20 breast adenocarcinoma cell lines) in vitro. When administered intravenously into experimental mice bearing Lewis lung carcinoma, tumor-specific 2C5 immunomicelles loaded with PTX showed increased accumulation of PTX in the tumor and enhanced tumor growth inhibition by almost 2.5 times as compared with free PTX or Taxol® in non-targeted micelles.91 cRGD-labeled poly(ε-caprolactone)-PEG micelles encapsulating DOX were found to greatly enhance internalization of micelles in tumor endothelial cells (human Kaposi’s sarcoma) that overexpress αvβ3 integrins through receptor-mediated endocytosis than non-functionalized micelles.92 Yoo and Park exploited the folate receptor by functionalizing folic acid onto DOX-loaded PEG–PLGA micelles by covalently coupling the ligand via its γ-carboxyl group. In vitro cytotoxicity study of the folate–micelles demonstrated enhancement in cell uptake and cytotoxicity against KB cells (human nasopharyngeal epidermal carcinoma cell line) over non-targeted micelles.93 Marked improvement in in vivo antitumor efficacy with two times decrease in the tumor growth rate was demonstrated by folate-conjugated micelles compared to non-targeted micelles.

Park et al fabricated folate receptor-targeted PEG–PCL micelles entrapping PTX, which demonstrated higher cell viability of over 80% when tested against normal fibroblast cells than PTX (around 65%) suggesting the role of active targeting ligand folic acid in site-specific delivery of nanocarriers.94 Folate targeting was also explored by Han et al.95 They prepared folate-conjugated polymer micelles assembled from mixture of folate–polyethylene glycol–distearyloxyphosphatidylethanolamine (FA–PEG–DSPE) and methoxy-polyethylene glycol–distearyloxyphosphatidylethanolamine (mPEG–DSPE) to encapsulate anticancer agent 9-nitro-camptothecin. The molar ratio 1:100 of FA–PEG–DSPE and mPEG–DSPE was found to avoid macrophages and express high-selective targeting ability. The folate-conjugated micelles showed a greater ability to actively target the tumor cells (pancreatic cancer cell line, human uterine cervix cancer cell line, and human gastric cancer cell line) with overexpressed folic acid receptors on cell surface in comparison with folate-free micelles or free anticancer agents. Folate-anchored pluronics P105 and L101 were investigated as micellar carriers for the delivery of PTX for overcoming multidrug resistance in human breast cancer MCF-7 and MDR cell sublines, MCF-7/ADR. Pluronic micellar PTX significantly reduced IC50 of PTX in MDR cells compared to free PTX, indicating the susceptibility of MDR cells to the cytotoxic effects of pluronic micellar PTX than the non-resistant cell lines. Increased internalization of folate-anchored micelles was observed due to enhanced uptake by folate receptors. The authors have suggested that the synergistic action of pluronics-based micelles to overcome MDR and folate-mediated uptake would prove beneficial for treating MDR solid tumors.96

Jeong et al designed galactose-conjugated PEG-copoly(γ-benzyl-L-glutamate) block copolymer loaded with PTX for targeting asialoglycoprotein receptors (ASGPRs) overexpressed in hepatocellular carcinoma.97 Cytotoxicity of these micelles was more pronounced in HepG2 cells (ASGPR-expressing cancer cell line) than SK-Hep 01 cells (non-ASGPR-expressing cell line) due to active delivery of PTX to HepG2 cells through receptor-mediated mechanism. Farokhzad et al utilized an RNA aptamer for the PSMA to target docetaxel-loaded PLA-block-PEG copolymer micelles to prostate tumors.77,98 The uptake of aptamer bioconjugates was found to be specific for the cells that express PSMA than the control group cells. Aptamer-encoded micelles demonstrated lower cell viability of around 48% over non-targeted counterparts with 30% cell viability when assessed in LNCaP prostate cancer cells. Intra-tumoral injection of the micelle NPs into LNCaP xenograft mouse model exhibited significant anticancer efficacy with complete tumor reduction in tested mice as compared with non-targeted NPs.

Dendrimers
Dendrimers are synthetic, repeatedly branched polymeric macromolecules having numerous extensions from central
core, resulting in a tree-like structure. The structure of dendrimers and modifiable surface functionality allow for either encapsulation/conjugation of therapeutic agent, in the core or on the surface, making them attractive carriers for anticancer therapeutics.99

Poly(glycerol-succinic acid) dendrimers were explored as potential carriers for camptothecin.100 The anticancer activity of the camptothecin-encapsulated dendrimer formulation was examined using human breast adenocarcinoma (MCF-7), colorectal adenocarcinoma (HT-29), non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NCI-H460), and glioblastoma (SF-268). Increased cytotoxicity of delivered camptothecin was observed due to the dendrimer carrier, which lowered the IC50s in two- to sevenfold range in various cancer cells when compared with camptothecin dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide. Cell uptake of the dendrimer carrier increased by 16-fold than free drug when assessed in MCF-7 cells.101,102 Star amphiphilic block copolymer containing poly(e-caprolactone) and PEG was evaluated as carrier of hydrophobic anticancer drug etoposide. Etoposide-encapsulated dendrimers showed comparable toxicity than free etoposide when tested on porcine kidney epithelial cells.103 Dendrimers based on melamine were found to reduce the organ toxicity of anticancer agents, methotrexate (MTX) and 6-mercaptopurine, which are hepatotoxic. Treatment of C3H mice with subchronic doses of drug-encapsulated dendrimers leads to significant reduction in hepatotoxicity as evaluated by alanine transaminase (ALT) levels. ALT levels were reduced to 27% for MTX-encapsulated dendrimers and 36% for the 6-mercaptopurine dendrimers than ones treated with non-encapsulated drugs.104

Padilla De Jesús et al explored the use of a 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl) propanoic acid-based dendrimer as carrier for DOX in vitro and in vivo.105 DOX was covalently attached to dendrimer hybrid through a hydrazone linkage. The DOX–polymer conjugate was found to be cytotoxic and less potent than free drug when tested on murine melanoma cell line (B16F10) and breast cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-435), which is indicative of release of drug from the dendrimer conjugate. The biodistribution study showed no significant accumulation of the DOX–polymer conjugate in vital organs, and prolonged half-life of DOX in conjugate form (72 minutes) than free drug (8 minutes). The authors have suggested that drug attached to the appropriate high-molecular weight system could further extend the half-life, which is requisite for efficiently exploiting the EPR phenomenon.105 A polyamidoamine (PAMAM) dendrimer generation 3.5 was conjugated to cisplatin (Pt) through sodium carboxylate surface synthesizing a dendrimer-platinate, which released platinum slowly in vitro. On intraperitoneal administration, dendrimer-Pt was eightfold less toxic than free drug and showed superior activity against B16F10 melanoma-bearing mice. Moreover, the dendrimer-Pt exhibited anticancer activity, whereas cisplatin was found to be inactive after intravenous administration to treat melanoma. High maximum tolerated dose (15 mg/kg) of cisplatin in the form of dendrimer formulation was indicative of its selective accumulation in solid tumor tissue by EPR effect when compared with cisplatin (1 mg/kg).106 Folic acid conjugated at the surface of generation 5 PAMAM dendrimers was investigated for the targeted delivery of MTX by comparing the two aspects of drug loading into the dendrimer system, viz encapsulated and covalently bound drug. The drug release from encapsulated MTX was more than 70% as compared to slow release of covalently bound drug which was less than 5% in 2.5 hours, thereby suggesting that the covalently bound drug does not release the drug prematurely in biological conditions. Also, the diffusion characteristic of encapsulated drug was similar to that of free drug. The cytotoxicity study revealed that MTX as the dendrimer inclusion complex retained anticancer activity similar to the free drug in in vitro conditions of free and blocked receptors of folic acid. Folic acid-targeted MTX conjugates demonstrated high specificity and antiproliferative activity for KB cells, which overexpress folic acid receptors. However, when the folic acid receptors are blocked, these conjugates lose their antiproliferative effect, indicating intracellular delivery of the drug through receptor-mediated endocytosis.107 A similar study was performed with folic acid, fluorescein, and PTX conjugated to partially acetylated PAMAM dendrimers. Internationalization of the conjugate occurred by selective targeting to folate receptors and preferentially delivering PTX-conjugated dendrimers to KB cells.108

Polymersomes
Polymersomes are self-assembled polymer vesicles of synthetic amphiphilic block copolymers consisting of discrete hydrophilic and hydrophobic blocks. Although they exhibit an architecture similar to that of liposomes (vesicles derived from phospholipids), polymersomes possess greater stability, storage capability, and prolonged circulation time.109

Polymersomes were able to retard tumor growth as comparable to commercially available agent DOXIL® (a clinically...
administered liposomal formulation of DOX.\textsuperscript{109} Polymersomes based on polyphosphazene were investigated as delivery systems of hydrophilic DOX hydrochloride (DOX-HCl) or hydrophobic DOX base (DOX) for breast cancer therapy. Strong interaction with polymersomes enabled higher encapsulation of DOX-HCl or DOX. In vivo administration of these polymersomes in nude mice bearing MCF-7 xenograft tumors demonstrated similar tumor growth inhibition but enhanced life safety, especially for DOX-HCl-loaded polymersomes in comparison with free DOX-HCl.\textsuperscript{110} Li et al evaluated the ability of poly(butadiene)-b-PEO polymersomes for delivery of PTX by embedding the drug in its hydrophobic bilayer.\textsuperscript{111} The PTX-loaded polymersome formulation showed comparable activity against PTX alone to inhibit proliferation of MCF-7 human breast cancer cells, thereby maintaining the cytotoxic property of the drug. Polymersomes have also been used to co-encapsulate PTX (in hydrophobic bilayer) and DOX (in hydrophilic core) for efficient passive delivery to MDA-MB231 human breast tumor-bearing mice. Such dual drug-loaded PEG–polyester-based polymer vesicles exhibited increased synergistic anticancer effect, a higher maximum tolerated dose, as well as increased suppression of tumor in comparison to free drugs.\textsuperscript{112,113}

Petersen et al have developed biodegradable polymersomes for efficient and site-specific delivery of cisplatin to human colon cancer cells overexpressing α(5)β(1) integrin.\textsuperscript{114} PEO-block-poly(γ-methyl-ε-caprolactone) polymersomes were functionalized with α(5)β(1) integrin-specific targeting peptide named PR_b. This allowed for specific binding and enhanced uptake into α(5)β(1)-overexpressing cancer cells in comparison to conventionally used RGD-targeting peptides which bind to a variety of integrins. Cisplatin-loaded PR_b-functionalized polymersomes demonstrated enhanced cytotoxicity toward DLD-1 colon cancer cells than non-targeted polymersomes. Targeted polymersomes were found to be less toxic to CACO-2 model human epithelial cells which express low α(5)β(1) integrin levels, signifying that targeting was specific to α(5)β(1)-overexpressing cells. The in vivo anti-tumor efficacy of DOX-loaded poly(γ-benzyl-L-glutamate)-block-hyaluronan-based polymersomes was evaluated in Ehrlich ascites tumor-bearing mice. Biodistribution study in mice revealed that the polymersomes selectively accumulated in the tumor by virtue of passive accumulation and active targeting (CD44-mediated endocytosis) due to the presence of hyaluronic acid on the surface of polymersomes. This site-specific delivery of drug leads to prolongation in tumor doubling time and increased survival of mice.\textsuperscript{115} Polymersomes self-assembled from PEO-b-poly(butadiene) diblock copolymers were functionalized with PR_b for targeted delivery of therapeutic protein named tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) to prostate cancer cells. Efficient internalization of PR_b-functionalized polymersomes was achieved by specifically attaching to α5β1 integrins expressed on prostate cancer cells. Increased cytotoxic potential of delivered TNF-α was seen with targeted polymersomes than non-targeted polymersomes.\textsuperscript{116}

**Polyplexes**

Polyplexes are polymeric systems in which gene or siRNA is condensed and/or complexed through electrostatic interactions between the cationic groups of the polymer and the negatively charged nucleic acids. The polyplexes protect the nucleic acids from enzymatic degradation and prevent the release of cargo at off-target sites. Also, polyplexes with excess positive charge may preferentially enhance the transfection by interaction with negatively charged cell surfaces. Specific delivery of therapeutic nucleic acids to tumor sites is a promising approach in anticancer strategies.\textsuperscript{117}

Poly-L-lysine-based vector was explored by Zhao et al for cancer-specific gene therapy.\textsuperscript{118} The polymer was modified with histidine group to impart endosome escape property, and cationic peptide moiety to aid polyplex formation with pDNA and act as substrate for protein kinase Cα (PKCα) which is specifically activated in cancer cells. The polyplexes demonstrated PKCα-responsive gene expression immediately after their application into cancer cells, and the gene expression was found to continue for 24 hours. Phosphorylcholine-modified polyethyleneimine (PEI) was employed as an effective strategy for delivery of DNA in cancer therapy. These polyplexes were shown to be selectively uptaken by liver cancer HepG2 cells compared to PEGylated polyplexes and also exhibit sixfold more gene expression in liver cancer cells than normal cells.\textsuperscript{119}

Nie et al developed synthetic dual-ligand-targeted polyplex system based on plasmid DNA condensation by PEI.\textsuperscript{120} The peptide B6 targeting transferrin receptor and RGD-containing peptide for simultaneous integrin targeting were evaluated in the context of PEGylated PEI-based polyplexes. Cellular association and cellular uptake studies demonstrated specificity of both ligands for each targeted receptor in two prostate cancer cell lines (DU145 and PC-3). Increased transfection efficiency by fourfold and targeting synergism were evident for dual targeting over the combination of single-targeted polyplexes in the ratio of 1:1. van Steenis et al prepared PEGylated poly(dimethylaminomethyl methacrylate)-based polyplexes containing folate as targeting
ligand at their surface. Higher cytotoxicity of the folate-containing polyplex by 2.5 times was observed due to increased cellular association of the folate-targeted complex than non-folate polyplexes. Transfection of human ovarian cancer cell line (OVCAR-3) in vitro was distinctly increased compared to untargeted PEGylated polyplexes, implying targeted gene delivery. Monoclonal antibodies targeting EGFR conjugated with PEI-grafted-α,β-poly(N-3-hydroxypropyl)-DL-aspartamide were complexed with pDNA for targeted therapy of hepatocellular carcinoma. Enhanced transfection efficiency in liver cells overexpressing EGFR in vitro compared to non-targeted polyplexes was observed.

Galactose-modified trimethyl chitosan-cysteine-based polymeric vectors were explored for its ability to deliver siRNA. These polyplexes resulted in efficient and persistent gene knockdown when tested in human liver cancer (QGY-7703) cells and human lung cancer (A549) cells. Remarkable antitumor efficacy with respect to the tumor growth retardation, gene knockdown, angiogenesis inhibition, and apoptosis induction was achieved in QGY-7703 tumor-bearing mice. Cationic (oligoethanamino)amide-based polymers were conjugated with folic acid for targeted delivery of siRNA in human cervix carcinoma cells. These polyplexes achieved folic acid-receptor-specific cell targeting, and silencing of the EG5 gene in receptor-positive tumors. In vivo administration of these polyplexes resulted in silencing of reporter gene and the absence of accumulation in non-target tissues such as the liver, lung, and spleen. A polymeric system was devised for delivery of prostate cancer cell-specific VEGF siRNA. Prostate cancer cell-targeting peptide was conjugated with PEI via a PEG linker. This polymeric conjugate could efficiently condense siRNA to form stable polyplexes. These polyplexes exhibited significantly higher gene silencing than unmodified polymeric carriers (PEI–PEG or PEI) due to targeting peptide-mediated specific internalization in human prostate carcinoma cells (PC-3 cells).

**Polymer hybrid systems**

**Polymer–lipid hybrid system**

Polymer–lipid hybrid system is a combination of polymeric NPs and liposomes. This hybrid system has the following components: i) a biodegradable hydrophobic polymeric core encapsulating poorly water-soluble anticancer drugs to provide sustained release, ii) a hydrophilic shell providing stealth property to evade identification by the immune system and prolong the systemic circulation, and iii) a lipid monolayer separating hydrophobic core and hydrophilic shell to prevent diffusion of encapsulated agent and decrease water penetration rate into the NPs. This hybrid system combines the unique features of both polymeric NPs and liposomes that include high drug encapsulation, desirable sustained drug release profile, and good serum stability, and allows for surface functionalization to achieve cancer cell targeting.

A polymer–lipid hybrid nanoparticulate (PLN) system containing DOX was developed by complexing the cationic DOX with anionic soybean-oil-based polymer and dispersing this complex with lipid (stearic acid) in water. Effective delivery of DOX and enhanced cytotoxicity by eightfold against P-gp-overexpressing human breast cancer cell line were observed from PLN system but no difference on a wild-type cell line when compared to DOX solution. It was revealed by endocytosis inhibition studies that phagocytosis is the important pathway for improved cellular uptake of PLN system. Physical association of DOX with the PLN system enables it to bypass the membrane-bound P-gp, thereby resulting in enhanced DOX uptake and retention in P-gp-overexpressing cells than free drug. DOX–PLN possesses significant in vivo cytotoxic activity against solid tumors when administered in mice intratumorally with minimal systemic toxicity. Hu et al have synthesized a targeted delivery system by conjugating anti-carcinoma-embryonic antigen (CEA) half-antibody to lipid–polymer hybrid NPs to target CEA overexpressed in pancreatic cancer cells. These hybrid NPs comprise polymeric core made of poly(D,L-lactic-co-glycolic acid), a monolayer of phospholipids, and an outer corona layer made of PEG. In vitro cell uptake study demonstrated specificity of targeted NPs toward CEA-presenting pancreatic cancer (BxPC-3) cells than CEA-negative (XPA-3) cells. PTX-loaded targeted NPs exhibited enhanced cellular cytotoxicity against BxPC-3 when compared with non-targeted NPs.

Folic acid-conjugated NPs of mixed lipid monolayer shell and biodegradable polymer (PLGA) core were fabricated for controlled and targeted delivery of docetaxel. Functional components of mixed lipid shell were 1,2-dilaurylphosphatidylcholine (for stabilization of NPs in aqueous phase), 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[methoxy(polyethylene glycol)-2000] (to impart stealth property), and 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[folate(polyethylene glycol)-5000] (functionalization with folic acid for targeted delivery). Folic acid-conjugated NPs demonstrated higher cellular uptake cytotoxicity than non-conjugated formulation at the same drug concentration and exposure time. Zhang et al fabricated PLN by self-assembly of PLGA and 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine–PEG conjugate for targeted
delivery of docetaxel. They functionalized PLN with A10 aptamer which binds to PSMA overexpressed by prostate cancer cells. Selective uptake of aptamer-functionalized PLN was evident in PSMA-expressing cancer cells than non-expressing cells.

**Polymer–surfactant hybrid system**

Chavanpatil et al have fabricated novel polymer–surfactant NP system for encapsulation and sustained release of watersoluble drugs. This hybrid system constitutes polymer (sodium alginate) and anionic surfactant (dioctylsodium sulfosuccinate; AOT). AOT–alginate NPs enhanced the cytotoxicity of DOX significantly due to increased cellular uptake and drug accumulation in drug-resistant MCF-7 cells in comparison to DOX solution.

**Polymer–cyclodextrin hybrid system**

Bellocq et al developed a transferrin-modified, cyclodextrin polymer-based system for delivery of siRNA. This hybrid system comprises an NP assembly formed by condensation of a cyclodextrin polycation with nucleic acid, PEG at the surface for increasing stability in biological fluids, and transferrin for targeting of cancer cells that express transferrin receptor. The transferrin–PEG–adamantane conjugate self-assembles with the NPs by adamantane (host) and particle surface cyclodextrin (guest) inclusion complex formation and also retains high receptor binding. This system was found to transfect K562 leukemia cells with a fourfold enhancement over non-targeted NPs.

**Polymer conjugates**

Water-soluble polymers conjugated to anticancer drugs or proteins are referred as polymer conjugates. These have a pharmacokinetic profile different from that of the parent drug, and hence are considered as new chemical entities. Polymer conjugation to proteins reduces immunogenicity, extends plasma half-life, and enhances protein stability, whereas polymer–drug conjugation promotes tumor targeting through the EPR effect and enables endocytic capture at cellular level, resulting in lysosomotropic drug delivery. Linear polymers such as N-(2-hydroxypropyl)methacrylamide copolymers, polyglutamic acid, PEG, and polysaccharides (dextran) with drugs (DOX, PTX, camptothecin, and platinate) have been widely developed for the fabrication of polymer–drug conjugates. Polymer–drug/protein conjugates represent the most widely tested polymeric therapeutic in clinical setting. Numerous polymer conjugates successfully employed in oncotherapy are reviewed in Tables 3 and 4.

**Table 3 Clinically approved polymeric nanomedicine for oncologic treatment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymeric platform</th>
<th>Product description</th>
<th>Commercial name</th>
<th>Therapeutic agent</th>
<th>Commercial name</th>
<th>Administration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polymer–protein conjugate</td>
<td>SMANCS, Neocarzinostatin</td>
<td>Zinostatin Stimalmer</td>
<td>Neocarzinostatin</td>
<td>Intra-arterial</td>
<td>Intravenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEG–asparaginase</td>
<td>Oncaspar</td>
<td>Asparaginase</td>
<td>Subcutaneous</td>
<td>Intravenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEG–GCSF</td>
<td>Neulasta/PEG filgrastim</td>
<td>GCSF</td>
<td>Subcutaneous</td>
<td>Intravenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MethoxyPEG–poly(lactic acid)–paclitaxel</td>
<td>Paclitaxel</td>
<td>Genexol-PM</td>
<td>Intravenous</td>
<td>Intravenous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** SMANCS, styrene–maleic anhydride–neocarzinostatin; PEG, polyethylene glycol; GCSF, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor.
Table 4 Polymeric nanoparticle-based therapeutics undergoing clinical investigation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymeric platform</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Product name</th>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polymer–protein conjugate</td>
<td>PEG–IFNα 2a</td>
<td>PEG-assys</td>
<td>Melanoma, chronic myeloid leukemia, and renal cell carcinoma</td>
<td>Phase I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEG–IFNα 2b</td>
<td>PEG-Intron</td>
<td>Melanoma, multiple myeloma, and renal cell carcinoma</td>
<td>Phase I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEG–arginine deaminase</td>
<td>ADI-PEG20</td>
<td>Hepatocellular carcinoma</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEG–glutaminase combined with a glutamine anti-metabolite DON</td>
<td>PEG–PGA and DON</td>
<td>Various cancers</td>
<td>Phase I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymer–drug conjugate</td>
<td>Polyglutamate–paclitaxel</td>
<td>CT-2103; Xyotax</td>
<td>Various cancers, particularly non-small-cell lung cancer; ovarian cancer as a single agent or in combination therapy</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polyglutamate–camptothecin</td>
<td>CT-2106</td>
<td>Colorectal and ovarian cancer</td>
<td>Phase I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HPMACopolymer–doxorubicin</td>
<td>PK1; FCE28068</td>
<td>Various cancers, particularly lung and breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HPMACopolymer–doxorubicin–galactosamine</td>
<td>PK2; FCE28069</td>
<td>Hepatocellular carcinoma</td>
<td>Phase I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HPMACopolymer–paclitaxel</td>
<td>PNU1 66945</td>
<td>Various cancers</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HPMACopolymer–camptothecin</td>
<td>MAG-CPT</td>
<td>Various cancers</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HPMACopolymer–carboplatin platinate</td>
<td>AP5280</td>
<td>Various cancers</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HPMACopolymer–DACH-platinate</td>
<td>AP5346; ProLindac</td>
<td>Ovarian cancer</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dextran–doxorubicin</td>
<td>AD-70, DOX-OXD</td>
<td>Various cancers</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Modified dextran–camptothecin</td>
<td>DE-310</td>
<td>Various cancers</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEG–camptothecin</td>
<td>Prothecan</td>
<td>Various cancers</td>
<td>Phase I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEG–irinotecan</td>
<td>NKTR-102</td>
<td>Ovarian, breast, and colorectal cancer</td>
<td>Phase II/III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poly(iso-hexyl-cyanoacrylate)–doxorubicin</td>
<td>Transdrug</td>
<td>Hepatocellular carcinoma</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polycyclodextrin–camptothecin</td>
<td>IT-101</td>
<td>Metastatic solid tumors</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymeric micelle</td>
<td>PEG–polyglutamate micelle with SN-38</td>
<td>NK012</td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEG–polysaprate micelle with paclitaxel</td>
<td>NK105</td>
<td>Advanced stomach cancer</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pluronic L61 and F127 micelle with doxorubicin</td>
<td>SPI049C</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma of esophagus, gastroesophageal junction, and stomach</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEG–poly(D,L-lactide) micelle with paclitaxel</td>
<td>Genexol-PM</td>
<td>Non-small-cell lung, pancreatic, bladder, and ovarian cancer</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEG–poly(2-benzyl-L-glutamate) micelle with cisplatin</td>
<td>NC-6004</td>
<td>Solid tumors</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEG–polysaprate micelle with doxorubicin</td>
<td>NK-911</td>
<td>Various cancers</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cycloedextrin–PEG micelle with camptothecin</td>
<td>CRLX101</td>
<td>Lung and ovarian cancer</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** PEG, polyethylene glycol; IFN, interferon; DON, 6-diazo-5-oxo-L-norleucine; PGA, polyglutamic acid; HPMA, hydroxypropylmethacrylamide; DACH, diaminocyclohexane; SN-38, 7-ethyl-10-hydroxy-camptothecin.
Clinical status of polymeric nanomedicine

Advances in the field of polymeric nanomedicine have rapidly paved its way into clinical trials. Majority of the NP-based therapeutic systems being investigated in clinical and preclinical study level belong to polymeric type (Tables 3 and 4).22,135–139 The advantage of ligand-based targeted NPs seems to be widely established; this strategy has resulted in two clinically validated polymeric nanoparticles. CALAA-01 was the first tumor-targeted polymeric nanoformulation to reach clinical development for siRNA delivery. This nanosystem consists of transferrin-functionalized cyclodextrin-based PEGylated NPs containing siRNA for reduction in expression of the M2 subunit of ribonuclease reductase. CALAA-01 was evaluated in a Phase I clinical trial by intravenous administration to patients with solid tumors refractory to standard treatment.140 Another clinically tested tumor-targeted NP was BIND-014, which comprises biodegradable copolymeric core (PLA, PLGA, and PEG), a pseudo-mimetic dipeptide as a PSMA-targeting ligand, and docetaxel as the anticancer drug. PSMA is a tumor antigen expressed on prostate cancer cells and on the neovascularure of most non-prostate solid tumors. This formulation has entered Phase II clinical trial and is indicated for treatment of solid tumors.139,141

Conclusion

Nanocarriers have emerged as an important treatment modality for therapeutic oncology. Polymer-based nanocarriers have established excellent therapeutic potential at both preclinical and clinical development stages. The fact that polymer-based nanosystems are already in clinical use further validates the efficiency of polymeric platforms for delivery of anticancer agents. The wide scope provided by polymeric platform for functionalization with targeting ligand needs to be validated for its successful application in clinic, although such targeted systems have proven their efficacy in preclinical development. Safety of polymeric nanocarriers is an important consideration, which needs to be assessed before proceeding to clinical study.

Versatility of polymer chemistry enables synthesis of novel polymers with desired properties. The investigation for new molecular targets will advance the ability to improve delivery at the tumor level while reducing toxicity to normal tissues. The field of theranosis is rapidly progressing, and polymer-based carrier system is finding its place in this field for the targeted and image-guided therapy of cancer. This allows for monitoring drug delivery and therapeutic response. Blend of polymers is currently being explored to modulate the properties of the polymeric matrix to achieve high therapeutic load and release-control ability with resultant strong implication on cancer treatment.
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