Comparison study of OCT, HRT and VF findings among normal controls and patients with pseudoexfoliation, with or without increased IOP
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Purpose: To compare and evaluate optic nerve head (ONH) and retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) measurements obtained with the optical coherence tomography (OCT) and the Heidelberg retina tomography (HRT) to visual field (VF) parameters in normal and in patients with pseudoxefoliation with or without increased intraocular pressure (IOP).

Methods: A total of 96 subjects were included in our study aged between 65 years and 78 years. The normal group consisted of 28 subjects (14 men and 14 women). Out of the total number of patients, 68 patients who showed pseudoexfoliation (21 men and 47 women) were divided into two groups. Of these, the first group had pseudoexfoliation with increased IOP and the second group showed deposits of pseudoxefoliative material without an increase in IOP. The normal controls were randomly chosen and restricted to those without any glaucomatous optic disc damages, VF defects, and an IOP <15 mmHg. All subjects were prospectively included for repeated measurements of IOP, OCT, HRT, and VFs during the same visit by the same examiner and all measurements were repeated every 3 months.

Results: Mean RNFL thickness measured by OCT was larger in the normal controls than in the other two groups (98.04 µm (first group) vs 75.42 µm and 97.02 µm (second group), P<0.05). Four-quadrant RNFL thickness measurements were significantly different between the normal and the group 1 (P<0.05) but not with the group 2 (P>0.05). Rim area had a mean difference of −0.44, whereas cup-to-disc ratio (C/D) showed a mean difference of 0.31, thus being significantly different between the normal and the two groups (all P<0.05). The median of the mean deviation parameter of VFs was −0.28 for the normal vs −0.32 and −0.18 for the other two groups, whereas pattern standard deviation median difference was 0.89 for the normal and 1.32 and 1.20 for the other two groups, respectively (P<0.05).

Conclusion: Both OCT and HRT showed early ONH and RNFL changes in their parameters and did not correlate with the normal findings of the automated perimetry.
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Introduction

Pseudoexfoliation syndrome is an age-related systemic disease, characterized by the production and accumulation of small, white deposits of a fibrillar extracellular material in many ocular and extraocular tissues.1–3 The events from the eye include all structures of anterior segment, as well as the conjunctiva and orbital structures. In 2003, Ritch et al explained the relation between glaucoma and pseudoexfoliation.1,4 In the early 1980s though, Roth and Epstein reported that glaucoma was present in patients with pseudoexfoliation syndrome.5 The prevalence of pseudoexfoliation syndrome in glaucomatous
populations is much higher than in age-matched nonglaucomatous ones as noted by Puska et al and Konstas et al. In the last few years, many imaging systems have been proposed and supported for the quantitative assessment of morphological changes in glaucoma, which relate to the optic nerve head (ONH) and the optic fiber layer, with optical coherence tomography (OCT) and Heidelberg retina tomograph (HRT) the most known. The OCT is an optical analog of b-ultrasound based on light emission wavelength near the infrared spectrum (840 nm) and generates optical tissue sections with resolution of the order of 10–15 µm (OCT 2) and 10.8 µm (OCT 3). It is able to measure the thickness of the peripapillary nerve fiber layer, a method that has been proven by studies to have good sensitivity and specificity in the discrimination of glaucoma and non-glaucoma patients. The Heidelberg retina tomograph (HRT) is a laser scanning system that is designed for receiving and analyzing three-dimensional images of the posterior segment of the eye as described by Weinreb et al. The HRT II takes a series of up to 64 optical sections at depth intervals of 1/16 of a millimeter. The number of pictures taken varies with the thickness of the tissue being examined. The image field is 15°×15°, with a density of 384 pixels ×384 pixels. The tomography is derived from the estimate of the maximum intensity in each pixel of the image resulting in a table of surface height measurements with dimensions of 384 pixels ×384 pixels, and is presented as a color-coded map, with the deepest colors representing more superficial structures and lighter representing deeper structures. Finally, measurements with HRT are shown by studies to be reproducible and correlated with the histological findings. In this study, we have tried to compare the thickness of the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) around ONH obtained by OCT and HRT to visual field (VF) parameters in normal controls and in patients with pseudoexfoliation with increased intraocular pressure (IOP), and pseudoexfoliation without an increase in IOP.

Materials and methods
This prospective study was performed in the Department of Ophthalmology of Athens University, at G Gennimatas Hospital. The study was approved by the institutional review board of the hospital, and all study procedures adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. After a detailed explanation of the procedure benefits and risks, informed consent was obtained from all patients. None of the patients refused to enroll, during the course of the study.

During a 7-month period, a total of 112 subjects were randomly selected to be included in our study. IOP was also repeatedly measured three times per day so as to select the patients with elevated IOP. Finally, only 96 patients (of age between 65 years and 78 years) fulfilled our criteria and were able to participate during the 3-year period. The normal group consisted of 28 subjects (14 men and 14 women). Out of the total number of patients, 68 patients who showed pseudoexfoliation (21 men and 47 women) were divided into two groups. Of these, the first group had pseudoexfoliation with increased IOP and the second group showed deposits of pseudoexfoliative material without an increase in IOP. The normal controls were randomly chosen and restricted to those without any glaucomatous optic disc damages, VF defects, and an IOP <16 mmHg.

The right eyes of all subjects were chosen to participate in our study. The restriction of the study to the right eye of each patient for each group was to facilitate statistical analysis.

IOP was by protocol first measured with Goldmann applanation tonometer followed by OCT measurement (Stratus OCTIII), HRT (using the Heidelberg HRT3), and VFs obtained by Humphrey automated perimetry (Allergan-Humphrey) central 30-2 program. RNFL thickness was measured with OCT for each of the four quadrants surrounding the disc and a mean value was calculated. The parameters used were average (AVG), superior (S), inferior (I), temporal (T), and nasal (N). In HRT, the ONH border was drawn inside the inner boundary of the scleral ring of Elschnig and cup-to-disc ratio (C/D) and rim area were the two variables used. VF indices for statistical analysis included mean deviation (MD) and pattern standard deviation (PSD). All the measurements of IOP, OCT, HRT, and VFs were made on the same day by same observer.

The aim was to compare the thickness of the RNFL around ONH obtained by OCT and HRT to VF parameters in normal and in patients with pseudoexfoliation of different IOP.

Exclusion criteria were high myopia, cataract, diabetic retinopathy, optic nerve diseases, and corneal opacities.

Statistical analysis
The statistical analysis was performed with the software SPSS 19.0. Since the investigation of changes concerned more than one distinct group, the methods selected were that
of the single-factor analysis of variance and the application of nonparametric tests, ie, the Kruskal–Wallis test. Statistical analyses were conducted by applying suitably the two methods, depending on the occasion. Goldmann applanation tonometer was used for the measurements of the statistics presented as well as OCT, HRT, and Humphrey VF.

Normality of variables was tested using graphical (histograms) and mathematical methods, in particular the Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Shapiro–Wilk statistics. The Shapiro–Wilk test was a little more flexible on the number of comments, but both gave similar results.

Finally, the homoscedasticity variables (homogeneity of variances) were controlled with the Levene test.

## Results

As shown in Table 1, sex of the patients in three groups showed no statistical difference. In Table 2, we can see the comparisons of OCT parameters (AVG, S, T, I, N) along with HRT variables (C/D, rim area) and VFs for the right eye in the three study groups.

### Table 2 Descriptive measures by group and differences in means and medians between normal, group 1, and group 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IOP (mmHg)</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT AVG thickness (µm)</td>
<td>19.37</td>
<td>27.28</td>
<td>27.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT S thickness (µm)</td>
<td>-22.62</td>
<td>-21.6</td>
<td>-21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT I thickness (µm)</td>
<td>-15.64</td>
<td>-17.7</td>
<td>-17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT N thickness (µm)</td>
<td>-26.31</td>
<td>-27.28</td>
<td>-27.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRT C/D ratio</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRT rim area (mm²)</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VF MD (dB)</td>
<td>-0.96</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VF PSD</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IOP, intraocular pressure; OCT, optical coherence tomography; AVG, average; S, superior; T, temporal; I, inferior; N, nasal; HRT, Heidelberg retina tomography; C, cup; D, disc; VF, visual field; MD, mean deviation; PSD, pattern standard deviation.

From the comparison of the levels of the four peripapillary quadrants among the three groups, we can see that the mean values of AVG, S, T, I, N, and rim area in the first group are lower than those of the second group as well as of the normal.

Mean values of IOP and C/D, on the other hand, are higher in the first group than those of the second and the normal one. As we found, MD and PSD values were significantly high for all groups. According to the results in Table 3, in all cases, the observed differences between group 1, group 2, and the normal are statistically significant at the level of 5%. This is also schematically illustrated in Figures 1–10.

We also observed during the study that in the first group, OCT measurements for average RNFL thickness and for each of the peripapillary quadrants significantly correlated with HRT measurements. Among the OCT measurements, the superior RNFL thickness measurement showed the most association with calculated HRT parameters.

Concerning the second group, the OCT variables (AVG, S, I, T, N) showed to be significantly correlated with our

### Table 3 Mean differences between the three groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 1-normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP right (mmHg)</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT AVG thickness right (µm)</td>
<td>-22.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT S thickness right (µm)</td>
<td>-30.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT T thickness right (µm)</td>
<td>-15.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT I thickness right (µm)</td>
<td>-26.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT N thickness right (µm)</td>
<td>-18.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRT C/D right ratio</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRT rim area right (mm²)</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VF MD right (dB)</td>
<td>-0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VF PSD right</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IOP, intraocular pressure; OCT, optical coherence tomography; AVG, average; S, superior; T, temporal; I, inferior; N, nasal; HRT, Heidelberg retina tomography; C, cup; D, disc; VF, visual field; MD, mean deviation; PSD, pattern standard deviation.
HRT parameters C/D ratio and rim area. There were no statistically significant differences between the second and the normal group in the OCT and HRT measurements.

In Figures 1–5, we observe higher values of the parameters of OCT (AVG, S, I, T, N) in all controls for group 2 and the normal compared with group 1, throughout the duration of our study.

In Figures 6 and 7, we examined the correlation parameters of HRT (C/D ratio, rim area) in the three groups and we observed that the C/D ratio in the first group is increased and the rim area is shown reduced.

In Figures 8 and 9, we examined the variable values of MD and PSD in all three groups.

The VF parameters MD and PSD in the two pseudoexfoliation groups showed a significant correlation with the normal one.

**Discussion**

In our study, we found that the eyes of the first group that had pseudoexfoliation with increased IOP and did not show progression by using the VFs had significantly higher rates of RNFL loss over time as measured by OCT and HRT compared with the normal and the second group (eyes with pseudoexfoliative material without an increase in IOP). The lower significant difference between the normal and the second group was most probably found due to the lower IOP measurements. Nevertheless, the second group also showed a small amount of RNFL and ONH damage during our study as shown in OCT and HRT compared to normal.

According to the results in both pseudoexfoliation groups in the 3-year period, quantitative comparisons showed a significantly larger rim area for our second group than the first group (median difference of 0.44). C/D ratio showed statistically different values for each of the two groups with the second having by far the lower values (median difference of 0.31), both compared to normal.

We also observed higher values of the parameters of OCT (AVG, S, I, T, N) in all controls for group 2 compared with group 1 and the normal, throughout the duration of our study (Figures 1–5).

Rim area in all groups also showed high association with the superior, inferior, temporal, and nasal quadrants of RNFL.
thickness. It is known from studies like that of Lan et al that regarding the correlation between the anatomical variables of HRT and VF indices, many of the parameters of HRT have a statistically significant correlation with the indices of the VF, with the largest correlation recorded between the VF indices and parameters, rim area and cup shape measure of HRT. 17–21

We can say by observation of the results that the changes in the four quadrants of RNFL thickness in all groups correlate with the ONH changes as shown in C/D ratio and rim area.

It should be noted that changes might occur in different quadrants of the ONH (ie, T, N, I, S) and RNFL as glaucoma progresses, which could explain why a single variable may not be able to detect all progressing glaucoma lesions. 19

It is described that glaucoma lesions occur more commonly in eyes with exfoliation syndrome than in those without it. In fact, exfoliation syndrome was recognized as the most common identifiable cause of glaucoma. 22 Patients with exfoliation syndrome are also predisposed to develop angle-closure glaucoma, and glaucoma in exfoliation syndrome has a more serious clinical course and worse prognosis than primary open-angle glaucoma.

It has been suggested by Ritch et al that exfoliation material itself predisposes for glaucomatous lesions even in the absence of elevated IOP. 22 At any specific IOP level, eyes with exfoliation syndrome and pseudoexfoliative material are more likely and predisposed to have glaucomatous damage than are eyes without exfoliation as is also shown in our study in comparison with the normal control group.

OCT and HRT are two imaging systems for the quantitative assessment of morphological changes in glaucoma, that is, of the ONH and the RNFL assisting the well-tested method of VFs. 23–26

The aim of our study was to compare and evaluate ONH and RNFL measurements obtained with the OCT and the HRT to VF parameters in normal and in patients with pseudoexfoliation with or without increased IOP.

Changes were observed in the parameters of OCT and HRT in both groups 1 and 2, and as expected, not in the normal. In both groups, these changes did not
correlate with the VFs, which appeared normal in all three groups.

The results suggest that ONH and RNFL damage pattern in patients with pseudoxefoliation with or without increased IOP is different from normal and does not correlate with the findings in the VF.

Thus, both OCT and HRT were able to discriminate eyes with progressing disease from eyes that remained stable according to VFs. Both are important and should be used along with automated perimetry to accurately evaluate a patient with pseudoxefoliation and increased or no IOP, assisting the clinician in the early diagnosis, early detection of progression of glaucoma, and a correct follow-up for a better treatment outcome.

Further research would be useful with larger numbers of patients, and longer follow-ups are required to confirm these findings and improve patient care.
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