Emerging clinical applications of selected biomarkers in melanoma
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Abstract: Melanoma is a lethal skin disease with a mostly predictable clinical course according to a known constellation of clinical and pathologic features. The distinction of melanoma from benign melanocytic nevus is typically unequivocal; however, there is a subset of tumors known for its diagnostic challenges, development of late metastases, and difficulties in treatment. Several melanocytic tissue biomarkers are available that can facilitate the histopathologic interpretation of melanoma as well as provide insight into the biologic potential and mutational status of this disease. This review describes the clinical application of some of these established and emerging tissue biomarkers available to assess melanocytic differentiation, vascular invasion, mitotic capacity, and mutation status. The selected tissue biomarkers in this review include MiTF, Sox10, D2-40, PHH3, H3KT (anti-H3K79me3T80ph), anti-BRAFV600E, and anti-BAP-1.
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Introduction

Melanoma is a deadly skin disease known for its aggressive clinical behavior and high propensity for lethal metastasis.\(^1,2\) High throughput genomic analysis with next-generation sequencing technology has allowed us to interrogate whole exomes and genomes in melanoma, which have provided insight to the key oncogenic pathways involved in melanomagenesis\(^3,4\) RAS/mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway and PI3 kinase/AKT pathways continue to emerge as key driver mutations in melanomas.\(^5,6\) The most frequent oncogenic driver mutation identified in melanoma is in \(BRAF\), which exists in approximately 50%–60% of patients with this malignancy.\(^7,8\) Molecular techniques available for evaluation of BRAFV600E mutations in melanoma are further reviewed in detail elsewhere.\(^9,10\)

Regulation of melanogenesis in melanocytes involves a series of complex biochemical steps, with conversion of L-tyrosine to L-3,4 dihydroxyphenylalanine (L-DOPA) by tyrosinase to final melanin product.\(^11\) In fact, it is now clear that L-tyrosine and L-DOPA can act as positive regulators of melanogenesis and melanocyte function.\(^12\) Tyrosinase is central to melanogenesis, and transcriptional regulation of tyrosinase involves several MiTF-binding sites, further reviewed by Slominski et al.\(^11\) Melanogenic activity is dependent on binding of MiTF to melanogenesis-related proteins; thus, the development of melanocytes in the epidermis and in the follicle is conserved as well as melanin production in melanocytes and melanoma cells.\(^12,13\)

Corticotropin releasing hormone and proopiomelanocortin are known to be produced in the skin in response to environmental stress.\(^14\) Melanocytes and melanoma cells predominantly express the \(\alpha\) isoform of corticotropin releasing hormone...
receptor-1 (CRHR-1). Upon CRHR-1α activation, cyclic adenosine monophosphate is produced, and through release of proopiomelanocortin peptides (ACTH, α-MSH, β-endorphin), stimulates melanin production in melanocytes. Activation of CRHR-1 inhibits melanocytes and melanoma cell proliferation, making selective antagonists a rational target for future melanoma targeted therapy.15

The treatment for localized primary melanoma is surgical excision with adequate margins, and for a subset of patients with high-risk tumors, lymphatic mapping and sentinel lymph node biopsy is recommended. Adjuvant interferon alfa therapy and chemotherapy have been the standard treatment for patients with advanced stage disease. However, more recently, targeted therapy with small molecule inhibitors (eg, vemurafenib) has revolutionized melanoma treatment. In a Phase III trial (BRIM-3), patients who harbor BRAFV600E mutant melanomas who were treated with vemurafenib had better clinical response and overall survival rates than did patients treated with chemotherapy.16 Selective targeted therapy against other intracellular molecules (eg, NRAS, MEK, KIT) are under clinical trials and hold promise for future melanoma therapy. Several publications review current melanoma-targeted therapy.17–19

Clinical response to vemurafenib therapy may be dramatic, with complete shrinkage of tumor burden in patients; however, the duration of response has been limited and eventual disease progression frequently occurs within months of therapy.20 Resistant mechanisms have curtailed long-term therapeutic benefit from vemurafenib therapy; thus, targeting multiple pathways or combined therapy with immune check point blockade (eg, anti-CTLA4 and anti- PD-L1) are under clinical investigation.20–23 Further review of resistant mechanisms via protective effects of insulin on melanoma cells or activation of the PI3K/AKT pathway can be examined in a study by Chi et al.24 Future application of nanotechnology in melanoma to improve therapeutic efficacy is further reviewed by Chen et al.25

Accurate diagnosis of melanoma remains critical to further clinical management. Melanoma can demonstrate a wide range of morphologic features and may be misinterpreted as other human malignancies (eg, sarcomas, squamous cell carcinomas, Paget’s disease, and lymphomas). Thus, melanoma is known as the “great mimicker.”26 Diagnosis of melanoma can be further complicated since a subset of ambiguous melanocytic lesions may demonstrate features overlapping with melanoma and benign nevi (in particular, Spitz nevi).27 These characteristics make the histologic diagnosis of melanoma challenging for even the most experienced dermatopathologists.

To help distinguish melanoma from its imitators, a variety of tissue biomarkers and ancillary techniques (eg, immunohistochemical [IHC] analysis or fluorescence in situ hybridization) are currently available. In fact, hundreds of tissue biomarkers are available in clinical laboratories for diagnosing melanoma and determining the prognosis and mutation status of this devastating skin disease. This review provides an update on the clinical applications of some of the established and emerging melanoma tissue biomarkers used at The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center. Specifically, we will review the following melanoma tissue biomarkers (Table 1):1) melanocytic differentiation [MiTF and Sox10]; 2) vascular invasion [D2–40 and dual IHC marker with MiTF/D2–40 and Sox10/D2–40]; 3) mitotic figures [PHH3, dual IHC marker with Mart-1/PHH3 and H3KT (anti-H3K79me3 T80ph)]; and 4) mutation status [anti-BRAFV600E, anti-BAP-1].

Markers of melanocytic differentiation

MiTF

MiTF (microphthalmia-associated transcription factor) functions in the development and differentiation of a variety of cell types, including melanocytes.28 There are ten isoforms of MiTF, with the M isoform specifically expressed in melanocytes.29 MiTF regulates the transcription of genes (eg, tyrosinase, tyrosinase-related protein 1 and 2) involved in melanin synthesis and survival of postnatal melanocytes.28,30,31 Thus, MiTF is critical for pigment synthesis and melanocyte differentiation. MiTF protein functions in the nucleus of melanocytes and can be recognized with antibodies directed against it. The D5 antibody recognizes human MiTF.32

The sensitivity of MiTF in melanocytic lesions exceeds 80% and is similar to that of HMB45.33 In desmoplastic melanomas, however, the sensitivity of MiTF dramatically decreases to less than 55% according to some studies.32,34 The low sensitivity of MiTF in desmoplastic melanoma is comparable to that of HMB45, a marker of premelanosomal glycoprotein 100. Therefore, MiTF appears to exhibit sensitivity comparable to that of HMB45 in melanocytic neoplasms. MiTF and HMB45 differ, however, in their specificity. HMB45 is a highly specific marker with greater than 97% specificity for melanocytic differentiation.35,36 In contrast, the specificity of MiTF in melanocytic lesions is less, and the widespread use of this biomarker alone in evaluating melanocytic lesions is an important pitfall. MiTF has been shown to highlight cells other than melanocytes and nonmelanocytic neoplasms. In particular, MiTF reactivity...
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can be seen in macrophages, fibroblasts, and mast cells and in a variety of spindle cell tumors in the differential diagnosis of melanoma (eg, dermal scar, dermatofibrosarcoma, leiomysarcoma, neurofibroma, malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor). In our experience, MiTF has clinical utility if incorporated with a panel of biomarkers in certain clinical scenarios: first, to enumerate melanocytes in the epidermis on chronic sun-damaged skin; second, to detect melanocytic differentiation in tumors negative for more conventional melanocytic markers (HMB45, anti-tyrosinase, and anti-Mart1); and third, to confirm melanocytic differentiation in rare isolated cells in vascular channels and the presence of lymphovascular invasion (LVI).

Since MiTF is expressed in the nucleus of melanocytes, it facilitates and accurately highlights the number of melanocytes seen in the epidermis (Figure 1A and B) and lesions of lentigo maligna (Figure 1C). This is particularly useful in evaluating melanocytes on atrophic sun-damaged skin that typically harbors increased numbers of melanocytes in the epidermis, where perceived melanocytes numbers may be overestimated and their presence may be misinterpreted as lentigo maligna with Melan A since this marker labels the cytoplasm of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Clone (vendor)</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>IHC pattern</th>
<th>Internal control</th>
<th>Clinical application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MiTF</td>
<td>Nuclear transcription factor</td>
<td>D5 (Thermo Scientific)</td>
<td>1:40</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Epidermal melanocytes, adnexae</td>
<td>Distinction from melanocytic hyperplasia and LM confirmation of melanocytic differentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sox10</td>
<td>Nuclear transcription factor</td>
<td>Polyclonal (Cell Marque)</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Epidermal melanocytes, adnexae, nerve</td>
<td>Distinction from melanocytic hyperplasia and LM confirmation of melanocytic differentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2-40</td>
<td>O-linked sialoglycoprotein on lymphatic endothelium</td>
<td>D2-40 (Signet/BioLegend)</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Adnexae</td>
<td>Evaluate for LVI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHH3</td>
<td>Regulation of chromatin structure</td>
<td>Histone H3 (Ser10) (Millipore)</td>
<td>1:400</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mitotic figures in epidermis, adnexa, inflammatory cells</td>
<td>Evaluate or confirm mitotic figure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3KT</td>
<td>Regulation of chromatin structure</td>
<td>Histone H3 (H3K79me3T80ph) (Millipore)</td>
<td>1:400</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mitotic figures in epidermis, adnexa, inflammatory cells</td>
<td>Evaluate or confirm mitotic figure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAFV600E</td>
<td>Serine/threonine protein kinase</td>
<td>VE1 (Spring Bioscience)</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>None available</td>
<td>Detect the presence of BRAFV600E mutation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAP-I</td>
<td>Ubiquitin hydrolase and enhance BRCA-1 tumor suppression</td>
<td>C-4 (Santa Cruz)</td>
<td>1:150</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Keratinocytes</td>
<td>Detect the presence of BAP-1 mutation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations**: C, cytoplasmic; IHC, immunohistochemistry; LM, lentigo maligna; LVI, lymphovascular invasion; N, nuclear.

**Figure 1** MiTF accurately enumerates the melanocytes in the epidermis of chronic sun exposed skin and in lesions of lentigo maligna.

**Notes**: (A) Chronic sun-damaged skin with atrophic epidermis (*) with background increase in melanocytes along the dermal–epidermal junction (DEJ) (arrows) (H&E stain, ×100); (B) MiTF labels nuclei of melanocytes and allows for better enumeration of melanocytes along the DEJ (IHC stain, ×100); (C) Area of lentigo maligna with atypical melanocytes with back-to-back nuclei and pagetoid spread highlighted by MiTF (IHC stain, ×100).

**Abbreviations**: H&E, hematoxylin and eosin; IHC, immunohistochemistry.
melanocytes.\textsuperscript{40} One factor contributing to the overestimation of melanocytes with Melan A is the cytoplasmic labeling of dendritic processes; also, Melan A has been shown to label occasional keratinocytes as “pseudomelanocytic nests.”\textsuperscript{41}

When we evaluate Melan A in this clinical setting, attention to labeling of only the cell bodies helps prevent overestimation of the number of melanocytes. A study by Nybakken et al\textsuperscript{39} demonstrated that the use of Melan A resulted in an estimated 23.3\% higher melanocyte count in the epidermis compared with use of hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained sections in atypical intraepithelial melanocytic proliferations (lesions of concern for diagnosis of melanoma in situ); use of MiTF resulted in estimates of melanocytes similar to those observed with H&E-stained sections. Thus, MiTF can be useful to distinguish pigmented actinic keratosis from melanoma in situ.\textsuperscript{42}

In fact, compared with Melan A and HMB45, MiTF allowed greater distinction of melanocytes from keratinocytes with melanin pigment.\textsuperscript{43} The mean density of intraepithelial melanocytes in a 1.0 mm segment of epidermis was reported to be 27 positive MiTF cells in solar lentigines compared to 111.9 in melanoma in situ.\textsuperscript{43} Morphometric analysis identified greater than ten MiTF-positive nuclei per 200 \( \mu \)m segment of H&E-stained, section-favored melanoma in situ over solar lentigo.\textsuperscript{44}

Although quantitative assessment is valuable, we also find it helpful to compare the background melanocytes with the central lesion in skin ellipse specimens. Typically, there should be crescento-decrescendo density of melanocytes in the epidermis from the periphery–center of the lesion–periphery in examined cross-sections (either by H&E or IHC) (Figure 2). Discrimination from background increase density of melanocytes in chronic sun damage skin from lentigo maligna may become more challenging in en face margin evaluation.

### Sox10

The Sox (Sry-related box) family of genes is involved in the development processes of testis, oligodendrocytes, the central nervous system, and chondrocytes.\textsuperscript{45} Sox10 plays a pivotal role in neural crest cell development, and mutations in Sox10 result in Waardenburg–Shah syndrome. Afflicted individuals exhibit pigmented alterations and nervous system defects.\textsuperscript{46} Sox10 directly regulates expression of MitF and other genes essential in melanin synthesis.\textsuperscript{47,48} A study by Bondurand et al\textsuperscript{49} showed that Sox10 in synergy with PAX3 bind directly to the MitF promoter; thus, regulating MitF expression. Consequently, Sox10 has emerged as a useful tissue biomarker in melanocytic neoplasms.\textsuperscript{49,50} Similar to MitF, Sox10 protein is expressed in the nucleus, and the utility of Sox10 in evaluation of melanocytic lesions parallels that of MitF. In particular, like MitF, Sox10 facilitates the enumeration of melanocytes in the epidermis on chronic sun-damaged skin, and minimizes the overestimation of melanocytes. Sox10 also facilitates the distinction of pigmented actinic keratosis and melanocytic hyperplasia from lentigo maligna.\textsuperscript{42}

Sox10 is expressed in Schwann cells, myoepithelial cells, granular cells, adnexal structures, and salivary glands. Since the expression of Sox10 has been limited to tumors of melanocytic, Schwannian, or myoepithelial differentiation, Sox10 has become useful in differential diagnosis of melanoma. The sensitivity of Sox10 in melanoma with various morphologies and patterns (eg, spindle, nodular, desmoplastic, metastatic) is comparable to that of S100 and is greater than 95\%\textsuperscript{50,52,53} Sox10 and MitF differ, however, in specificity. Sox10 expression is absent in fibroblasts and macrophages and thus can aid in evaluating excision specimens for residual tumor.\textsuperscript{54} Sox10
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**Figure 2** Evaluation of bread-loafed cross-sections of peripheral margins allows for comparison of the background increased density of melanocytes, reflective of chronic sun damage (at the periphery), with area of lentigo maligna (at the center).
has also been reported to be a reliable marker in evaluating sentinel lymph nodes for metastatic melanoma.\textsuperscript{55} In addition to these uses for Sox10, we have found this tissue biomarker to be helpful in identifying rare tumor cells in a heavily regressed area of metastatic melanoma with abundant melanophages (Figure 3A). The nuclear labeling of Sox10 (preferably with red chromogen to contrast melanin pigment in the cytoplasm of the macrophages) confirms the presence of isolated melanoma cells (Figure 3B) but not macrophages, and thus may have important clinical implications in staging.

**Markers of vascular invasion**

**D2-40**

The endothelial marker D2-40 (podoplanin) is a highly specific monoclonal antibody for lymphatic endothelium but does not react with blood vessel endothelium; thus, D2-40 is a selective marker to highlight LVI by tumor cells.\textsuperscript{56} Use of D2-40 in cutaneous melanoma, compared with use of HE stain alone, increased the frequency of both intratumoral and peritumoral LVI detection.\textsuperscript{57,58} In fact, detection of LVI with D2-40 in cutaneous melanoma correlated with positive sentinel lymph nodes and worse overall and disease-free survival (Figure 4A and B).\textsuperscript{58,59} The importance of LVI detection with D2-40 is not limited to cutaneous melanomas but offers prognostic value in other human cancers such as endometrial, breast, esophageal, colorectal, and Merkel cell cancers.\textsuperscript{60–63}

CD34 and CD31 are panvascular markers that label both lymphatic and blood vessel endothelium. The sensitivity of CD34 and CD31 in identifying lymphatic endothelium is lower than that of D2-40.\textsuperscript{64,65} In addition, CD31 is reactive in macrophages. These qualities make CD34 and CD31 suboptimal markers in the detection of LVI in cutaneous melanoma.

**Dual IHC marker with MiTF/D2-40 or Sox10/D2-40**

The use of double IHC stains to evaluate melanocytic lesions is generally available in academic settings and some private laboratories.\textsuperscript{66} At our institution, to confirm histologic suspicion of LVI in tumors that histologically harbor poor prognostic attribute(s) (eg, ulceration, mitotic figures, thick tumors) in patients with cutaneous melanomas, we routinely evaluate for LVI with D2-40 in lesions with adequate dermal component amenable to further IHC studies. Since a vascular collection of inflammatory cells (eg, lymphocytes or monocytes) highlighted by D2-40 may mimic melanoma LVI, we have developed a dual IHC protocol that allows us to identify lymphatic endothelium with D2-40 and confirm melanocytic differentiation in cells within the highlighted lymphatic vessel with either MiTF or Sox10 (Figure 4C and D). Both dual IHC markers with MiTF/D2-40 or Sox10/D2-40 have clinical utility. An advantage of using Sox10 in some instances will be in lesions negative for MiTF (eg, spindle/desmoplastic melanomas) or if there is concern for MiTF reactivity with macrophages.

**Markers of mitotic figures**

**PHH3**

Modifications of histone tails are critical events in melanoma cells, and condensation of chromatin is an essential biologic
Detection of mitotic figures is an important histologic feature in both the diagnosis and prognosis of melanoma. The presence of mitotic figures in melanoma correlates with worse prognosis and defines a subset of thin melanomas (Breslow thickness ≤1.0 mm) classified as T1b (American Joint Committee on Cancer [AJCC]).

PHH3 (phosphorylation of histone H3 at serine 10) has been shown to be associated with chromatin condensation at G2 and M phases of the cell cycle. Immunodetection of mitotic figures in cutaneous melanoma with PHH3 has been shown to facilitate the mitotic figure count, demonstrate increased risk of metastasis, and was correlated with worse survival. PHH3 is a sensitive and specific mitotic-associated histone marker; it is reactive in all phases of the mitotic cycle and is absent in apoptotic cells.

The clinical utility of PHH3 at our institution is to confirm/detect mitotic figures in melanocytic lesions on a case-by-case basis. A dual IHC stain with Mart1/PHH3 allows identification of mitotic figures in melanoma cells (Figure 5B). Dual IHC staining with Mart1/PHH3, compared with PHH3 staining alone, improved specificity and time in the detection of lymphovascular invasion.

**Dual IHC marker with Mart1/PHH3**

A variety of proliferative cells in the skin may be reactive with PHH3, including lymphocytes and macrophages that may make detection of tumor mitotic figures a challenge. A dual IHC stain with Mart1/PHH3 allows identification of mitotic figures in melanoma cells (Figure 5B). Dual IHC staining with Mart1/PHH3, compared with PHH3 staining alone, improved specificity and time in the detection of
Mitotic figures in melanoma cells. Misinterpretation of PHH3-positive inflammatory cells can be avoided with anti-Mart1/PHH3, and this marker is typically used in our clinical practice to confirm mitotic figures in melanoma cells.

Anti-H3K79me3T80ph
Dynamic structural changes in chromatin are critical in the stage of the cell cycle. Chromatin condensation is important for proper cell division. A dual modified phosphohistone H3 marker anti-H3K79meT80ph (H3KT) is associated with mitotic figures in cutaneous melanoma. H3KT was found significantly in G2/M phase of the cell cycle in asynchronous HeLA cells and essentially undetectable levels in G0/G1 and S phases of the cell cycle. More recently, studies indicate that H3KT may in fact recognize dual modified histone H3K9me3S10ph (H3KS). The detection of mitotic figures and G2+ tumor nuclei with H3KT identified a subset of cutaneous melanomas with a more aggressive clinical course. Furthermore, the combined mitotic figure count and G2+ tumor nuclei correlated with worse survival in a cohort of patients with Merkel cell carcinoma (Figure 5C). H3KT or anti-H3KS is an emerging mitotic-associated histone marker that in a subset of tumors highlights the prognostic value of detection of G2+ tumor nuclei in human cancers (Figure 5D). Since H3KT identifies mitotic figures in any proliferating cell, the type of cell with mitosis may be further identified with incorporation of another IHC marker (eg, Mart-1/H3KT for proliferating melanocytes or CK20/H3KT for proliferating Merkel cells). Additional studies with H3KS and H3KT and double IHC marker studies are warranted for further clinical application.

Figure 5 Detection of mitotic figures and G2+ tumor nuclei with histone markers.
Notes: (A and C) Detection of mitotic figure(s) with PHH3 and H3KT (anti-H3K79me3T80ph) (IHC stain, ×400); (B) Dual IHC stain with Mart1 (red, cytoplasmic) and PHH3 (brown, nuclear) confirms mitotic figure in melanoma cell (×400); (C) Mitotic figure highlighted with H3KT in Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC) (IHC stain, ×400); (D) G2+ tumor nuclei detected by H3KT in MCC (IHC stain, ×400).
Abbreviation: IHC, immunohistochemistry.
Marker of mutation status Anti-BRAFV600E

Analysis of the aberrant activation of the MAPK signaling pathway in a subset of melanomas with BRAF mutations coupled with the development of selective RAF inhibitors that target mutated BRAF protein has revolutionized therapy for patients with advanced-stage disease. Approximately 50%–60% of cutaneous melanomas harbor BRAF mutations that involve a thymine to adenine DNA base point mutation that replaces the amino acid valine with glutamic acid at the 600 position (BRAF V600E).7 A variety of molecular platforms exist for determining the presence of BRAFV600E mutation in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue samples of melanoma, including pyrosequencing, the cobas BRAF V600E mutation test developed by Roche, and next-generation sequencing platforms.10 Molecular testing, however, often requires a specialized laboratory, may be technically demanding, and is associated with increased costs and time for completing the test. Alternatively, circulating plasma DNA with BRAF mutation reported by Denis et al78 may aid in predicting patients who may respond to BRAF inhibitor therapy.

Results from detecting BRAFV600E mutations in melanoma with use of molecular tests with IHC methods are highly correlated with results from IHC staining with anti-BRAFV600E (clone VE1), with a sensitivity of 97%–100% and a specificity of 98%–100%.79–81 IHC expression of mutant BRAFV600E is typically diffuse throughout the cytoplasm of melanoma cells (Figure 6A). At times, focal cytoplasmic labeling of melanoma cells occurs with BRAFV600E IHC (Figure 6B), which has also been shown to correlate with the presence of the BRAFV600E mutation.82 These findings suggest that IHC analysis with anti-BRAFV600E is important to identify a subset of tumors.
that harbor \textit{BRAFV600E} tumor heterogeneity. One should be aware of the chance of misinterpreting the presence of positive \textit{BRAFV600E} stain in heavily pigmented tumor samples (Figure 6C), especially when the developing agent is a brown chromogen (eg, diaminobenzidine) (Figure 6D). An alternative would be to use a red chromogen (eg, 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole or Texas red) as the developing agent, which may allow better discrimination of positive or negative staining. Reactivity of the developing agent in macrophages is another possible misinterpretation of \textit{BRAFV600E} stain. Absence of the \textit{BRAFV600E} mutation is seen as a negative stain. Nuclear labeling with the absence of cytoplasmic staining should be interpreted as negative. Our experience with \textit{BRAFV600E} IHC stain (in preparation for publication) demonstrates high correlation with \textit{BRAFV600E} mutation status and protein expression with anti-\textit{BRAFV600E} antibody.

**Anti-BAP-1**

\textit{BAP-1} (BCRA1 associated protein-1) protein is an ubiquitin hydrolase that binds to BRCA1 and functions as a tumor suppressor.\textsuperscript{83} Germline mutations in \textit{BAP-1} have been described that predispose affected family members to uveal and cutaneous melanomas and development of melanocytic lesions with distinct clinical and histologic features.\textsuperscript{84,85} Affected individuals present with multiple (range 5–50 lesions) well-circumscribed dome-shaped papules near the second decade of life.\textsuperscript{84,85} Histologically, the melanocytic lesions are located predominantly in the dermis and are composed of epithelioid melanocytes with abundant cytoplasm and distinct nucleoli. The lesions demonstrate similar cytologic features seen with Spitz nevi (Figure 7A). Similar lesions develop in the context of somatically acquired \textit{BAP-1} loss. IHC analysis with anti-BAP-1 demonstrates loss of nuclear staining in melanocytic

**Figure 7** Immunohistochemical evaluation of BAP-1 and BRAFV600E status in melanocytic lesions.

\textbf{Notes:} (A) Melanocytic nevus composed of sheets of epithelioid-shaped melanocytes in the dermis (H&E stain, \texttimes400); (B) Anti-BAP-1 demonstrates loss of nuclear expression in melanocytes (arrows) indicative of the presence of BAP-1 mutation (IHC stain, \texttimes400); (C) Diffuse cytoplasmic expression of anti-BRAFV600E (IHC stain, \texttimes400).

\textbf{Abbreviations:} H&E, hematoxylin and eosin; IHC, immunohistochemistry.
lesions with BAP-1 mutations (Figure 7B). Furthermore, a significant proportion of BAP-1 lesions also frequently harbor a BRAFV600E mutation (Figure 7C). The combined features of BRAFV600E expression and loss of BAP-1 constitutes a distinct subset of Spitz nevi analogous to those harboring gains in chromosome 11p together with HRAS mutation.86

Summary of emerging clinical utility of biomarkers in melanoma

MiTF and Sox10 are both useful biomarkers in identification of melanocytic differentiation and to enumerate the melanocytes along the dermal–epidermal junction in setting of chronic sun-damaged skin. These markers may aid in margin control and in the diagnosis of melanoma. Sox10 has further utility in the distinction between melanoma cells and macrophages in extensively regressed nodules of melanoma and in examination of lymph nodes since this marker exhibits greater melanocytic specificity. D2-40 exposes vascular invasion in a subset of melanomas, and use of double IHC marker MiTF/D2-40 or Sox10/D2-40 confirms the presence or absence of melanoma cells in the vascular lumen. PHH3 identifies mitotic figures and Mart-1/PHH3 double IHC stain will confirm mitosis in cells with melanocytic differentiation. Detection and confirmation of mitotic figures in melanocytes may have clinical impact on T stage of thin melanomas (Breslow thickness ≤1.0 mm). H3K7T identified mitotic figures and G2+ tumor nuclei and may have prognostic implications in melanoma as well as Merkel cell carcinoma. Anti-BRAFV600E identifies melanomas that harbor BRAFV600E mutation and is a useful surrogate marker in the evaluation of BRAF mutation status in melanoma patients. Anti-BAP-1 allows for identification of melanocytic neoplasms with BRAF-1 mutations and distinction from Spitz nevi and BAPomas when combined BRAFV600E and BAP-1 mutations coexist. Finally, next-generation sequencing and gene expression profiling may have some future diagnostic and prognostic utility in a subset of melanocytic lesions.87,88

Conclusion

Melanoma is a deadly skin disease that provides clinical challenges in diagnosis and treatment. However, the use of established and emerging tissue biomarkers will aid in the interpretation of difficult melanocytic lesions, provide prognostic information, identify mutation status, and allow the selection of patients who may benefit from targeted therapy.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health/National Cancer Institute under award number P30CA016672.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References


