Treatment of port wine stains with pulsed dye laser: a retrospective study of 848 cases in Shandong Province, People’s Republic of China
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Background: Currently, 595 nm pulsed dye laser (PDL) therapy is offered as one of the effective treatments of port wine stains (PWSs). However, the efficacy of PDL differs in different populations.

Objective: The purpose of the study was to investigate the efficacy, and related factors, of 595 nm PDL in the treatment of PWSs in Chinese patients with skin type III to IV.

Methods: A total of 848 cases that were treated with PDL were enrolled and analyzed in this study. An independent dermatologist evaluated these lesions according to the before and after photographs.

Results: The response rate (RR) of all the 848 PWS patients was 69.9%, within which the cure rate was 6.3%. The patients aged ≤1 year had the highest RR (93.9%), whereas those treated after age 50 reacted the worst (RR=25%). We analyzed the anatomical distribution of the lesion and found that the temporal region had the highest lesion clearance (RR=75.3%), while the extremities had the lowest clearance (RR=44.5%). Compared with the patients whose lesion size was larger than 80 cm², the patients with small lesion size, of 0–20 cm², had better clinical effect (RR=73.8% vs 53.2%). The reactions of the patients with hyperplastic lesion were worse than those with red patches (RR=36.4% vs 71.7%). As well, increasing treatment numbers could achieve higher clearance rates (P=0.005).

Conclusion: The PDL had a relatively high RR but a low clearance rate in Chinese patients with PWS, although the earlier the intervention, the better was the efficacy. The response of PDL was, not only related to the anatomical area, but also, to the lesion size, type of lesion (ie, the presence of existing hyperplastic lesions), and the number of treatment, all of which are essential for the evaluation of therapeutic effect and acquisition of patients consent before treatment.
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Introduction
Port wine stains (PWSs) are benign congenital capillary malformation, which occur in 0.3% of all newborns and mostly appear at the face and neck areas.1-5 PWS lesions are rarely eliminated without intervention, and the vast majority of lesions would worsen with the patient’s age.3,4 Pulsed dye laser (PDL) remains the gold standard of treatment, even now.6 The mechanism of PDL in treating PWS is to destroy capillary malformation by selective photothermolysis.8

There were some related retrospective studies of PDL in the treatment of PWS. In 2002, Ho et al performed research in which 107 patients with PWS were treated with laser (wavelength: 532 nm and 585 nm) and found that Chinese patients had lower sensitivity to laser treatment, with higher complication rates and more treatment sessions...
required to achieve maximum blanching. In 2003, Laube et al performed another study of 12 patients with PWS who were treated with V Beam® PDL (Candela Corp, Wayland, MA, USA). They found the 595 nm V Beam PDL appeared to achieve further lightening of therapy-resistant PWS in the majority of patients (67%), but their results required confirmation in larger studies.

Therefore, our retrospective study was committed to investigate the efficacy of laser treatment and related factors, in a large sample.

**Methods**

**Patients**

In this retrospective study, we collected the information of 1,027 PWS patients who were treated in Laser Department of Shandong Provincial Hospital for Skin Diseases from April 1999 to April 2013. Subject inclusion criteria included: patients with PWS who received 595 nm PDL treatment with no previous treatment history of PDL, where the Fitzpatrick skin classification was III to IV; patients who were treated at least three times with 595 nm PDL (including even a few who were treated about 20 times); treatment interval of 4–6 weeks between every two treatments; photographs of the patient were available to compare the effects before and after treatments; and there was a follow-up period of at least 4 months following the latest 595 nm PDL treatment.

Finally, 848 patients according with the aforementioned conditions were eligible for this study, and informed consent was obtained from all patients. The detailed information of patients was analyzed, including age, anatomical area, the lesion size, presence of existing hyperplastic lesions, and the number of treatment. Among the group, there were 380 patients with PWS having skin lesions in two or more facial regions (Figure 1), and 44 patients had hyperplastic lesion.

**Therapy methods**

All the cases were treated with flashlamp-pumped PDL (V Beam), with wavelength 595 nm, adjustable pulse width (0.45–40 ms), maximum output energy 6 J, equipped with dynamic cooling device (DCD). The possible parameters for laser treatment: dynamic cooling spray time 30 ms, delay time 20 ms, energy density and pulse width, as determined by the age, skin lesion area, skin type, and immediate reaction after a test pulse. The most commonly selected energy density is 8–12 J/cm², and the pulse width is 1.5–10 ms. According to the lesion area and tolerance of patients, ELA-Max cream (containing 4% lidocaine) was applied for surface anesthesia before treatment. Then the laser treatment was carried out. Immediate cooling was applied to the treatment area, and some specific skin care products were recommended to the patients after treatment. All the patients were asked to avoid ultraviolet (UV) exposure.

The typical tissue reaction is indicated by mild to moderate purpura, whereas overtreatment and risk of pigmentation is indicated by a charcoal gray color. The goal in treatment was to show an effect without overlap of pulses.

**Assessment methods**

The clinical assessment of the treatment response was performed by an independent dermatologist in charge who was not involved in the previous treatment. He observed the changes of color, area size, and proliferative lesions of the patient’s “red mole” (PWS) by comparing the pictures of patients before and after treatment, and then gave evaluations using the Physician Global Assessment. The Physician Global Assessment includes “poor improvement” (skin recovery: 0%–25%), “moderate improvement” (skin recovery: 26%–50%), “significant improvement” (skin recovery: 51%–75%), and “cure” (skin recovery: 76%–100%). Cure, significant improvement, and moderate improvement were defined as the response rate (RR). The adverse reactions of each patient were recorded, including ache, rash, pigmentation, depigmentation, and formation of scar. The Fitzpatrick skin type classification system was also used and is an assessment of sun sensitivity, as follows: I = always burn, never
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Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 17.0. For the relationship between related factors (age, anatomical area, lesion size, presence of existing hyperplastic lesions, and number of treatments) and response to laser therapy, we used the Kruskal–Wallis test and bivariate correlation analysis. We also performed the post hoc Scheffe test to compare the data between each group. Rates were compared using the \( \chi^2 \) test. Two-sided \( P \)-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results
Among all 1,027 PWS patients who were treated by PDL in our hospital, 104 patients were not involved in this study as they had fewer than three treatments, 31 patients had previous treatment history of PDL, 28 patients were lost to follow up because of failure in communication, eleven patients were excluded because their photographs were blurry, which made it difficult to distinguish the change of skin lesions, and five patients indicated unequivocally that their information must not be used for any medical study. In summary, a total of 848 patients were enrolled in the analysis.

Among the 848 participating patients, the ages ranged from 38 days to 58 years (mean age = 18.7±9.6 years), and males accounted for 45.5% (386/848). Their Fitzpatrick skin types were III to IV. None of the participating patients had received any form of treatment before PDL treatment.

The PWSs treated with the PDL were mainly located on the face (721 patients), followed by neck in 52 patients, trunk in 30 patients, and extremities in 45 patients. Of the patients with PDL on the face, 380 had two or more areas of skin lesions. In the majority of participating patients, the sizes of the skin lesions were within 0–20 cm\(^2\) (442 patients). There were 44 patients with hyperplastic lesions, including nodular and thickened lesions (Figure 2).

The number of treatments among the 848 patients was 3–20. The mean number of PDL treatments for PWS was 6.2±3.8. The shortest follow-up period from the last PDL treatment was 4 months, and the longest follow-up period was 1½ years (Table 1).

The Physician Global Assessment showed a cure (76%–100% of PWSs) in 53 (6.3%) of the 848 patients, significant level of improvement or recovery (51%–75%) was scored in 109 (12.9%) of 848 patients, moderate level of improvement or recovery (26%–50%) was found in 430 (50.7%) of 848 patients, and poor level of improvement or recovery (0%–25%) was in 256 (30.1%) of 848 patients (Table 2).

During the follow-up period of the 848 patients, there were 42 patients who had postinflammatory hyperpigmentation that lasted for more than 2 weeks, but all of them had recovered within 3 months, while hypopigmentation occurred in 17 cases (Figure 3).

Table 1 Demographic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>(45.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>(54.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age</td>
<td>18.7±9.6 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean times of treatments</td>
<td>6.2±3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferative lesions</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>44 (5.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>804 (94.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of areas</td>
<td>≤1</td>
<td>380 (44.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>468 (55.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The size of skin lesions</td>
<td>≤20 cm(^2)</td>
<td>442 (52.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;20 cm(^2)</td>
<td>406 (47.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Values were expressed as N (%), unless otherwise stated.
Association of the PDL effect with the age of PWS patients

The 848 patients were divided into eight groups based on their ages, and the effect of PDL treatment was different between each of the groups. The effect in the youngest group that was ≤1 year old (99 cases) was better than in the other groups ($P<0.001$); the RR to PDL treatment in this group was 93.9%, and the cure rate was 18.2%. The effect in the oldest group, of 50–60 years (4 cases), was worse than in the other groups ($P=0.05$); the RR of this group was 25%, and the cure rate was 0% (Table 3).

There was a correlation between the eight age groups and the improvement of PWS after PDL therapy ($\chi^2=70.837$, $P<0.001$ [Kruskal–Wallis test]). Bivariate correlation analysis results ($rs=0.281$, $P=0.000$ [two-sided, Pearson]; $rs=0.274$, $P=0.000$ [two-sided, Spearman]) showed that these two tests were consistent. The data revealed that there was a relationship between the age of patients and the curative effect to PDL, and the earlier the interventions, the better was the efficacy; however, no significant difference was found between the groups ≤1 year, 6–10 years, 21–30 years, 51–60 years and between the groups 31–40 years, 41–50 years, 51–60 years ($P>0.05$ [Scheffe post hoc test]).

Association of the PDL effect with the areas of lesions in PWS patients

In the 848 patients with PWS, 380 patients had two or more areas of skin lesions on the face, and the assessments of effect, which was different in the various areas of the face, were recorded for these patients. We divided skin lesions areas into eleven regions, including eight regions on the face, neck, trunk, and extremities and found the best effect existed in the temporal region (90/105) ($P=0.01$), with RR of 75.3% and cure rate of 8.6%. The worst effect occurred in the extremities (20/45) ($P<0.001$), with a RR of 44.5% and cure rate of 6.7% (Table 4).

By analyzing the clinical data of patients, we found that there was a relationship between the eleven regions of skin lesions and the improvement of PWS after PDL therapy ($\chi^2=25.488$, $P=0.004$ [Kruskal–Wallis test]). At the same time, bivariate correlation analysis ($rs=0.083$, $P=0.007$ [two-sided, Pearson]; $rs=0.085$, $P=0.006$ [two-sided, Spearman]) showed that these two tests were consistent. The results suggested that there was a significant difference in the response to PDL among the PWS patients with different areas of lesions; however, there was no significant difference in response to PDL between temporal region, forehead region, chin region, nasal region ($P>0.05$ [Scheffe post hoc test]).

Association of the PDL effect with the size of skin lesions of PWS patients

The size of the largest skin lesions among the 848 PWS patients was 143 cm², and the size of the smallest skin lesion was 0.3 cm². We divided the skin lesion sizes of these patients into five groups. Among the groups, the best effect of PDL treatment was the group of 0–20 cm² (326/442) ($P=0.009$), with a RR of 73.8% and cure rate of 7.2%. The worst effect

Table 2 Physician global assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Cure</th>
<th>Significant improvement</th>
<th>Moderate improvement</th>
<th>Poor improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall proportion</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>50.7%</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The table shows the assessments of the treatment response by an independent dermatologist in charge. Cure =76%–100% skin recovery; significant improvement =51%–75% skin recovery; moderate improvement =26%–50% skin recovery; poor improvement =0%–25% skin recovery.
was the group of more than 80 cm² (25/47) \( (P=0.011) \), with a RR of 53.2%, and cure rate of 0% (Table 5).

There was a correlation between the five groups of sizes of skin lesions and the response to PWS \( (\chi^2=15.680, P=0.003 \) [Kruskal–Wallis test]). A bivariate correlation analysis was executed \( (rs=0.119, P=0.000 \) [two-sided, Pearson]; \( rs=0.101, P=0.003 \) [two-sided, Spearman]), and we found that these two tests were corresponding. Better response to PDL was found in patients with smaller sizes of skin lesions; however, there was no statistically difference between the groups of 40.1–60 cm², 60.1–80 cm², and more than 80.1 cm² \( (P>0.05 \) [Scheffe post hoc test]).

### Association of the PDL effect with presence of existing hyperplastic lesions in PWS patients

There were 44 patients having hyperplastic lesions among the 848 patients with PWS. Hyperplastic lesions included nodular and thickened lesions. The RR efficiency of non-hyperplastic lesions was 71.7% (576/804), and the cure rate was 6.6% (53/804). However, the RR efficiency of hyperplastic lesions was 36.4% (16/44), and the cure rate was 0% (Table 6).

We found that there was significant difference of the response to PWS between the groups that had or did not have existing hyperplastic lesions \( (\chi^2=19.843, P<0.001 \) [Kruskal–Wallis test]). The bivariate correlation analysis was used \( (rs=0.141, P=0.000 \) [two-sided, Pearson]; \( rs=0.153, P=0.000 \) [two-sided, Spearman]), and our data showed that the results of two tests were similar. We found that patients with hyperplastic lesions had a worse effect with PDL.

### Table 3 Physician global assessment (number of patients [%])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Cure</th>
<th>Significant improvement</th>
<th>Moderate improvement</th>
<th>Poor improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>18 (18.2)</td>
<td>12 (12.1)</td>
<td>63 (63.6)</td>
<td>6 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–5</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>16 (8.3)</td>
<td>25 (13.0)</td>
<td>112 (58.4)</td>
<td>39 (20.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–10</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>9 (8.0)</td>
<td>16 (13.7)</td>
<td>56 (48.7)</td>
<td>34 (29.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–20</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>7 (2.8)</td>
<td>36 (14.3)</td>
<td>121 (48.0)</td>
<td>88 (34.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–30</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>2 (1.5)</td>
<td>16 (12.0)</td>
<td>61 (45.9)</td>
<td>54 (40.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–40</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1 (2.7)</td>
<td>4 (10.8)</td>
<td>11 (29.7)</td>
<td>21 (56.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–50</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>5 (31.3)</td>
<td>11 (68.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51–60</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (25.0)</td>
<td>3 (75.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** The table shows the assessments of the treatment response by an independent dermatologist in charge. Cure =76%–100% skin recovery; significant improvement =51%–75% skin recovery; moderate improvement =26%–50% skin recovery; poor improvement =0%–25% skin recovery.

### Table 4 Physician global assessment (number of patients [%])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Cure</th>
<th>Significant improvement</th>
<th>Moderate improvement</th>
<th>Poor improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forehead region</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>5 (6.3)</td>
<td>7 (8.8)</td>
<td>47 (58.6)</td>
<td>21 (26.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal region</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>9 (8.6)</td>
<td>18 (17.1)</td>
<td>63 (60.0)</td>
<td>15 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malar region</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>16 (6.1)</td>
<td>33 (12.6)</td>
<td>141 (53.8)</td>
<td>72 (27.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccal region</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>17 (8.8)</td>
<td>34 (17.5)</td>
<td>95 (49.0)</td>
<td>48 (24.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chin region</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3 (3.6)</td>
<td>10 (11.9)</td>
<td>46 (54.8)</td>
<td>25 (29.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbital region</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2 (3.0)</td>
<td>11 (16.4)</td>
<td>31 (46.3)</td>
<td>23 (34.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal region</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>4 (6.3)</td>
<td>7 (10.9)</td>
<td>37 (57.8)</td>
<td>16 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral region</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2 (2.7)</td>
<td>16 (21.6)</td>
<td>32 (43.2)</td>
<td>24 (32.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4 (7.7)</td>
<td>7 (13.5)</td>
<td>24 (46.1)</td>
<td>17 (32.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3 (10.0)</td>
<td>3 (10.0)</td>
<td>13 (43.3)</td>
<td>11 (36.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limb</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3 (6.7)</td>
<td>3 (6.7)</td>
<td>14 (31.1)</td>
<td>25 (55.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** The table shows the assessments of the treatment response by an independent dermatologist in charge. Cure =76%–100% skin recovery; significant improvement =51%–75% skin recovery; moderate improvement =26%–50% skin recovery; poor improvement =0%–25% skin recovery.
There was a correlation between the number of treatments and the response to PWS ($\chi^2$=8.002, $P$=0.005 [Kruskal–Wallis test]). A bivariate correlation analysis was executed ($r_s$=0.101, $P$=0.003 [two-sided, Pearson]; $r_s$=0.097, $P$=0.005 [two-sided, Spearman]), and we found that these two tests were corresponding. There is an association of the PDL effect with the number of treatments, and the patients who were treated more times had the better response.

**Discussion**

We performed a large retrospective study of 848 cases to investigate the efficacy of PDL and related factors in the treatment of PWS in Chinese patients with skin type III–IV. There was a relatively high effect of PDL (RR =69.9% [592/848]) but a low complete clearance (cure rate of 6.3% [53/848]) in Chinese patients with PWS. In our study, the effect of PDL was associated with five factors of PWS patients, including age at treatment, location, and size of lesions, presence of existing hyperplastic lesions, and the number of treatments.

The results obtained in this study were close to the previous study of Ho et al in 2002. In their study, over 60% of patients had more than 25% clearing, and the majority of patients (41.1%) had 25%–50% of clearing. Less than one-quarter of patients (23%) experienced more than 50% clearing, and no patient had complete clearing. The results of our study confirmed the conclusion of Laube et al in 2003 who found that the 595 nm PDL appeared to achieve further lightening of therapy-resistant PWSs in the majority of patients (67%). However, the treatment of PWS in the study of Ho et al used 585 nm PDL. There was no statistically significant difference between total effect of 595 nm PDL and 585 nm PDL, but the cure rate with 595 nm PDL was higher than with 585 nm PDL, and Chinese patients with PWS had higher incidence of pigmentation changes after receiving treatment of 585 nm PDL.

We found that there was a relationship between the effects of PDL and the five characteristics of PWS patients. One of the factors was the age of patients at treatment, for which the results revealed that the younger patients had the better response. This may be related to the skin thickness of different areas.

One of the factors was the age of patients at treatment, for which the results revealed that the younger patients had the better response. This may be related to the skin thickness of different areas. Past research indicated the age of PWS patients was not important for treatment timing, limitation of the study. Past research indicated the age of PWS patients was not important for treatment timing, limitation of the study. Past research indicated the age of PWS patients was not important for treatment timing, limitation of the study. Past research indicated the age of PWS patients was not important for treatment timing, limitation of the study. Past research indicated the age of PWS patients was not important for treatment timing, limitation of the study. Past research indicated the age of PWS patients was not important for treatment timing, limitation of the study. Past research indicated the age of PWS patients was not important for treatment timing, limitation of the study. Past research indicated the age of PWS patients was not important for treatment timing, limitation of the study. Past research indicated the age of PWS patients was not important for treatment timing, limitation of the study. Past research indicated the age of PWS patients was not important for treatment timing, limitation of the study. Past research indicated the age of PWS patients was not important for treatment timing, limitation of the study. Past research indicated the age of PWS patients was not important for treatment timing, limitation of the study. Past research indicated the age of PWS patients was not important for treatment timing, limitation of the study. Past research indicated the age of PWS patients was not important for treatment timing, limitation of the study. Past research indicated the age of PWS patients was not important for treatment timing, limitation of the study.
Table 7 Physician global assessment (number of patients [%])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of treatments</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Cure</th>
<th>Significant improvement</th>
<th>Moderate improvement</th>
<th>Poor improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>32 (7.6)</td>
<td>68 (16.1)</td>
<td>205 (48.6)</td>
<td>117 (27.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>21 (4.9)</td>
<td>41 (9.6)</td>
<td>225 (52.8)</td>
<td>139 (32.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The table shows the assessments of the treatment response by an independent dermatologist in charge. Cure = 76%–100% skin recovery; significant improvement = 51%–75% skin recovery; moderate improvement = 26%–50% skin recovery; poor improvement = 0%–25% skin recovery.

same as in previous studies. And we further confirmed that effects on lesions in different facial areas were variable and that the treatment effects in the temporal region were the best. Then another factor affecting PDL treatment was the sizes of skin lesions of PWS patients. The smaller size the lesion, the better was the efficacy, which proved the size of skin lesions was a factor affecting treatment. The presence of existing hyperplastic lesions of PWS patients was another factor. The skin lesions of two-thirds of PWS patients usually change to hyperplastic after 40 years, according to a previous study. Effects on the patients without hyperplastic lesions were better than on the patients with hyperplastic lesions who have the thicker skin; the reason may be optical shielding of overlying superficial vessels, and the fact that the penetration depth of light emitted by PDL was limited.

An earlier research revealed that all of three PWS patients with hypertrophic lesions achieved significant lightening and that 12 of 17 patients who had the PDL-resistant lesions obtained moderate lightening after receiving a 755 nm alexandrite laser treatment. The 755 nm alexandrite laser has been reported to be particularly effective for hypertrophic or nodular lesions and PDL-resistant PWS, which may show a relationship with deeper blood vessels. The treatment effect of hyperplastic lesions with PDL has not been good, so some studies have investigated the curative effect of long-pulsed neodymium-doped yttrium aluminum garnet (Nd:YAG) laser. One study proved that hypertrophic PWS responds favorably to 1,064 nm laser therapy.

The number of treatments was also one of the factors affecting PDL treatment, with the patients with greater number of treatments having the better response. The results showed that patients who received a larger number of treatments would have the better response. This is the same viewpoint as in a previous study.

Although we did not analyze the relationship between different parameters of 595 nm PDL laser and the effect on PWS, we thought there might be an influence. For PWS patients, 595 nm PDL was a safe treatment method with more clearance and less adverse reaction. Aimed at the treatment of individual situation in patients, the physician must adjust the parameters with 595nm PDL flexibly. There were also some studies that showed that the settings of 595 nm PDL parameters were very important. Variation of PDL wavelength and/or pulse duration has been recommended to reach smaller and deeper vessels.

During the last three decades, some laser systems and other adjuvant treatment therapies for PWS have been developed. Laser speckle imaging has been used to evaluate the degree of photocoagulation in laser therapy, which is essential for clinicians in deciding the necessity of retreatment of specific regions. And plethysmography could be developed to assess the features of vascular skin lesions such as PWSs. Research has suggested that suction devices can make the endothelial cells release NO, which in turn, induces hemangiectasis. In addition, it has been reported that the treatment effectiveness of photodynamic therapy was equal to or even better than PDL therapy. Some studies have supplied important evidence on novel treatment therapies for PWS patients, for instance combining PDL with rapamycin or combining selective photothermolysis with prothrombotic and/or antifibrinolytic medication regimen.

The data of our research indicated that the PDL had a high RR but a low clearance rate in Chinese PWS patients; however, the efficacy assessment, which was based on the evaluation of only one dermatologist, had limitations. As well, the earlier the interventions, the better was the efficacy. The effect of PDL was also related to the anatomical area, the lesion size, presence of existing hyperplastic lesions, and number of treatment – this has important significance for clinical work.
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