Effects of different doses of testosterone on gonadotropins, 25-hydroxyvitamin D3, and blood lipids in healthy men
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Aims: To study the effect and time profile of different doses of testosterone enanthate on the blood lipid profile and gonadotropins.

Experimental design: Twenty-five healthy male volunteers aged 27–43 years were given 500 mg, 250 mg, and 125 mg of testosterone enanthate as single intramuscular doses of Testoviron® Depot. Luteinizing hormone (LH), follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), blood lipid profile (total cholesterol, plasma [p-] low-density lipoprotein, p-high-density lipoprotein [HDL], p-apolipoprotein A1 [ApoA1], p-apolipoprotein B, p-triglycerides, p-lipoprotein(a), serum [s-] testosterone, and 25-hydroxyvitamin D3) were analyzed prior to, and 4 and 14 days after dosing. Testosterone and epitestosterone in urine (testosterone/epitestosterone ratio) were analyzed prior to each dose after a washout period of 6–8 weeks.

Results and discussion: All doses investigated suppressed the LH and FSH concentrations in serum. LH remained suppressed 6 weeks after the 500 mg dose. These results indicate that testosterone has a more profound endocrine effect on the hypothalamic–pituitary–gonadal axis than was previously thought. There was no alteration in 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 levels after testosterone administration compared to baseline levels. The 250 and 500 mg doses induced decreased concentrations of ApoA1 and HDL, whereas the lowest dose (125 mg) did not have any effect on the lipid profile.

Conclusion: The single doses of testosterone produced a dose-dependent increase in serum testosterone concentrations together with suppression of s-LH and s-FSH. Alterations in ApoA1 and HDL were observed after the two highest single doses. It is possible that long-time abuse of anabolic androgenic steroids will lead to alteration in vitamin D status. Knowledge and understanding of the side effects of anabolic androgenic steroids are important to the treatment and care of abusers of testosterone.
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Introduction

“Anabolic androgenic steroids” (AASs) are chemical modifications of testosterone.1 Testosterone is one of the most frequently abused AASs in society, along with nandrolone and stanozolol,2–4 and is considered a widespread public-health problem.5 The major purpose of AAS abuse is to improve the user’s esthetic appearance and strength by the anabolic effects.6 The abuse of these substances is associated with psychiatric side effects such as affective symptoms, loss of impulse control, aggression, and suicide.7 Somatic adverse reactions of AAS abuse include disturbances in the lipid profile, cardiovascular effects, dermal manifestations, and endocrine adverse reactions.8 Decreased secretion of the pituitary luteinizing hormone (LH) and follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) has been observed in men taking AASs, leading to suppression of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis.9
Abuse of AASs leads to increased levels of low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and apolipoprotein B (ApoB; the major component of the LDL particle), but also a decreased level of high-density lipoprotein (HDL) and apolipoprotein A1 (ApoA1; the major component of the HDL particle). A perturbation in the lipoprotein profile has been observed even after one single dose of testosterone in healthy volunteers through increased total cholesterol levels and induced expression of 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-glutaryl-CoA reductase, 2 days after administration. Stanolozol has been studied with respect to its effect on HDL and found to give a 71% decrease in HDL levels after 7 days’ treatment in association with changes in hepatic triglyceride lipase. These effects on the lipid profile during long-term abuse are associated with an increased risk of coronary artery disease. In contrast to their unfavorable effects on lipids, AASs may favorably lower lipoprotein(a) (Lp[a]) concentrations. “Lp(a)” is an LDL-like particle and contains, in addition to LDL, a specific protein component, apolipoprotein(a) (apo[a]). High levels of Lp(a) have been reported as a risk factor for ischemic heart disease and peripheral vascular disease.

The use of supra-physiological doses of AASs may also disturb the hormone balance of other cholesterol-derived substances, such as vitamin D. It has been shown in a previous study that 12 months’ testosterone treatment in hypogonadal men increases the level of 25-hydroxyvitamin D, but, as far as we are aware, no studies have investigated AAS doping and its impact on vitamin D status.

In order to detect testosterone doping, the concentration of testosterone and of several other androgen metabolites in the urine are measured. To increase the sensitivity of the test, the athlete biological passport has been implemented in sport. Moreover, recently developed AAS detection techniques have been described.

In the study presented here, we investigated the effects of different doses of testosterone on 25 healthy male volunteers. The lipoprotein profile and the endocrine profile were analyzed prior to and 4 and 14 days after the administration of single doses of 500, 250, and 125 mg testosterone enanthate in healthy volunteers.

### Methods

Determination of testosterone/epitestosterone (T/E) ratio was made with a validated gas chromatography–mass spectrometry method at our World Anti-Doping Agency-accredited doping laboratory within the Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Karolinska Institutet, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden. All serum (s-) (s-FSH, s-LH, and s-testosterone) and plasma (p-) analyses (p-cholesterol, p-LDL, p-ApoB, p-HDL, p-ApoA1, p-Lp(a), p-triglycerides, and p-25-hydroxyvitamin D3) were made by routine methods at the Division of Clinical Chemistry. The lipoproteins were analyzed using chromatography (liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry) methods, whereas the hormones and vitamin D were measured using fluorescence immunochemistry and radioimmunoassay, respectively.

### Subjects and design

The study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and the International Conference on Harmonisation Guideline for Good Clinical Practice. The study subjects were 25 male volunteers aged 27–43 years (mean ± standard deviation, 33.8±4.7). All participants underwent a medical examination that included laboratory tests before enrollment. All participants were negative in screening tests for illegal drugs, AASs, HIV, and hepatitis B or C virus. None was on any other medication. The participants were given 500, 250, and 125 mg testosterone enanthate as an intramuscular dose of Testoviron® Depot with a washout period of 6–8 weeks between doses. Two participants did not take part in the 125 mg dose study. Blood samples were collected prior to testosterone administration (Day 0), and 4 (Day 4) and 14 days (Day 14) after testosterone administration. Blood samples were collected between 7.00 am and 9.00 am after an overnight fast. Urine samples were collected before administration (Day 0).

All participants gave informed consent consistent with the approval of the Karolinska Institutet Ethics Review Board.

### Data analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using MedCalc software (v 12.2.1.0; MedCalc Software bvba, Ostend, Belgium). Data are presented as means (± standard deviations), or for Lp(a) as mean (range). The serum markers for the lipid profile, gonadotropins, and testosterone were compared with repeated-measures analysis of variance. LH on Day 0 (the day the 500 mg dose and the day 250 mg dose were administered), were compared with paired Student’s t-test. Because of the skewed distribution, Lp(a) levels were compared using the Friedman test.
Results

Before the administration of each new intramuscular dose of testosterone enanthate – that is, after a 6–8-week washout period – all the urine T/E ratios were back to baseline values. Mean (± standard deviation) T/E values prior to the 500, 250, and 125 mg doses were 1.18±0.98, 1.32±1.08, and 1.14±0.83, respectively.

All participants had s-LH (reference range 1.2–9.6 IU/L) and s-FSH (reference range 1.0–10.0 IU/L) concentrations within the normal range prior to first dose (Day 0) of testosterone enanthate 500 mg (mean values 3.46±0.22 IU/L and 3.27±0.32 IU/L, respectively). Prior to the second administration of testosterone enanthate (250 mg) – that is, after a 6–8-week washout period – there was a significant decrease (P=0.01) in s-LH concentrations. Two of the individuals even had s-LH concentrations below the reference range (Figure 1). Prior to the third administration of testosterone enanthate (125 mg), all s-LH concentrations were within the reference range (mean value 3.25±0.32 IU/L).

S-LH and s-FSH were significantly decreased after the first (500 mg), second (250 mg), and third (125 mg) doses on Days 4 and 14 (P<0.0001). There was a 73% and 92% decrease in LH on Days 4 and 14, respectively, after the 500 mg dose, and the corresponding values for FSH levels were 63% and 94%, respectively. After the 250 mg dose, LH decreased by 77% and 78% on Days 4 and 14, respectively, and the corresponding values for FSH were 71% and 83%, respectively. After the 125 mg dose, LH decreased by 65% and 35% on Days 4 and 14, respectively, and the corresponding values for FSH were 45% and 38%, respectively. All individual changes in s-LH and s-FSH concentrations are shown in Figure 2.

All participants had s-testosterone concentrations in the normal range (10–30 nmol/L) before the first dose of 500 mg (15.02±0.76 nmol/L) of testosterone enanthate. The concentration of s-testosterone increased 360% and 39% on Days 4 and 14, respectively, after the 500 mg dose (P<0.0001). After the 250 mg and the 125 mg doses, the increase on Day 4 was 112% and 91.06%, respectively (P<0.0001), but no increase remained on Day 14 after these two doses. Moreover, there was a significant 11% decrease (P<0.05) on Day 14 after the 125 mg dose (Figure 3).

p-ApoA1 concentrations decreased 12% and 18%, 4 and 14 days, respectively, after administration of 500 mg of testosterone enanthate (P<0.0001). After the second dose (250 mg), there was no change in p-ApoA1 concentrations on Day 4 but on Day 14 there was a 12% decrease (P<0.05). There was no significant change of the ApoA1 concentrations after the lowest dose. p-HDL cholesterol concentrations decreased by 8% and 10% on Days 4 and 14, respectively, after administration of 500 mg of testosterone enanthate (P<0.001); the corresponding values after 250 mg were 8% and 15% (P<0.001), respectively. There was no significant change in p-HDL cholesterol concentrations after the lowest dose. Lp(a) decreased by 14%, 14 days after administration of 500 mg of testosterone enanthate (P<0.05). (All significant changes are shown in Table 1).

There was no alteration in 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 levels prior to (mean 58.4±28.7 nmol/L) administration, or at 4 (mean 53.5±22.9 nmol/L) or 14 days (mean 52.6±16.45) after administration of 500 mg of testosterone enanthate. Since the highest dose did not have any effect on the 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 levels, the other doses were not investigated. At the baseline value, there were no correlations between 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 and the concentration of total testosterone or the gonadotropins (LH and FSH). Four days post-administration of the 500 mg testosterone enanthate dose, there was a positive correlation between 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 and testosterone (r=0.60, P=0.02). This positive correlation did not remain 14 days after administration of the testosterone.

Discussion

We investigated the effects of testosterone on gonadotropins using different doses of testosterone. Notably, LH remained repressed as long as 6 weeks after the 500 mg single dose,
Dose-dependent suppression of serum (s-) luteinizing hormone (LH) and s-follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) after different parenteral doses of testosterone enanthate.

Notes: (A) s-LH before and after 500 mg testosterone. (B) s-FSH before and after 500 mg testosterone. (C) s-LH before and after 250 mg testosterone. (D) s-FSH before and after 250 mg testosterone. (E) s-LH before and after 125 mg testosterone. (F) s-FSH before and after 125 mg testosterone. “Day 0” refers to values before administration. Each line represents one individual. LH and FSH were significantly decreased at 4 and 14 days after the administration of testosterone at all three doses investigated.

and for two individuals, LH was still below the lower limit of the reference range. These results indicate that a single dose of testosterone has a more profound and sustained endocrine effect on the hypothalamic–pituitary–gonadal axis than was previously known. It has been shown that after the long-time use of testosterone (26 weeks), LH and FSH levels do not return to normal until 12 weeks following cessation.22

This study demonstrates that only the two highest doses (250 and 500 mg) yielded an increase in s-testosterone concentrations. There was a large variation among individuals in s-testosterone concentrations post-testosterone administration. This may partly be explained by variation in body weight, as was observed in a previous study where healthy men were administered 500 mg testosterone
enanthate. Even more important may be the genetic variation in the phosphodiesterase PDE7B gene, which is a determinant of the bioavailability of testosterone enanthate. The organic anion transporting polypeptide encoded by the SLCO2B1 gene was also found by us to be associated with the serum concentration of testosterone after administration of 500 mg testosterone enanthate. The decrease in total s-testosterone observed 14 days after the 125 mg dose was probably due to a suppression of the endogenous production of testosterone, whereas, when the higher doses of testosterone were administered, the concentrations on Day 14 were mainly contributed to by exogenous testosterone. It is to be noted that all three doses suppressed the gonadotropins (LH and FSH).

Testosterone is considered a “safe” AAS drug due its “short detection time” (weeks) in contrast to nandrolone, abuse of which may be detectable up to 1 year after discontinuation by repression of the gonadotropins. In our study, even though an endocrine effect remained several weeks post-testosterone administration, the urinary T/E ratios (the biomarker for testosterone doping) were back to baseline before each new dose was given. A large inter-individual variation in T/E ratio was observed on all days studied. The T/E ratio is highly dependent on the UGT2B17 gene deletion polymorphism, and, consistent with results from a previous study, individuals homozygous for gene deletion in our study had a low T/E (<0.4). Our results indicate that LH may be a more time-sensitive marker of testosterone doping than the T/E ratio itself. This result is in agreement with a previous study.

In agreement with other findings on AAS abuse and blood lipids, we found a decrease in ApoA1 and HDL after testosterone administration. The maximum difference in the lipid profile occurred 14 days after administration of the 500 mg dose, in ApoA1. HDL followed the same pattern, with a maximum decrease 14 days after the dose. LDL/HDL and ApoB/ApoA1 ratios are risk indicators, with greater predictive value than isolated parameters used individually. The ApoB/ApoA1 and LDL/HDL ratios increased 24% and 16%, respectively, 14 days after the 500 mg dose, whereas the lowest dose did not affect these ratios.

Unfavorable long-term changes in blood lipid profile may increase the risk of coronary heart disease. It should be noted that lack of testosterone, as in male hypogonadism, has also been associated with unfavorable lipid profile.

Androgen regulation of p-Lp(a) was shown by the moderate decrease of 14% after a single dose of 500 mg testosterone. Even more important may be the genetic variation in the phosphodiesterase PDE7B gene, which is a determinant of the bioavailability of testosterone enanthate. The organic anion transporting polypeptide encoded by the SLCO2B1 gene was also found by us to be associated with the serum concentration of testosterone after administration of 500 mg testosterone enanthate. The decrease in total s-testosterone observed 14 days after the 125 mg dose was probably due to a suppression of the endogenous production of testosterone, whereas, when the higher doses of testosterone were administered, the concentrations on Day 14 were mainly contributed to by exogenous testosterone. It is to be noted that all three doses suppressed the gonadotropins (LH and FSH).

Testosterone is considered a “safe” AAS drug due its “short detection time” (weeks) in contrast to nandrolone, abuse of which may be detectable up to 1 year after discontinuation by repression of the gonadotropins. In our study, even though an endocrine effect remained several weeks post-testosterone administration, the urinary T/E ratios (the biomarker for testosterone doping) were back to baseline before each new dose was given. A large inter-individual variation in T/E ratio was observed on all days studied. The T/E ratio is highly dependent on the UGT2B17 gene deletion polymorphism, and, consistent with results from a previous study, individuals homozygous for gene deletion in our study had a low T/E (<0.4). Our results indicate that LH may be a more time-sensitive marker of testosterone doping than the T/E ratio itself. This result is in agreement with a previous study.

In agreement with other findings on AAS abuse and blood lipids, we found a decrease in ApoA1 and HDL after testosterone administration. The maximum difference in the lipid profile occurred 14 days after administration of the 500 mg dose, in ApoA1. HDL followed the same pattern, with a maximum decrease 14 days after the dose. LDL/HDL and ApoB/ApoA1 ratios are risk indicators, with greater predictive value than isolated parameters used individually. The ApoB/ApoA1 and LDL/HDL ratios increased 24% and 16%, respectively, 14 days after the 500 mg dose, whereas the lowest dose did not affect these ratios.

Unfavorable long-term changes in blood lipid profile may increase the risk of coronary heart disease. It should be noted that lack of testosterone, as in male hypogonadism, has also been associated with unfavorable lipid profile.

Androgen regulation of p-Lp(a) was shown by the moderate decrease of 14% after a single dose of 500 mg testosterone. Even more important may be the genetic variation in the phosphodiesterase PDE7B gene, which is a determinant of the bioavailability of testosterone enanthate. The organic anion transporting polypeptide encoded by the SLCO2B1 gene was also found by us to be associated with the serum concentration of testosterone after administration of 500 mg testosterone enanthate. The decrease in total s-testosterone observed 14 days after the 125 mg dose was probably due to a suppression of the endogenous production of testosterone, whereas, when the higher doses of testosterone were administered, the concentrations on Day 14 were mainly contributed to by exogenous testosterone. It is to be noted that all three doses suppressed the gonadotropins (LH and FSH).

Testosterone is considered a “safe” AAS drug due its “short detection time” (weeks) in contrast to nandrolone, abuse of which may be detectable up to 1 year after discontinuation by repression of the gonadotropins. In our study, even though an endocrine effect remained several weeks post-testosterone administration, the urinary T/E ratios (the biomarker for testosterone doping) were back to baseline before each new dose was given. A large inter-individual variation in T/E ratio was observed on all days studied. The T/E ratio is highly dependent on the UGT2B17 gene deletion polymorphism, and, consistent with results from a previous study, individuals homozygous for gene deletion in our study had a low T/E (<0.4). Our results indicate that LH may be a more time-sensitive marker of testosterone doping than the T/E ratio itself. This result is in agreement with a previous study.

In agreement with other findings on AAS abuse and blood lipids, we found a decrease in ApoA1 and HDL after testosterone administration. The maximum difference in the lipid profile occurred 14 days after administration of the 500 mg dose, in ApoA1. HDL followed the same pattern, with a maximum decrease 14 days after the dose. LDL/HDL and ApoB/ApoA1 ratios are risk indicators, with greater predictive value than isolated parameters used individually. The ApoB/ApoA1 and LDL/HDL ratios increased 24% and 16%, respectively, 14 days after the 500 mg dose, whereas the lowest dose did not affect these ratios.

Unfavorable long-term changes in blood lipid profile may increase the risk of coronary heart disease. It should be noted that lack of testosterone, as in male hypogonadism, has also been associated with unfavorable lipid profile.

Androgen regulation of p-Lp(a) was shown by the moderate decrease of 14% after a single dose of 500 mg testosterone.
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Table 1 Dose-dependent increases in the apolipoprotein B (ApoB)/apolipoprotein A1 (ApoA1) and low-density lipoprotein (LDL)/high-density lipoprotein (HDL) ratios and dose-dependent decreases in HDL, ApoA1, and lipoprotein(a) (Lp[a]) concentrations at 0, 4, and 14 days after parenteral testosterone doses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>500 mg</th>
<th>250 mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoB/ApoA1</td>
<td>0.49±0.03</td>
<td>0.47±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL/HDL</td>
<td>2.41±0.14</td>
<td>2.61±0.18*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL, nmol/L</td>
<td>1.19±0.05</td>
<td>1.10±0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoA1, g/L</td>
<td>1.72±0.07</td>
<td>1.57±0.06*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lp(a), mg/L</td>
<td>Median 96</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>50–589</td>
<td>50–542</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: “Day 0” refers to values before administration. Analysis of variance repeated-measures adjustment for multiple comparisons, Bonferroni corrected. Results are given as mean ± standard error of the mean except for those for Lp(a), which are given as median and range (Friedman test), as indicated. *P<0.0001; **P=0.001; ***P<0.05. Data before and after administration of 125 mg of testosterone are not shown.
of testosterone. This is in agreement with other previous findings. This effect may be of special interest, as the serum concentration of Lp(a) seems to be genetically determined and cannot be lowered by alterations in food or competitive inhibitors of the 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase (HMGCR) by statins.

The mechanism leading to dyslipidemia in AAS abusers is unclear. Hepatic triglyceride lipase is a strong candidate to mediate the androgen-induced changes in the lipid profile. We did not explore the mechanism leading to alterations in blood lipid profile in this study, but our results clearly show a dose-dependent adverse effect on the gonadotropins and s-testosterone, and unfavorable changes in the blood lipid profile.

Vitamin D is mainly known for its role in bone homeostasis and calcium metabolism. But recent studies show that vitamin D is also involved in processes attracting AAS abusers – that is, its muscle-enhancing properties and its capacity to inhibit CYP19, the enzyme involved in testosterone aromatization to estrogens and hence decrease in the risk of gynecomastia. However, the administration of a single dose of 500 mg of testosterone enanthate did not alter the 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 levels. It is possible that long-time abuse of AASs will lead to alteration in vitamin D status. However, this has never been studied in healthy men. Other large studies have found correlation between vitamin D and circulatory levels of testosterone in men, but the link between vitamin D and testosterone is not known and warrants further investigation.

It is important to address a limitation of this study. Admittedly, the clinical experimental setting is very different from the real-life situation of AAS abusers taking repeated courses for several weeks to several months. The results observed here do not necessarily imply that repeated AAS abuse has the same impact on lipoproteins and hormone status. Individuals using AASs for nonmedical reasons use various dose strategies. Bodybuilders and weightlifters may use as high doses, such as 2,000 mg per administration time, whereas some use low doses such as 50 mg (micro-doping) to avoid detection in doping tests. So the range of doses (125–500 mg) used here is highly relevant for steroid abusers.

Our finding that these supra-physiological doses of testosterone have a detrimental effect on the lipid profile further supports previous findings that the supra-physiological use of AASs has a negative effect on the cardiovascular health. Scientific proof of AAS-induced adverse side effects, together with the epidemiological data showing that the nonmedical use of AASs is a global health problem implies that public efforts should be centered on primary prevention.

Conclusion
Our results indicate that testosterone has a more profound and sustained endocrine effect on the hypothalamic–pituitary–gonadal axis than was previously known. We have demonstrated a dose-dependent increase in serum testosterone concentrations concomitant with suppression of s-LH and s-FSH. The minimal dose for alterations in both ApoA1 and HDL, and Lp(a) concentrations was 250 mg and 500 mg, respectively in this study. The administration of 500 mg did not alter the concentration of 25-hydroxyvitamin D3. Interestingly, LH and FSH were significantly decreased after the lowest 125 mg dose, which in itself did not yield any measurable change in testosterone serum concentration. These pituitary hormones may therefore be of special interest in doping control programs.

Acknowledgments
This study was supported by grants from Stockholm County Council and the World Anti-Doping Agency.

Disclosure
Other than the support outlined in the Acknowledgments section, the authors declare no conflicts of interest in this work.

References