Feasibility of flotation concentration of fungal spores as a method to identify toxigenic mushrooms
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Purpose: Mushroom poisoning is a recurring and challenging problem in veterinary medicine. Diagnosis of mushroom exposure in animals is hampered by the lack of rapid diagnostic tests. Our study evaluated the feasibility of using flotation concentration and microscopic evaluation of spores for mushroom identification. Evaluation of this method in living animals exposed to toxigenic mushrooms is limited by ethical constraints; therefore, we relied upon the use of an in vitro model that mimics the oral and gastric phases of digestion.

Methods: In our study, mycologist-identified toxigenic (poisonous) and nontoxigenic fresh mushrooms were collected in North Carolina, USA. In phase 1, quantitative spore recovery rates were determined following magnesium sulfate, modified Sheather's sugar solution, and zinc sulfate flotation (n=16 fungal species). In phase 2, mushrooms (n=40 fungal species) were macerated and digested for up to 2 hours in a salivary and gastric juice simulant. The partially digested material was acid neutralized, filtered, and spores concentrated using zinc sulfate flotation followed by microscopic evaluation of spore morphology.

Results: Mean spore recovery rates for the three flotation fluids ranged from 32.5% to 41.0% (P=0.82). Mean ± standard error of the mean) Amanita spp. spore recovery rates were 38.1±3.4%, 36.9±8.6%, and 74.5±1.6% (P=0.0012) for the magnesium sulfate, Sheather’s sugar, and zinc sulfate solutions, respectively. Zinc sulfate flotation following in vitro acid digestion (phase 2) yielded spore numbers adequate for microscopic visualization in 97.5% of trials. The most common spore shapes observed were globose, spiked, elliptical, smooth and reticulate.

Conclusion: Flotation can concentrate mushroom spores; however, false negative results can occur. Spore morphology could not be used to differentiate species of mushroom-forming fungi since the spore shape and surface characteristics seen in the present study were often observed with multiple species of mushroom-forming fungi.
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Introduction

A mushroom is the aboveground spore-bearing fruiting body of a fungus. Mushrooms represent a wide variety of wild or cultivated gilled and poroid fungi with over 85 species identified as hazardous to human or animal health.1 Most poisonous species of mushrooms found in the United States can be characterized into eight broad categories based on the toxins that they produce: cyclopeptides (amatoxins), orellanine, monomethylhydrazine, coprine, ibotenic acid (muscimol), muscarine, psilocybin (psilocin), and gastrointestinal irritants.2 Toxic mushroom ingestion is associated with a variety of clinical signs in companion animals, with hepatic, neurologic, gastrointestinal, renal, and respiratory effects reported depending on the
mushroom species ingested.\textsuperscript{3} Clinical prognosis following mushroom ingestion depends on the mushroom’s toxicity, the amount ingested, and the animal’s potential response to treatment. Timely gastrointestinal decontamination remains a hallmark of initial treatment of mushroom ingestion and depends upon the ability of veterinarians to rapidly diagnose mushroom exposure in their patients.\textsuperscript{3,4}

Evidence of oral exposure to mushrooms can assume different forms. On occasion, people may witness the mushroom ingestion. At other times, pet owners may observe damaged mushrooms in the animal’s environment, vomitus, or feces leading to an assumption that exposure occurred. Veterinarians managing these suspected exposures are often tasked with confirming exposure and developing a treatment plan that depends in part on their ability to identify the mushroom. In some cases, veterinarians will have access to specimens the client collected or material found in the animal’s gastric contents. Identification of the mushroom species using these types of specimens can be challenging even for an experienced mycologist because morphologic variations can occur in the same mushroom genera and species depending on the season, geographic location, and maturity of the fungus.\textsuperscript{3,5} Evaluation of the shape and size of sexual spores (basidiospores) present in the ingested food or gastric contents has also been used to provide a tentative identification of mushroom-forming fungi in human clinical cases.\textsuperscript{6–11}

Evaluation of food and gastric contents for the presence of mushroom spores can however be challenging. For example, low spore numbers found in feces may limit an individual’s ability to detect spores during microscopic evaluation of gastric contents. Flotation concentration of spores in feces followed by microscopic evaluation may overcome this problem and has been used to confirm mushroom exposure in humans and animals.\textsuperscript{12–15} The clinical use of flotation concentration of mushroom spores, however, is largely limited to a few toxigenic mushroom species (eg, \textit{Amanita} spp.), and its application has been confined to a few case reports. Clinical evaluation of spore flotation methods in veterinary medicine is hampered by the low incidence of mushroom poisoning cases in animals. Likewise, experimental studies using living animals are technically difficult, costly, and limited by ethical constraints when using potentially harmful mushrooms. Our study therefore relied upon the use of a two-step static in vitro model that mimics the oral and gastric phases of digestion as reproduced by a pepsin hydrolysis of mushrooms under fixed pH and temperature. Similar in vitro systems have been widely used in toxicology, microbiology, and food sciences.\textsuperscript{16–20}

The primary objective of the present study was to evaluate whether mushroom spores could be concentrated with commonly used veterinary fecal flotation solutions. We hypothesized that flotation concentration would yield sufficient spore numbers for microscopic evaluation. Our study also provides a quantitative assessment of the effectiveness of flotation concentration of spores for a variety of mushroom species. In addition, we assessed spore morphology after mushroom digestion in gastric juice to determine whether microscopic spore features could be used to either identify or differentiate toxic and nontoxic mushrooms.

**Methods**

**Mushroom sample collection and identification**

The North Carolina State University Institutional Biosafety Committee approved all laboratory procedures. A variety of toxic and presumed nontoxic mushrooms (\textit{n}=40 samples) were collected within Wake County, NC, USA, between June 1 and October 20, 2013. Mushrooms were collected from a variety of habitats including grass lawns, pine forest, mixed hardwood forests, manure, and other locations. We sought specimens with minimal visual evidence of decay and favored collection of samples with multiple aboveground fruiting bodies. A professor of soil mycology and plant disease ecology (MAC) identified the genus and species of all mushrooms. Mushroom identification was based upon a combination of knowledge of the habitat, as well as macro- and microscopic morphologic characteristics of the mushrooms including cap color/shape, gill shape and degree of attachment to the stem (stipe), spore-bearing area (hymenium) associated with gills or pores, chemical reactions of spores and fruiting bodies, and the presence of a partial or universal veil, annulus (ring on the stem), or volva (Figure 1). Visual inspection of spore color and consistency of mushroom spore prints that were developed within 24 hours of mushroom collection on a colored paper substrate for each specimen were also used in the identification of mushroom samples.

**Flotation solutions and other reagents**

All purchased chemicals were reagent grade or higher (Sigma-Aldrich Company, St Louis, MO, USA). Saturated magnesium sulfate (450 g MgSO\textsubscript{4}/L H\textsubscript{2}O), modified Sheather’s sugar solution (454 g granulated sugar in 355 mL H\textsubscript{2}O with 6 mL formaldehyde), and 33% zinc sulfate solutions (331 g ZnSO\textsubscript{4}/L H\textsubscript{2}O) were used for spore flotation. The reported specific gravities for the magnesium sulfate,
Sheather’s sugar solution, and 33% zinc sulfate solutions were 1.20, 1.27, and 1.18–1.20, respectively.21

Phase 1: spore recovery rates with different flotation solutions
This experimental phase used spore prints that were collected using a plastic petri dish as the substrate. After an overnight (12 hours) development of the spore print, the spores (n=16 mushroom samples) were scraped off the plastic substrate and suspended in 2 mL of distilled water and the initial spore concentration determined using a 10 µL sample and a standard laboratory Neubauer hemocytometer. A 0.5 mL aliquot of the suspended spore sample was added to 1.5 mL of the magnesium sulfate, Sheather’s sugar solution, or zinc sulfate solution in a 2 mL conical microcentrifuge tube for 60 minutes. Afterward, a laboratory pipette was used to slowly collect the top (200 µL) portion (meniscus) of the sample. The final spore concentration was then determined using a 10 µL sample and hemocytometer. Spore recovery rate (%) was calculated from the ratio of the initial and final spore concentrations as adjusted for respective sample volumes.

Phase 2: mushroom sample processing, in vitro digestion, and microscopic evaluation
The mycologist-identified mushrooms (n=40 samples) used in this experimental phase were digested using a modification of a previously described in vitro system that mimics gastrointestinal digestion.22 Briefly, a 5 to 10 g fresh sample of each mushroom specimen was chopped into 3 to 5 mm pieces to mimic maceration and incubated for approximately 1 to 1.5 minutes in 25 mL of a saliva fluid simulant. The saliva simulant fluid was prepared using an aqueous solution containing gastric mucin (1 g/L), α-amylase (2 g/L), sodium chloride (0.117 g/L), potassium chloride (0.149 g/L), and sodium bicarbonate (2.1 g/L), with a final pH of 6.9 to 7.1. Immediately afterward, the mushroom samples were transferred to 125 mL of an artificial aqueous gastric fluid solution, which was prepared with pepsin (1 g/L), gastric mucin (1.5 g/L), and sodium chloride (8.775 g/L), with a final pH 1.8 to 2.0 (adjusted using 1 N hydrochloric acid). The mushrooms and the gastric acid simulant were incubated at 37°C for 1.5 to 2 hours in a sealed, acid-resistant container in a standard cell culture incubator. All incubated samples were placed on an orbital rocker and gently agitated approximately three to five times per minute to mimic the frequency of normal canine stomach contractions.23 Following the incubation, the partially digested samples were neutralized (with 1 N NaOH) to approximately pH 6.5 to 7.1 to match the pH of dog feces.24 Aliquots (25 mL) of the partially digested mushroom samples were removed at 0.5, 1, and 2 hours to evaluate the effect that varied gastric acid digestion times have on mushroom spore morphology. To mimic water absorption during feces formation, the partially digested mushroom samples were twice filtered using a Grade 5 cellulose filter paper (Whatman Inc., Florham Park, NJ, USA).

A 5 g aliquot of the filtered material was transferred to a 15 mL centrifuge tube for centrifugal flotation analysis. The 33% zinc sulfate solution yielded the highest Amanita spore recovery rate in phase 1 (Figure 2) and this solution was subsequently used in phase 2 of this experiment. Thus, the 5 mL aliquot of the filtered material was initially well-mixed with 10 mL of the zinc sulfate solution, then additional zinc sulfate was added to the centrifuge tube to form a slight meniscus. Afterward a glass coverslip was placed over the meniscus for 1 hour to allow the spores to float to the top. The coverslip was subsequently transferred to a glass slide that was evaluated for spores using a light microscope equipped with 10×, 20×, and 40× objectives (Olympus BX41, Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Spore images were captured with a 40× objective using a digital camera (Cool SNAP, Roper Scientific Photometrics, Tucson, AZ, USA) equipped with RS Image (RS Image Software, Photometrics). All images were stored for future evaluation. A photographic atlas was created to illustrate the unique morphologic characteristics of common toxigenic and nontoxigenic mushroom spores.
Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of spore recovery rates (phase 1) was performed following an assessment for homogeneity of variance (Levene’s test) using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Dunnett’s multiple comparison procedure for significant ANOVAs (F-tests). All statistical analyses were performed using JMP Pro Version 9 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The results were considered statistically significant if \( P \leq 0.05 \). Unless otherwise reported all values represent mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) values.

Results

Mushroom sample collection

Forty mushrooms collected from Wake County, NC, USA, were identified to the level of either their genus or species. Mushrooms that could not be identified were excluded from further analysis (approximately 25% of collected mushroom samples). The 40 identified mushroom samples represented members of the following genera: Agaricus campestris (n=1), Amanita bisporigera (n=1), Amanita citrina (n=1), Amanita muscaria (n=1), and Amanita polyryamis (n=1), Amanita spp. (n=5), Armillaria mellea (n=3) and Armillaria tabescens (n=4), Boletus spp. (n=2), Cantharellus cibarius (n=1), Cortinarius violaceus (n=2), Hygrocybe conica (n=1), Lactarius spp. (n=1), Leucoagaricus americanus (n=4), Leucoagaricus birnbaumii (n=1), Lycoperdon perlatum (n=2), Pleurotus ostreatus (n=1), Russula spp. (n=5), Scleroderma citrinum (n=2), and Suillus spp. (n=1). Known toxigenic mushrooms collected in this study included A. bisporigera, A. citrina, A. muscaria, A. polyryamis, L. birnbaumii, Lycoperdon spp. (toxic when inhaled), and S. citrinum (Table 1).

Quantitative spore recovery rates with different flotation solutions

Figure 2 shows the spore recovery rates for the ten species of mushroom-forming fungi used in phase 1 of the experiment. No statistically significant difference in spore recovery rate (n=16 mushroom samples) was observed with the three flotation fluids (\( P=0.82 \); ANOVA). The overall (n=16 samples) mean (± SEM) spore recovery rates for the three flotation fluids were 32.5±9.0%, 34.8±12.8%, and 41.0±6.8% for the saturated magnesium sulfate, modified Sheather’s sugar solution, and zinc sulfate solutions, respectively. Because of their clinical importance and known toxigenic properties we also assessed whether the different flotation solutions affected Amanita spore recovery rates. We observed a statistically significant difference (\( P=0.0012 \), ANOVA) in Amanita spp. (n=4 mushroom samples) spore recovery rates. The mean (± SEM) Amanita spp. spore recovery rates for the three flotation fluids were 38.1±3.4%, 36.9±8.6%, and 74.5±1.6% for the saturated magnesium sulfate, modified Sheather’s sugar solution, and zinc sulfate solutions, respectively. We also evaluated the coefficient of variation when different flotation solutions were used with identical Amanita spp. samples run in triplicate. The observed coefficients of variation were
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18.1, 46.8, and 4.4 for the saturated magnesium sulfate, modified Sheather's sugar solution, and zinc sulfate solutions, respectively.

Qualitative spore recovery and morphology

Of the 40 mushrooms used in phase 2 of the study, only one species (P. ostreatus) did not yield a sufficient number of spores to be seen microscopically. A variety of spore shapes were observed following acid digestion and flotation. The spore shapes were classified as either globose (Figure 3), elliptical with or without apical pores or globules (Figure 4), spiked round or elliptical, or reticulate (Figure 5). Spore shapes and colors seen with the different mushroom species are summarized in Table 1. The most common spore shape was globose (41.0%), followed by spiked (28.2%), elliptical (25.6%), and reticulate (5.1%). There was no association between spore shape, color, and a mushroom's reported toxicity (Table 1). The duration of the acid digestion procedure (up to 2 hours) did not adversely affect spore morphology (data not shown).

Table 1 Spore shapes observed following in vitro gastric acid digestion and zinc sulfate flotation (phase 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mushroom species</th>
<th>Spore shape</th>
<th>Spore print color</th>
<th>Toxicity</th>
<th>Toxic mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agaricus campestris</td>
<td>Elliptical</td>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>Generally nontoxic</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amanita spp.</td>
<td>Globose</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amanita muscaria</td>
<td>Globose</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Toxic</td>
<td>Muscarine, muscimol, ibotenic acid, cyclopeptides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amanita polypyramis</td>
<td>Elliptical</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Nontoxic</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armillaria mellea</td>
<td>Elliptical</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armillaria tabescens</td>
<td>Elliptical</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Toxic when consumed raw</td>
<td>Gastrointestinal irritant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boletus spp.</td>
<td>Elliptical</td>
<td>Olive-brown</td>
<td>Generally nontoxic</td>
<td>Muscarine-containing and/or gastrointestinal irritants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cantharellus cibarius</td>
<td>Elliptical</td>
<td>White/yellow</td>
<td>Nontoxic</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortinarius violaceus</td>
<td>Elliptical/spiked</td>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>Generally nontoxic</td>
<td>Other species with orellanine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hygrocybe conica</td>
<td>Elliptical</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Nontoxic</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactarius spp.</td>
<td>Elliptical/spiked</td>
<td>Beige/white</td>
<td>Generally nontoxic</td>
<td>Gastrointestinal irritant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucoagaricus americanus</td>
<td>Elliptical</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leuocoprinus birnbaumii</td>
<td>Elliptical</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Toxic</td>
<td>Gastrointestinal irritant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lycoperdon perlatum</td>
<td>Globose/spiked</td>
<td>Olive-brown</td>
<td>Toxic if inhaled</td>
<td>Respiratory irritant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleurotus ostreatus</td>
<td>Elliptical</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Nontoxic</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russula spp.</td>
<td>Elliptical/spiked</td>
<td>White to orangish</td>
<td>Generally nontoxic</td>
<td>Gastrointestinal irritant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scleroderma citrinum</td>
<td>Globose</td>
<td>Purple-black</td>
<td>Toxic</td>
<td>Gastrointestinal irritant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suillus spp.</td>
<td>Spindle-shaped</td>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>Nontoxic</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Spore shape nomenclature described by Hall et al., 2003. Apical pore present; some species are toxic; globules present; spiked, warted (reticulate), not smooth; spores not recovered.

Discussion

One of our first objectives was to identify the species of mushrooms collected for our study. Visual inspection of spore prints played an important role in the identification of the collected mushroom specimens. The color of the spore...
Veterinarians are well acquainted with fecal concentration (specific gravity) of spores and other materials whereby objects used in veterinary practice could be used to concentrate mushroom spores and improve microscopic evaluations. These methods allow for the detection of small numbers of ova and parasites that may be missed by using only a direct wet smear. In veterinary parasitology, the flotation procedure permits the separation of protozoan cysts, coccidian oocysts, microsporidian spores, and certain helminth eggs and larvae with a specific gravity of 1.05 to 1.25 from the more dense (>1.3) background fecal material through the use of a liquid with a high specific gravity. The less dense parasitic elements are recovered in the surface film, and the denser fecal debris remains in the bottom of the tube yielding a cleaner preparation that can be examined microscopically. We used a similar approach to concentrate the mushroom spores in our acid-digested materials. We found that for most mushrooms the type of flotation solution (e.g., saturated magnesium sulfate, modified Sheather’s sugar solution, and zinc sulfate solution) yielded qualitatively similar spore recovery rates with approximately 33% to 41% of the total spores found in the uppermost 10% volume of the sample. A statistically significant difference was noted with Amanita spp. spore recovery rates. In this case, the less dense zinc sulfate solution yielded an approximately twofold higher spore recovery rate (75%) when compared with either the magnesium sulfate or Sheather’s sugar solutions. We found that zinc sulfate flotation of partially digested mushroom samples yielded spore numbers adequate for microscopic visualization in 97.5% of trials where a spore print formed as part of our initial mushroom identification. Although not studied directly in our experiment, concentration of the spores by flotation will likely be superior to microscopic evaluation of direct smears of vomitus, feces, or other gastric contents. Likewise, since the specific gravities of the solutions we used were less dense than that reported for fecal debris, we anticipate that these methods will also allow adequate separation of the less dense mushroom spores studied in our experiment from fecal debris in veterinary clinical cases. This assumption is supported by clinical reports demonstrating positive mushroom spore identification from human and animal feces.

Despite our high success rate at concentrating spores with flotation, veterinarians must remain aware that false negative results may occur. For example, poor spore recovery following flotation could occur depending upon the age of the mushrooms (i.e., immature or hypermature spores), excessive dilution/inadequate concentration during filtration, or spore density in excess of the flotation fluid density. Another clinically important factor that may contribute to false negative results is the long latent period between exposure and the onset of clinical signs seen with some mushrooms. For example, ingestion of hepatotoxic Amanita mushroom is often
associated with an initial asymptomatic latent period that lasts 6–12 hours post-ingestion and a hepatotoxic phase that lasts an additional 24–36 hours.\textsuperscript{28,29} Negative fecal flotation results may occur clinically since the \textit{Amanita} spores may have been eliminated prior to the collection of a fecal sample. When considered collectively, the absence of visualized spores in gastric/fecal contents would not be anticipated to rule out a potential ingestion of a toxic mushroom.

In our study, the mushroom spores obtained following flotation were then subjected to microscopic evaluation. Our initial hope was to develop a photographic key to assist in the identification of different mushroom species based upon spore shape, size, and other physical characteristics. We found, however, that spores from more than one mushroom species shared several shape/color/size characteristics suggesting that the microscopic appearance of the spore was best used to exclude certain mushroom species from consideration rather than to provide positive identification. Although spore morphology could help narrow the identification of a digested mushroom to one or more genera, these physical characteristics often could not narrow the identification any further. For example, four different species of \textit{Amanita} were collected during the course of our study, all of which were microscopically similar in regard to spore shape following acid digestion and zinc sulfate flotation. When considered collectively, the mushrooms’ macroscopic physical features or microscopic spore characteristics were sometimes insufficient to differentiate individual species within a mushroom genus. This may be clinically important for \textit{Amanita} mushrooms and other genera that contain both toxigenic (poisonous) and nontoxigenic (nonpoisonous) species. We also observed that spore size could vary within a specimen further complicating interpretation of our results. Challenges associated with the use of spore size and morphology has been documented in the literature. For example, misidentifications of \textit{Cortinarius} mushrooms based on spore morphology and size have been reported in the human clinical literature.\textsuperscript{9} Other studies have shown that spore size can vary depending upon season, time of day, and other factors.\textsuperscript{30}

Veterinarians may need to rely upon additional diagnostic tests to confirm the species of mushroom involved. For example, molecular biology approaches, including the use of polymerase chain reaction analysis, are often required to definitively diagnose a specific \textit{Amanita} (or other) mushroom species.\textsuperscript{31–35} Additional analytical chemical methods are also available to confirm certain mushroom exposures. For example, analytical approaches exist to detect amanitins (amatoxins) in bile and urine.\textsuperscript{33,35} The amanitins inhibit ribonucleic acid polymerase II and cause the acute hepatocellular necrosis and mortality associated with \textit{Amanita phalloides} and other hepatotoxic \textit{Amanita} species.\textsuperscript{36} These types of analyses were, however, considered beyond the scope of the present study.

One limitation of the present study was that the geographical area we used to collect mushrooms was only representative of one region (Wake County, NC, USA). Our search strategy for mushrooms considered the veterinary medical literature. For example, the period when mushroom samples were collected in our study coincided with when the greatest diversity and fruiting of mushroom-forming toxigenic and nontoxigenic species occur in this region. In addition, most published veterinary case reports of suspected mushroom intoxication indicate that exposure occurred in moist, cool areas during the fall months.\textsuperscript{3} We also considered local growing conditions and reported habitats for these mushrooms in designing our search strategy. For example, \textit{A. phalloides} (also known as the death cap) is found along the west- and east-coast, growing commonly near pine, oak, and birch trees in the fall months. We had one study limitation imposed by our Institutional Biosafety Committee that precluded collection of \textit{Psilocybe} mushrooms because of their status as a Schedule I substance under the US Controlled Substances Act. Despite this geographical constraint, sufficient data were obtained to identify some of the important strengths and limitations of using flotation concentration for mushroom spores.

An important strength of our study was the use of an in vitro digestion method. Because of the large number of samples collected ($n=40$) and the inherent toxicity of some mushroom species, we elected to use an in vitro approach rather than rely on dosing of animals with poisonous mushrooms. Likewise, relying on spontaneous cases of mushroom exposures seen in the North Carolina State University Veterinary Health Complex would also be problematic because of the complex’s low annual incidence rate of confirmed mushroom poisoning and the lack of definitive mushroom identification in most clinical cases. The in vitro approach we used was chosen to mimic the harshest digestion conditions that the mushroom samples would be exposed to following ingestion. As with any experimental model, the in vitro system we used has some limitations. For example, our system did not attempt to replicate conditions in the small and large intestines. Our study, therefore, cannot directly address whether bile and other gastrointestinal contents could affect spore morphology or size. However, the spore color and morphology seen in our study following acid digestion were consistent with
our evaluation of spores obtained from spore prints and previously published results. In addition, published images of mushroom spores collected from people or animals with confirmed toxic mushroom exposure suggest that the presence of bile and other materials did not significantly alter the physical appearance of the spores. The methodological limitations associated with our in vitro system will likely not impact the application of our study results to the interpretation of spores present in vomitus or gastric contents. This is an important consideration since many mushroom-exposed animals are initially treated with emetics and vomitus samples may be available for analysis.

Conclusion
Diagnosing mushroom exposure or poisoning remains a significant clinical challenge, in part because of the variable time course of clinical signs associated with different species of toxic mushrooms and the limited number of diagnostic aids available. Our study suggests that flotation concentration of mushroom spores is a feasible approach to concentrate mushroom spores. Further, we anticipate that the flotation methods used in our in vitro study could be easily applied to vomitus, feces, and other clinically relevant samples. Interpretation of negative clinical flotation results, however, will require caution since our study suggests that false negative results may occur. We have also shown that microscopic evaluation of concentrated spores may assist in the identification of mushrooms. Microscopic evaluation of spore morphology, however, may have its greatest clinical application in ruling out certain mushroom species. Because of the observed similarity in certain spore morphological characteristics and known variation in the spore characteristics based on the maturity of the spores, microscopic evaluation of spores is less likely to yield diagnostic information that will confirm the identity of a mushroom. In these cases, additional methods including physical characteristics of the fruiting body and chemical and/or molecular analyses of mushroom or tissue specimens may be needed to reach a definitive taxonomic conclusion.

Because of these difficulties in identifying mushrooms, confirming exposure, and the lack of effective antidotes for many mushrooms, initial management approaches should remain focused on client education to prevent exposure and timely gastrointestinal decontamination in all cases in which mushroom exposure was suspected.
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