Characterizing the continuously acquired cardiovascular time series during hemodialysis, using median hybrid filter preprocessing noise reduction
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Abstract: The clinical characterization of cardiovascular dynamics during hemodialysis (HD) has important pathophysiological implications in terms of diagnostic, cardiovascular risk assessment, and treatment efficacy perspectives. Currently the diagnosis of significant intradialytic systolic blood pressure (SBP) changes among HD patients is imprecise and opportunistic, reliant upon the presence of hypotensive symptoms in conjunction with coincident but isolated noninvasive brachial cuff blood pressure (NIBP) readings. Considering hemodynamic variables as a time series makes a continuous recording approach more desirable than intermittent measures; however, in the clinical environment, the data signal is susceptible to corruption due to both impulsive and Gaussian-type noise. Signal preprocessing is an attractive solution to this problem. Prospectively collected continuous noninvasive SBP data over the short-break intradialytic period in ten patients was preprocessed using a novel median hybrid filter (MHF) algorithm and compared with 50 time-coincident pairs of intradialytic NIBP measures from routine HD practice. The median hybrid preprocessing technique for continuously acquired cardiovascular data yielded a dynamic regression without significant noise and artifact, suitable for high-level profiling of time-dependent SBP behavior. Signal accuracy is highly comparable with standard NIBP measurement, with the added clinical benefit of dynamic real-time hemodynamic information.
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Introduction

Despite strong focus on the identification and avoidance of intradialytic hypotension, the “usual” or “expected” profile of systolic blood pressure (SBP) during the delivery of routine maintenance hemodialysis (HD) remains unclear. In the absence of standardized guidelines, usual HD unit protocols involve noninvasive brachial cuff blood pressure (NIBP) measurements taken pre- and postdialysis, and every 30–60 minutes during treatment. The speed of intradialytic hemodynamic change may see these episodic measurements fail to sufficiently capture variability in the underlying parameters when they occur below a patient’s symptomatic threshold, making more continuous hemodynamic observation desirable.

For illustrative purposes, assuming a stable background heart rate of 70 beats per minute, a continuously monitored 4-hour treatment will generate in excess of 16,000 discrete SBP observations per individual dialysis. At its simplest, a continuously acquired SBP record is a unidimensional time-variant digital signal. Variability through the sequence of observations represents the present net sum of all physiologic influences...
acting cumulatively via both external stressor (treatment-related) and internal homeostatic feedback mechanisms.

Given requirements for arterial cannulation, it is inappropriate for this continuous time series to be repeatedly measured invasively in HD patients; however, both finger plethysmograph and pulse transit time-based technique can potentially provide continuous safe, noninvasive monitoring of real-time hemodynamics.3–5

In the clinical environment, the noninvasively acquired signal is subject to degradation by outliers and measurement artifact in addition to physiological and Gaussian-type noise. This should be appropriately minimized prior to interpretation of the underlying sequence. This common scenario (Figure 1) presents a challenge to extract a clinically relevant signal with sufficient detail to represent time-varying trends whilst maintaining adequate accuracy and depth of observations to prevent overfitting of the data.

The ideal solution to this problem would utilize signal pre-processing. The resultant dynamic regression series should retain the edge detection ability to accurately describe SBP peaks and troughs after removal of signal confounders. Traditionally used methods of noise reduction in hemodynamic time series involve manual editing and proximity-based techniques, using standard deviation or interquartile range difference thresholds.6,7 Outliers may be defined by the quantitative difference from the adjacent sequence, the “nearest neighbor”, or other parametric characteristic drawn from the original data set.8 Whilst these approaches are partially successful, they may be laborious and time-intensive for longer recordings, with a residual signal yield that remains obscured by underlying Gaussian-type noise. Median hybrid filters (MHFs) represent a class of nonlinear noise-exclusion algorithms typically used with two- and three-dimensional data sets; however, these have potential application in approximating the underlying physiological signal from a unidimensional hemodynamic time series. A MHF follows the central value of several concurrently running linear subfilters of various window lengths and can be represented by the function:

\[ X_{\text{MHF}} = \text{median} \left\{ \Phi_1(x(k_1)), \Phi_2(x(k_2)), \ldots \Phi_W(x(k_w)) \right\} \quad (1) \]

where: \( X_{\text{MHF}} \) is the digitally filtered signal; \( \Phi \) is the mean value; \( x \) is the subject variable (blood pressure); \( k \) is the window length (number of observations); and \( w \) is the number of subfilter windows.

The optimal number of concordant subfilters is between three and seven.9 By taking advantage of the hierarchical reordering of underlying subfilters, MHFs can demonstrate signal edges10 whilst retaining representative signal accuracy by tracking the central tendency.11 In the context of continuously acquired SBP data, these attributes could facilitate time-based comparisons of SBP level changes, trajectory, and speed to assist clinical decision making.

**Methods**

This study complied with the National Health and Medical Research Council National Statement 2007 and the

---

**Figure 1** Raw continuous SBP signal across treatment, with signal degradation through noise and outlying impulse (the blow-up shows component heartbeat data points highlighting noise artifact and outliers over a shortened timeframe).

**Abbreviation:** SBP, systolic blood pressure.
Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research. A continuous beat-to-beat SBP data set from the complete intradialytic period was acquired using the Finometer® MIDI (Finapres Medical Systems BV, the Netherlands) applied to the third finger of the nonvascular access arm. The SBP time series was exported in *.csv format for off-line processing by the MHF.

The MHF substructure was designed to capture variations in short-term SBP trajectory over periods not exceeding 10 minutes duration. Seven simple moving average linear subfilters of progressive observation window size were constructed and defined in terms of total heartbeats. Window lengths were derived from the Fibonacci series, ensuring each individual moving average was of sufficiently independent length to remain nonfactorizable by hierarchically adjacent subfilters, eliminating the potential for oscillatory vibration bias where repeating shorter-term SBP cycles were mistaken for longer-term movements. Time-equivalence approximations for the MA subfilter lengths at normalized heart rates are shown in Table 1.

The algorithm was applied to ensure the resulting postfilter series was equal in size (heartbeat observations) to the original raw signal file, to maintain integrity of the time series length. MHF outputs were cross-validated against a simultaneously collected independent data set of brachial cuff NIBP measurements, using Bland–Altman analysis. NIBP readings were collected by trained dialysis nursing staff at pre- and postdialysis time points and approximately hourly through the intradialytic period, using a standardized Omron HM907 semi- oscillometric automated device (Omron Electronics, Kyoto, Japan). Validation of post-MHF signal integrity was performed using white noise regression periodogram analysis by Fisher’s kappa and Bartlett’s Kolmogorov–Smirnov methods.

**Results**

Figure 2 shows the example product of the MHF function across the intradialytic SBP traces recorded in two sample patients, superimposed on a graphical plot of the raw time series data file. The filter output demonstrates the exclusion of both extreme outliers and Gaussian-type noise to reveal a continuous linear representation of the underlying tracking trend in SBP.

Nonsustained runs of trend linearity occur; however, they appear inconsistent in terms of length, direction, and turning point. Changes in SBP trajectory and level appear rapidly but are neither captured nor conveyed sufficiently by the isolated NIBP measures. Figure 3 illustrates the point plot of standard intradialytic NIBP measurements, reflecting the current standard of blood pressure profiling in routine clinical practice. Figure 4 overlays the post-MHF continuous regression model using continuously acquired data from the same patient, to demonstrate the nonstationary, time-varying sequence with a heightened level of detail and wider (asymptomatic) SBP range.

![Figure 2 Post-MHF product overlain against raw continuous intradialytic SBP data in two patients. Abbreviations: MHF, median hybrid filter; SBP, systolic blood pressure.](https://example.com/f2)

![Figure 3 Point plot of conventional intermittent brachial SBP measurement acquired during standard practice. Abbreviations: NIBP, noninvasive brachial cuff blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure.](https://example.com/f3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MA length (beats)</th>
<th>Heart rate</th>
<th>50 bpm</th>
<th>70 bpm</th>
<th>90 bpm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>16 sec</td>
<td>17 sec</td>
<td>9 sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>25 sec</td>
<td>18 sec</td>
<td>14 sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>47 sec</td>
<td>37 sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>3 min</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>3 min</td>
<td>3 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>377</td>
<td>8 min</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>4 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>610</td>
<td>12 min</td>
<td>9 min</td>
<td>7 min</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1** Approximate time equivalence of moving average window lengths according to underlying heart rate

Abbreviation: sec, seconds; min, minutes; MA, moving average.
Bland–Altman agreement (Figure 5) between the time-coincident MHF output and simultaneously recorded NIBP pairs demonstrates a mean difference of (0.95 mmHg) ±1.96 SD (5.88 mmHg), fulfilling international criteria for the validation of blood pressure measuring devices in adult humans.¹³ This agreement analysis demonstrates the clinical equivalence of the post-MHF continuously monitored SBP in accurately reflecting the concurrent NIBP measures, which currently form the basis of standard dialysis practice. Test statistics for Gaussian noise exclusion testing are shown in Table 2 and permit rejection of the null hypothesis that the post-MHF time series is consistent with Gaussian noise.

Discussion
The clinical outcome associations between pre- and postdialysis, and interdialytic ambulatory blood pressure measurements have been extensively studied, with often paradoxical and inconsistent results between both individual reports and comparisons to the general population.¹⁴–¹⁸ The intradialytic period has been less comprehensively modeled, particularly from the perspective of a “normal” or “expected” SBP profile during routine HD treatment, in lieu of significant efforts focused on the timely identification and avoidance of acutely symptomatic hypotensive events. Such strategies are dependent upon the frequency of serial SBP measurement that, if intermittently gathered, necessitates linear interpolation between observations and resultant overfitting of the time series. Continuously acquired SBP data, processed online and in real-time, would abrogate the need for interpolative modeling by yielding a clinically relevant complex dynamic regression for potential integration into decision-making systems.

Given the volume of data acquired, the extraction of a robust and analyzable signal from a continuous noninvasive beat-to-beat data set requires an automated algorithmic process. To make this signal clinically meaningful, both erratic impulse artifact and the frequency band of Gaussian-type noise should be excluded. The proposed MHF algorithm creates a novel framework to potentially characterize and quantify the profile and prognostic significance of the spectrum of intradialytic SBP behavior.

First conceived as a novel class of noise removal for digital image processing applications, the MHF combines the
The proposed novel algorithm represents the first application of MHF preprocessing to continuously acquired cardiovascular time series data. Through exclusion of outliers and Gaussian-type noise, a detailed dynamic profile of intradialytic SBP trends can be captured for analysis and comparison between any nominal time points. In the clinical HD setting, this method reveals an increased level of detail over the intermitted NIBP cuff readings of standard practice, without the need to interpolate data points, allowing for the more complete description of both underlying SBP movement and assessment of the magnitude and direction of volatility over time.

**Conclusion**

Given the impracticality of direct intra-arterial measurement, the clinical importance of a representative continuous SBP trace should be viewed in comparison with current standards of pressure measurement in routine practice. International protocols for the validation of blood pressure measuring devices in adults wholly accept an intradevice discrepancy of up to 5 mmHg and partially, a discrepancy of up to 10 mmHg for machines providing intermittent measurements. 13

In the context of the Bland–Altman characteristics and a focus on intradialytic SBP trend-tracking, the clinical relevance of any absolute variation between the MHF product and current standards of automated brachial NIBP measurement is negligible. Furthermore, the real-world consequence of any potential time point pressure discrepancy is likely to be mitigated by the sheer number of observations afforded by the continuous data stream.
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