Mécano-Stimulation™ of the skin improves sagging score and induces beneficial functional modification of the fibroblasts: clinical, biological, and histological evaluations
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Background: Loss of mechanical tension appears to be the major factor underlying decreased collagen synthesis in aged skin. Numerous in vitro studies have shown the impact of mechanical forces on fibroblasts through mechanotransduction, which consists of the conversion of mechanical signals to biochemical responses. Such responses are characterized by the modulation of gene expression coding not only for extracellular matrix components (collagens, elastin, etc.) but also for degradation enzymes (matrix metalloproteinasises [MMPs]) and their inhibitors (tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinasises [TIMPs]). A new device providing a mechanical stimulation of the cutaneous and subcutaneous tissue has been used in a simple, blinded, controlled, and randomized study.

Materials and methods: Thirty subjects (aged between 35 years and 50 years), with clinical signs of skin sagging, were randomly assigned to have a treatment on hemiface. After a total of 24 sessions with Mécano-Stimulation™, biopsies were performed on the treated side and control area for in vitro analysis (dosage of hyaluronic acid, elastin, type I collagen, MMP9; equivalent dermis retraction; GlaSbox®; n=10) and electron microscopy (n=10). Furthermore, before and after the treatment, clinical evaluations and self-assessment questionnaire were done.

Results: In vitro analysis showed increases in hyaluronic acid, elastin, type I collagen, and MMP9 content along with an improvement of the migratory capacity of the fibroblasts on the treated side. Electron microscopy evaluations showed a clear dermal remodeling in relation with the activation of fibroblast activity. A significant improvement of different clinical signs associated with skin aging and the satisfaction of the subjects were observed, correlated with an improvement of the sagging cheek.

Conclusion: Mécano-Stimulation is a noninvasive and safe technique delivered by flaps microbeats at various frequencies, which can significantly improve the skin trophicity. Results observed with objective measurements, ie, in vitro assessments and electron microscopy, confirm the firming and restructuring effect clinically observed.
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Introduction

Fibroblasts are the most abundant cells in the dermis. They play a major role in synthesizing collagen and elastic fibers, glycoproteins, and proteoglycans in the extracellular matrix. They also develop contractile forces required for matrix remodeling and migration activities.

Skin aging is characterized by changes in the function and structure of the dermis. With aging, the skin tends to become thinner, drier, and less elastic. Fibroblastic dysfunction is one of the main markers of skin aging. This abnormal proliferation,
differentiation, and synthesis of fibroblasts result in structural and functional skin changes. Phenotypic studies about wrinkle fibroblasts showed that the remarkable change in mechanical properties of the skin with aging is indeed due to reduced contractile capacity as well as reduced migration activity of wrinkle fibroblasts.1

Early in 1911, Dr Lucien Jacquet observed that progressive gradual mechanical excitation of the skin (gradual progression in frequency and duration of treatment) is supposed to counteract the age-related loss of the firmness of the face, neck, and upper chest skin.2

More recently, it has been shown that fibroblasts contain a molecular machinery to convert mechanical stimuli into responses that influence tissue remodeling. In vitro studies demonstrated that they are able to change their behavior and induce complex cascades of biochemical events in extracellular matrix.3–5 Thus, mechanical stimuli lead to a “synthetic” fibroblast phenotype characterized by the induction of connective tissue synthesis while simultaneously inhibiting matrix degradation; in mechanically “stressed” lattices, stress fiber and focal adhesion formation by fibroblasts have been observed.6

Mechanical stimulation performed on the skin surface sends a signal to the distant cells such as keratinocytes, fibroblasts, and adipocytes and causes production of collagen, elastin, and activation of lipolysis. Loss of mechanical tension appears to be the major factor underlying decreased collagen synthesis in aged skin; an aging-related loss of fibroblast interaction with the surrounding fibrous matrix has also been shown, leading to the vicious cycle of diminished procollagen synthesis, disorganization of collagen bundles, and further loss of mechanical stimulation of fibroblasts. Myofibroblasts (active fibroblasts characterized by α-smooth muscle (SM)-actin protein expression) play a central role in scarring and cell migration and motility within the connective tissue.7

Fibroblast and collagen fibers presented alignment along the stretching axis upon axial stimulation, compared to free-floating lattices.8

A previous study using a technique based on mechanical stimulation of the cutaneous and subcutaneous tissues has shown that this technique is able to restart their natural production of collagen and elastin by delivering microbeats to the skin’s surface, which can stimulate cell rejuvenation in-depth.9 However, the evaluations were performed on the arm skin, and it was not clear if these results could be generalized to the face as well.

In the present study, a mechanical stimulation has been applied on the facial skin for 24 sessions over 8 weeks. The primary aim was to assess the clinical and macroscopic effect of mechanical stimulation on the facial skin. The secondary aim was to investigate the mechanism of action of this technique at the cellular level by measuring contractile activity of the fibroblasts and quantifying the skin changes induced by fibroblastic activity.

**Materials and methods**

This is a monocentric, double-blinded, controlled, and randomized interventional study on the effects of a technique called Mécano-Stimulation™.

**Volunteers**

Thirty healthy subjects (20 females and 10 males) aged 35–50 years were enrolled in this study. The inclusion criteria were Fitzpatrick skin phototype I–IV,10 with mild-to-moderate facial skin sagging (cutaneous sagging grade 1–3, according to Ezure et al11) (Figure 1).

Exclusion criteria were sun or ultraviolet (UV) exposure during the last 15 days, any facial dermatologic pathologies such as rosacea, any chronic or acute systemic affections, any topical or systemic treatment that could interfere with the results of the study, and any contraindications to the Mécano-Stimulation (eg, herpes, vitiligo, acne: inflammatory and infective phase). All volunteers signed an informed consent form in agreement with the Declaration of Helsinki after having enough time to understand the modalities and the aim of the study. The study protocol was approved by the local ethical committee (CPP [Comités de Protection des Personnes] Est II) and the National French Health Authorities (ANSM [L’Agence nationale de sécurité du Médicament et des produits de santé]).

**Treatment**

The treatment was applied on one side of the face (randomized hemiface: chin, nasolabial fold, cheek, cheekbone, temple, forehead) during 15 minutes, three times per week for 8 weeks (24 sessions). In order to standardize the treatment, all treatments were performed by the same practitioner. The treatment was carried out via the Mécano-Stimulation system (LPG Systems, Valence, France), which transforms manual massage movements through mechanical procedures by means of different treatment heads. The treatment heads used in this study are composed of two motorized flaps inside a treatment chamber, which are dedicated to the treatment of the face or small areas. The treatment forces are exerted without any pinching or twisting of the skin but through combining both horizontal and vertical stimulation (Figure 2). These heads...
Figure 1 Ezure scoring scale.  
Notes: Skin sagging is evaluated through the Ezure scoring scale: facial cutaneous sagging is graded from 0 to 5 on three areas of the hemiface (A), upper cheek (B), lower cheek (C), and lateral cheek (D). Arrows and arrowheads point out specific areas of interest defining skin sagging stages. Volunteers selected in the study were of grade 1–3.

Figure 2 Double stimulation.  
Notes: The Mécano-Stimulation™ technique consists of two motorized flaps located inside a treatment chamber and dedicated to the treatment of the face or small areas. The treatment forces combine sequential aspiration and beating flaps creating, respectively, vertical and horizontal stimulation. Settings allow obtaining different intensity and rhythmicity of the aspiration or beating frequency of the flaps. Lift heads used in this study were “motorized”: heads contain a small engine allowing the flaps to beat in a regular and permanent way, synchronized specifically with the sequential aspiration.
contain a small engine allowing the flaps to vibrate in a regular repeated way, which is accompanied with aspiration.

Different parameters (intensity and rhythm of the aspiration and beating frequency of the flaps) can be modulated at any time according to the individual sensitivity and tissue state (infiltrated, loosened, or wrinkled skin).

Lift heads used in this study were “motorized”: heads contain a small engine allowing the flaps to beat in a regular and permanent way, synchronized specifically with the sequential aspiration.

Measurement
Evaluations were performed before the treatment (T0), after 24 sessions (T1), and 1 month after the end of the treatment (T2). Volunteers were evaluated in the standard skin situation (last face washing the night before measuring sessions, without any cosmetic application until the measures [no water, soap, cream, or makeup]). During the study, they were also asked to not change their facial skin care habits, hygiene, and cosmetic products, to avoid sun exposure and UV treatment even occasionally, to avoid any medical and invasive treatment on the face, and to report systemically any medication consumption to the investigator. All evaluations and measurements were performed under standard conditions (constant temperature 20°C–23°C and hygrometry 40°C–60°C) after a 20-minute rest period.

The main evaluation criterion was clinical scoring for skin sagging using a photographic scale. The secondary outcomes were clinical scoring of skin relief and wrinkles, skin color and radiance, and subject self-assessments. The biopsies were taken from both sides of the face (treatment and control side) from 19 subjects, nine for histopathologic changes and ten for the in vitro evaluation of the fibroblasts for their contractile capacity.

Primary outcome
The primary outcome, measured at T0 and T1, was the facial skin sagging quotation performed by a blinded dermatologist, thanks to a clinical scoring based on the six-grade scale of Ezure et al12 and carried out on three different locations: upper part of the cheek/nasolabial folds (B zone), lower part of the cheeks (C zone), and lateral part of the cheek (D zone), as described in Figure 1.

Secondary outcomes
Clinical scoring
A blinded dermatologist evaluated the different signs of skin aging, with each criterion being assessed on both treated and nontreated side.

1. Skin radiance: A clinical scoring of skin radiance was calculated through a visual analysis scale (CLBT™) which includes seven descriptors. Actually, four different colors (pink red, olive, beige, light pink) between 10% and 100% lead to the final analysis of three different parameters (skin transparency, brightness, and luminosity) on a 0–10 scale.12

2. Skin relief: An analogical 0–9 scale was used to evaluate the skin relief parameters. This allowed the calculation of a skin relief score defined as the sum of the following scores: surface irregularity score + wrinkles/lines/blemishes score + skin texture score + under-eye dark circles score + papules/microcysts score. The lower the score indicates lower skin relief, indicating better appearance.

3. Skin color: The same 0–9 scale was used to evaluate the skin color through the following parameters: heterogeneity, eye circle, redness/rosacea, skin spots, and papules/scars. The sum of these parameters present the global color score.

Self-assessment
The volunteers were asked to fill a 9-point questionnaire at T0 (before) and T1 (after 24 sessions of treatment) for the following parameters (absence/presence and the intensity):
- wrinkles and fine lines;
- roughness;
- nasolabial folds;
- elasticity;
- firmness/softness;
- radiance;
- under-eye dark circles.

They were also asked to compare their skin with pretreatment status for firmness, elasticity, smoothness, wrinkles, sagging, and brightness. They were supposed to answer the satisfaction questionnaire as well.

Photography
At each visit, the front, left, and right lateral photographs were taken of the whole and lower face in normal daylight, with eyes closed (Canon EOS Rebel XS with a 50-mm lens and two flashes positioned on a Faraghan Medical System). All photos were standardized for light (using Gretag MacBeth Colour Checker Chart) and position (stereotaxic table; Eotech SA, Marcoussis, France).

In vitro study
Two 2 mm punch biopsies were taken from nine subjects (four males and five females) from both sides of the face (treatment and control side) at T1. The samples were placed...
in sterile gauze moistened with saline and immediately treated: Each biopsy was placed in a 25 cm² culture dish and was allowed to adhere to the plastic surface for approximately 30 minutes at 37°C. Three milliliters of Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 40 mg/mL of gentamicin, and 2 mg/mL of fungizone (DMEMc) were added to each dish. The dishes were then incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Subculture of fibroblasts was established after 3–4 weeks of outgrowth by trypsinization (trypsin-EDTA (ethylene diamine tetra acetique) [1×]; PAA laboratory, France) of explant cultures. The culture medium was changed two times a week. Fibroblasts cultivated in passages lower than ten were used.

### Migratory capacity

Fibroblasts that were either subjected or not subjected to Mécano-Stimulation were embedded in three-dimensional hydrated collagen, using a modified version of the technique developed by Bell et al⁵, to study their mechanical behavior by measuring their capacity to contract free-floating lattices. Collagen lattices were made by mixing six volumes of 1.76× concentrated medium, three volumes of rat tail type I collagen solution (2 mg/mL), and one volume of fibroblasts suspension (8×10⁵ cells/mL). This mixture was poured into plastic Petri dishes (60 mm diameter). The gel polymerized in <30 minutes at 37°C. Culture medium was then added and changed every 48 hours. To measure the retracted lattice diameter, the culture dishes were placed on a transparent metric scale. The measurement of the lattice diameter was performed for 10 days. The evaluation of the migratory capacities of fibroblasts makes it possible to show the possible reorganization of extracellular matrix in the presence of Mécano-Stimulation.

### Measurements of contractile forces developed by fibroblasts in GlaSbox® device (Growing Lattice Study box)

The cell chamber was composed of eight rectangular culture cavities in which the lattices developed. Two silicon beams were placed opposite to each other with strain gauges hanging apart, down into each cavity at 27 mm distance. The lattice was attached to this sensor through a grid directly etched onto the lower part of the beams. The output signal from the strain gauges was amplified, then converted, and collected by a computer, which included an acquisition card and a specific software giving the forces in real time. Fibroblasts were prepared as defined in the previous paragraph; the lattice mixture was poured into a rectangular culture cavity of the GlaSbox® and polymerized in <30 minutes at 37°C. Two milliliters of culture medium was added. The GlaSbox® was then placed into a humidified incubator at 37°C, and force measurements were started immediately and for 24 hours. The results were expressed as contractile forces (arbitrary unit) of the fibroblasts either subjected or not subjected to Mécano-Stimulation according to the time. These measurements quantify the contractile forces of fibroblasts and make it possible to know whether a treatment applied for 15 minutes, three times per week during 8 weeks (24 sessions) already shows a “lifting” effect at the scale of fibroblasts.

### Synthesis studies

Fibroblasts either subjected or not subjected to Mécano-Stimulation wereseeded in 12-cavity plates at 0.04×10⁶ cells per cavity. The plates were incubated at 37°C. The next day, 500 μL of DMEMc was poured into each cavity and the plates were incubated at 37°C. After 48 hours, the supernatant part was placed in a protease inhibitor cocktail (10% v/v, Merck Millipore, Fontenay sous bois, France). The samples were stored at −80°C until analysis.

### Collagen I, hyaluronic acid, matrix metalloproteinase-1, matrix metalloproteinase-9, and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1 (TIMP-1) assay

The quantification was evaluated using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit (USCN, Life Science and Ray-Biotech®, Inc). Standards or samples were added into the precoated cavities with a specific biotin-conjugated antibody. After washing the samples, avidin (collagen I and hyaluronic acid) or streptavidin (matrix metalloproteinase [MMP]-1, MMP-9, and TIMP-1) conjugated to horseradish peroxidase were added to each cavity and then incubated. After adding the tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) substrate solution, only those cavities that contained study substances showed a color change. The enzyme–substrate reaction is terminated by the addition of sulfuric acid solution and the color change is measured spectrophotometrically at a wavelength of 450 nm. The concentration is then determined by comparing the optical density of the samples to the standard curve.

### Elastin assay

The total soluble elastin was assayed by a colorimetric method (Fastin™ Elastin Assay; Biocolor). The samples were precipitated with a solution of trichloroacetic acid and arginine, then the reagent 5,10,15,20-tetraphenyl-21,23-porphine tetra-sulfonate was added, which can particularly bind to elastin. The formed complex was dissociated by a solution of guanidine-HCl and
propan-1-ol. The developed color was measured at 550 nm using a spectrophotometer (Multiscan EX; Thermo).

**Ultrastructural studies**

Two-millimeter punch biopsies were taken under local anesthesia (1% xylocain) from the jugular area of ten other subjects (five males and five females) at T1. Biopsies from the treated and nontreated sides were coded and examined pair by pair in a blinded manner.

Each biopsy was cut into small fragments, some of which were fixed in 3% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), the others in 2% glutaraldehyde in sodium cacodylate buffer at 4°C for 3 days. For standard morphological examination, the glutaraldehyde-fixed fragments were washed and then postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide for 2 hours. After dehydration in graded ethanol series, the fragments were impregnated and embedded in epoxy resins (60°C for 3 days). Ultrafine sections were contrasted with uranyl acetate and lead citrate before observation.

The paraformaldehyde-fixed fragments, used for immunochemistry, were washed, dehydrated, and embedded in LR White resin (UVA polymerization at 4°C; EMS, Hatfield, PA, USA). Ultrafine sections on formvar-coated nickel grids (EMS) were exposed to the α-SM-actin antibody (diluted 1/50, overnight at 4°C), washed in PBS, and incubated for 1 hour with goat anti-mouse antibodies coupled to 10 nm colloidal gold (diluted 1/10; Amersham, Little Chalfont, UK). After final washes in PBS and distilled water, the sections were counterstained with uranyl acetate alone.

Transmission electron microscopy of all specimens was performed, and digital images were obtained and analyzed. Density and overall quality of the dermal fibrous structures, ie, collagen bundles and elastic fibers, were evaluated semiquantitatively. Also, the morphological signs of fibroblast metabolic and secretory activity as well as the intensity of the α-SM-actin expression were noted.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. The normality of the data distribution was tested with a Shapiro–Wilk test. Differences between groups at baseline and at the end of the 24 sessions were tested with a Student’s t-test for paired values (or a Wilcoxon test in case of nonnormally distributed data).

Variance analysis with repeated measurements (analysis of variance) completed by a Student–Newman–Keuls test (normal distribution of parameters) or a Friedman test completed by a Dunn’s test (abnormal distribution of parameters) was applied to analyze the evolution of the studied parameters over the chosen time period.

Statistical significance was set at 5% and at 5%–10% for trends.

For the in vitro study, one-way variance analysis followed by a Fisher’s exact test (if needed) was performed for synthesis studies. Two-way analysis of variance (groups and time) followed by a Fisher’s exact test (if needed) was performed for the measurements of migratory capacities and contractile forces.

**Results**

Thirty subjects (20 females and 10 males) with a mean age of 44.5±4.6 years finished the 24 sessions of treatments. Among the 20 volunteers, 13 were under contraceptive treatments. Depression (n=4), seasonal allergies (n=2), hiatal hernia (n=1), hand eczema (n=1), familial hypercholesterolemia (n=1), epilepsy (n=1), allergy to nickel (n=1), allergy to tramacol (n=1), emphysema (n=1), and chronic bronchitis (n=1) were recorded as the past medical history of the volunteers.

**Primary outcome: skin sagging**

A clinical improvement of skin sagging of at least one parameter of the facial skin sagging (Ezure scale) was observed in 73% (n=22) of the subjects on the treated side versus in 53% (n=16) of the subjects for the nontreated area (P=0.108). The same calculation for the C and D zones revealed 70% (n=15) improvement on the treated side versus 50% (n=15) improvement for the control side (P=0.114) (Table 1).

**Secondary outcomes**

Clinical scoring

**CLBT color scale**

At T0, the treated and untreated hemiface were similar for the clinical scores of skin complexion radiance evaluated with the CLBT scale.

**Table 1 Results of the skin sagging scores**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zones</th>
<th>Number of volunteers with improved score (out of 30 subjects)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Treated hemiface</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>10 (33%)</td>
<td>8 (27%)</td>
<td>0.573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>7 (57%)</td>
<td>11 (37%)</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13 (43%)</td>
<td>9 (27%)</td>
<td>0.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least one among three</td>
<td>22 (73%)</td>
<td>16 (53%)</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least C or D</td>
<td>21 (70%)</td>
<td>15 (50%)</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Number of volunteers with improved clinical sagging score (Ezure scale) is presented. A clinical improvement of skin sagging of at least one parameter of the score was observed in 73% (n=22) of the subjects on the treated side. B zone is the upper part of the cheek/nasolabial folds, C zone is the lower part of the cheeks, and D zone is the lateral part of the cheek. Clinical improvement of the sagging of at least C or D areas (where the massage was the more efficient) was observed in 70% of treated hemiface of the subjects.
Compared to the baseline, all scores were significantly improved on the treated hemiface ($P<0.05$). At T1, the color scores (skin transparency, brightness and luminosity) were significantly improved on the treated compared to the nontreated hemiface (Table 2, Figures 3 and 4). Finally, the seven evaluated parameters presented a significant improvement in terms of time/treatment evolution.

From a descriptive point of view, we can notice an improvement for the treated versus nontreated sides on all parameters:

Table 2 Results for CLBT™ scoring before (T0) and after (T1) the 24 treatment sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score (Musnier et al$^{12}$)</th>
<th>Treated hemiface</th>
<th>Nontreated hemiface</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T0 (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>T1 (mean ± SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color score (the lower the score the more homogeneous the skin is)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pink red</td>
<td>40.3 ±19.56</td>
<td>33.0 ±18.03$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olive</td>
<td>36.6 ±10.93</td>
<td>24.6 ±9.00$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beige</td>
<td>50.0 ±12.76</td>
<td>45.0 ±13.06$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light pink</td>
<td>69.7 ±12.17</td>
<td>59.0 ±17.09$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transparency</td>
<td>3.5 ±1.93</td>
<td>4.5 ±1.50$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brightness</td>
<td>2.4 ±1.88</td>
<td>3.0 ±1.64$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminosity</td>
<td>2.0 ±1.12</td>
<td>4.1 ±1.69$^a$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The visual analysis scale (CLBT scoring$^{13}$) follows seven descriptors that evaluate four different colors (scale between 10% and 100%) and three parameters of skin transparency, brightness, and luminosity (0–10 scale). Compared to baseline, all scores were significantly improved after treatment on the treated hemiface ($P<0.05$); at T1, the color scores as well as skin transparency, brightness, and luminosity were significantly improved on the treated compared to the nontreated hemiface. All the parameters presented a significant improvement in terms of time/treatment evolution. *Significantly different from T0 within each hemiface. §Significantly different from treated hemiface at T1.

Abbreviations: CLBT, Color, Luminosity, Brightness and Transparency Scoring; SD, standard deviation; T, time.)

Figure 3 CLBT™ scoring before (T0) and after (T1) the 24 treatment sessions: results for the color components. Notes: The visual analysis scale (CLBT scoring$^{13}$) follows seven descriptors that evaluate between 10% and 100% of four different colors: pink red (A), olive (B), beige (C), and light pink (D). All these color parameters presented a significant improvement (decrease) in terms of time/treatment evolution, in favor of the treated (blue) versus nontreated (red) side. *$P<0.05$. Abbreviations: CLBT, Color, Luminosity, Brightness and Transparency Scoring; T, time.
• “pink red”: 18.2% on the treated side versus 4.2% on the nontreated side;
• “olive”: 32.7% versus 9.2%;
• “beige”: 16.7% versus 5%;
• “light pink”: 15.3% versus 4.8%;
• transparency: 28.4% versus 1.1%;
• brightness: 25.1% versus 5.3%;
• luminosity: 97.6% versus 46.3%.

Relief
At baseline (T0), no significant differences were observed in surface irregularity, fine lines, wrinkles and blemishes, skin texture, under-eye dark circles, buttons/microcysts, and relief scores between the treated and the untreated hemiface. Compared to the baseline, at T1 all scores decreased on the treated hemiface (P<0.05) (except for buttons/microcysts) while no change was observed on the nontreated hemiface. A significant improvement in terms of time/treatment evolution was observed for all the evaluated parameters (Table 3 and Figure 5). An improvement was observed for treated versus nontreated side on the following parameters:
• surface irregularity: 20.7% on the treated side versus 2.5% on the nontreated side;
• fine lines, wrinkles, and blemishes: 21.1% versus 0.6%;
• skin texture: 15% versus 6.37%;
• under-eye dark circles: 14.2% versus 4.2%;
• relief score: 19.2% versus 5.4%.

Color
At T0, no difference between the treated and the untreated hemiface was observed for the clinical scores of skin color (except for buttons/spots, P=0.086). At T1 compared to baseline, heterogeneity, under-eye dark circles, papules/scars, and color score were significantly improved on the treated hemiface, while they were stable on the nontreated hemiface. A significant improvement in terms of time/treatment evolution was observed for all parameters (skin complexion heterogeneity, under-eye dark circles, redness, and color score) (Table 4 and Figure 6). An improvement

Figure 4 CLBT™ scoring before (T0) and after (T1) the 24 treatment sessions: results for transparency, brightness, and luminosity.

Notes: The visual analysis scale (CLBT scoring12) evaluates three parameters of skin transparency (A), brightness (B), and luminosity (C) on a 0–10 scale; a significant improvement (increase) in terms of time/treatment evolution is pictured in all cases, in favor of the treated (blue) versus nontreated (red) hemiface. *P<0.05.

Abbreviations: CLBT, Color, Luminosity, Brightness and Transparency Scoring; T, time.
Table 3 Clinical scoring of skin radiance: evaluation through relief before (T0) and after (T1) the 24 treatment sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Treated hemiface</th>
<th>Non-treated hemiface</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T0 (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>T1 (mean ± SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface irregularity</td>
<td>5.13±1.20</td>
<td>4.07±1.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine lines, wrinkles, and blemishes</td>
<td>4.73±1.26</td>
<td>3.73±1.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin texture</td>
<td>3.73±1.84</td>
<td>3.17±1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under-eye dark circles</td>
<td>4.63±1.71</td>
<td>3.97±1.85*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buttons/microcysts</td>
<td>1.23±1.07</td>
<td>0.80±0.85*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relief score</td>
<td>19.47±3.85</td>
<td>15.73±4.02*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: An analogical scale (from 0 to 9) was used to score the radiance through relief parameters, before and after the treatment: surface irregularity, fine lines/wrinkles/blemishes, skin pores, under-eye dark circles, and buttons/microcysts. A global relief score was defined as the sum of the previous scores (the lower the score, the better the relief). A significant improvement in terms of time/treatment evolution was observed for all the evaluated parameters. *Significantly different from T0 within each hemiface.

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; T, time.

for treated versus non-treated side was observed on the following parameters:
- skin complexion heterogeneity: −13.8% on the treated versus +1.2% on the non-treated side;
- eye circles: 15.1% versus 1.7%;
- redness/rosacea: −9.4% versus +2.5%;
- color score: 13.5% versus 1.7%.

Subjects self-assessment

Subjects’ self-assessment evaluation revealed an improvement for 9 out of 10 parameters on the treated side while the skin status on the nontreated side was considered to show little improvement or even worsen compared to baseline. Differences at T1 between treated and untreated sides were significant for 7 of the 10 parameters and trend for 1. Significant evolution of treated side was noticed for roughness, firmness, radiance, and softness, compared to untreated hemiface. A significant improvement in terms of time/treatment evolution was observed for all parameters (skin complexion heterogeneity, under-eye dark circles, redness, and color score) (Table 5).

Table 6 shows the results of the self-assessment questionnaire allowing the volunteers to rate their skin state at T1 and T2, compared to T0.

The results demonstrated a significant effect on the treated side compared to the nontreated side for all parameters (firmness, elasticity, smoothness, wrinkles, and sagging), except brightness at T1.

Satisfaction questionnaire

At T1, 90% of the volunteers were satisfied by the mechano-stimulation while the satisfaction rate was 100% at T2. Of all the volunteers, 70% at T1 and 75% at T2 declared they wish to continue the treatment, and 77% of the volunteers judged the treatment as “very good” or “good” at T1. At T2, 87% of the subjects still felt the positive effects of the treatment.

Photographs

Standardized photographs illustrated an improvement of the oval face shape in 60% of the subjects on the treated area (Figure 7).

In vitro study

Migratory capacity

The migration of fibroblasts was evaluated through their capacity to retrace free-floating collagen lattices. The retraction of collagen lattices obtained with fibroblasts subjected or not subjected to Mécano-Stimulation was time dependent. The retraction of collagen lattices obtained with fibroblasts subjected to Mécano-Stimulation was significantly increased compared to nonstimulated fibroblasts ($P<0.001$; Figure 8).

Figure 5 Clinical scoring of skin radiance: relief surface irregularity.

Notes: An analogical scale (from 0 to 9) was used to score the radiance through relief parameters: among those, surface irregularity was evaluated from small (0) to large (9) before (T0) and after (T1) the treatment. The figure represents a significant improvement (decrease) in terms of time/treatment evolution observed; surface irregularity score was improved by 20.7% on treated side (blue) against 2.5% on nontreated side (red). *$P<0.05$.

Abbreviation: T, time.
Table 4 Clinical scoring of skin radiance: evaluation through skin color before (T0) and after (T1) the 24 treatment sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Treated hemiface</th>
<th>Nontreated hemiface</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T0 (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>T1 (mean ± SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin complexion heterogeneity</td>
<td>3.40±0.86</td>
<td>2.93±0.98*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under-eye dark circles</td>
<td>3.57±1.52</td>
<td>3.03±1.50*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redness/rosacea</td>
<td>2.87±1.59</td>
<td>2.60±1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin spots (hyperpigmentation)</td>
<td>2.03±1.19</td>
<td>1.97±1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buttons/scars</td>
<td>0.73±0.87</td>
<td>0.37±0.49*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color score</td>
<td>12.60±3.10</td>
<td>10.90±2.98*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The scoring of the radiance was also assessed through color parameters with an analogical scale (0–9): skin complexion heterogeneity, under-eye dark circles, redness/rosacea, skin spots, and buttons/scars. A global color score was defined as the sum of the previous scores (the lower the score, the better the radiance). Results are presented before (T0) and after (T1) the 24 sessions of treatment. A significant improvement in terms of time/treatment evolution was observed for skin complexion heterogeneity, under-eye dark circles, redness, and color score. *Significantly different from T0 within each hemiface. §Significantly different from treated hemiface at T1.

Abbreviation: T, time.

Measurements of contractile forces developed by fibroblasts

No significant difference of the development of contractile forces was observed between the Mécano-Stimulation group and the control group (Figure 9).

Collagen I, elastin, and hyaluronic acid synthesis

For each product, synthesis results are expressed as % respective control.

At T1, a nonsignificant increase in the synthesis of collagen I was observed between the treated and untreated hemiface (107.8%±69.8% vs 100.0%±0.0%/control; Figure 10).

For elastin synthesis by the fibroblasts, a nonsignificant difference was observed on the treated side comparing to the untreated side (145.6%±32.1% vs 100.0%±0.0%/control for the treated and untreated hemiface, respectively, P=0.175; Figure 10). The same result was noticed for the synthesis of hyaluronic acid (180.2%±69.8% vs 100.0%±0.0%/control for the treated and untreated hemiface, respectively, P=0.268; Figure 10).

MMP-9, MMP-1, and TIMP-1 synthesis

For each MMP, results are expressed as % respective control.

A significant increase in the synthesis of MMP-9 (+115.4%, P<0.05 vs control group, P=0.033; Figure 11) was observed in fibroblasts from patients subjected to Mécano-Stimulation compared to control fibroblasts. No significant changes were observed in the synthesis of MMP-1 and TIMP-1 (Figure 11).

Ultrastructural results

After decoding of the samples, the treated side presented ultrastructural signs of improvement in four of the ten volunteers. These were characterized by:

- changes in the fibroblast morphology comprising the enlargement of the intracellular network of ribosome-decorated rough endoplasmic reticulum, of the electron-lucent mitochondria with well-developed cristae, and of the presence of numerous small plasma membrane-bound vesicles suggestive of an increased secretory activity; none of these were observed in the nonresponders;
- restructing of the fragmented elastic fibers, ie, evidence of the elastic fiber recycling by “activated” fibroblasts;
- increased α-SM-actin expression by the latter in 50% of the cases (Figure 12).
Facial skin of the control side showed changes related to the chronic and actinic aging, such as elastic fiber fragmentation, diminished density and organization of the fibrous extracellular matrix, and quiescence of the dermal fibroblasts. These structural signs of tissue senescence were particularly accentuated in seven of the ten subjects.

**Discussion**

Cutaneous aging is a complex process that depends on intrinsic factors (chronologic aging, genetic basis) and on detrimental influences from the environment (mainly UV radiation). The resulting effects such as skin laxity, sagging, and wrinkle formation are clinically obvious and concern mainly the superficial dermis. Fibroblasts are the most abundant cells in the dermis, playing a major role in extracellular matrix components synthesis; matrix remodeling, and migration activities; because several in vitro studies have demonstrated that mechanical stimulation on fibroblasts could alter their behavior and induce complex cascades of biochemical events, the idea of performing mechanical stimulation on the skin surface has emerged to positively affect the dermis.

With aging, the skin tends to become thinner, less elastic, and drier. Phenotypic studies about wrinkle fibroblasts showed that the mechanical properties of the skin change with aging: reduced contractile capacities as well as reduced migration activities were observed in wrinkle fibroblasts.

A major feature of aged skin is fragmentation of the dermal collagen matrix. Fragmentation results from actions of specific enzymes (MMPs, collagenases, and elastases) and impairs the structural integrity of the dermis. Fibroblasts that produce and organize the collagen matrix cannot attach to fragmented collagen. Loss of attachment prevents fibroblasts from receiving mechanical information from their support, and they collapse. Stretch is critical for normal balanced production of collagen and collagen-degrading enzymes. Then, in aged skin, collapsed fibroblasts produce low levels of collagen and high levels of collagen-degrading enzymes.

During the aging process, the proteoglycans such as hyaluronic acid, which has a high affinity for water, are less synthesized, and the contractile activity of fibroblasts decreases. Fibroblasts are losing their ability to express α-SM-actin and to differentiate into myofibroblasts.

### Table 5 Results of self-assessment evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Improvement on treated side at T1 compared to T0 (vs untreated side)</th>
<th>Significant difference at T1 between treated and untreated</th>
<th>Significant difference in evolution between the treated and untreated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wrinkles</td>
<td>Yes (P=0.004)</td>
<td>Yes (P=0.016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roughness</td>
<td>Yes (P=0.028)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine lines</td>
<td>−3.1% (−10.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasolabial fold</td>
<td>Yes (P=0.089)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elasticity</td>
<td>Yes (P=0.003)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiance</td>
<td>Yes (P=0.003)</td>
<td>Yes (P=0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softness</td>
<td>Yes (P=0.018)</td>
<td>Yes (P=0.016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under-eye dark circles</td>
<td>Yes (P=0.001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** At T0 and T1, volunteers were asked to score some parameters which characterized their skin (described as presence/absence and the intensity of each parameter). Self-assessment showed improvement on treated side for nine of the ten parameters evaluated; in all cases, nontreated side was felt as less improved or even to have worsened. Difference at T1 between treated and untreated sides were significant for seven of the ten parameters, and trend for one. Significant evolution of treated side was noticed for roughness, firmness, radiance, and softness, compared to untreated hemiface.

**Abbreviation:** T, time.

### Table 6 Self-assessment of subjects skin at T1 (end of the treatment) and T2 (1 month after the end of the treatment), compared to T0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% of subjects with positive answer</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Firmer skin</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More elastic skin</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoother skin</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less wrinkled skin</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less relaxed skin</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brighter skin</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** The result of the self-assessment questionnaire allowing the volunteers to rate their skin state at T1 and T2, compared to T0 is shown. The proportion of positive answers for each item is presented; the results demonstrated a strong effect on the treated side compared with the nontreated side, for all parameters, except brightness at T1. After treatment, patients found their skin firmer, smoother, less wrinkled and less sagged, with a remnant effect 1 month after the last treatment.

**Abbreviation:** T, time.
induces lower forces of contraction on the extracellular matrix and a decrease of mechanical tension of the skin, producing a loss of elasticity and tonicity of the dermis.

Previous trials studied the effect of Mécano-Stimulation and showed its ability to reinduce natural production of collagen and elastin.9

In the present study, 30 subjects underwent 24 sessions of treatment on the face with the Mécano-Stimulation in order to understand its effects through clinical, biological, and histological evaluations.

The results indicated that, while nonstatistically significant, a trend for a clinical improvement of skin sagging (the primary outcome) was observed, with an improvement of at least one parameter of the facial skin sagging clinical score11 in 73% of subjects. The location where the mechanostimulation was less efficient was the upper part of the cheek/nasolabial fold, while a clinical improvement of

Figure 7 Skin sagging evolution during the study: examples on two volunteers treated on the left side of the face before and after 24 sessions of treatment.

Notes: Standardized photographs illustrated an improvement of the face oval: Two examples are pictured: before treatment (A, C) where skin sagging is indicated with arrows on lateral and lower cheek; after 24 sessions of treatment, and (B, D) skin slackening is reduced.

Figure 8 Effect of Mécano-Stimulation™ on fibroblast retraction according to time.

Notes: Fibroblasts capacity to retract free-floating collagen lattices reflects their migration, and is presented to time. The retraction of collagen lattices obtained with fibroblasts exposed to Mécano-Stimulation™ was significantly increased (decreasing of the diameter of retracted collagen lattices) compared to nonstimulated fibroblasts (P<0.001).
the sagging was observed in 70% of subjects either on the lower part or the lateral part of the cheek. This result is in accordance with the fact that structural skin changes, such as sagging and wrinkling, clearly originate due to alterations in the dermis, which is a tissue with slow turnover.

Indeed, the macroscopic clinical signs of wrinkles and firmness did not show any particular change over a 6-month period\textsuperscript{18} while in the present study only 24 sessions of treatment over 8 weeks were performed. The wrinkle formation and sagging of the facial skin are long-term cumulative effects and would not be particularly affected by 8 weeks of treatment, so it is recommended to perform long-term studies in this field, as already reported in other studies.\textsuperscript{19,20} A longer treatment period could also enhance the visible changes over the treatment period.

In accordance with the latter observation, all the clinical scores for skin complexion radiance evaluated by the CLBT

Figure 9 Quantification of contractile forces developed by fibroblasts after the action of Mécano-Stimulation\textsuperscript{TM} using Glasbox\textsuperscript{\textregistered} device.

Notes: The Glasbox\textsuperscript{\textregistered} device contains eight rectangular culture wells in which lattices have been developed; two opposite silicon beams with strain gauges allow force measurements to be obtained. The results are expressed as contractile forces (arbitrary unit) developed by fibroblasts subjected or not subjected to Mécano-Stimulation\textsuperscript{TM} according to the time. No significant difference of the development of contractile forces was observed between Mécano-stimulation group and control group.

Figure 10 Collagen I, elastin, and hyaluronic acid synthesis of fibroblasts with and without the action of Mécano-Stimulation\textsuperscript{TM}.

Notes: The quantification of collagen I and hyaluronic acid was evaluated using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit. The concentration in the samples was determined by comparing the optical density of the samples to the standard curve. The total soluble elastin was assayed by a colorimetric method (Fastin Elastin assay). Results are expressed as % respective control. At T1, nonsignificant increase in the synthesis of collagen I (A) was observed between the treated and untreated hemiface (107.8%±69.8% and 100.0%±0.0%/control). At T1, an increase in the synthesis of elastin (B) and hyaluronic acid (C) was observed between the treated and untreated hemiface (P=0.175 and P=0.268, respectively).

Abbreviation: T, time.
scale\textsuperscript{12} were significantly upgraded after treatment. Indeed, color transparency, brightness, and luminosity scores were significantly improved at T1 on the treated compared to the nontreated hemiface.

Such radiance improvement was observed after 6 months with the use of a topical treatment in aged human skin,\textsuperscript{21} suggesting a very efficient impact of the Mécano-Stimulation on radiance.

Accordingly, clinical scores for surface irregularity, fine lines, wrinkles and blemishes, skin texture, under-eye dark circles, papules/microcysts, and relief were significantly improved compared to baseline on the treated side, while no significant change was observed on the nontreated hemiface.

The skin color evaluation focused on heterogeneity, under-eye dark circles, and global color score showed improvement on the treated side compared to the untreated side after treatment.

The evolution of both skin relief and color scores is along the same direction as other results. This could reinforce the skin global improvement of the subjects from a clinical point of view, considering that skin relief, color, radiance, and sagging are strongly interrelated in the aging process also.

Self-assessment questionnaires expressed significant results in efficiency of the treatment for parameters such as wrinkles, roughness, nasolabial folds, elasticity, firmness, skin radiance, softness, and under-eye dark circles. After 24 treatment sessions, the patients found their skin firmer, smoother, less wrinkled and less sagged, with stable effect 1 month after last treatment session.

These results, together with the fact that between 90\% and 100\% (T1 and T2) of the volunteers were satisfied by

---

**Figure 11** MMP-1, MMP-9, and TIMP-1 synthesis of fibroblasts with and without the action of Mécano-Stimulation\textsuperscript{™}.

**Notes:** The quantification of MMP-1, MMP-9, and TIMP-1 was obtained using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit. Results are expressed as \% respective control. No significant change was observed in the synthesis of MMP-1 (A) and TIMP-1 (C). At T1, a significant increase in the synthesis of MMP-9 (B) was observed between the treated and untreated hemiface (\(P=0.033\)). \*\(P<0.05\) versus control.

**Abbreviations:** MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; TIMP, tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases; T, time.
this technique and that between 70% and 75% (T1 and T2) of the volunteers declared their wish to continue the treatment underlined both positive objective and subjective efficacy of this method.

Beyond these aspects, the use of Mécano-Stimulation is considered to increase the synthesis of some extracellular matrix components, particularly MMP-9. The composition and organization of extracellular matrix components are governed by MMPs.\textsuperscript{22} MMPs play major roles in tissue regeneration and remodeling. MMP-9 protein expression has been reported in the epidermal cells of acute wounds.\textsuperscript{23} MMP-9 catalyzes the cleavage of denatured collagens of all types present in aged skin and the components of natural basement membrane as well.\textsuperscript{24} Stimulation of MMP-9 synthesis explained no significant change in collagen I production in this study. No change in contractile forces developed by fibroblasts subjected to the action of Mécano-Stimulation was shown compared to control fibroblasts. These results indicate that the 24 sessions of Mécano-Stimulation are not enough in order to show a “lifting” effect at the scale of fibroblasts. However, the cell migration was faster due to the mechanical stimulations and could suggest a beneficial effect of Mécano-Stimulation on migratory and remodeling capacities. A significant increase in the synthesis of MMP-9

Figure 12 Examples of ultrastructure observed when comparing the treated versus untreated side.

Notes: Examples of ultrastructure of the papillary dermis observed when comparing the treated versus untreated side. Untreated side (A): Presence of an amorphous substance (*) in the spaces between fragmented EFs. Treated side (B, C, D, and E). (B) Restructured EFs in the vicinity of Fb with clear cytoplasm and developed mitochondria. Fb express well-developed rough endoplasmic network (C) and plasma membrane–attached small secretory vesicles often facing the EFs (D). (E) Immunogold labeling of α-smooth muscle actin in the Fb of the papillary dermis.

Abbreviations: EFs, elastic fibers; Fb, fibroblasts.
and the increase of fibroblasts migration suggest a beneficial early action of mechanical stimulation on the synthesis of extracellular matrix components. Mécano-Stimulation stimulates the decreased cell activity found in aged skin through cellular mechanotransduction.

The results suggest that a prolonged action of Mécano-Stimulation might provide a significant difference in the synthesis of extracellular matrix components such as collagen I, elastin, and hyaluronic acid. The expected changes include improvement of contraction forces and the components of the extracellular matrix.

Ultrastructural histopathologic positive changes observed in four out of ten study subjects correlated well with the clinical and biochemical findings. One of the most striking features observed with electron microscopy was apparent restructuring of the fragmented elastin fibers. Although it is difficult to differentiate them from newly synthesized elastic fibers or from the degradation of the fiber remnants, there is some evidence confirming that the mechanically stimulated skin could recycle this functionally important component of the extracellular matrix. However, the restructuring effects were less remarkable than those observed after long-term treatment with topical cream containing vitamin C.

Formation of stress fibers and their attachment to focal adhesions via vinculin have been demonstrated as hallmarks of fibroblast organization in collagen lattices subjected to tension. Accordingly, the overall increase in α-SM-actin expression on the treated side in half of the cases might support our clinical and biochemical results pointing to the morphological improvement. Yet, expression of actin stress fibers by dermal fibroblasts appeared to be a less reliable feature in the evaluation of the tissue response to mechanical constrains. Labeling of the α-SM-actin was observed in all biopsies, either from the treated or control sides, although the level of expression varied among the cells within the same biopsy and/or between the subjects.

Our results confirm the restructuring potential of the physical stimulation procedure.

Conclusion
In conclusion, this original study allowed observing and demonstrating not only the clinical and visible immediate results but also the internal mechanisms of the skin through an external, noninvasive, and painless stimulation.

The study provides the evidence that the use of Mécano-Stimulation, a technique based on the application of mechanical stimuli of the cutaneous and subcutaneous tissue by delivering microbeats to the skin’s surface, is able to induce clinically identifiable improvement in facial skin appearance. This improvement is associated with a tendency to increase the synthesis of extracellular matrix components.
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