Current perspectives on cardioembolic ischemic stroke in very old patients
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Abstract: The oldest old population (>85 years of age) represents the faster growing segment of the elderly in developed countries. Cardioembolic infarction (CI) accounts for 14%–30% of all cerebral infarcts and is the most severe ischemic stroke subtype with the highest in-hospital mortality. Also, CI is associated with a low frequency of symptom-free patients at hospital discharge and presents a non-negligible risk of early embolic recurrence. Moreover, cardioembolic stroke is the acute cerebrovascular event most commonly found in very old subjects. However, some clinical aspects of the natural course of the disease in very old patients are still poorly defined. The present report presents an updated review of relevant aspects of CI related to clinical manifestations, biological characteristics, prognostic implications, and treatment strategies, which may contribute to improving the quality of care and outcome of acute cardioembolic stroke in very old patients. The most relevant aspects of CI are discussed based on the data published in the literature and the authors’ experience in the management of stroke patients, collected from the hospital-based “Sagrat Cor Hospital of Barcelona Stroke Registry”. The following aspects are commented on: epidemiologic data and risk factors, clinical characteristics, cardiac workup studies, special cardioembolic clinical features, outcome, thrombolytic therapy, antithrombotic treatment, and indications for future research lines. Cardioembolic stroke is an important topic in the frontier between cardiology and vascular neurology. The impact of CI on the patients’ health and quality of life, health care systems, and society in general merits an in-depth review of current clinical issues, advances, and controversies.
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Introduction

The importance of studies on acute cerebrovascular events in older stroke victims is growing because of the changes in the age structure of Western populations. The oldest old (85 years or older) represents the faster growing segment of the elderly in developed countries. In very old patients, cardioembolic stroke is the ischemic stroke subtype that occurs more frequently. Although there are no absolute criteria for the diagnosis of cardioembolic infarction (CI), the following characteristics are required: 1) compatible neurovascular clinical picture, 2) recognition of an emboligenous heart disease, and 3) exclusion of carotid and/or cerebral atherosclerosis as main causes of ischemic stroke.

There are few studies on acute ischemic stroke in very old subjects, and little information has been published in relation to the cardiovascular risk factors profile for CI in this age group. Ischemic stroke causes considerable disability, a need for rehabilitation...
and support, and a high economic burden. Currently, one third of all the patients with first-ever acute ischemic stroke are >85 years old. As life expectancy continues to rise, elderly subjects eventually will constitute the majority of stroke victims. Acute ischemic stroke in very old patients is rapidly becoming a major public health issue. In addition, it has been shown that older stroke patients are particularly at risk of receiving suboptimal care, with brain neuroimaging studies and other diagnostic tools used less frequently than in younger patients. Additionally, sufficient rehabilitation time for older fragile comorbid stroke patients to help their recovery is still an unmet need in many rehabilitation wards and nursing homes.

In the oldest old population, age 85 and over is an arbitrary cutoff age limit for exclusion of stroke patients from clinical trials. Elderly patients are often excluded from clinical trials studying recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rtPA) or anticoagulation in presumed cardioembolic ischemic stroke. Studies that have focused on very old patients suggest that very old stroke patients have higher in-hospital mortality rates, longer lengths of hospital stay, and receive lesser aggressive care than younger patients. Because of all these considerations, diagnosis and management of CI continue to be a current challenge for physicians, nurses, physical therapists, policy makers, and the society in general.

**Clinical characteristics**

Cardioembolic cerebral infarction accounts for 18%–25% of all cerebral infarctions and is the ischemic stroke subtype most commonly found in very old patients (>85 years of age). In 2,990 patients included in the “Sagrat Cor Hospital of Barcelona Stroke Registry” between 1986 and 2004, CI in very old patients (mean age 88.5 years) accounted for 28.4% of first-ever strokes followed by atherothrombotic infarcts (21%) and lacunar infarctions (14.2%), whereas in patients younger than 85 years (mean age 70.9 years), lacunar infarction (20.1%) and atherothrombotic infarcts (18.4%) were more frequent than CI (17.5%). This may be explained by the fact that the most common embologenous cardiopathy – atrial fibrillation – is more prevalent in the subgroup of very old ischemic stroke patients (43.2%) as compared with other age subgroups (34.3% in the 75–84-year age group, 23.1% in the 65–74-year age group, and 9.8% in the <65-year age group). It should be noted that in the oldest old patients, atrial fibrillation is the second vascular risk factor after hypertension, which is in contrast to ages <65 years and 65–74 years, during which hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and dyslipidemia are the most common risk factors.

Cardioembolic stroke is a severe condition due to the large size of the infarction, with a high in-hospital mortality rate (6%–27%) and significant neurological dysfunction; also, the risk of spontaneous infarct recurrence ranges between 1% and 10%. Histopathological examination of the lesion area usually reveals a hemorrhagic cerebral infarction (Figure 1).

Salient clinical manifestations of CI (Table 1) include sudden onset of neurological deficit, maximum focal neurological dysfunction at the onset of clinical symptoms, stroke onset while awake, speech disturbances, and the presence of a non-lacunar clinical syndrome. Early differential diagnosis of CI should be mainly established with atherothrombotic infarctions, and sometimes, this differential diagnosis can be difficult on the basis of clinical symptoms alone. However, in a comparative clinical study, it was found that sudden onset of neurological deficit and heart rhythm disturbance were independent predictive variables of cardioembolism, whereas hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) were significantly related to atherothrombotic infarction. Also, atrial fibrillation, heart valve disease, and ischemic heart disease are characteristic cardiovascular risk factors for CI, in contrast
to the profile of atherothrombotic stroke characterized by the presence of peripheral vascular disease, diabetes, previous transient ischemic attack or cerebral ischemia, as well as concomitant ischemic heart disease.20,25

The commonest high-risk cardioembolic conditions are atrial fibrillation, recent myocardial infarction, mechanical prosthetic valve, dilated myocardopathies, and mitral rheumatic stenosis.26–28 Other types of heart diseases associated with a high risk of embolism are atrial or ventricular thrombi, atrial myxoma and cardiac tumors, infectious/marantic endocarditis, complex aortic arch atheroma, and dilated myocardopathy with ventricular ejection fraction <35%.29

**Diagnostic studies**

In the last decade, the diagnosis of CI was mainly a “presumed” diagnosis based on clinical features and electrocardiography findings. However, clinical diagnosis of CI has recently shown a substantial advance in relation to the use of echocardiography in routine clinical practice, 24-hour Holter monitoring, and diffusion magnetic resonance imaging, allowing a noninvasive diagnosis of potentially emboligenous structural heart diseases, detection of clinically silent paroxysmal heart rhythm disorders, or acute multiple simultaneous cerebral infarctions, which are characteristics of the embolic phenomena.30–32 Also, recent development of biological markers related to cerebral cardioembolism, such as high serum levels of brain natriuretic peptide of D-dimer, is useful to increase the sensitivity of the diagnosis of embolic etiology of the brain infarction in those cases of cerebral ischemia of undetermined or mixed etiology.33,34

In a comparative study of 575 patients with CI, collected from the “Sagrat Cor Hospital of Barcelona Stroke Registry” over a period of 19 years, the percentage of patients in whom echocardiography was used increased from 39.7% in 1986–1992 to 66.9% in 1993–1998 and 73.9% in 1999–2004.35 The use of echocardiography also increased notably in very old patients (16% in 1986–1992, 27.3% in 1993–1998, 38.2% in 1999–2004).

**Outcome**

**Early mortality**

Cardioembolic stroke is the subtype of cerebral infarction with the highest in-hospital mortality.1,35,36 In our experience, early mortality rate due to CI prior to the use of thrombolytic therapy was 21.9%, similar to that reported by Caplan et al,37 as compared to 8.2% in the remaining non-cardioembolic strokes, with a frequency of 0.8% in lacunar infarcts and 21.7% in atherothrombotic stroke.38

In patients with cerebral infarction, in-hospital mortality rates were 30%, 22%, and 18% for patients with congestive heart failure, atrial fibrillation, and COPD, respectively. In the subgroup of cardioembolic stroke, risk factors independently related with in-hospital death were peripheral vascular disease, previous cerebral infarction, and congestive heart failure. In a stepwise multivariate analysis, in which demographic variables, cardiovascular risk factors, clinical features, and neuroimaging findings were included, decreased consciousness, limb weakness, congestive heart failure, male sex, and age were statistically significant variables independently associated with cardioembolic stroke. Age was an independent factor associated with in-hospital mortality. In addition to these variables, early recurrent embolism was also selected.38

Age is an independent predictive factor associated with in-hospital mortality in stroke patients.1,2 In a comparison between patients aged ≥85 years and those aged <85 years, advanced age was a predictive factor for early mortality in patients with stroke in general (27% vs 13.5%).39 In patients with CI, in-hospital death is also more frequent in very old patients (25% vs 11.5%).38

A summary of demographic variables, cerebrovascular risk factors, neuroimaging findings, and outcome of first-ever stroke patients according to age (≤84 vs ≥85 years), collected from the “Sagrat Cor Hospital of Barcelona Stroke Registry” for the period 1986–2004, is shown in Table 2.

**Cardioembolic recurrence**

The risk of early stroke recurrence in cerebral infarcts ranges between 1% and 10%. In the Cerebral Embolism Task Force, it was estimated that 12% of patients with cardioembolic stroke would develop a second embolism within the first 2 weeks after the initial event.40 In our experience, early embolic recurrence was documented in 24 out of 347 consecutive patients with CI (6.9% of cases).40 Recurrence

---

**Table 1 Clinical characteristics of cardioembolic infarction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical characteristic</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sudden onset of focal neurological deficit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum focal neurological dysfunction at onset of clinical symptoms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke onset while awake</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset after a Valsalva maneuver (paradoxical embolism)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of a non-lacunar clinical syndrome</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wernicke aphasia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated aphasia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectacular shrinking deficit syndrome</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concomitant peripheral vascular embolism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simultaneous cerebral infarcts in different territories on neuroimaging studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Atrial fibrillation as a prognostic factor in cardioembolic and atherothrombotic infarcts

Atrial fibrillation is the most common heart disease in different clinical series of patients with CI in industrialized countries. Atrial fibrillation can also be present in atherothrombotic infarctions, not as an emboligenous etiology but as a marker of other conditions that lead to ischemic stroke, such as atherosclerosis. Accordingly, it may be considered as an epiphenomenon or another manifestation of atherosclerotic disease. Therefore, not all cases of cerebral infarctions in patients with atrial fibrillation have a cardioembolic origin.

In a study of our group, atrial fibrillation was diagnosed in 16.5% of patients with thrombotic occlusion or arterial stenosis >70%, which were presumably responsible for the cerebral infarct. In patients with CI, in-hospital mortality rate was 31.6% in patients with atrial fibrillation and 14.8% in those without atrial fibrillation. Moreover, in-hospital mortality in patients with atherothrombotic infarction was significantly higher in the atrial fibrillation group (29.3%) than in the non-atrial fibrillation group (18.8%). Of note, that atrial fibrillation was associated with a poorer prognosis both in CI and atherothrombotic stroke as compared with stroke patients without atrial fibrillation, probably because patients with CI showed a higher frequency of congestive heart failure and patients with atherothrombotic infarct, a higher rate of ischemic heart disease. Chronic atrial fibrillation may cause occurred within the first 7 days in 12 patients (50%). The mean time for recurrence of cardioembolism was 12 days.

Risk factors for stroke recurrence are poorly known. In our experience, alcohol abuse, hypertension with valve heart disease and atrial fibrillation, nausea and vomiting, and previous cerebral infarction were significantly associated with stroke recurrence. In addition to these four variables, cardiac events (tachyarrhythmia, heart failure, or acute myocardial infarction that occurred as medical complication during the patient’s hospital stay) were selected in the multivariate model based on clinical, neuroimaging, and outcome variables. However, neither age nor advanced age was per se an independent risk factor for cardioembolic recurrence.
a significant reduction in cerebral regional blood flow,\textsuperscript{50,51} which can be normalized by establishment of sinus rhythm after successful cardioversion.

Also, an increase in mortality in CI with atrial fibrillation may be explained by the more advanced age of the patients, greater size of cerebral infarction, or higher neurological deficit at stroke onset.\textsuperscript{52} In a study of our group,\textsuperscript{20} the mean age of patients with CI and atrial fibrillation was 77.9 years vs 72.3 years in those without atrial fibrillation. In the group of patients with atherothrombotic stroke, mean ages were 77.4 years in the atrial fibrillation group and 74.9 years in the non-atrial fibrillation group. In all cases, differences were statistically significant.\textsuperscript{20}

### Special situations

#### Cardioembolic lacunar stroke

In about 80%–95% of cases, symptomatic lacunar strokes are caused by microatheromatosis in the proximal segment of a penetrating arteriole or by an intracranial branch atheromatous disease.\textsuperscript{53,54} In contrast, most clinically asymptomatic or silent lacunes detected on neuroimaging studies are caused by lipohyalinosis of the smallest penetrating arterioles. In the remaining 5%–20% of cases, lacunar strokes may be due to other etiologies with embolism (of cardiac origin or from a complex atheromatosis of the aortic arch) as one of the most prevalent of the infrequent causes.\textsuperscript{55–57}

In a clinical series of patients with lacunar infarction, it was found that emboligenic cardiopathy as the only cause was present in a very small percentage of patients (4%).\textsuperscript{39} However, the cardioembolic pathogenetic mechanism may be more frequent than apparently expected in very old patients (\(\geq 85\) years) in whom atrial fibrillation occurs in 28.2% of cases vs 8.7% of patients under 85 years old, and has been independently associated with cardioembolic lacunar stroke.\textsuperscript{3} Hypertension (61.5% vs 77.3%) and diabetes mellitus (7.7% vs 28.4%) were less frequent in very old patients with cardioembolic lacunar stroke. In the very elderly, the higher occurrence of atrial fibrillation, the lower prevalence of hypertension and diabetes, and the greater focal neurological impairment (the absence of neurological deficit at discharge, 15.4% vs 35.2% in patients \(<85\) years) suggest that the cardioembolic mechanism may be more frequent than generally established for lacunar infarcts in stroke patients.

In another study,\textsuperscript{59} diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) was normal in nine out of the 62 patients (14.5%) admitted with a classical lacunar syndrome. Forty-two patients (67.7%) had a single hyperintense lesion in the territory of deep hemispheric or brain stem penetrators. DWI showed multiple regions of increased signal intensity in 16% of patients in either a single (six patients) or different (four patients) vascular territories, strongly indicating an embologenous stroke mechanism.

Lacunar infarction due to embolism from the aortic arch that occurred in two patients with pure motor hemiplegia during cardiac and arch angiography has been reported.\textsuperscript{60} In a recent study, the possible embolic etiology due to complex atheromatosis of the aortic arch was observed in 3% of 71 patients with lacunar infarction.\textsuperscript{61} Two patients in this study were 87 years and 91 years old; the first patient presented a lacunar infarction in the internal capsule causing pure motor hemiparesis, and the second patient had a capsule-ganglionar lacunar infarction causing a sensorimotor syndrome. In the study of Kazui et al.\textsuperscript{62} aortic arch atheroma was independently associated with an increased risk of lacunar stroke. The finding of multiple acute infarcts by DWI in different vascular territories strongly indicates embolism.\textsuperscript{63–66}

### Complex atheromatosis of the aortic arch

Ultrasoundography of the aortic arch allows optimizing the diagnosis of complex atheromatosis of the aortic arch, which is an emerging etiology of primary or recurrent cerebral ischemia, particularly in the subgroup of patients with cerebral infarction of unknown cause.\textsuperscript{67–69} The main criteria of the emboligenic risk of aortic plaques include a plaque thickness equal to or greater than 4 mm and the presence of mobile components inside the plaque.\textsuperscript{70,71}

The association of complex atheromatosis of the aortic arch with mitral annular calcification is another interesting observation. Mitral annular calcification has been traditionally considered a cerebrovascular risk factor, despite the fact that calcium embolism is an exceptional cause of stroke. It may be argued that mitral valve ring calcification is an epiphenomenon and a marker of complex atheromatosis of the aortic arch, with its high embologenous risk due precisely to this association.\textsuperscript{72} In a clinical series of 121 patients with cerebral infarction of undetermined cause, dense mitral annular calcification was observed in 58.3% of patients with complex atheromatosis of the aortic arch as compared with 16.3% of patients without complex atheromatosis of the aortic arch. Plaques with mobile component (grade III) were found in 28% and 9% of patients with and without complex atheromatosis of the aortic arch, respectively. Finally, patients with complex atheromatosis of the aortic arch in two or more of the three zones (ascending aorta, aortic arch, and thoracic descending aorta) showed dense mitral annular calcification more frequently than those with aortic arch atheromatosis in a
single area (46% vs 9%). The mean age of patients with dense mitral annular calcification was 70.6 years as compared to a mean age of 70.5 years for patients without mitral annular calcification. Data referring to this clinical situation in very old patients are not available.

**Paroxysmal atrial fibrillation**

The indication of a 24-hour (or longer) Holter monitoring and also, in selected cases, transtelephonic monitoring or subcutaneous implantation to obtain a prolonged duration of cardiac rhythm monitoring allowed to increase the diagnosis of rhythm disturbances with a high embolicogenous risk, such as paroxysmal atrial fibrillation or sinus node disease.78–75

The routine use of transcranial Doppler ultrasound has also contributed to improving the diagnosis of right-to-left shunt through a patent foramen ovale when bubble signs are detected in the middle cerebral artery after injection of agitated saline via the antecubital vein.76,77

Both Holter monitoring and transcranial Doppler ultrasound are noninvasive diagnostic procedures and can be safely performed in the oldest old.

**Thrombolytic therapy**

At the present time and from the perspective of routine daily practice, the indication of vascular repermeabilization with the use of intravenous thrombolysis with rt-PA during the first 4 1/2 hours in the acute phase of CI is well established. Recommended total dose is 0.9 mg/kg infused over 60 minutes (loaded with 10% of the dose). Treatment with rt-PA should be administered to patients aged 18 years or more, in the presence of a significant neurological deficit, computed tomography evidence of the absence of intracerebral hemorrhage, or extensive cerebral infarction (greater than one third of middle cerebral artery territory), and in patients without contraindications for thrombolytic therapy. Treatment with rt-PA should be prescribed by staff neurologists and should be administered in hospitals, in which patients can be controlled adequately (it is recommended to treat patients with intravenous rt-PA in a stroke unit).78–80 However, telemedicine for stroke may be a potential solution and may maximize the number of patients given effective acute stroke treatment.81 Antithrombotic agents (heparin, aspirin) should not be given within 24 hours of rt-PA administration. Before thrombolytic therapy, it is recommended to reduce blood pressure (BP) if BP levels are 185/110 mmHg or higher. Also, to be effective, thrombolytic therapy should be given as quickly as possible, with higher benefits during the first 90 minutes after stroke onset.

Bleeding is the greatest risk of thrombolytic therapy, which occurs in 2.3%–6.4% of the patients. Very old age, hyperglycemia on admission, greater initial neurological deficit, and early signs of cerebral ischemia are predictive factors of hemorrhagic complications of intravenous thrombolysis.82,83 For this reason, clinical protocols of therapeutic indication of intravenous rt-PA should be strictly followed.

In patients with specific contraindications for intravenous thrombolytic therapy, intra-arterial thrombolysis with rt-PA by means of an angiography is a potentially beneficial treatment. Occlusion of a large artery should be present.84 In general, in patients with predisposition to hemorrhage, intra-arterial thrombolysis is even safer and recommendable than intravenous thrombolytic therapy, although mechanical thrombectomy may be another preferable alternative to intravenous thrombolysis.85,86 Intra-arterial thrombolysis is a therapeutic option in patients with acute ischemic stroke of less than 6-hours duration caused by middle cerebral artery occlusion. In young patients with acute thrombosis of the basilar artery trunk of less than 12-hours duration, intra-arterial thrombolysis may be considered, given the unfavorable prognosis of this type of thrombosis.87

Mechanical thrombectomy is a promising new modality of interventional stroke treatment. Thrombectomy devices are delivered by endovascular access proximal to the occlusion site. Although the experience is limited, an advantage over pharmacological thrombolysis is that the risk of bleeding is not increased. Indications of mechanical thrombectomy are similar to those of intra-arterial thrombolysis, but this procedure is particularly recommendable in patients at high hemorrhagic risk.85,86,88

Adequate control and treatment of cerebrovascular risk factors are needed, preventing hyperglycemia and treating hyperthermia during the acute stroke phase, as well as prevention of deep vein thrombosis with low-weight heparin. In contrast, statins are not indicated in the treatment of CI.89

**Rescue and primary endovascular treatment**

Rescue endovascular treatment is a strategy that includes the use of intravenous thrombolysis followed by intra-arterial thrombolysis in cases with no improvement and persistence of vascular occlusion. The 6-hour window from the onset of clinical symptoms should be maintained for intra-arterial thrombolysis, 8 hours for mechanical thrombectomy in the anterior cerebral territory, and up to 12 hours in basilar artery occlusions because the cerebral territory has a better tolerance to prolonged ischemia.90 In patients with an absolute
contraindication for intravenous thrombolysis (eg, patients receiving anticoagulation treatment or recent surgery), primary endovascular treatment may be recommended. However, endovascular treatment requires complex and sophisticated health care services and can only be performed in specialized and validated reference hospitals (tertiary care stroke centers).

**New anticoagulants in very old patients**

Until recently, antivitamin K anticoagulants (acenocoumarol, warfarin) were the only drugs available, which have shown a clear superiority to aspirin both in primary and secondary prevention of cardioembolic events. Oral anticoagulation (INR 2.0–3.0) has been recommended in cerebral ischemic infarction associated with atrial fibrillation. Treatment with oral anticoagulants is not recommended in patients with comorbidities, such as fall risk factors, poor compliance, uncontrolled epilepsy, or gastrointestinal bleeding. Advanced age by itself does not constitute a contraindication of oral anticoagulation.

Patients with CI unrelated to atrial fibrillation should be treated with oral anticoagulants (INR 2.0–3.0) when the risk of stroke recurrence is high (eg, mechanical prostheses, rheumatic heart disease, myocardial infarction with left thrombus formation, left atrial enlargement, or significant left ventricular dysfunction). A combination of a low-dose aspirin and dipyridamole is recommended when oral anticoagulation is contraindicated.

In contrast, oral anticoagulation is routinely recommended in non-CI stroke except in special circumstances such as grade III complex atheromatosis of the aortic arch, fusiform aneurysms of the basilar artery, central venous thrombosis, or CI patients of undetermined cause with a patent foramen ovale in the presence of proved deep vein thrombosis or associated with atrial septal aneurysm. Also, oral anticoagulants can be indicated in patients with CI caused by arterial dissection or CI in prothrombotic stages secondary to deficiency of protein S, protein C, and antithrombin III, lupus anticoagulant deficiency, or resistance to activated protein C.

At the present time, three new oral anticoagulants have consistently shown a higher safety profile (dabigatran, rivaroxaban, and apixaban) and a non-inferior (rivaroxaban) or superior (dabigatran 150 mg and apixaban) efficacy as compared to coumarin for preventing cardioembolic events in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation. As an additional advantage, these drugs do not need anticoagulant monitor-

---

**Table 3 Pharmacological characteristics of new anticoagulant drugs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anticoagulant</th>
<th>Pharmacological Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dabigatran</td>
<td>Direct thrombin inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relatively short half-life (12–17 hours), rapid onset of action (2–3 hours), few drug interactions, no food interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80% renal elimination; therefore, it should not be used in patients with renal failure (creatinine clearance &lt;15 mL/min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oral route, every 12 hours, and has been shown to be equally effective and more safe (less likely to cause hemorrhage) than warfarin when given at a dose of 110 mg/12 hours, and more effective and equally safe as warfarin when administered at a dose of 150 mg/12 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivaroxaban</td>
<td>Direct factor Xa inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Short half-life (5–9 hours), rapid onset of action (2–3 hours), few drug interactions, no food interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low renal elimination (36%), so that it can be administered to patients with renal failure. Predictable pharmacokinetics, it can be administered at fixed doses, and monitoring of drug levels is not required. Similar efficacy as warfarin but more safe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oral route at doses of 20 mg/24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apixaban</td>
<td>Direct factor Xa inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oral route at doses of 5 mg/12 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Short half-life (8–15 hours), rapid onset of action (3–4 hours), predictable pharmacokinetics, it can be administered at fixed doses, and monitoring of drug levels is not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low renal elimination (25%), so that it can be administered to patients with renal failure without dose modification, contraindicated in the presence of creatinine clearance &lt;15 mL/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More effective and safer than warfarin for the prevention of cardioembolism in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
persistent attitude regarding physiotherapy and motor rehabilitation, as an indispensable therapeutic approach to improve neurological sequelae in cardioembolic stroke patients.

Some clinical research lines remain open, such as genetic-related risk of cardioembolism and recurrence, diagnosis of embolism based on biomarkers for cases of cerebral infarctions of undetermined or unknown etiology, and consolidation in daily practice of new oral anticoagulants as a more effective, safe, and comfortable therapy.
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