Alzheimer's disease and immunotherapy: what is wrong with clinical trials?
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Abstract: Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is characterized by progressive neurodegeneration and is the most common cause of dementia. Immunotherapy has recently been regarded as a potential treatment for AD. This stems from the fact that the clinical and pathological findings from the active AD vaccine trial suggests that such vaccine therapy may be effective for AD. However, this trial was halted because of the occurrence of meningoencephalitis in some patients. Avoiding excessive immune reaction is necessary for the success of vaccine therapy. For this purpose, adjuvant-free vaccine therapies (eg, passive immunization or DNA vaccines) are currently under investigation. However, the results of clinical trials employing both active and passive anti-amyloid-beta immunotherapy have been unsatisfactory. In this article, we will analyze the reasons for the limited efficacy of currently available immunotherapies and discuss the effectiveness of new vaccine therapies. Finally, we will speculate on the possibility of its clinical application.
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Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common cause of age-related cognitive decline. Currently, more than 18 million people worldwide are affected with AD and patient numbers are rapidly increasing with the aging of society.1,2 Although its pathological features and the risk factors for onset have been examined in detail, the cause of the disease remains unclear and a radical treatment has not been developed. There has been recent focus on vaccine therapy as a cure for AD by targeting the underlying cause, which is based on the amyloid cascade hypothesis (ACH). Circulating anti-amyloid-beta (Aβ) antibodies are expected to prevent de novo Aβ development and reduce existing deposits of harmful Aβ in the brain. However, recent anti-Aβ immunotherapies employing peptide vaccines and humanized monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) have revealed unsatisfactory results3,4 because they failed to improve cognitive decline and to extend life span (Table 1). The results suggest that tau pathology is a critical factor for AD in addition to Aβ. The wide range of immunotherapy options available and proposed shall be addressed now.

In this report, we will introduce the current status of AD immunotherapies and their limitations. Furthermore, we will analyze why these strategies have not been effective and propose an improved strategy based on an assumption. A number of excellent review articles have recently been published, from which readers can obtain detailed information on each clinical analysis.
Table 1 Effectiveness of Aβ-based immunotherapies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reduction effect</th>
<th>Clinical outcome</th>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>Issue to be confirmed</th>
<th>Further action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aβ plaque</td>
<td>Toxins (toxic Aβ species)</td>
<td>Curative therapy: failed; Preventive therapy: under trials</td>
<td>Sufficient elimination of Aβ</td>
<td>Improve Aβ reduction ability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive immunization</td>
<td>Weak24,38</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>Failed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active immunization</td>
<td>Strong; complete elimination in some cases15</td>
<td>Elimination of partial Aβ species (truncated Aβ)20</td>
<td>Practical effects27,29</td>
<td>Curative therapy: failed; Preventive therapy: under trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptide vaccine</td>
<td>Strong8011</td>
<td>Practically eliminated27,29</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>Limited reduction effect on toxic Aβ species and/or tau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA vaccine</td>
<td>Strong8011</td>
<td>Eliminated8011</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>Effect on other toxic Aβ species</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *All the references, except the ones indicated by an asterisk, are cited from the reports of clinical trials.
Abbreviations: Aβ, amyloid-beta; AD, Alzheimer's disease.

Anti-Aβ immunotherapy in animal models

Anti-Aβ immunotherapy has been developed based on the amyloid cascade hypothesis. The Aβ peptide is toxic, and its accumulation and deposition are considered to be the root cause of AD. Passive immunization has been performed in mice, rats, and other small animals to study the effects of Aβ reduction and immunotherapy. In general, immunization has been performed with monoclonal antibodies10,11,20,22,23,27,28,29,30,31,32,33 using recombinant Aβ, Aβ40, Aβ42, or Aβ40/42. In these studies, either direct or indirect methods have been used to achieve Aβ reduction. Direct methods include the addition of antibodies to the medium, and indirect methods include administration of antibodies to the brain. Passive immunization has been reported to reduce Aβ deposits in various animal models.10,11,20,22,23,27,28,29,30,31,32,33

Abnormal deposits of Aβ are considered to play a central role in the development of AD. The reduction of Aβ deposits using monoclonal antibodies or vaccines can be achieved by passive immunization using monoclonal antibodies. The effects of passive immunization on Aβ reduction have been studied in many animal models. In general, passive immunization using monoclonal antibodies can reduce Aβ deposits in various animal models.10,11,20,22,23,27,28,29,30,31,32,33

However, passive immunization using monoclonal antibodies is limited in the amount of brain Aβ reduction. To increase the amount of brain Aβ reduction, active immunization has been performed in many animal models. Active immunization using Aβ vaccines can reduce Aβ deposits in various animal models.10,11,20,22,23,27,28,29,30,31,32,33

In conclusion, anti-Aβ immunotherapy is being developed and evaluated in animal models. Further studies are needed to assess the efficacy of anti-Aβ immunotherapy in humans.
Anti-\( \beta \) immunotherapy and human clinical trials

Trials of therapeutic vaccination

The first vaccine clinical trials for AD patients were started with an \( \beta \) peptide vaccine (AN1792). However, the Phase II-A study was halted in 2002 because 6% of the patients developed meningoencephalitis.\(^{14}\) It was suggested that vaccination with \( \beta \) peptide in a Th1-type adjuvant (QS-21) may induce T-cell responses against \( \beta \), which, in turn, would result in the development of meningoencephalitis. Later, autopsy of an AD patient who received this vaccine revealed an apparent clearance of \( \beta \) plaques from large areas of the neocortex, as well as a decrease in plaque-associated astrocyte clusters and neuritic dystrophy.\(^{15}\) These results suggested that vaccine therapy is potentially effective for human AD if excessive immune reactions are minimized to avoid unwanted neuroinflammation. To control harmful T-cell responses, alternative vaccination approaches using different routes, adjuvants, and immunogens were developed, following this initial trial. A short \( \beta \) immunogen (\( \beta \)1-15) containing antibody epitopes, but lacking the T-cell-reactive sites residing in full-length \( \beta \)1-42, induced \( \beta \)-specific antibody production in the absence of \( \beta \)-specific cellular immune responses in wild-type mice.\(^{16}\) Furthermore, it significantly reduced \( \beta \) plaques in AD model mice.\(^{17}\) After further improvement of peptide vaccines, two Phase I clinical trials of active immunization demonstrated minimum side effects using ACC-001 (Elan Corp and Wyeth), which contains \( \beta \)1-7 derivatives, and CAD106 (Novartis), which consists of an \( \beta \) fragment coupled to a carrier.\(^{18}\) Although these results are promising, active immunization still carries the risk of meningoencephalitis because of the requirement of adjuvants for peptide vaccination.

Passive transfer of anti-\( \beta \) antibodies is an alternative strategy, which is as effective as active immunization in the mouse model of AD. In this therapy, the risk of meningoencephalitis can be minimized because the antibodies are administrated without adjuvant. Phase II and Phase III clinical trials with humanized anti-\( \beta \) mAbs (bapinezumab and solanezumab) were started in 2005.\(^{19}\) However, both mAbs did not give expected results. Bapinezumab showed no clinical benefit compared to placebo and solanezumab slowed cognitive decline in patients with mild, but not moderate, AD.\(^{20,21}\)

In 2009, a Phase I trial of AC1-24 (peptide vaccine containing repeated N-terminal \( \beta \) sequence) combined with florbetaben, a positron emission tomography (PET) tracer, started in Europe. It was the first trial to monitor \( \beta \) deposition in patients’ brains in parallel with vaccination. This new approach is expected to provide useful information for choosing good candidates among AD patients with ongoing treatment.

Trials of preventive vaccination

To overcome the difficulties mentioned above, new \( \beta \) immunotherapies are focused on the prevention and very early treatment of AD. The details of prevention trials are well summarized in a review.\(^{22}\) A pharmaceutical company is currently conducting a secondary prevention trial (API, the Alzheimer’s Prevention Initiative) in individuals from a large Colombian family with a mutant PS1 gene, which is associated with familial AD. Participants 30 years of age and older are included in this study, which will test Genentech’s crenezumab mAb therapy. Crenezumab, a humanized mAb that binds soluble, oligomeric, and fibrillar \( \beta \), appears to have greater advantages compared to other mAbs because soluble oligomer is now regarded as a strong promoting factor of AD. Nevertheless, the Phase II trial of crenezumab recently gave modest results; the high-dose group of mild AD patients showed partial efficacy in cognitive function by exploratory data analysis. Further studies are necessary for final conclusion.

Recently, DIAN, the Dominantly Inherited Alzheimer Network, started other prevention and early-treatment trials. DIAN conducted a collaborative trial with a pharmaceutical company and the Alzheimer’s Association in individuals with familial AD. Furthermore, solanezumab and gantenerumab are under investigation. Additional \( \beta \) passive immunotherapies using mAbs that recognize protofibrils (BAN2401) or plaque-associated fibrillar \( \beta \) (BIIB037) are currently under investigation.

ACH: is it really wrong?

The results obtained in several clinical trials have not been satisfactory,\(^{14,19,21}\) which raises concern that the ACH is incorrect, leading some researchers to propose modifications to or denial of the hypothesis. Before arriving at a conclusion, we must examine the following issues regarding the results of clinical trials.

Are passive immunotherapies really effective in removing \( \beta \) deposits?

Almost all the clinical trials using mAbs against different epitopes within the \( \beta \)1-42 molecule failed to halt or
improve cognitive decline. However, it remains unclear whether or not the treatment sufficiently eliminates Aβ deposits, including plaques and toxic species. Roher et al reported an autopsy case of a bapineuzumab-treated patient and demonstrated that the antibody treatment did not significantly reduce the number of Aβ plaques in mild-to-moderate dementia due to AD. In addition, PET imaging with Pittsburgh compound B (11C-PiB) of bapineuzumab-treated patients showed that reduction in the positive signal was marginal. Together, these results suggest that passive immunotherapy has weak Aβ reduction effects compared with active immunotherapy (described in the following sections). Under such conditions, it is difficult to evaluate the efficacy of passive immunization. In other words, whether unsatisfactory results are obtained from poor treatment efficacy (such as insufficient administration, timing of treatment, or mAb inefficiency, including its epitope specificity) or from limitations of the treatment itself (eg, failure despite successful reduction of targeted Aβ species; the strategic limitation) remains undetermined.

One reason for the low efficacy of anti-Aβ mAb treatment may be attributable to the nature of the antibody. Because an mAb is directed at only a single epitope of the Aβ molecule, several toxic Aβ species that do not possess the epitope would escape from attack by the mAb. Rosenblum presumed that the failure of some trials including bapineuzumab may be due to the mAb's inability to significantly reduce loads of toxic Aβ oligomers.

Are active immunotherapies really effective in removing toxic Aβ species?

Compared with passive immunotherapy, active immunotherapy appears to be more effective in Aβ reduction. Several autopsy reports have demonstrated that some Aβ vaccine (AN1792)-treated patients showed complete disappearance of Aβ plaques. Furthermore, AN1792 vaccination induced antibodies against a wide variety of Aβ species. However, AN1792 treatment did not halt AD progression. The most important point is that it remains undetermined whether or not toxic Aβ species are sufficiently removed by the treatment. Boche et al reasoned that failure of AN1792 to halt cognitive decline was because reduction of aggregated tau was limited in neuronal processes but not in the cell body. Owing to its ability to induce production of various antibodies by suitable design, active vaccine treatment may include large potential for beneficial effects; therefore, it should be investigated intensely.

Dual pathway hypothesis: modification of the ACH

The unsatisfactory results of anti-Aβ immunotherapy proposed a modification to ACH. One of the major alternatives is the dual pathway hypothesis. In this theory, it is hypothesized that Aβ and tau can be linked by separated mechanisms driven by a common upstream driver. They postulated the apolipoprotein E (ApoE) genotype and glycogen synthase kinase 3 (GSK3) as candidates. Immunotherapy targeting these molecules is currently under investigation (see following sections). Yoshiyama et al speculated that tangle pathology, but not senile plaque formation, represents the earliest stages of pathology in sporadic AD. In other words, tau pathology starts independently before Aβ deposition. Although which theory is more likely is undetermined, it is clear that immunotherapy targeting both Aβ and tau should be considered.

Immunotherapy targeting both toxic Aβ and tau, with special reference to DNA vaccines

If anti-Aβ immunotherapy leads to complete removal of Aβ deposits and toxic Aβ species but does not improve cognitive decline in AD, we should select one of the two following options. First, anti-Aβ immunotherapy should be used only in prevention trials as described above. Second, immunotherapy should be directed to both toxic Aβ species and tau. Tau, the component protein of neurofibrillary tangle, is currently being focused as a dominant factor of AD. Before describing the tau-targeting therapy, we wish to introduce our newly developed anti-Aβ DNA vaccine designated YM3711.

We developed several DNA vaccines and evaluated their Aβ production and secretion abilities, after which we chose an IgL-Aβ×4-Fe-IL-4 vaccine (YM3711) for further studies. YM3711 was used to vaccinate mice, rabbits, and monkeys, and its abilities to stimulate anti-Aβ antibody production and reduce Aβ were determined. It was found that YM3711 vaccination induced significantly higher levels of antibodies to AD-related molecules, including AβpE3-42 (pyroglutamate-modified Aβ3-42) and ABr (amyloid Bri or British amyloid peptide), as well as Aβ1-42 (Figure 1). Importantly, YM3711 significantly reduced not only these monomeric amyloid species, as shown in microphotographs (Figure 2A and B) and quantitative analysis (Figure 2C and D), but also superstructural Aβ molecules (Figure 2E and F) in the brains of model mice. Binding (Figure 3B) and competition (Figure 3C) assays using purified YM3711 protein
products (Figure 3A) (YM3711P; analog of Aβ oligomer) clearly demonstrated that a large portion of the antibodies induced by YM3711 vaccination was directed at conformational epitopes of the oligomeric Aβ and its complexes. As a result, YM3711-based therapy has an advantage of reducing overall toxic amyloid proteins. Of special note is that DNA vaccines can be used for active immunization without the need for adjuvant, which is a risk factor for inducing meningoencephalitis. This underscores the benefits of studying such vaccines further.

![Figure 1](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Figure 1** YM3711 vaccination induced antibodies not only against Aβ species but also against an unrelated amyloidogenic peptide.

**Notes:** Rabbits (three rabbits per group) were immunized with either IgL-Aβ-Fc-IL-4 (Aβ×1 Vax; Rabbits #1, #2, and #3) or IgL-Aβ×4-Fc-IL-4 (Aβ×4 Vax, named YM3711; Rabbits #4, #5, and #6) once a week for 6 weeks and pre- (open bars) and postimmune (closed bars) final plasma was collected. The titers of antibodies against various Aβ species were determined by ELISA; anti-Aβ1-42 (A), anti-Aβ1-11 (B), anti-Aβ17-42 (C), anti-AβpE3-42 (D), and anti-ABri (E). The OD values and the percentage increase in each assay are shown. To avoid interassay variations, all the samples to be compared were examined in the same assay.

**Abbreviations:** Aβ, amyloid-beta; AβpE3-42, pyroglutamate-modified Aβ3-42; ABri, amyloid Bri (British amyloid peptide); ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; OD, optical density.
Similar to anti-\(\text{A}\beta\) immunotherapy, anti-tau immunotherapy using tau peptide vaccines or anti-tau mAbs have been developed and employed in model mice. Precise information is provided in the following review articles.\textsuperscript{3,32,33} Even when considering tau-targeted therapy, anti-\(\text{A}\beta\) immunotherapy should be continued in combination, even during the later stages of AD because \(\text{A}\beta\) oligomers are always neurotoxic in combination with toxic tau.

In addition, slightly different approaches are in consideration. ApoE is one of the candidates for a common

**Figure 2** YM3711 vaccination effectively reduced not only \(\text{A}\beta\)\textsubscript{1-42} but other \(\text{A}\beta\) species as well.

**Notes:** YM3711 (100 \(\mu\)g) was injected weekly to 15-month-old model mice for 6 weeks, and the brain and plasma were taken at 8 weeks. Immunostaining for \(\text{A}\beta\) revealed that, compared with untreated control mice (A), \(\text{A}\beta\) deposits in the frontal cortex were clearly decreased in vaccinated mice (B). Bar =100 \(\mu\)m. Quantitative analysis by sandwich ELISA (C–E) demonstrated the significant reduction of \(\text{A}\beta\)\textsubscript{1-42} in treated mice (C) \((P=0.0254)\). Closed columns represent \(\text{A}\beta\) in the cerebral cortex of vaccinated mice \((n=4)\); open columns denote \(\text{A}\beta\) in the cerebral cortex of age-matched control mice \((n=5)\). Quantitation of \(\text{A}\beta\)\textsubscript{pE3-42} (D) and \(\text{A}\beta\) oligomer (E) also revealed significant reduction in the YM3711-treated group \((P=0.0433\) and \(P=0.0074\), respectively). \(\text{A}\beta\) species that are identified by ‘anti-amyloid fibrils OC antibody (which recognizes fibrils specifically)’ were semiquantitated by measuring the densities of a 56-kDa band (F). \(\text{A}\beta\) fibrils were significantly reduced in treated transgenic mice than in control transgenic mice \((P=0.01)\). Reproduced from Matsumoto Y, Niimi N, Kohyama K. Development of a new DNA vaccine for Alzheimer disease targeting a wide range of \(\text{A}\beta\) species and amyloidogenic peptides. PLoS One. 2013;8(9):e75203.\textsuperscript{31}

**Abbreviations:** \(\text{A}\beta\), amyloid-beta; \(\text{A}\beta\)\textsubscript{pE3-42}, pyroglutamate-modified \(\text{A}\beta\)\textsubscript{3-42}; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.
upstream driver that links Aβ and tau with separate deposition mechanisms. If this is the case, then ApoE-targeted immunotherapy would be effective in decreasing toxic Aβ and tau. Kim et al.14 and Liao et al.15 demonstrated that both preventive and therapeutic administration of an anti-ApoE mAb, HJ6.3, decreases Aβ accumulation. Furthermore, Liu et al reported that blocking the ApoE/Aβ interaction with a synthetic peptide ameliorates Aβ and tau pathology in triple-transgenic mice.36 Goni et al took a different approach to target both Aβ and tau.37 They immunized TgSwDI or triple transgenic mice (PS1M146V, tauP301L and APPK670N/M671L) with polymerized Abri, whose amino acid sequence is not homologous to either Aβ or tau, and observed increased titers of both anti-Aβ and anti-tau antibodies. These findings suggest that the raised antibodies are directed toward the conformational epitope(s) formed by Aβ and tau accumulation. Interestingly, this immunotherapy ameliorated Aβ and tau pathology in triple-transgenic mice.37 Although these two types of immunotherapies are fascinating, it remains undetermined whether or not they influence molecules other than Aβ and tau, which may produce adverse effects.

Conclusion
It is clear that the results of clinical trials with anti-Aβ active and passive immunization were unsatisfactory. However, the reasons for this lack of clarity appear to be slightly different between the two approaches. In this review article, we attempted to clarify this difference and suggest that active immunization would be more effective than passive immunization as stated. A combined active immunization approach targeting both Aβ and tau should first be evaluated to find effective immunotherapy against AD.
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