Effects and safety profile of betahistine in patients in the Russian contingent of OSVaLD, an open-label observational study in vestibular vertigo
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Background: We report here data from the >200 patients recruited in Russia to take part in OSVaLD, a 12-week, open-label, post-marketing surveillance study of the response to betahistine 48 mg/day in vertigo of peripheral vestibular origin carried out in a total of 13 countries.

Methods: The primary efficacy endpoint was change in the Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI; 100-point scale). Changes in Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) and Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form 36, version 2 (SF-36v2®) scores were a priori secondary Outcomes.

Results: Total DHI score improved by 43 points during betahistine treatment. This aggregate improvement was equally distributed across the three domains of the DHI (physical, emotional, and functional; P<0.0001 for main and subscore changes from baseline). Statistically significant improvements versus baseline were also observed in mean HADS scores for anxiety and depression (both P<0.0001), and in the Physical Component Summary and Mental Component Summary scores of the SF-36v2 (both P<0.0001 versus baseline). Only one suspected adverse drug reaction was recorded in the Russian safety population (n=204), indicating that betahistine was well tolerated in those patients.

Conclusion: Betahistine 48 mg/day was associated with clear improvements in well-configured and widely validated measures of health-related quality of life and an encouraging tolerability profile in patients in Russia who took part in OSVaLD.
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Introduction

Improvements in health-related quality of life (HRQoL) in patients of 13 countries who were prescribed betahistine for recurrent peripheral vestibular vertigo have been reported from the OSVaLD study (a three-month Observational Study in patients suffering from recurrent peripheral vestibular Vertigo to assess the effect of betahistine 48 mg/day on quality of Life and Dizziness symptoms).1,2

One of the largest single-country contingents of OSVaLD was recruited in Russia, and there is interest among physicians in Russia in having detailed information about their national contingent. In response to that interest, we report here the findings from the Russian participants in OSVaLD.

Materials and methods

The methods used in OSVaLD have been described in detail in other papers.1,2 Readers should consult those sources for information about the statistical principles that shaped the study and the statistical methods used to analyze the data, including prospectively defined arrangements for dealing with missing data. Summarized in brief, OSVaLD was...
a post-marketing surveillance study of open-label betahistine; the study was scheduled to last 12 weeks. Participating patients were recruited at multiple primary care centers in 13 countries, including Russia.

Inclusion criteria were simple, comprising a history (≤5 years) of vertigo attacks of peripheral vestibular origin and a baseline total score ≥40 on the Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI). The only exclusion condition specified in the study protocol was if a patient satisfied one or more of the officially acknowledged contraindications to the use of betahistine.

Participating physicians were instructed to prescribe betahistine at a dose of 48 mg/day; this was to be given in two or three equal divided doses according to local regulations and practice. Betahistine could be prescribed as sole therapy or added to existing antivertigo medications. Individual investigators were free to decide whether to continue or discontinue drugs that were being prescribed before the start of the study.

Patients were to attend three clinic visits for assessment of their response to betahistine: a baseline visit, and two follow-up visits at months 1 and 3. If a patient discontinued the trial before any scheduled visit, an end-of-treatment visit was arranged.

Endpoints included HRQoL, quantified using scores on the DHI, the Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form 36, version 2 (SF-36v2); and the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS); these data were recorded at each visit. The primary efficacy outcomes defined in the protocol was the change in total DHI score between baseline and 12 weeks (or end of study if earlier). All these instruments are widely used and documented for measuring HRQoL. Reports of adverse drug reactions were accrued from the safety population.

Data collation and analysis
Data management and statistical analysis was undertaken by the FOVEA Group (Rueil Malmaison, France). Microsoft Access version 9.0 was used for data entry, and SAS version 8.2 was used for quality control and statistical analysis. SF Health Outcomes™ scoring software (QualityMetric Incorporated, Lincoln, RI, USA) was used for some statistical analyses.

Ethics and patient consent
The design and conduct of OSVaLD conformed to international principles of Good Clinical Practice and the Declaration of Helsinki, and included independent institutional review of the protocol and securing advance informed consent from all patients where required by local laws. Pre-enrolment advice to patients included informing them that they could leave the study at any time without giving a reason and with no detriment to their care.

Results
In Russia, a total of 204 patients were recruited at 34 centers (see Acknowledgments section for details of participating practitioners). All 204 patients were included in both the efficacy population (patients who were prescribed betahistine at baseline, attended at least one clinic visit after baseline, and recorded at least one score for at least one endpoint at baseline and during at least one later visit) and the safety population (all patients who were prescribed betahistine at baseline and attended at least one later clinic visit). Table 1 summarizes the demographic profile of the Russian cohort, which was almost entirely white/Caucasian (98%) and predominantly female.

Insufficient efficacy of existing therapy was recorded as the reason for prescribing betahistine in 119 cases (58.3%) in the Russian contingent; new diagnosis (n=85, 41.7%) accounted for the remainder. No patient had betahistine prescribed for multiple reasons.

Betahistine was mostly prescribed in a 16 mg three times daily regimen throughout the study (85%–90% of patients). The mean treatment duration was 91±5 days.

Table 1 Demographic features of the Russian efficacy/safety populations in the OSVaLD study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Efficacy/safety population (n=204)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>55 (27.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>149 (73.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>50.8±13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group (years), n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–29</td>
<td>13 (6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>24 (11.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>57 (28.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>54 (26.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69</td>
<td>41 (20.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–79</td>
<td>12 (5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80–89</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm), (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>167±8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg), (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>74.4±14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²), (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>26.5±4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualifying diagnosis, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVVUP</td>
<td>139 (68.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPPV</td>
<td>36 (17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ménière’s disease</td>
<td>7 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple diagnoses</td>
<td>13 (6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; PVVUP, peripheral vestibular vertigo of unknown pathophysiology; BPPV, benign paroxysmal positional vertigo; SD, standard deviation.
This subset of OSVaLD patients had extensive comorbidity, with pre-existing cerebrovascular or cardiovascular diseases identified in >50% and >25% of patients, respectively, and metabolic disturbances (including diabetes) and psychosomatic/psychiatric disorders (including panic disorder) present in 5%–7% of patients. However, no patient was recorded as having a history of drug or alcohol abuse.

Among the single-diagnosis categories, combination therapy was noted in a higher percentage of patients with Ménière’s disease (≈57%) than those with peripheral vestibular vertigo of unknown pathophysiology (PVVUP) or benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV; both ≈30%). Piracetam was prescribed for between 40% and 50% of patients with PVVUP (48.9%) or BPPV (41.7%), but was not used in the small number of patients with a sole diagnosis of Ménière’s disease (n=7); in contrast, ginkgo biloba was prescribed for approximately 25% of patients with PVVUP or Ménière’s disease but in only one patient with a sole diagnosis of BPPV.

**Efficacy outcomes**

**DHI**

Net mean changes (improvements) in total DHI score and all its dimensions are depicted in Figure 1 (P<0.0001 for all comparisons versus baseline). The DHI response was consistent in men and women, and across the diagnostic categories of PVVUP, BPPV, and Ménière’s disease (data not shown).

The mean change in total DHI score was numerically smaller in patients prescribed betahistine alone (n=127) than in those prescribed combination therapy (n=77; 36.5±18.3 versus 43.6±15.3), but comparisons versus baseline for the total DHI scores and for dimension-specific DHI scores were highly statistically significant (P<0.0001) and indicative of improved HRQoL.

**SF-36v2**

Significant improvements from baseline were noted in the mean scores for both the Physical Component Summary (PCS) and the Mental Component Summary (MCS) subscales of the SF-36v2 (Table 2). Also as shown in Table 2, PCS and MCS scores improved to a similar extent in all major diagnostic categories. Similarly, there were improvements in all domains of the SF-36v2 (Figure 2, P<0.0001). Numerical differences were noted in the responses of men and women in some domains but these were small; statistical comparisons were not performed.

**HADS**

Trends in HADS scores are summarized in Table 3. Improvements were observed regardless of sex or diagnostic category.

**Subjective assessment of efficacy**

Patients with PVVUP were more likely than those with BPPV or Ménière’s disease to assess treatment as “excellent” (43.2% versus 33.3% and 28.6%, respectively) but almost all patients in every category assessed betahistine therapy as “excellent” or “good” (Figure 3). Similarly, no meaningful differences in treatment ratings were seen between men and women. There was a good correlation between patients’ impression of the treatment and the assessments of physicians (r=0.725, P<0.0001).

**Table 2** On-study trends in the PCS and MCS subscales of the SF-36v2 in the Russian efficacy population of the OSVaLD study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SF-36v2 subscale</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>End of study</th>
<th>Change (final – baseline)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCS</td>
<td>41.8±7.1</td>
<td>50.4±7</td>
<td>8.7±7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVVUP</td>
<td>42±6.5</td>
<td>50±6</td>
<td>8±6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPPV</td>
<td>40.5±8.2</td>
<td>51±8.5</td>
<td>10.5±10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ménière’s disease</td>
<td>44.5±5</td>
<td>53.9±4.4</td>
<td>9.4±3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCS</td>
<td>33.1±11</td>
<td>48±8.7</td>
<td>15.2±12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVVUP</td>
<td>32.3±10.4</td>
<td>48±8.5</td>
<td>15.8±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPPV</td>
<td>33.6±11</td>
<td>48±8.7</td>
<td>14.5±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ménière’s disease</td>
<td>41±13</td>
<td>52±8.7</td>
<td>11.1±9.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Data shown are mean ± SD. Higher numbers signify better level of functioning. P<0.0001 for all comparisons of final versus baseline mean values.

**Abbreviations:** PVVUP, peripheral vestibular vertigo of unknown pathophysiology; BPPV, benign paroxysmal positional vertigo; SF-36v2, Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form 36, version 2; PCS, Physical Component Summary; MCS, Mental Component Summary; SD, standard deviation.

---

**Figure 1** Changes from baseline in components of the DHI and in total DHI score in the Russian efficacy population of the OSVaLD study. Negative change values signify a reduced level of disability. P<0.0001 for all intradomain comparisons.

**Abbreviation:** DHI, Dizziness Handicap Inventory.
Safety and tolerability
The only suspected adverse drug reaction recorded in the Russian contingent was a report of nausea in a 37-year-old female patient. This event was recorded as possibly related to use of the study medication but was not classified as either severe or serious. In the study as a whole, more patients experienced a suspected adverse drug reaction at a betahistine dose of 24 mg twice daily than at a dose of 16 mg three times daily (25 versus 7; dosage data not recorded for 28 suspected adverse drug reactions). No deaths were reported during the study.

Body weight
Mean ± standard deviation change in weight between the baseline and final visits in the efficacy population was −0.4±3.2 kg; change in body weight was almost identical in men and women. Patients with PVVUP (n=139; −0.5±3.4 kg) lost more body weight, on average, than those with BPPV (n=36; −0.2±3.3 kg) or Ménière’s disease (n=7; −0.3±0.5 kg).

Discussion
This exploratory analysis of the Russian contingent of the OSVaLD population replicates the demonstration of improved HRQoL with betahistine reported in the overall study. HRQoL data collected with three separate instruments in our Russian contingent of OSVaLD corroborate the premise that diseases of the peripheral vestibular system can have significant adverse impact on the lives of patients. Conversely, the improvements in all three indices recorded during the study indicate the possibility of intervening effectively to improve subjective perceptions of health and HRQoL.

Substantial improvements were seen in the primary efficacy criterion (absolute change from baseline in mean total DHI score between the baseline and final [3-month] visits), with a 39-point reduction in the mean total score, and mean reductions of 12.6, 12.2, and 14.4 points, respectively, in the physical, emotional, and functional domains of the DHI. All these improvements were statistically robust (P<0.0001) and consistent across subgroups. Further, the improvement in total DHI score substantially exceeded the threshold for a minimally important change.

Statistically significant (P<0.0001) and clinically meaningful improvements were also recorded with the HADS questionnaire and the SF-36v2. This consistency of effect across scales gives us confidence that the responses seen are authentic, although the exploratory nature of the analysis must be borne in

Table 3 Trends in HADS-A and HADS-D scores and distributions in the Russian efficacy population of the OSVaLD study (n=204)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HADS score</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>End of study</th>
<th>Change (final – baseline)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HADS-Anxiety score</td>
<td>9.1±4</td>
<td>4.8±3.6</td>
<td>−4.3±4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS-Anxiety level (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS-Depression score</td>
<td>8±4.3</td>
<td>3.7±3.6</td>
<td>−4.3±4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS-Depression level (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data shown are mean ± SD unless stated otherwise. Negative change values signify reduced level of disability. P<0.0001 for all comparisons of final versus baseline mean values.

Abbreviations: HADS-A, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-Anxiety subscale; HADS-D, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-Depression subscale; SD, standard deviation.
mind. It should be considered also that this Russian subgroup is characterized by patients with a diagnosis of PVVUP and that any conclusions drawn about the efficacy of betahistine are likely to be most resilient for that diagnosis.

Nevertheless, our findings are consistent with evidence from a meta-analysis of the beneficial effects of betahistine in Ménière’s disease and vestibular vertigo and with local reports on the use of betahistine. Successful use of betahistine in the management of vertigo after a stroke has also been documented by Russian investigators, making it perhaps noteworthy that about half of the Russian OSVaLD contingent had a history of cerebrovascular disease.

Just over 40% of our patients were classified as having newly diagnosed vertigo. This may be pertinent to the analysis of our findings because it has been suggested that betahistine is more effective in BPPV when given to patients in whom the condition has not been apparent for long. We have been unable to investigate this possibility in the Russian contingent of OSVaLD and would identify this as a limitation of our research.

Also untested in OSVaLD was the impact of higher doses of betahistine, especially in Ménière’s disease. It is widely considered that a betahistine dose of 48 mg three times daily should be used in individuals with this condition, which is three times higher than the dose examined in OSVaLD (48 mg/day); doses of up to 480 mg/day have reportedly been used successfully in severe cases. It is possible, therefore, that the beneficial effects of betahistine on HRQoL in our database are not a full representation of the effects that might be achieved.

Connected with this is the question of whether long-term use of betahistine is appropriate and beneficial. For Ménière’s disease, the answer appears to be yes, but in BPPV the principal benefit of the drug may be to provide accelerated symptom relief during the first months of treatment. We consider it likely that the 3-month duration of OSVaLD was sufficient to explore and reveal much of the likely achievable change in HRQoL, and we consider that most or all of the changes seen may reasonably be attributed to betahistine use. However, reported rates of spontaneous complete resolution of BPPV can be high and, with small numbers and in the absence of a control group, the changes in HRQoL in the Russian contingent of OSVaLD are associated with betahistine use, not firm proof of cause and effect. Consideration must also be given to the absence of formal methods for monitoring patient adherence to prescribed therapies.

The open-label observational model of clinical research has limitations, notably the absence of a reference group, but it provided a pragmatic and reasonably robust methodology for a multinational trial performed in the setting of routine care and was compliant with the general principles of the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology methodology.

Overall, the safety experience with betahistine in OSVaLD was satisfactory, with adverse drug reactions affecting <2.5% of the study population. The predominant safety findings were gastrointestinal and nervous system disorders, as has been reported in earlier studies of betahistine; it has been reported that betahistine exhibits good tolerability in Ménière’s disease even at ten times the dose used in our patients.

There was notable national variation in the reporting of the rates of suspected adverse drug reactions in OSVaLD, with only one serious adverse drug reaction being recorded in the Russian contingent compared with 20 patients in Brazil. Some weight gain is a usual experience with betahistine, but in our Russian patients there was a small reduction in average weight. We are unable to say if this is a chance finding or a reflection of specific but unidentified local factors.

Conclusion

In 204 Russian patients diagnosed with recurrent peripheral vestibular vertigo, betahistine 48 mg/day for 3 months was associated with sustained and statistically significant improvements in multiple indices of HRQoL. The safety and tolerability of the treatment were good in this cohort, with only one reported serious adverse drug reaction.
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