Real-world effectiveness of amloidipine/valsartan and amloidipine/valsartan/hydrochlorothiazide in high-risk patients and other subgroups

Background: The clinical EXCITE (EXPerience of amloidipine and valsarTan in hypTension) study reported clinically relevant blood pressure (BP) reductions across all doses of amloidipine/valsartan (Aml/Val) and Aml/Val/hydrochlorothiazide (HCT) single-pill combinations. The study prospectively observed a multiethnic population of hypertensive patients for 26 weeks who were treated according to routine clinical practice. Here, we present the results in high-risk subgroups including the elderly, obese patients, and patients with diabetes or isolated systolic hypertension. In addition, we present a post hoc analysis as per prior antihypertensive monotherapy and dual therapy.

Methods: Patients prescribed Aml/Val or Aml/Val/HCT were assessed in this 26±8 week, noninterventional, multicenter study across 13 countries in the Middle East and Asia. Changes in mean sitting systolic BP, mean sitting diastolic BP, and overall safety were assessed.

Results: Of a total of 9,794 patients analyzed, 8,603 and 1,191 patients were prescribed Aml/Val and Aml/Val/HCT, respectively. Among these, 15.5% were elderly, 32.5% were obese, 31.3% had diabetes, and 9.8% had isolated systolic hypertension. Both Aml/Val and Aml/Val/HCT single-pill combinations, respectively, were associated with clinically relevant and significant mean sitting systolic/diastolic BP reductions across all subgroups: elderly patients (−32.2/−14.3 mmHg and −38.5/−16.5 mmHg), obese patients (−32.2/−17.9 mmHg and −38.5/−18.4 mmHg), diabetic patients (−30.3/−16.1 mmHg and −34.4/−16.6 mmHg), and patients with isolated systolic hypertension (−25.5/−4.1 mmHg and −30.2/−5.9 mmHg). Incremental BP reductions with Aml/Val or Aml/Val/HCT single-pill combinations were also observed in patients receiving prior monotherapy or dual therapy for hypertension. Overall, both Aml/Val and Aml/Val/HCT were generally well tolerated.

Conclusion: This large, multiethnic study supports the evidence that Aml/Val and Aml/Val/HCT single-pill combinations are effective in diverse and clinically important subgroups of patients with hypertension.
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Introduction

Hypertension, a major modifiable risk factor for cardiovascular disease, is estimated to affect 639 million adults in economically developing regions (classification as per the World Bank’s 1993 World Development Report). An 80% rise in hypertension is predicted by 2025, with an estimated three quarters of patients (1.15 billion) worldwide residing in developing countries.

Despite the availability of effective antihypertensive agents, hypertension remains poorly controlled in the majority of patients. In many patients, the presence of multiple risk factors (advanced age, obesity) or concomitant target organ damage...
(eg, diabetes mellitus, increased pulse pressure) place these patients at heightened risk of cardiovascular events. In this regard, the management of hypertension is particularly important in elderly, obese, and diabetic patients as well as patients with isolated systolic hypertension (ISH). Many of these patients may benefit from combination therapy to achieve adequate blood pressure (BP) control. Recommended effective combination therapies include renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system blockers such as angiotensin receptor blockers or angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors administered together with calcium channel blockers and/or diuretics.

The clinical EXCITE (EXperienCe of amlodIpine and valsarTan in hypErtension) study evaluated the effectiveness and safety of amlodipine/valsartan (Aml/Val) and amlodipine/valsartan/hydrochlorothiazide (Aml/Val/HCT) single-pill combinations (SPCs) over a period of 26±8 weeks in patients with hypertension in a real-world setting in the Middle East and Asia. Here, we discuss the findings from the EXCITE study in subgroups of patients categorized as high-risk, including the elderly, the obese, and those with ISH or diabetes (considering that the mean age group of the EXCITE population is over 50 years, we believe that the diabetes patients in EXCITE study are predominantly patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and we refer to this group as “diabetes” throughout this paper). In addition, we also report findings from a post hoc analysis (in patients who were prescribed Aml/Val or Aml/Val/HCT SPCs by the treating physician as a part of their routine clinical practice) assessing changes in BP as per prior antihypertensive monotherapy and dual therapy from the overall study.

Materials and methods
Study design and patients
EXCITE was a prospective, multinational, multicenter study conducted in countries in the Middle East (Bahrain, Egypt, Kuwait, Lebanon, Oman, Qatar, and United Arab Emirates) and Asia (Indonesia, Hong Kong, Pakistan, Philippines, South Korea, and Taiwan) between June 2010 and November 2012. It was conducted as a noninterventional study, in accordance with the definition from the European Medicines Agency (Directive 2001/20/EC). The study design and overall results have been described in detail elsewhere.

The study included patients aged ≥18 years with an established diagnosis of hypertension, who were prescribed Aml/Val or Aml/Val/HCT SPCs by the treating physician as a part of their routine clinical practice, and who provided written informed consent. The following drug dosages (prescribed in accordance with local prescribing information) were studied: Aml/Val at 5/80 mg, 5/160 mg, 10/160 mg, or 10/320 mg, and Aml/Val/HCT at 5/160/12.5 mg, 10/160/12.5 mg, 5/160/25 mg, 10/160/25 mg, or 10/320/25 mg. Patients with any contraindication to treatments as per the local prescribing information were excluded from participation. The observational period of 26±8 weeks included data from at least two routine examinations for each patient at baseline, week 13 (optional), and study endpoint (26±8 weeks).

Endpoints
Effectiveness was assessed as the change from baseline to final visit (at 26±8 weeks) in mean sitting systolic BP and mean sitting diastolic BP in defined subgroups: elderly (≥65 years of age), obese (body mass index ≥30 kg/m²), patients with diabetes, and patients with ISH (mean sitting systolic BP ≥140 mmHg and mean sitting diastolic BP <90 mmHg).

In addition, mean reductions in mean sitting systolic/diastolic BP from baseline to end of study were reported according to antihypertensive therapy classes received prior to Aml/Val or Aml/Val/HCT prescription. Prior antihypertensives included angiotensin receptor blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, calcium channel blockers, beta-blockers, or diuretics as monotherapy or dual combinations of an angiotensin receptor blocker or angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor with a calcium channel blocker or diuretics and beta-blockers with diuretics.

Safety, according to reported adverse events and serious adverse events, was evaluated for the overall EXCITE study population and is not reported for the subgroups of high-risk patients or for the subgroups included in the post hoc analysis by prior antihypertensive treatment.

Statistical analysis
Data from one umbrella protocol from 13 countries (Bahrain, Egypt, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Kuwait, Lebanon, Oman, Pakistan, Philippines, Qatar, South Korea, Taiwan, and United Arab Emirates) were pooled for analysis in this report. Patients from Saudi Arabia were excluded from this analysis due to noncompliance with the study protocol.

Effectiveness and safety analyses were performed on the full analysis set, consisting of all patients who provided informed consent and entered the study. A paired sample t-test was used to analyze the change in BP from baseline to the end of the study in the study groups. Mean data are presented with 95% confidence intervals. Last observation carried forward was used as the imputation method for patients who discontinued before completion of the study.
The incidence of adverse events and serious adverse events was summarized with frequency counts and percentages. The statistical analysis was performed using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

**Results**

**Patients**

The number of patients per subgroup and by prior antihypertensive treatment are presented in Table 1. Patient disposition, demographics, and baseline characteristics of the total study population are described elsewhere.\(^\text{15}\) Briefly stated, in the overall study, 8,603 patients received Aml/Val and 1,191 patients received Aml/Val/HCT. The mean age of the patients overall was 53.2±11.35 years, 60.6% were male, 47.2% were Caucasian, 41.4% were Asian, and the mean duration of hypertension was 5.9±6.5 years.

**BP reductions from baseline to endpoint**

Aml/Val (Figure 1) and Aml/Val/HCT (Figure 2) SPCs were associated with significant BP reductions from baseline to end of study (26±8 weeks) in the subgroups, including the elderly (mean sitting systolic/diastolic BP on Aml/Val, −32.2/−14.3 mmHg and Aml/Val/HCT, −38.5/−16.5 mmHg), patients with obesity (Aml/Val, −32.2/−17.9 mmHg and Aml/Val/HCT, −38.5/−18.4 mmHg), and patients with diabetes (Aml/Val, −30.3/−16.1 mmHg and Aml/Val/HCT, −34.4/−16.6 mmHg). In patients with ISH, the reduction obtained was −25.5/−4.1 mmHg with Aml/Val and −30.2/−5.9 mmHg with Aml/Val/HCT. The reductions were generally consistent with those observed in the total study population.\(^\text{15}\)

In patients receiving prior monotherapy, Aml/Val SPC (Figure 3) provided incremental mean BP reductions (mean sitting systolic/diastolic BP on Aml/Val, overall −29.9/−16.2 mmHg) at the end of the study. Similar results were observed with Aml/Val/HCT SPC (Figure 4) in patients receiving prior dual therapy (mean sitting systolic/diastolic BP on Aml/Val, [overall, −32.7/−15.3 mmHg]). The reductions obtained with the various doses of Aml/Val SPCs or Aml/Val/HCT SPCs were broadly similar across various monotherapy classes or dual combination therapy.

**Safety**

The safety and tolerability of Aml/Val and Aml/Val/HCT SPCs in the overall patient population is published elsewhere\(^\text{15}\) and summarized here. Safety was not separately analyzed in the patient subgroups reported here. In the overall study, adverse events were reported in 963 (11.2%) patients in the Aml/Val group and in 73 (6.1%) patients in the Aml/Val/HCT group. The most frequently reported adverse events with Aml/Val and Aml/Val/HCT were edema (2.0% and 3.3%, respectively), peripheral edema (1.2% and 0.8%), headache (1.0% and 0.2%), and cough (0.6% and 0.3%). The incidence of serious adverse events was low and reported by 50 (0.5%) patients (Aml/Val, 49 [0.6%]; Aml/Val/HCT, one [0.1%]). Cardiac disorders were the most frequent serious adverse events, reported only in the Aml/Val group (23 [0.3%] patients). Thirteen deaths were reported, none of which were considered by the investigators to be related to the medication of interest.\(^\text{15}\)

**Discussion**

The EXCITE study was conducted in a large, multiethnic, hypertensive population treated as per routine clinical practice and reported clinically meaningful BP reductions across all doses of Aml/Val and Aml/Val/HCT SPCs over 26 weeks.\(^\text{15}\) In this analysis of important subgroups from the EXCITE study, including the elderly, obese patients, and patients with diabetes or ISH, significant and clinically relevant BP reductions with Aml/Val and Aml/Val/HCT SPCs were observed and were broadly similar to those reported in the overall population.\(^\text{15}\) The importance of appropriately treating these hypertensive patient subtypes deserves due consideration. Diabetes is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease, with an odds ratio of 2–4 for cardiovascular mortality compared with patients with no diabetes and a greater risk of death in women than in men.\(^\text{16}\) The coexistence of diabetes and hypertension is also noteworthy; by 45 years

---

**Table 1** Summary of patient subgroups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>Aml/Val</th>
<th>Aml/Val/HCT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elderly (≥65 years)</td>
<td>1,239 (14.4)</td>
<td>280 (23.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese patients (BMI ≥30 kg/m²)</td>
<td>2,766 (32.2)</td>
<td>418 (35.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic</td>
<td>2,663 (31.0)</td>
<td>400 (33.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISH (sSBP ≥140 mmHg and mSDP &lt;90 mmHg)</td>
<td>838 (9.7)</td>
<td>117 (9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior antihypertensive monotherapy</td>
<td>2,575 (29.9)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior antihypertensive dual therapy</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>235 (19.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** \(^*\)Post-hoc analysis in patients receiving prior monotherapy and dual combination therapy, respectively, in the Aml/Val and Aml/Val/HCT groups. Patients receiving prior monotherapy in the Aml/Val/HCT group and dual combination therapy in the Aml/Val group were not studied.  

**Abbreviations:** Aml/Val, amlodipine/valsartan; Aml/Val/HCT, amlodipine/valsartan/hydrochlorothiazide; BMI, body mass index; ISH, isolated systolic hypertension; mSDP, mean sitting diastolic blood pressure; msSBP, mean sitting systolic blood pressure.
of age, approximately 40% of persons with type 2 diabetes have hypertension, increasing to 60% in patients aged 75 years; these highly comorbid conditions together further compound cardiovascular risk in such patients.17 Many international guidelines have until recently recommended aggressive management of hypertension to lower BP targets in these individuals.4

The association between obesity and high BP is well documented, with estimates suggesting that a 10% increase in body weight may be associated with systolic BP increases of up to 6.5 mmHg.18 Furthermore, the coexistence of hypertension with obesity may have an additive effect in increasing cardiovascular risk and complications and is associated with poor control of hypertension.19

The management of hypertension in the elderly is indeed a challenge; high BP can occur in more than two thirds of individuals after the age of 65 years; and the elderly are known to be a population with low rates of BP control.20 Clinical trials conducted exclusively in the elderly are limited, leading to disparity in the recommendations for optimal BP control offered by various committees/societies.4,21 ISH is also commonly observed in this group of patients; these age-related changes in BP are manifestations of a generalized process of increasing arterial stiffness that is a consequence of progressive replacement of elastin by collagen in the walls of large arteries. ISH is an important risk factor for excess cardiovascular mortality, is highly prevalent in the elderly, and is a precursor to heart failure in this population.22

In our analysis, Aml/Val and Aml/Val/HCT SPCs consistently provided mean systolic BP reductions of 25–32 mmHg in addition to meaningful reductions in mean diastolic BP in each of these subgroups. These results are reassuring, as previously conducted randomized clinical trials using Aml/Val or Aml/Val/HCT combinations have also reported significant BP-lowering efficacy and tolerability regardless of age,23–26 race,23,24,27 or sex,22 across hypertension severities,24,26,28 in the presence of obesity,23,26 in patients with moderate to severe (stage 2) hypertension,25,27,29 and in those with diabetes.26 Likewise, several observational studies of Aml/Val conducted in the People’s Republic of China, Turkey, and Germany have reported effective BP reductions/control with good tolerability in various subgroups studied.30–32
Vascular Health and Risk Management 2015:11

Figure 2 Mean reductions in msSBP and msDBP at 26±8 weeks in subgroups treated with Aml/Val/HcT.

Note: Overall group includes 8,603 patients who received Aml/Val, subgroups were defined as elderly (≥65 years of age), obesity (BMI ≥30 kg/m²), and ISH (msSBP ≥140 mmHg and msDBP <90 mmHg).

Abbreviations: Aml/Val, amlodipine/valsartan; Aml/Val/HcT, amlodipine/valsartan/hydrochlorothiazide; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; CI, confidence interval; ISH, isolated systolic hypertension; LL, lower limit; msDBP, mean sitting diastolic blood pressure; mssBP, mean sitting systolic blood pressure; UL, upper limit.

Figure 3 Mean reductions in mssBP and msDBP with Aml/Val combination by prior antihypertensive monotherapy class.

Notes: Post hoc analysis. Baseline was defined as prior to start of Aml/Val. The end of the study defined as week 26. Patients who did not take any antihypertensive medication during the observational period, in addition to Aml/Val, and did not switch to Aml/Val/HcT were only included. Also, patients who did not receive additional treatment prior to study entry were included. Only 14 patients received prior diuretic monotherapy and the mean reduction in BP was −30.7/−19.3 mmHg from baseline (162.9/102.9 mmHg) to endpoint.

Abbreviations: ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; Aml/Val, amlodipine/valsartan; Aml/Val/HcT, amlodipine/valsartan/hydrochlorothiazide; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; BB, beta-blocker; BP, blood pressure; CCB, calcium channel blocker; CI, confidence interval; LL, lower limit; mssBP, mean sitting systolic blood pressure; msBP, mean sitting blood pressure; msDBP, mean sitting diastolic blood pressure; UL, upper limit.
Figure 4  Mean reductions in msSBP and msDBP with Aml/Val/HCT combination by prior antihypertensive dual therapy classes.

Notes: Post hoc analysis. Baseline was defined as prior to start of Aml/Val/HCT. End of the study defined as week 26. Patients who did not take any antihypertensive medication during the observational period, in addition to Aml/Val/HCT, and did not switch to Aml/Val were only included. In addition, patients who did not receive additional treatment prior to study entry were only included. Only 17 patients received BBs and diuretic combinations and the mean reduction in BP was −35.2/−17.5 mmHg from baseline (161.6/93.7 mmHg).

Abbreviations: ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; Aml/Val, amlodipine/valsartan; Aml/Val/HCT, amlodipine/valsartan/hydrochlorothiazide; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; BBs, beta-blockers; BP, blood pressure; CCB, calcium channel blocker; CI, confidence interval; Diu, diuretic; LL, lower limit; msBP, mean sitting blood pressure; msDBP, mean sitting diastolic blood pressure; mssBP, mean sitting systolic blood pressure; Ul, upper limit.

Major hypertension studies have shown that many patients with hypertension will require two or more antihypertensive drugs to achieve their BP goals, and addition of a further BP-lowering therapy (particularly from another therapeutic class) to an existing antihypertensive drug regimen is likely to deliver additional BP lowering and improved BP control. In our analysis, both Aml/Val (dual SPC) and Aml/Val/HCT (triple SPC) provided incremental BP reductions in patients receiving prior monotherapy or dual therapy, respectively. In addition, the reductions were consistent across different classes of prior antihypertensive monotherapy and dual combinations. The results observed were not dissimilar to those reported in previously conducted randomized clinical trials, such as the 16-week EX-FAST study where the Aml/Val combination delivered meaningful BP reductions in patients uncontrolled on antihypertensive monotherapy, including beta-blockers, calcium channel blockers, angiotensin receptor blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, and diuretics. Our findings of BP reductions with an Aml/Val SPC in patients previously treated with monotherapy are also similar to those reported in another large observational study in a real-life setting and conducted in Chinese patients.

Similarly, the incremental reductions observed with the triple SPC in patients previously receiving dual combinations are meaningful and reinforce the clinical utility of having a triple combination therapy in the armamentarium of a treating physician, particularly in view of the observation that up to one third of hypertensive patients are likely to require more than two agents to achieve BP control. This analysis of the EXCITE study further supports the efficacy of Aml/Val and Aml/Val/HCT SPCs in high-risk patients and in patients not adequately benefiting from prior monotherapy or dual therapy. The limitations of this analysis include the absence of a separate safety assessment for each subgroup and the post hoc nature of analysis for the prior antihypertensive monotherapy and dual therapy groups. However, the observational nature of the study has enabled collection of real-world data from a more naturalistic clinical setting and
from a large number of patients. Notably, useful data have been collected for a number of countries in the Middle East and Asia, where research on antihypertensive treatment has been limited. The large number of patients included also serves to increase the validity of the data, as indicated by the narrow confidence intervals for the main study outcomes.

**Conclusion**

In the large multiethnic EXCITE study, conducted in a real-world setting, Aml/Val and Aml/Val/HCT SPCs were effective in high-risk patients with hypertension, including the elderly, and patients with obesity, diabetes, or ISH. Incremental BP reductions were also seen in a post hoc analysis for patients treated with prior antihypertensive monotherapy or dual therapy.
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