The effect of nonsurgical periodontal treatment on serum and saliva chitotriosidase activities in patients with periodontitis and coronary artery disease
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Background: Plasma chitotriosidase activity, which is a marker of macrophage activation, has been reported to increase in inflammatory conditions and atherosclerosis. Chronic periodontitis has likely an important role in the development of coronary artery disease. In this study, we aimed to analyze the effect of chronic periodontitis on salivary and plasma chitotriosidase activities in patients with or without coronary atherosclerosis.

Methods: Fifty subjects were divided into four groups as controls (n=13), periodontitis (n=11), coronary artery disease (n=13), and periodontitis + coronary artery disease (n=13). Plasma and saliva chitotriosidase activities were measured by a fluorimetric method in all groups before the nonsurgical treatment of periodontitis and 5 weeks posttreatment in periodontitis groups.

Results: Salivary chitotriosidase activity was decreased after nonsurgical periodontal treatment in patients having periodontitis with or without coronary atherosclerosis. However, plasma activities remained unchanged.

Conclusion: Although this study has some limitations like small sample size and short study duration, it can suggest that salivary chitotriosidase can have the potential to be used as a very useful and practical marker to evaluate the success of the periodontal treatment and/or host response.

Key finding: Salivary chitotriosidase can be used as a marker for the evaluation of the success of the periodontal treatment and/or host response.
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Introduction
Chitinases hydrolyze chitin that is a structural polymer of N-acetyl glucosamine. Different lower organisms synthesize chitin. In mammals, although there is no endogenous chitin, there are two active chitinases. The first discovered mammalian chitinase is plasma chitotriosidase and is found to be very significantly increased in Gaucher disease that is a lysosomal storage disease. The second chitinase in mammals is acidic mammalian chitinase that is active at acidic pH and expressed in gastrointestinal tract and the lung. The only chitinase in human blood is chitotriosidase. Although it was reported to possess antifungal activity, >5% of most ethnic groups lack it.1-4

Periodontal disease was reported to have a possible role in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis,5-7 and chitotriosidase was shown to increase in both periodontitis8,9 and atherosclerosis.10,11 However, the relation between periodontitis treatment, atherosclerosis, and plasma or salivary chitotriosidase was not studied extensively.
Therefore, in this study, we aimed to investigate the effect of nonsurgical periodontal treatment on salivary and plasma chitotriosidase activities in periodontitis patients with or without clinically diagnosed coronary artery disease (CAD) (Figure 1).

Materials and methods

Subjects
This was a prospective controlled clinical study. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Gülhane Military Medical Academy and performed according to the principles of the latest version of the Declaration of Helsinki. The subjects of the study were selected from volunteers who routinely attended the outpatient clinic of the Department of Periodontology of Gülhane Military Medical Academy. According to diagnosis, the participants were divided into four groups as follows: periodontitis (P, n=11, six males, five females): individuals are systemically healthy, ≥5 mm periodontal pockets on at least two teeth in every half jaw; periodontitis + coronary artery disease (P + CAD, n=13, all males): individuals with no systemic health problems except CAD, ≥5 mm periodontal pockets on at least two teeth in every half jaw; coronary artery disease (CAD, n=13, ten males, three females): individuals diagnosed with CAD and considered healthy after clinical and radiological examinations in periodontology clinic; and otherwise healthy controls (C, n=13, ten males, three females): individuals are systemically and periodontally healthy. CAD was diagnosed by angiography (having >50% obstruction in at least one coronary artery) in the Department of Cardiology. To ensure that the individuals with CAD are maintaining their health, drugs of vital importance were not suspended. Informed consents of all participants were obtained. It was observed that all individuals included in whole study:
- have not been using antibiotics and anti-inflammatory drugs within the last 6 months,
- have not underwent periodontal treatment within the last 6 months, and
- are not pregnant, breastfeeding, and are not using oral contraceptives.

Assessment of periodontal condition

Periodontal indexes and clinical measurement values of individuals voluntarily participating in the research were recorded to patient follow-up form before and after treatment. Periodontal conditions were assessed using Williams
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**Figure 1** Study design: study flow diagram and study timeline.

**Abbreviations:** P, periodontitis; CAD, coronary artery disease; C, controls.
periodontal probe with a diameter of 0.5 mm (Hu-Friedy Ins Co, USA) on four points of every teeth, mesio-buccal, buccal, disto-buccal, and lingual or palatinal, except third molar teeth. Plaque index, gingival index, and probing depth were measured in order to determine periodontal health. Patients with periodontitis were treated nonsurgically. Posttreatment analyses were performed after 5 weeks from the beginning of treatments.

**Periodontal treatment procedure applied for individuals with periodontal disease**

After evaluating radiographic examinations and periodontal conditions and taking saliva and blood samples from individuals with periodontal disease, oral hygiene training, which is the first step of nonsurgical periodontal treatment, was provided. As a method of brushing teeth, the individuals were trained about the use of modified Bass technique, dental floss, and/or interdental brush through a model.

After oral hygiene training, scaling and root planning (SRP) was performed using root planning after anesthesia on pockets with a dimension of 0.5 mm (Gracey, 1/2, 3/4, 5/6, 7/8, 9/10, 11/12, 13/14; Hu-Friedy Ins Co) with ultrasonic device (EMS SA CH, Nyon, Switzerland). After SRP, each periodontal pocket was cut from the center by 2 mm sterile tip dental injector diamond burr. With an angle of 135°, 1 mL of 0.2% chlorhexidine (Klorhex; Drogsan, Turkey) was withdrawn 1 mm from the base of pocket during 20 seconds, and irrigation was performed once a week. In addition, early contacts, which are significant in pretreatment and may result in occlusal trauma, were removed, and restorations, which may lead to plaque accumulation, were corrected. P and P + CAD groups were called for additional sessions, and they were asked to bring recommended toothbrush, dental floss, and interdental brush. In examinations of individuals, it was evaluated if removal of the microbial dental plaque without staining was effective. Areas, where deficiency and inadequacy were determined, were shown to individuals, and effective removal of microbial dental plaque and its significance were stated again. Individuals, for whom preliminary periodontal treatment was applied, were not given any antibiotics in addition to the treatment.

Medical history information obtained from patients in the beginning was recorded in patient follow-up form. Panoramic films were taken from patients. Plasma and saliva samples were taken from patients. Clinical indexes and measurements were performed, and nonsurgical periodontal treatment was applied. After a week, they were called for second session, and nonsurgical periodontal treatment was repeated. After a week, they were called for third session, checks were performed, nonsurgical periodontal treatment was repeated for the patients required, and they were asked to wait for a recovery period of 3 weeks. Panoramic films and plasma and saliva samples were taken from the patients who were called for fourth session, and clinical indexes and measurements were repeated. Periodontal treatment was not applied for CAD and C group patients. Medical history information obtained from patients was recorded in patient follow-up form. Panoramic films were taken from patients. Plasma and saliva samples were taken from patients. Clinical indexes and measurements were performed (Figure 1).

**Measurements**

Blood was withdrawn into K$_3$EDTA–anticoagulated, evacuated blood collection tubes after an overnight fasting; plasma samples were separated immediately by centrifugation at 4°C and 4,000 rpm for 6 minutes and stored frozen at −25°C until analysis. Saliva samples were taken after an overnight fasting and 5 minutes after a mouthwash with distilled water, and stored directly as plasma samples. Chitotriosidase activities in plasma and saliva were measured by a fluorimetric method, as previously described. Briefly, 5 μL of plasma or saliva were incubated with 100 μL of 22 μmol/L 4-methylumbelliferyl-β-d-N,N′,N″-triacetylchitotriose (Sigma M-5639) in McIlvain’s phosphate–citrate buffer, pH 5.2, for 1 hour at 37°C. Reactions were terminated by adding 120 μL of 0.5 mol/L Na$_2$CO$_3$–NaHCO$_3$ buffer, pH 10.7, and the fluorescence of 4-methylumbelliferon (MU) was measured in a Microfluor 2° fluorimeter (Bio-Tek SynergyHT; excitation 355 nm, emission 460 nm). The chitotriosidase activity was expressed as mU/mL and U/g protein. One unit of enzyme activity corresponded to the liberation of 1 μmol MU/min. Protein was determined according to Lowry et al.

**Statistical analysis**

The differences between pre- and posttreatment values of P and P + CAD groups were tested for significance by Wilcoxon signed ranks test. The differences among all four groups were tested for significance by Kruskal–Wallis and Bonferroni-adjusted Mann–Whitney U-test. The comparisons of posttreatment values of P and P + CAD groups with CAD and C groups were done separately in order to check if periodontal treatment decreased the values to the levels of control groups. Statistical analysis was performed by using the SPSS 13.0 Statistical Package Program for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The statistical level of significance was defined as $P<0.05$. 
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Results
The mean age of the study groups was similar, except significantly higher mean age of P + CAD group compared with control group (P=0.001). The mean ages of P, P + CAD, CAD, and C groups were 47.9±9.3 years, 58.0±7.6 years, 56.2±9.9 years, and 44.4±8.7 years, respectively.

Plasma chitotriosidase activity
The plasma chitotriosidase activities were not different among all groups and in P and P + CAD groups before and after nonsurgical periodontal treatment (Table 1).

Salivary chitotriosidase activity
The salivary chitotriosidase activities, however, were significantly higher in periodontal disease group than control group before periodontal treatment (P=0.001 and P=0.003 in both as mU/mL concentration and corrected per protein [U/g], respectively, for P and CAD) (Table 2). Periodontal treatment significantly decreased salivary chitotriosidase activities in both P (although not significant when activity was represented as mU/mL, P=0.056; becomes significant after correction as per protein [U/g], P=0.021) and P + CAD groups (P=0.005 in both as mU/mL concentration and corrected per protein [U/g]). But periodontal treatment failed to decrease salivary chitotriosidase activities to the levels of the non-periodontal disease groups (P=0.049 and P=0.015 in both as mU/mL concentration and corrected per protein [U/g], respectively, for P and CAD; and similarly, P=0.002 and P=0.030, respectively, for P and C) (nonsignificant P-values are not shown in Table 2). Therefore, salivary chitotriosidase activities after treatment were still significantly higher than control groups.

Salivary protein concentrations were not different among all groups before treatment and in P and P + CAD groups before and after nonsurgical periodontal treatment (Table 2).

Periodontal indexes
The periodontal indexes, which are plaque index, gingival index, and probing depth, generally showed that periodontal health was deteriorated mostly in P and P + CAD groups as expected (Table 3). According to these indexes, periodontal health was also significantly worse than control group. All of these periodontal indexes that were measured to evaluate the success of periodontal treatment were significantly improved after treatment in both P and P + CAD groups (P≤0.005 for all indexes) (Table 3).

Table 1 The plasma chitotriosidase before and after nonsurgical periodontitis treatment in study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P, n=11</th>
<th>P + CAD, n=13</th>
<th>CAD, n=13</th>
<th>C, n=13</th>
<th>P-valuea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plasma chitotriosidase (mU/mL) Before treatment</td>
<td>2.9±1.7</td>
<td>2.9±1.9</td>
<td>2.6±2.3</td>
<td>3.8±4.3</td>
<td>0.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>3.4±2.0</td>
<td>2.7±1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-valuea</td>
<td>0.461</td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *: 0.05/6=0.008 after Bonferroni adjustment in pairwise comparisons. The comparisons of posttreatment values of P and P + CAD groups were done with pretreatment values of CAD and C groups. a:Comparison of pre- and posttreatment values in the same group.

Abbreviations: P, periodontitis; CAD, coronary artery disease; C, controls.

Table 2 The salivary chitotriosidase and salivary protein before and after nonsurgical periodontitis treatment in study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P, n=11</th>
<th>P + CAD, n=13</th>
<th>CAD, n=13</th>
<th>C, n=13</th>
<th>P-valuea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salivary chitotriosidase (mU/mL) Before treatment</td>
<td>8.2±6.2</td>
<td>4.6±4.2</td>
<td>3.8±6.5</td>
<td>1.4±2.2</td>
<td>0.003b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>5.8±4.2</td>
<td>1.4±1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.014c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-valuea</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salivary chitotriosidase per protein (U/g) Before treatment</td>
<td>5.3±3.0</td>
<td>3.1±2.6</td>
<td>1.5±2.0</td>
<td>2.0±1.8</td>
<td>0.004d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>3.9±2.4</td>
<td>1.4±1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.018e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-valuea</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salivary protein (mg/mL) Before treatment</td>
<td>1.5±0.6</td>
<td>1.4±0.5</td>
<td>1.3±0.8</td>
<td>1.0±0.3</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>1.4±0.5</td>
<td>1.0±0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-valuea</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *: 0.05/6=0.008 after Bonferroni adjustment in pairwise comparisons. The comparisons of posttreatment values of P and P + CAD groups were done with pretreatment values of CAD and C groups. b:0.001 for P vs C. c:0.005 for P vs P + CAD and P=0.002 for P vs C. d:Comparison of pre- and posttreatment values in the same group. e:0.002 for P vs CAD and P=0.003 for P vs C. f:0.005 for P vs P + CAD.

Abbreviations: P, periodontitis; CAD, coronary artery disease; C, controls.
Table 3 The periodontal indexes before and after nonsurgical periodontitis treatment in study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>P, n=11</th>
<th>P + CAD, n=13</th>
<th>CAD, n=13</th>
<th>C, n=13</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plaque index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>2.1±0.4</td>
<td>1.7±0.6</td>
<td>1.1±0.4</td>
<td>0.3±0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>0.4±0.6</td>
<td>0.5±0.4</td>
<td>0.4±0.8</td>
<td>0.4±0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gingival index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>1.9±0.7</td>
<td>1.5±0.6</td>
<td>0.8±0.4</td>
<td>0.3±0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>0.4±0.2</td>
<td>0.4±0.3</td>
<td>0.4±0.8</td>
<td>0.4±0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probing depth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>3.5±0.6</td>
<td>3.2±0.9</td>
<td>1.5±0.3</td>
<td>1.1±0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>2.0±0.7</td>
<td>1.8±0.4</td>
<td>1.0±0.3</td>
<td>1.0±0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *P<0.05/6=0.008 after Bonferroni adjustment in pairwise comparisons. The comparisons of posttreatment values of P and P + CAD groups were done with pretreatment values of CAD and C groups. †P<0.001 for P vs CAD, ‡P<0.001 for P + CAD vs C, and †P<0.01 for CAD vs C. ‡Comparison of pre- and posttreatment values in the same group. †P<0.001 for P vs CAD, ‡P<0.007 for P + CAD vs CAD, and †P<0.001 for CAD vs C. *P<0.01 for P vs CAD, ‡P<0.003 for P + CAD vs CAD, and †P<0.001 for CAD vs C. ‡P<0.001 for P vs CAD, ‡P<0.001 for P vs C, ‡P<0.007 for P + CAD vs CAD, and ‡P<0.006 for P + CAD vs CAD. †P<0.01 for P vs CAD, ‡P<0.003 for P + CAD vs CAD, and †P<0.001 for CAD vs C. ‡P<0.001 for P vs CAD, ‡P<0.001 for P + CAD vs CAD, and ‡P<0.002 for CAD vs C. †P<0.001 for P vs C and P + CAD vs C; ‡P<0.002 for CAD vs C. *P<0.001 for P vs C and P + CAD vs C; ‡P<0.002 for CAD vs C.

Abbreviations: P, periodontitis; CAD, coronary artery disease; C, controls.

Discussion

In this prospective controlled study, we evaluated the change in salivary and plasma chitotriosidase activities with nonsurgical periodontal treatment in patients with periodontitis. Since periodontal disease is related to atherosclerosis, and chitotriosidase increases in both periodontitis and atherosclerosis, we determined salivary and plasma chitotriosidase activities in periodontitis patients with or without clinically diagnosed CAD. In this way, we aimed to discriminate the effect of CAD on chitotriosidase activities. We found that plasma chitotriosidase activities were not different among all groups and in P and P + CAD groups before and after nonsurgical periodontal treatment. This clearly shows that periodontitis does not have an effect on plasma chitotriosidase activity.

The structure of human chitotriosidase has been characterized in detail; however, its function has not been fully defined. The chitotriosidase was hypothetized to play a role in defense against chitinous human pathogens. Thus, although the chitotriosidase is not metabolically essential, it may play a key role as a pathogen-defense protein and may be used as a marker of some infectious diseases and their response to treatment.

Although higher levels of plasma chitotriosidase activity were reported in atherosclerotic diseases, we could not find any difference between CAD groups and others. In our opinion, one of the reasons why baseline plasma chitotriosidase activities were not different among the groups could be the lower ratio of periodontal macrophages compared to total body macrophages that contribute to plasma chitotriosidase activities. The effect of periodontal macrophages could be negligible.

In contrary to the plasma chitotriosidase activities, our findings show that the salivary chitotriosidase activities were significantly higher in periodontal disease group than control group before periodontal treatment, and periodontal treatment significantly decreased salivary chitotriosidase activities in both P and P + CAD groups. Similar results were also reported from other studies. Van Steijn et al showed that the activity levels of chitinase in the whole saliva of periodontitis patients were significantly higher than those in saliva from controls, and periodontal treatment for a period of 5–6 months resulted in a three- to fourfold decrease in this enzyme activity. Another comparative study of whole salvias of periodontally healthy controls and gingivitis and periodontitis subjects by the same team also indicated that only in the case of periodontitis, there was a significant increase in the specific chitinase activity.

Our findings strongly suggest that the nonsurgical treatments were likely not totally successful, and/or host response (macrophage activation) was prolonged. The normalization of host response to the levels of controls might be requiring more time. The revision of current periodontal disease treatment protocols should be considered in order to include the measures to normalize host response and stop tissue degradation, which continues even after the local eradication of pathogens. Topical use of macrophage inhibitors can be considered in addition to nonsurgical periodontal treatment.

The main limitations of the present study were small sample size of study groups and short study duration.
Prospective studies with larger sample size and longer duration would provide further information on the change in the level of plasma chitotriosidase activity, effect of periodontitis treatment, and their relation.

As a conclusion, salivary chitotriosidase has the potential to be a relevant independent marker for the evaluation of periodontal disease treatment and destructive host response, which still continues despite the eradication of the pathogens.
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