Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in breast cancer: a role for insulin-like growth factor I and insulin-like growth factor–binding protein 3?
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Abstract: Evidence indicates that for most human cancers the problem is not that gene mutations occur but is more dependent upon how the body deals with damaged cells. It has been estimated that only about 1% of human cancers can be accounted for by unmistakable hereditary cancer syndromes, only up to 5% can be accounted for due to high-penetrance, single-gene mutations, and in total only 5%–15% of all cancers may have a major genetic component. The predominant contribution to the causation of most sporadic cancers is considered to be environmental factors contributing between 58% and 82% toward different cancers. A nutritionally poor lifestyle is associated with increased risk of many cancers, including those of the breast. As nutrition, energy balance, macronutrient composition of the diet, and physical activity levels are major determinants of insulin-like growth factor (IGF-I) bioactivity, it has been proposed that, at least in part, these increases in cancer risk and progression may be mediated by alterations in the IGF axis, related to nutritional lifestyle. Localized breast cancer is a manageable disease, and death from breast cancer predominantly occurs due to the development of metastatic disease as treatment becomes more complicated with poorer outcomes. In recent years, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition has emerged as an important contributor to breast cancer progression and malignant transformation resulting in tumor cells with increased potential for migration and invasion. Furthermore, accumulating evidence suggests a strong link between components of the IGF pathway, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition, and breast cancer mortality. Here, we highlight some recent studies highlighting the relationship between IGFs, IGF-binding protein 3, and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition.
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Insulin-like growth factor axis

The activity of insulin-like growth factors (IGFs) within any tissue is due to a combination of some locally expressed components together with IGFs and IGF-binding proteins (IGFBPs) delivered to the tissue from the circulation where high levels are maintained. This provides a mechanism for integrating systemic and local regulation systems. The circulating IGF system is under the influence of growth hormones, insulin, nutrition and systemic disease status, such as cancer, whereas the locally expressed components are controlled by factors specific to each individual tissue.

The cellular effects of the IGFs are mediated by a number of cell surface receptors including the type I and type II receptors, insulin receptor (IR), and IR–IGF-I receptor hybrids. Both IGF-I and IGF-II can mediate their actions on cell growth and survival via the IGF-IR, which is a transmembrane, tyrosine kinase that is structurally and functionally homologous to the IR. IGF-I can act via the IR but only...
at supraphysiological doses. IGF-II can bind with high affinity to the IGF-II receptor/mannose-6-phosphate receptor (IGF-IIR), a non-tyrosine kinase receptor considered to play an important role in the clearance and degradation of IGF-II. Additionally, the IGF-IIR is critically involved in the cellular trafficking of lysosomal enzymes and also acts as a high-affinity binding site for latent transforming growth factor-beta (TGFβ-I, II, and III) and retinoids. It was originally believed that IGF-II did not bind to the IR with any meaningful affinity until mice knockout studies revealed that IGF-II could act via this receptor during development. There are two alternatively spliced isoforms of the IR, A and B, and it has further been demonstrated that IGF-II binds with high affinity to the IRA but not the IRB isoform. The IR and IGF-IR can dimerize forming hybrid receptors and each IR isoform is equally able to form hybrids with the IGF-IR. Hybrid IGF-IR/IRB receptors have a high affinity for IGF-I and a lower affinity for insulin, whereas hybrid IGF-IR/IRA receptors not only have an even higher affinity for IGF-I but also bind IGF-II and insulin (Figure 1). Activation of the IGF-IR by IGFs results in oligomerization, autophosphorylation, and activation of the intrinsic tyrosine kinase. The IGF-IR tyrosine kinase directly phosphorylates a number of intracellular substrates including IR substrates (IRS)-1, 2, and 4, src homology domain containing (She), phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase (PI3-K), growth factor receptor–bound protein 10, focal adhesion kinase, and C-Src kinase.

There are six high-affinity IGFBPs 1–6 that all have greater affinity for binding to the IGFs, than the IGF-IR, and can modulate IGF actions in many cell types. The IGFBPs slow the clearance of the IGFs, particularly IGFBP-3 and IGFBP-5, which also bind to a glycoprotein called the acid labile subunit forming ternary complexes, which have very long half-lives in the circulation. It is these complexes that maintain very high concentrations of IGFs in the body. Accumulating evidence indicates that most of the IGFBPs can also act in an intrinsic manner, independent of IGF binding, affecting various aspects of cell function including growth, apoptosis, migration, and attachment. The nature and complexity of the IGF axis during development and in disease states have been defined through the use of advanced molecular techniques, transgenic and knockout mouse models, which are beyond the scope of this review but have been elegantly summarized elsewhere.

**Metabolic disturbance and breast cancer**

In all Western societies, women who present with breast cancer are increasingly likely to also suffer from comorbid conditions relating to metabolic disturbance. It is widely accepted that breast cancer is associated with increased metabolic risk factors including obesity, diabetes, and hyperinsulinemia. Accordingly, several studies have shown that breast cancer patients have a significantly higher prevalence of abnormal glucose and insulin levels compared to healthy controls. Furthermore, several studies have shown that breast cancer patients have a significantly higher prevalence of abnormal glucose and insulin levels compared to healthy controls. These findings have important implications for the management of breast cancer patients, as they may require additional interventions to optimize metabolic control and reduce the risk of recurrence. It is also important to note that breast cancer patients may experience significant weight gain and changes in body composition, which can further exacerbate metabolic disturbances.

**Figure 1** Schematic representation of the insulin-like growth factor system.

**Notes:** The IGF system consists of peptides (IGF-I, IGF-II and insulin), receptors (the IGF-I receptor, the IR, and the IGF-II), a family of six high-affinity IGFBPs, as well as a group of proteases that are responsible for IGFBP degradation. Ligand binding to the receptor results in activation of different intracellular signaling pathways that regulate cell survival, proliferation differentiation, and metabolism.

**Abbreviations:** IGF, insulin-like growth factor; IGFBP, insulin-like growth factor–binding protein; IR, insulin receptor.
conditions such as diabetes and obesity due to the very high prevalence of these conditions in the general population. In a study of over 1000 women treated for breast cancer at the MD Anderson Cancer Center in Houston, 30% were found to be obese and a further 32% were overweight. In addition, the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in patients with breast cancer has been reported to be up to 50%. Evidence derived from epidemiology, in vitro and in vivo studies suggest that metabolic disturbances adversely affect breast cancer cell survival and progression. In women with breast cancer, having metabolic syndrome also was associated with more aggressive tumor characteristics and being obese was associated with worse overall survival. In an orthotopic syngeneic mouse model of triple-negative breast cancer, a high-energy diet promoted tumor growth and increased the number of metastases to the lungs. In vitro, it has been shown that breast cancer cells exposed to high levels of glucose, such as those associated with type 2 diabetes causes resistance to chemotherapy. As IGF is metabolically regulated and mediates the effects of nutrition on cell growth, it is not surprising that evidence indicates that IGF-I plays a critical role in also affecting the impact of altered metabolism on tumor growth and survival. Calorie restriction has long been known to reduce obesity, improve metabolic status, and reduce the propensity for cancer development. Calorie restriction studies using the 4T1 mammary tumor model showed a reduction in tumor growth and metastasis that was associated with decreased circulating IGF-I levels. Furthermore, using orthotopically transplanted mammary tumors in control and calorie-restricted mice, it was concluded that a reduction in mammary tumor growth could be accounted for in part by reduced levels of IGF-I.

Most cancer cells have an increased ability to enhance their glucose uptake and rely on aerobic glycolysis, a phenomenon termed “the Warburg effect” in order to generate energy, in contrast to normal differentiated cells, which use mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation to generate the energy needed for cellular processes. Such strategies employed by cancer cells to ensure progression also have an impact on other aspects of tumorigenesis: artificially stimulating the Warburg effect in animal models and in cell culture has been found to induce epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT). For example, genetic silencing of the respiratory enzyme citrate synthase, resulted in greatly increased glycolytic metabolism that was reported to induce EMT and increase metastases in a mouse xenograft model. In addition, reversal of the Warburg effect by silencing of expression of pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 1 in breast cancer cells restored their sensitivity to anoikis when detached and reduced lung metastasis when they were inoculated in a mouse model. It has been reported that developmental EMT can be induced by exposure to hyperglycemia, and it has recently been demonstrated that exposure to hyperglycemia can similarly induce EMT of human lung adenocarcinoma cells.

**EMT in breast cancer progression and metastasis**

Metastasis is the spread of cancer cells to distant sites in the body. It is the ultimate cause of death in more than 90% of breast cancer patients and therefore represents one of the biggest challenges in cancer research. In about 6%–10% of breast cancer diagnoses, cancer has already metastasized to the other parts of the body and approximately 30% of patients with early-stage breast cancer have a recurrent or metastatic disease.

The seeding and growth of breast cancer cells at sites distinct from the primary tumor is a complex and multistage process that involves the ability to detach and invade through the basement membrane, intravasation into blood vessels or lymphatics, ability to transit through the vasculature, and extravasation from the circulation to establish new secondary tumors typically in organs such as bone, lung, liver, or brain (Figure 2). Emerging evidence suggests that in the initial stage, in the so-called invasion–metastasis cascade, cancer cells undergo a dramatic phenotypic change from an epithelial-to-mesenchymal phenotype via a process referred to as EMT. The plasticity of the epithelial phenotype is illustrated by the occurrence of the reverse process, mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition, during which cancer cells regain the epithelial properties of the primary tumor to promote outgrowth at metastatic sites. The EMT process was originally identified as being essential for embryonic development, mesoderm and neural crest formation, and also during wound healing. It is, however, now increasingly clear that inappropriate activation of EMT is a critical component of the progression of many cancers including breast. Cellular switching from an epithelial into mesenchymal cell requires a large number of complex modifications that include loss of cell adhesion, phenotypic change from typical cuboidal to an elongated spindle shape, rearrangement in the cytoskeletal architecture, and adoption of migratory and invasive phenotype (Figure 3). Epithelial cells are typically arranged as sheets of cells exhibiting apical–basal polarity. These cells are held together through a variety of structures including adherent junctions, tight junctions, and desmosomes and are separated from the underlying tissues by a thin layer of specialized extracellular matrix called the...
basement membrane, all of which help the epithelium to fulfill its function as a protective barrier. Mesenchymal cells on the other hand form disorganized cellular layers that are neither polarized nor tightly adherent, which allows for increased migratory capacity. They provide support and structure to the epithelial cells through the production of extracellular matrix components. A defining feature of EMT is a loss of epithelial cell adhesion molecule E-cadherin and a gain of mesenchymal cell markers such as vimentin, N-cadherin, and fibronectin.  

A number of studies have shown a strong correlation between the EMT and high invasive and metastatic behavior of breast cancer. Particularly interesting is the recent finding that the EMT process appears to be associated with a basal-like breast cancer phenotype, which tends to be more aggressive and carry a poor prognosis. In the clinical setting, studies indicate that circulating tumor cells (CTCs) in patients with metastatic breast cancer frequently express mesenchymal markers, whereas mesenchymal markers are only found in rare cells within the corresponding primary tumours. In breast cancer, CD24−CD44+ cells or cells with high aldehyde dehydrogenase activity have been shown to be enriched in breast cancer stem cells. Intriguingly, it has also been shown that a major proportion of CTCs found in the blood samples of breast cancer patients also express the stem cell marker aldehyde dehydrogenase 1. A small subset of cells have been prospectively identified and characterized in the primary tumor that exhibited the exclusive capacity to form colonies, using a colony formation assay, and to form tumors in mice; these cells exhibited a stem cell phenotype. Abraham identified that breast cancer stem cells only accounted for 10%–20% of the cell population in a primary tumour that supports the concept of an exclusive subset of cells in the primary tumor with stem cell properties that have the propensity to metastasize. These findings support the notion that EMT is associated with “stemness” properties and that activation of EMT is critical for cancer cells to disseminate.  

EMT is triggered and orchestrated by several growth factors released by the stromal cells including TGFβ, hepatocyte growth factor, platelet-derived growth factor, fibroblast growth factor, and Wnt and Notch ligands. Among these, TGFβ is undoubtedly the most potent driver of EMT that elicits its actions through activation of Smad and non-Smad signaling pathways. In fact, simple treatment with exogenous TGFβ can induce EMT in various epithelial cells. TGFβ plays a dual role in cancer: it is tumor suppressive during the...
early stages of tumor growth, but paradoxically, it becomes pro-oncogenic and promotes EMT and metastasis during late stages of malignancy. This switch in TGFβ function during advanced breast cancer is particularly reflected in increased expression of phosphorylated Smad2 in breast tumors. TGFβ activates a series of EMT-inducing transcription factors including Snail, Slug, Twist, Zeb1, Zeb2, and FoxC2, which in turn are under the control of multiple micro-RNAs. Most attention has been directed at the study of Snail. This zinc finger transcription factor was first noted during mesoderm formation in Drosophila melanogaster and was subsequently found to be a potent repressor of E-cadherin and a major EMT inducer. It is known that Snail binds to E-boxes in the proximal promoter region of E-cadherin and by recruiting histone deacetylases represses its expression. The significance of Snail in EMT has been shown in a variety of in vitro and in vivo models. It has been shown that Snail is required for tumor growth and lymph node metastasis of human breast carcinoma MDA-MB-231 cells and that knockdown of Snail increases the chemosensitivity of these cells to gemcitabine and docetaxel. Similarly using a mammary-specific, inducible HER2/Neu transgenic mouse model, Moody et al found high expression of Snail in recurrent breast tumours. Snail also interacts with other transcription factors as exemplified by a recent study, which shows that Snail cooperates with Twist to induce Zeb1 expression during EMT. It has also been reported that Snail co-immunoprecipitates with a Smad3/4 complex to promote TGFβ-mediated repression of E-cadherin, occludin, and coxsackievirus and adenovirus receptor and thereby cause EMT.

In addition, an increasing number of studies demonstrate that EMT not only facilitates metastasis but also contributes to drug resistance. Using several drug-resistant sublines of MCF-7, Iseri et al reported an increase in the expression of EMT-associated genes (Slug, N-cadherin, and vimentin) together with loss of E-cadherin and the estrogen receptor (ER) α.

IGF axis, EMT, and breast cancer

The conclusions drawn from a recent case control study nested within The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition cohort confirmed that a wealth of previous epidemiology studies that indicated higher levels of IGF-I within the normal range increase the risk of estrogen receptor-positive (ER+ve) breast cancers in pre- and postmenopausal women but are not related to the risk of developing ER-negative (ER-ve) tumors. Such a relationship of IGF-I with ER+ve tumors is consistent with the vast amount of literature indicating the synergy that is known to exist between IGF and estrogen-induced signaling pathways in promoting breast cancer progression (see Hawsawi et al and Tsonis et al for reviews).

For the purposes of this review, we are focusing on the role of IGF-I/II and IGFBP-3 in EMT for which the majority of evidence has been obtained: in terms of circulating IGFBP-3, there has been both positive and negative associations of IGFBP-3 with the risk of breast cancer but when Roddam pooled 17 prospective trials, he concluded that the risk of breast cancer was not associated with circulating levels of IGFBP-3. These data suggest that for IGFBP-3, either circulating levels do not play a role or they are not reflective of the local situation in the tumor itself.

It is becoming increasingly clear that IGFs are important for inducing EMT by enhancing growth, survival, motility, migration, and metastasis of breast cancer cells. IGF-I and IGF-II are expressed in many tumors and IGF-II in particular has been observed to be overexpressed in a number of different tumors, including the breast, and increased expression of either IGF-I or IGF-II has been reported to be associated with more aggressive tumor phenotypes. Similarly increased expression of IGF-II and the IGF-IR has been associated with a more metastatic phenotype. Increased expression of the IGF-IR has been reported in many cancer cell lines and in human tumor biopsies. The IGF-IR appears to play a critical role in malignant transformation and in the maintenance of a transformed cell phenotype due to its ability to maintain cell survival and protect cells from apoptosis via multiple signaling pathways. In human mammary epithelial cells, overexpression of a constitutively active IGF-IR caused their transformation and growth in immunocompromised mice that was associated with the initiation of EMT, via upregulation of Snail and downregulation of E-cadherin. In keeping with this, overexpression of the IGF-IR has been reported to be associated with an aggressive phenotype of a variety of tumors. An in vivo experimental brain metastasis model demonstrated that IGF-IR silencing in brain-seeking breast cancer cells reduced the propensity of these cells to develop brain metastases.

As mentioned previously, EMT has been associated with features of “stemness”, and recently, Chang et al reported that expression of activated IGF-IR was greater in cancer stem cells than normal stem cells. They also reported that those cells expressing high levels of activated IGF-IR exhibited factors associated with EMT that were lost upon silencing or inhibiting the IGF-IR. Even in normal breast epithelial cells, MCF-10A, IGF-I was able to induce EMT.
when Akt1 was downregulated but expression of Akt2 was maintained.83 To become motile, cells have to depolarize and work has indicated that IGF-I depolarizes breast cancer cells in a phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase–dependent manner.84 MEMO is a protein recognized as being a critical mediator of motility in response to receptor tyrosine kinase activation and can specifically affect IGF-IR–dependent signaling in breast cancer cell lines, via binding to IR substrate-1 and activating phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase/Akt signaling, which leads to upregulation of the transcription factor, Snail, that is key to effecting EMT.85 IGF-I has also been shown to upregulate Zeb-1, another key transcription factor involved in EMT, to induce invasion of MDA–MB-231 cells.86 The ability of IGF-I to drive EMT in another hormone-responsive cancer, the prostate, is also dependent upon its ability to upregulate Zeb-1.87 In order for breast cancer cells to metastasize, they have to travel in the circulation to distant sites, and it has recently been shown that the IGF-IR is present on CTCs of breast cancer patients.88 High circulating IGF-I has been correlated with breast cancer mortality89 and lymph node metastasis in patients with ER+ve disease.90 These data suggest that one way in which serum IGF-I may influence metastasis may be by activating the IGF-IR present on CTCs and maintaining survival of these detached cells in the circulation. Although not in breast cancer cells, a complementary DNA microarray was employed to assess gene expression patterns specifically regulated by IGF-I, differential over those regulated by insulin and found that a key transcription factor upregulated in EMT, Twist, was one of the most upregulated genes and that Twist was key in mediating the antiapoptotic effects of IGF-I in NWTb3 fibroblast cells.91,92 In addition to the IGF-IR, the IR, particularly the IRA isoform, that has a higher affinity for IGF-II similar to that for insulin, together with the hybrid IR/IGF-IR receptors may also be present and play a role in mediating the actions of the IGFs in certain tumors.93,94 IR isoform assessment from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded sections, showed that the IRA was more abundant than the IRB isoform in breast tumours.95 In contrast to the IGF-I receptor, the IGF-II IR serves to limit IGF-II actions and hence reduce its growth-promoting and cell survival potential. A number of genetic disruptions resulting in loss of IGF-IIR have been described in various tumor types including nonsense mutations, loss of heterozygosity, and microsatellite instability.96,97 Loss of the IGF-IIR has been associated with increased tumor growth potential,98 decreasing IGF-IIR expression has a similar effect,99 whereas introduction of the IGF-IIR into cancer cells reduces growth and increases apoptosis.100

The IGFBPs have the potential to either inhibit or enhance IGF actions in many cell types. Most evidence suggests that IGFBPs generally restrict tumor growth and progression by limiting IGF-mitogenic and cell survival actions. The actions of many antiproliferative agents appear to operate, at least in part, via upregulation of endogenous IGFBPs produced by the tumor cells, including TGFβ,101 retinoids,102 vitamin D,103 tamoxifen,104 and butyrate.105

In vitro studies suggest that the more aggressive ER–ve, compared with ER+ve, cell lines secrete higher levels of IGFBP-3.106 These reports are consistent with the observation that higher concentrations of IGFBP-3 in breast tissue are associated with increased risk of mortality and107 are correlated with tumor size and poor prognostic characteristics.108,109 Accumulating evidence indicates that most of the IGFBPs can also act in an intrinsic manner, independent of IGF binding, affecting various aspects of cell function. Growth inhibition and modulation of apoptosis have been described in a variety of cancer cell lines.17,18,110–112

Studies conducted in our laboratory and others have demonstrated that rhIGFBP-3 enhances the cell cycle arrest and apoptotic effects of paclitaxel and radiation in human breast cancer cells in vitro.110,113 In addition, our studies suggest that when normal breast epithelial cells are challenged with exogenous ceramide, rhIGFBP-3 has the opposite effect and acts as a survival factor.114 In preliminary in vivo studies, subcutaneous administration of rhIGFBP-3 to mice bearing MCF-7 breast tumor xenografts enhanced the tumor growth inhibition effect of paclitaxel from 33% to 61%.115 However, in vitro studies clearly indicate that the ability of IGFBP-3 to intrinsically affect breast cancer cell growth, survival, and adhesion is dependent upon the cellular environment. In conditions that reflect a more advanced tumor environment, such as increased fibronectin, IGFBP-3 acts in an opposite manner and promotes tumor progression by acting as a survival factor and growth promoter, whereas in early stages of the disease, IGFBP-3 enhances triggers of apoptosis and inhibits cell growth thus acting as a tumor suppressor.16,116,117 The association of high local expression of IGFBP-3 with poor prognosis could be explained by the above observations that the actions of IGFBP-3 on cancer cells can be switched when the cells are exposed to a more advanced tumor environment such as increased fibronectin.118 There are a number of theories as to the mechanisms underlying the intrinsic actions of IGFBP-3 and these have been extensively reviewed recently (refer Baxter119 and Johnson and Firth120).

TGFβ clearly plays a significant role in breast cancer progression, and it has been shown that IGF-I can activate latent TGFβ in breast cancer cells.121 Like IGFBP-3, TGFβ
acts as a tumor suppressor in the early stages, but in later stages of the disease, it switches function and acts to promote tumorigenesis. TGFβ can differentially regulate IGFBP-3 depending on the cell type. With nonmalignant breast epithelial cells, where IGFBP-3 promotes growth and TGFβ is a growth inhibitor, TGFβ was found to downregulate IGFBP-3. Whereas in breast cancer cells, where TGFβ and IGFBP-3 both act as growth inhibitors, TGFβ was found to upregulate IGFBP-3. It is well documented that in the later stages of disease, TGFβ enhances the production of extracellular matrix production, such as fibronectin as part of its role in inducing EMT. Having shown that IGFBP-3 can act as a tumor promoter in the presence of fibronectin, it would be interesting to see whether TGFβ-induced IGFBP-3 in this context could mediate the pro-tumorigenic actions of TGFβ to induce EMT.

**Breast cancer treatment: is the IGF-IR a viable target?**

Breast cancer can be treated with different combinations of therapies: surgery, radiation therapy, chemotherapy, and hormone therapy. This depends upon the classification of the tumor and whether it remains localized in the breast or has spread to other sites in the body. Tumors can be classified histologically, of which 21 distinct histological types have been identified. Through the use of molecular profiling, breast cancers are now also categorized into four main subtypes: basal-like, HER2+, Luminal A, or Luminal B. Other less common molecular subtypes have also been described including normal breast-like, apocrine molecular type, and claudin-low type. Breast cancers that do not fall into any of these subtypes are often listed as unclassified.

Rigorous laboratory and preclinical research identified that the IGF-IR played a key role in the progression of a number of different cancers, including the breast and as a result, a number of agents targeting the IGF-IR were developed and taken forward into clinical trials: these included antibodies against the receptor itself, against the receptor ligands, IGF-I and II, in addition to IGF-IR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (see Refs 125–127 for reviews). Despite encouraging preliminary data, the advanced clinical trials failed to show clinical benefit, which culminated in most drug companies terminating current programs targeting the IGF-IR. These trials were undertaken on unselected patients and no other targeted therapy has yet been found to work generally in cohorts of unselected patients. The results of the trials so far suggest that perhaps this receptor will only be an effective target in a subset of patients and to identify them will require a greater understanding of the context in terms of the complement of receptors present: levels of IRA and hybrid receptors and also the cooperative signaling events initiated by receptor signaling.

There have also been suggestions that IGFBP-3 could be developed as a cancer therapeutic due to its ability to inhibit IGF-actions and its IGF-independent pro-apoptotic actions. Although preclinical studies have provided some promising evidence, these have not progressed to clinical trials. This is partly due to not only pharmaco-formulation issues but also the increasingly conflicting clinical observations of both positive and negative effects of IGFBP-3, which have added caution to its development as a clinical intervention.

**Summary**

EMT is clearly important in effecting cancer progression; a better characterization of the role of the IGF axis in this process may lead to a greater understanding of its activity and co-operativity with other signaling pathways that are involved. This may lead to more effective interventions for metastatic disease and help to determine what causes the emergence of resistance to current therapeutic agents and provide potential critical biomarkers of response.
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