Continuation of bevacizumab and addition of hormone therapy following weekly paclitaxel therapy in HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer

Background: Bevacizumab plus taxane chemotherapy improves progression-free survival (PFS) versus taxane monotherapy in the first-line treatment of HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer (MBC) and appears promising in the second-line setting. This retrospective analysis evaluated the efficacy and safety of this combination in a real-world setting.

Patients and methods: Eligible patients received bevacizumab (10 mg/kg days 1 and 15, every 28 days) plus paclitaxel (80 mg/m² days 1, 8, and 15, every 28 days) as first-line therapy for MBC, or as subsequent lines, including bevacizumab continuation therapy, at La Paz University Hospital between August 2007 and October 2012. End points included objective response rate (ORR), PFS, overall survival (OS), and safety.

Results: Seventy-eight patients were included. Median PFS was 12.8 months for patients receiving first-line treatment and 9.3 months for subsequent lines. Forty-five patients (57.7%) continued bevacizumab after stopping paclitaxel, and had significantly longer PFS and OS than those who did not (hazard ratio [HR] 0.40, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.248–0.653, P=0.001; HR 0.39, 95% CI 0.218–0.710, P=0.002; respectively). In the continuation phase, estrogen receptor-positive patients had longer PFS and OS when receiving hormone therapy plus bevacizumab versus patients receiving only bevacizumab (HR 0.50, 95% CI 0.24–1.04, P=0.06; HR 0.43, 95% CI 0.16–1.16, P=0.09; respectively). Thirty-five patients (44.9%) reported grade 3–4 adverse events.

Conclusion: Bevacizumab plus paclitaxel was effective in HER2-negative MBC. Continuation of bevacizumab and addition of hormone therapy following paclitaxel therapy could be beneficial.
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Introduction

Treatment regimens involving the combination of antiangiogenic agents, such as bevacizumab with cytotoxic chemotherapy, have been extensively investigated in metastatic breast cancer (MBC). As highly proliferative tumors, such as those with triple-negative histology, have enhanced angiogenesis and increased vascular endothelial growth factor levels, the addition of bevacizumab to chemotherapy was a rational therapeutic approach in MBC.

The combination of bevacizumab with a taxane significantly improved progression-free survival (PFS) compared with taxane monotherapy in the first-line treatment of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-negative MBC in a number of randomized, Phase III studies. In the pivotal E2100 study, median PFS was 11.8 months versus 5.9 months, respectively, for bevacizumab plus paclitaxel versus paclitaxel alone.
(hazard ratio [HR] 0.60, *P*<0.001).\(^1\) In addition, the AVADO study reported median PFS of 10.1 months versus 8.2 months for bevacizumab plus docetaxel versus docetaxel plus placebo, respectively (HR 0.77, *P*=0.006).\(^2\) Median PFS was increased from 8.0 months to 9.2 months (HR 0.64, *P*<0.001) with the combination of bevacizumab and taxanes versus taxanes alone in the RIBBON-1 study.\(^3\) However, it should be noted that although these studies in the first-line setting demonstrated a significant PFS benefit with the addition of bevacizumab to taxane chemotherapy, a significant overall survival (OS) benefit was not shown, possibly owing to the confounding effect of postprogression therapy, lack of power of the trials, or treatment crossover during the studies.\(^4\)

Limited data are available on the use of bevacizumab and chemotherapy in subsequent lines of treatment in patients with HER2-negative MBC. However, in the second-line setting, the RIBBON-2 trial demonstrated a PFS benefit for the combination of bevacizumab with chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone (median PFS 7.2 versus 5.1 months, respectively, HR 0.78; *P*=0.0072).\(^5\) The aim of the current analysis was to determine the efficacy and long-term safety of weekly paclitaxel plus bevacizumab administered as different lines of treatment in patients with HER2-negative MBC in a real-world hospital setting.

**Patients and methods**

**Study design**

This was a retrospective analysis of patients with HER2-negative MBC who received treatment with bevacizumab (Fritz Hoffmann-La Roche, Basel, Switzerland; 10 mg/kg on days 1 and 15, every 28 days) plus paclitaxel (Bristol-Myers Squibb, New York, NY, USA; 80 mg/m\(^2\) on days 1, 8, and 15, every 28 days) as first-line therapy, second-line therapy, or subsequent lines, including those who received bevacizumab continuation therapy after completion of paclitaxel, at La Paz University Hospital between August 2007 and October 2012. All analyses were approved by the local ethics committee.

**Patients**

All patients who received bevacizumab plus paclitaxel in any line of therapy between August 2007 and October 2012 were evaluated. Patients were required to have sufficient follow-up time following treatment initiation (approximately 3 months) to be eligible for response evaluation.

**Assessments**

Baseline characteristics were collected for each patient, including disease-free interval (DFI), number of previous therapies, disease grade, and hormone-receptor status. PFS, OS, objective response rate (ORR; defined as the percentage of patients achieving a complete response [CR] or partial response [PR]) and clinical benefit (defined as the percentage of patients achieving a CR, PR, or stable disease for ≥6 months) were used to assess efficacy. Adverse events (AEs) were graded according to National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria version 4.0.

**Statistics**

Statistical significance was set at *P*=0.05. PFS and OS were analyzed using Kaplan–Meier methodology, and the log-rank test was used to compare differences in PFS and OS between the subgroups evaluated. A Cox proportional-hazard model was used to estimate the HRs and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). If overall medians could not be calculated because of insufficient PFS or OS events, a median would be calculated based on the events up to 60 months, with the remaining patients censored. ORR was determined by Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) version 1.1. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 21 software.

**Results**

**Patients**

Data were analyzed from 78 patients. The median age was 54 years (range 33–76 years), and the majority of patients had hormone receptor-positive disease (Table 1). In total, eleven patients (14.1%) had triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC). Most patients (83.3%) had ductal infiltration, more than half (56.9%) had grade 3 disease, and the majority (75.6%) had a DFI >24 months or stage IV disease at diagnosis. Overall, 43 patients (51.1%) received bevacizumab plus paclitaxel as first-line therapy for HER2-negative MBC. The median treatment duration was 8.2 months (95% CI 0.56–44.62).

A total of 45 patients (57.7%) received bevacizumab as continuation therapy after a variable number of cycles of paclitaxel plus bevacizumab. Of these, 40 patients (88.9%) had hormone receptor-positive disease, and 20 of these patients (44.4%) received hormone treatment plus bevacizumab during continuation therapy.

**Efficacy**

Of the 78 patients analyzed, nine achieved a CR and 33 achieved a PR, giving an ORR of 53.8% (Table 2). Clinical benefit was seen in 64 patients (82.1%). Median PFS was 12.8 months for patients receiving bevacizumab as first-line treatment and 9.3 months for those receiving
bevacizumab in second or subsequent lines. Median OS was 39.0 months and 20.4 months, respectively. There were no significant differences in PFS or OS between patients with TNBC or hormone receptor-positive disease between any lines of treatment (median PFS 10.3 versus 8.3 months, \( P=0.242 \); and median OS 25.7 versus 21.6 months, \( P=0.991 \)) or in PFS \( (P=0.247) \) or OS \( (P=0.175) \) among patients with grade 1, 2, or 3 disease.

Patients who had not previously received taxanes had longer PFS than those who had received prior taxane therapy (13.7 versus 8.3 months, HR 0.63, 95% CI 0.39–1.02; \( P=0.062 \); Figure 1A), with no significant difference in OS \( (P=0.09) \). Previous treatment with anthracyclines had no influence on PFS \( (P=0.241) \) or OS \( (P=0.09) \). A significant difference in PFS \( (P=0.005) \) but not OS \( (P=0.783) \) was seen between patients with a DFI ≤24 months versus >24 months or stage IV disease at diagnosis. Median PFS was 7.5 months versus 11.7 months, respectively (HR 0.46, 95% CI 0.27–0.79) (Figure 1B). Patients with fewer than three metastatic sites had a significantly longer median PFS of 15.8 months compared with 8.2 months for patients with three or more metastatic sites or liver metastases (HR 0.37,
95% CI 0.22–0.62; \( P<0.001 \) (Figure 1C); there was no significant difference in OS (\( P=0.55 \)).

Patients who received bevacizumab as continuation therapy following completion of paclitaxel had a significantly longer PFS than those who did not (median 13.7 versus 5.4 months, HR 0.40, 95% CI 0.25–0.65; \( P<0.001 \)) as well as a significantly longer OS (median 37.4 versus 13.9 months, HR 0.39, 95% CI 0.22–0.71; \( P=0.002 \)) (Figure 2). In the subgroup of patients with estrogen receptor-positive disease receiving bevacizumab continuation therapy (\( n=40 \)), median PFS was 21.9 months for patients who received hormone treatment plus bevacizumab compared with 10.6 months for those who did not receive hormone treatment (HR 0.50, 95% CI 0.24–1.04; \( P=0.065 \)) (Figure 3A). Median OS was not yet reached in patients receiving concurrent hormone treatment versus 25.7 months for those without hormone treatment (HR 0.43, 95% CI 0.16–1.16; \( P=0.093 \)) (Figure 3B).

### Figure 2

**A** PFS (A) and OS (B) stratified by continuation therapy (bevacizumab versus no bevacizumab).

**Abbreviations:** CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival.

### Figure 3

**A** PFS (A) and OS (B) stratified by hormone therapy use in the continuation treatment phase (yes versus no) in the subgroup with estrogen receptor-positive disease.

**Notes:** In the hormone-therapy group, the OS median was not reached (immature data); 56.3 months was the maximum value recorded in this group.

**Abbreviations:** CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival.

### Safety

A total of 35 patients (44.9%) across all treatment lines reported grade 3–4 AEs (Table 3); the most frequently reported of these were neutropenia (21.8%), asthenia (8.9%), and hypertension (7.6%). Bevacizumab treatment was discontinued in four patients due to AEs (severe epistaxis, digestive bleeding, brain stroke, and proteinuria).

### Discussion

This retrospective analysis demonstrated that the combination of bevacizumab and paclitaxel was effective in a real-world setting in patients with HER2-negative MBC. The combination regimen achieved a high ORR (53.8%). Longer PFS was seen in the first-line treatment setting compared with administration in second or subsequent lines. This decrease in efficacy was as expected, since treatment efficacy generally
Table 3 Summary of grade 3/4 adverse events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse event</th>
<th>Patients, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3/4 adverse events</td>
<td>35 (44.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenia</td>
<td>17 (21.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthenia</td>
<td>7 (8.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>6 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Febrile neutropenia</td>
<td>4 (5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteinuria</td>
<td>3 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucositis</td>
<td>2 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocytopenia</td>
<td>2 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral neuropathy</td>
<td>1 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain stroke</td>
<td>1 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Various studies have investigated the prognostic and predictive factors of efficacy benefit in patients with MBC. Using an internally and externally validated score, Regnier et al reported DFI, location of metastases, and hormone-receptor status as significant prognostic factors for OS in MBC. Prior anthracycline and taxane treatment and number of metastatic sites/liver disease have also been shown to be important prognostic factors in MBC. These factors were confirmed by multivariate analysis to be associated with worse OS in the ATHENA study. Analysis of these risk factors (DFI, liver metastases, previous anthracycline/taxane treatment, and TNBC) led to the differentiation of three prognostic groups with progressively worse prognosis: those with one or no risk factors, those with two risk factors, and those with three or four risk factors. These prognostic groups were seen in both TNBC and hormone receptor-positive MBC. Our results, showing that DFI ≤24 months, three or more metastatic locations or liver metastases, and prior taxane therapy were associated with worse efficacy outcomes, are in agreement with these previous reports.

Patients receiving bevacizumab continuation had a longer PFS than those who did not. This may have been because most patients receiving maintenance therapy also responded to first-line bevacizumab plus paclitaxel. In addition, some patients in the group not receiving maintenance may have stopped receiving bevacizumab before disease progression, due to toxicity or the investigator’s decision, which could also have contributed to the shorter PFS seen in this group. In a subgroup analysis of the ATHENA trial, patients who received bevacizumab maintenance therapy had longer PFS (11.6 months versus 6.7 months) and OS (30.0 months versus 18.4 months) than those who did not. Although the data may be biased because of the contributing factors surrounding patient selection for maintenance therapy (ie, inadvertently selecting responders), these results suggest that the use of maintenance bevacizumab continuation therapy could be beneficial for patients with MBC and warrants further investigation.

The combination regimen was generally well tolerated, with similar frequency and type of AEs (44.9% with grade 3–4 AEs, 7.6% hypertension, 5.1% proteinuria), as previously reported for bevacizumab plus taxane therapy. In the E2100 study, grade 3–4 hypertension occurred in 14.8% of patients and proteinuria in 3.5% of patients, whereas the AVADO trial reported grade 3–4 AEs in 38.1% of patients, with hypertension in 4.5% and proteinuria in 2.0%. The ATHENA trial of first-line bevacizumab and taxane chemotherapy reported grade ≥3 AEs in 51.5% of patients. The toxicity profile of bevacizumab is inherently linked to its mode of action; however, with adequate awareness and training, the side effects of bevacizumab-based regimens can be successfully managed without severe complications.
13.5 months.13 In the Phase III LEA study, the combination of hormone therapy and bevacizumab resulted in numerically but not significantly longer PFS compared with hormone therapy alone (19.3 versus 14.4 months, respectively).14 However, there are still limited conclusive data on whether bevacizumab plus hormone therapy as continuation therapy is superior to bevacizumab alone after stopping taxane-based therapy. In this retrospective analysis, patients with estrogen receptor-positive MBC who received bevacizumab plus hormone therapy had improved PFS and OS compared with patients who received bevacizumab monotherapy as continuation treatment, although the difference was not statistically significant because of the low patient numbers in these subgroups. The field of data remains inconclusive, and further research is warranted as to whether prescribing bevacizumab as continuation therapy alongside hormone therapy is the optimal treatment regimen in patients with HER2-negative MBC after weekly paclitaxel plus bevacizumab.

Overall, while our real-world findings are aligned with results of previous clinical studies, such as the E2100 trial, this analysis adds some important information about the possible benefits of this regimen in second and subsequent lines of therapy, as well as the hypothesis of an increased PFS with the addition of hormone therapy to bevacizumab continuation therapy. Due to the small sample size in this single-institution setting, some of our results did not reach statistical significance; however, the data are promising and warrant further investigation in a multicenter setting.

Conclusion
The combination of bevacizumab plus paclitaxel was active in patients with MBC, as well as in subgroups of patients with TNBC and those with hormone receptor-positive disease, as observed in a real-world setting. Continuation of bevacizumab after stopping paclitaxel, and the addition of hormone therapy to bevacizumab, in patients with estrogen receptor-positive MBC could be beneficial, as shown by the longer PFS and OS observed. Additional research is needed to further confirm the use of bevacizumab and hormone therapy as continuation therapy for MBC.
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