Myocardial T1 mapping: where are we now and where are we going?
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Abstract: Cardiovascular magnetic resonance offers noninvasive myocardial tissue characterization as a key unique strength over other imaging techniques. In particular T1, a tissue property that alters with disease, has gained prominence as a diagnostic tool. Prior to the administration of contrast, the native T1 changes with a number of processes such as fibrosis, edema, and infiltration. If a post-contrast scan is also acquired, the post-contrast T1 and extracellular volume fraction can be measured. Detecting and quantifying early and established myocardial pathological processes permits better diagnosis, prognostication, and tracking of therapy.
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Introduction

Cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) is an essential diagnostic tool that is well recognized as the gold-standard method of assessing cardiac volumes, function, and mass, with evidence accumulating for its use in ischemia testing.1,2 These incremental advantages are complemented by a unique ability to perform in vivo myocardial tissue characterization. The major technique is late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) imaging, also recognized as scar imaging, which detects focal myocardial fibrosis after intravenous administration of gadolinium-based contrast agents when there is a slower washout of contrast in tissues with an increased extracellular space. Initially performed in myocardial infarction, LGE imaging is now recognized in most cardiac diseases.3–7 Typical patterns of enhancement occur which are disease specific, aiding diagnosis, while the scar burden is prognostic and helps treatment choices.8,9 However, an important limitation of LGE imaging is that it is a “difference test” – it compares one area to another. If the whole myocardium is abnormal, this is missed. Key processes, particularly diffuse fibrosis, but also amyloid, fat, and iron deposition, may be missed, particularly in the early phase. This triggered the development of a new field in CMR: direct quantification of signal from the myocardium via parametric mapping techniques. These techniques – T1, T2, and T2* mapping, but particularly T1 mapping – have the potential to become powerful new clinical and research techniques, helping develop and guide therapy to improve patient outcomes. All tissues have natural tissue-specific longitudinal (T1) and transverse (T2) relaxation, as well as dephasing (T2*) times. These may be altered in disease. Mapping techniques measure these and display the actual values in color on a pixel-by-pixel basis for regional, and between-patient, comparisons without the need for post-processing (Figure 1A). When T1 mapping is
used with a standard, gadolinium-based extracellular contrast agent, the extracellular volume (ECV) can be measured (Figure 1B and C), reflecting interstitial disease, particularly fibrosis, but also edema and amyloid. Early work suggests that ECV measurements may be as prognostically important as left ventricular ejection fraction.¹⁰ The formation of the T1 Mapping Group and development of the T1 mapping consensus statement reflect the exponential interest, both clinical and academic, in T1 mapping globally.¹¹

The development of T1 mapping

T1, also known as the spin-lattice relaxation time, is an intrinsic magnetic property of tissue that represents longitudinal recovery time of hydrogen atoms after excitation. Each tissue has its own characteristic range of values (expressed in milliseconds) at a selected magnetic field strength, and deviation from these values is used to quantify effects of pathological processes.¹² Some pathologies (fat, iron, amyloid) change T1 substantially; others (fibrosis) less so, but in a still-measurable way. Standard extracellular contrast agents also change T1 – but as contrast is confined to the interstitium, the ECV can be measured, which provides an additional test by which to assess fibrosis. T1 measurement has improved substantially from the early days of multi-breath-hold fast low-angle shot imaging (FLASH) or Look–Locker sequences, and T1 mapping sequences have been developed by all CMR scanner manufacturers. Incremental improvements to the original modified Look–Locker inversion recovery (MOLLI) Figure 2A) sequence have occurred with shorter breath holds using the shortened MOLLI sequence (ShMOLLI Figure 2B), which requires an acquisition time over nine heartbeats.¹³⁻¹⁵ Newer T1 mapping sequences in development include the saturation recovery single-shot acquisition (SASHA Figure 2C) and saturation pulse prepared heart-rate-independent inversion recovery (SAPPHIRE), which use saturation pulses or a combination of saturation and inversion pulses, respectively.¹⁶

Native T1 and post-contrast maps may be combined to create ECV maps wherein pixel values represent the interstitial volume (Figure 1).¹⁷,¹⁸ The result is expected to have increasing clinical utility – particularly, improved precision and reproducibility (although accuracy and a truth standard are less certain). MOLLI and ShMOLLI

Figure 1 T1 mapping in a healthy volunteer.
Notes: (A) Native T1 MOLLI map (myocardial T1 1,010 ms). (B) Post-contrast T1 MOLLI map (myocardial T1 615 ms). (C) ECV map (ECV = 26.5%).
Abbreviations: ECV, extracellular volume; LV, left ventricle; MOLLI, modified Look–Locker inversion recovery; RV, right ventricle.

Figure 2 Examples of native T1 maps from a healthy volunteer using three different sequences.
Notes: (A) MOLLI, (B) ShMOLLI, and (C) SASHA, each demonstrating regions of interest and their values. Note the difference in values. MOLLI and ShMOLLI can underestimate native myocardial T1 in comparison to a standard spin echo acquisition, whereas SASHA yields a higher accuracy and lower precision compared with MOLLI and ShMOLLI.
Abbreviations: MOLLI, modified Look–Locker inversion recovery; SASHA, saturation recovery single-shot acquisition; ShMOLLI, shortened MOLLI.
systematically underestimate native myocardial T1 in comparison to standard spin echo acquisition, whereas SASHA and SAPPHIRE yield higher accuracy, lower precision, and similar reproducibility compared with MOLLI and ShMOLLI for T1 measurement. In practice, this means that different techniques measure different normal values (Figure 2).

Native T1 mapping

Native T1 mapping (pre- or non-contrast T1) changes with disease (Figure 3). T1 values are higher with expansion of the extracellular compartment by fibrosis, edema, and amyloid (Figure 3B), and lower in lipid accumulation (Anderson-Fabry disease) (Figure 3D), cardiac siderosis (Figure 3C), and hemorrhage. These changes may be detectable in early disease, may be robust enough to track therapy, and have been shown to be prognostic in some diseases. There are, however, limitations: native T1 involves measurement of a composite signal from both the interstitium and from myocytes, so the signal requires clinical interpretation and has the potential for pseudonormalization (plausible examples include fibrosis canceling out mild iron overload in sickle cell disease, or scar in Anderson-Fabry disease canceling out the fat signal). As mentioned above, native T1 values also vary with the sequence used, field strength, and the manufacturer of the magnet, highlighting the importance of obtaining normal reference ranges for each CMR center.

ECV fraction

The extracellular matrix is a dynamic, complex milieu made up of hydrated collagen and other macromolecules and serves to anchor myocytes, store energy, align contractile elements, and provide a protective role by preventing overextension and disruption of myocytes. Expansion of the extracellular space occurs with focal fibrosis, diffuse fibrosis, edema, and infiltrative pathologies (eg, amyloidosis). Myocardial biopsy is the gold-standard method for quantifying fibrosis, but carries significant morbidity and mortality, and sampling error confounds accuracy. Noninvasive

![Figure 3](image-url) Native T1 maps in the basal short axis.

Notes: (A) Healthy volunteer. The myocardium appears homogeneously green and the blood is red. (B) Cardiac amyloid. The myocardium has a higher T1 value (red). (C) Severe iron overload. The myocardium appears blue as the T1 value is low from iron. (D) Anderson-Fabry disease. The myocardium has a lower T1 value (blue) due to intracellular lipid accumulation, except in the inferolateral wall, which is red due to fibrosis (black arrow).
measurement of focal fibrosis is possible with LGE imaging by CMR, but this is unable to quantify diffuse fibrosis. Initial methods to detect diffuse fibrosis involved measuring post-contrast T1 values after bolus extracellular contrast. This method, however, presented some challenges. The value is affected by renal clearance of contrast, contrast dose, body composition, timings of acquisition post-contrast, and the measured hematocrit. Measurement of the ECV fraction is preferred, as it closely reflects the amount of diffuse fibrosis.

ECV measurement is based on three elements: 1) CMR T1 measurement of blood and myocardium pre-contrast; 2) CMR T1 measurement of blood and myocardium after a contrast bolus, allowing sufficient time to equilibrate, or after a continuous infusion; and 3) a blood test to measure blood contrast volume of distribution (1 minus the hematocrit). As there is contrast equilibrium, the ratio of signal change in blood and myocardium is the ratio of the myocardial extracellular volume fraction to blood plasma volume fraction. The ECV, which can also be computed as a pixel-by-pixel map (Figure 2C), is calculated, therefore, as:

\[
ECV = (1 - \text{hematocrit}) \times \frac{(1/T1_{\text{myocardium post}}) - (1/T1_{\text{myocardium pre}})}{(1/T1_{\text{blood post}}) - (1/T1_{\text{blood pre}})}
\]

**Intracellular volume fraction**

Intracellular volume (ICV) \((=1 - \text{ECV})\) represents the myocardium not accessible to the extracellular contrast agent. Accordingly, ICV represents an intact myocardial cellular component providing a way to measure the myocytes cell volume \((= \text{ICV} \times \text{left ventricular (LH) mass})\). Again, it is necessary to clarify that there is a bias because, even if ICV mainly represents myocytes, it also includes fibroblasts, red blood cells, and macrophages.

**Clinical utility of T1 mapping**

**Non-fibrosis imaging: amyloid, Anderson-Fabry disease, iron overload, myocarditis**

**Cardiac amyloidosis**

Cardiac involvement is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in patients with amyloidosis. Accumulation of amyloid protein in the myocardial interstitium can be detected by CMR LGE and matches the distribution of amyloid on histology. This characteristic pattern may, however, occur late in the disease process and does not quantify the amyloid burden. Native T1 mapping and ECV quantification may have a high diagnostic accuracy for detecting cardiac amyloid, and are potentially more sensitive for detecting early disease. Amyloid light-chain (AL) amyloidosis patients with cardiac involvement \((n=53)\) have been shown to have significantly elevated native T1 mapping values compared with normal subjects. Values were increased even when cardiac amyloid involvement was uncertain, and correlated with markers of systolic and diastolic dysfunction. Mean ECV was also found to be significantly greater in AL amyloidosis \((n=60)\) than in healthy controls \((0.25\) versus \(0.40, P<0.001)\) and correlated with cardiac parameters by echocardiography. Similar native T1 findings have also been detected in transthyretin amyloidosis, but with less maximal T1 elevation.

**Anderson-Fabry disease**

Anderson-Fabry disease is a rare, multi-system lipid storage disorder caused by a deficiency of \(\alpha\)-galactosidase A. Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) is the most common cardiac manifestation (Figure 4A), followed by arrhythmias and valvular disease. Treatment has been shown to reverse or slow at least some types of disease progression when initiated before reversible end-organ damage.

![Figure 4 Anderson-Fabry disease](image-url)

**Notes:** (A) A short-axis SSFP cine imaging showing severe concentric hypertrophy. (B) Native T1 value map (ShMOLLI) demonstrating low T1 (blue myocardium) with an area of fibrosis (black arrow) in the inferolateral wall. (C) LGE image demonstrating scar in the same region (white arrow).

**Abbreviations:** AFD, Anderson-Fabry disease; LGE, late gadolinium enhancement; ShMOLLI, shortened modified Look-Locker inversion recovery; SSFP, steady-state free precession.
has occurred. Early detection may therefore be essential. Sado et al\textsuperscript{25} were the first to demonstrate the potential use of native T1 mapping. Compared with healthy volunteers, septal T1 was lower in Anderson-Fabry disease (962±32 ms versus 882±47 ms) and higher (1,018±74 ms) in other diseases that cause LVH (\(P<0.0001\)). In this first study, T1 discriminated completely between Anderson-Fabry disease and other diseases with hypertrophy with no overlap. Characteristically, focal fibrosis in the basal inferolateral segments can be seen on native T1 and LGE imaging (Figure 4B and C).

**Iron overload**
Cardiac and liver iron deposition in primary and secondary hemochromatosis can be lethal. Treatment with aggressive chelation therapy can prevent death, but can cause serious side effects.\textsuperscript{40} There has been a marked improvement in the survival of patients with cardiac siderosis with the introduction of the CMR T2* imaging technique.\textsuperscript{41} However, the T2* sequence requires a long breath hold, and post-processing is perceived as complex. Iron also alters native T1, which is lower in iron loading. Preliminary data have shown that T1 mapping may be more sensitive and reproducible than T2* in the detection of myocardial iron.\textsuperscript{26}

**Myocarditis**
The diagnosis of myocarditis can be challenging. Although LGE CMR is useful in the diagnosis of acute myocarditis (as part of a troponin rise and normal coronary arteries on angiography scenario), there are some recognized limitations. Patients with global edema, rather than focal areas of necrosis, may have negative findings on LGE CMR.\textsuperscript{42} Although conventional T2-weighted imaging for edema can be used, it is limited by image quality and interpretation, and reference to skeletal muscle may be confounded if that is also inflamed.\textsuperscript{43,44} Native T1 may play a key role in detecting subtle focal pathology. Ferreira et al (n=50) demonstrated the superior diagnostic performance of native T1 mapping and higher sensitivity for detection of acute myocarditis and larger affected areas compared with T2-weighted and LGE CMR.\textsuperscript{45} The same group also showed that T1 mapping, in patients within 3 days of presentation, displayed typical nonischemic patterns without the need for contrast agents.\textsuperscript{46} Edema signals are increasingly being seen by native T1 mapping in rheumatic diseases, such as systemic lupus erythematosus – evidence of unrecognized myocardial involvement.\textsuperscript{47}

**Diffuse fibrosis: valvular heart disease, heart failure, cardiomyopathy, and arrhythmias**

**Aortic stenosis**
Current guidelines for managing aortic stenosis (AS) have evolved from clinical assessment and measurement of valve orifice area to hemodynamic parameters such as peak velocity and mean gradient.\textsuperscript{48,49} These parameters, however, poorly predict symptom development and/or optimal timing of surgery.\textsuperscript{50} Myocardial adaptive changes are thought to play a key role in functional deterioration, symptom development, and postoperative outcome and recovery.\textsuperscript{51}

Diffuse fibrosis may develop without ascribable symptoms or function changes,\textsuperscript{52} so its measurement has the potential to improve diagnostic and therapeutic management. Patients with mild-to-moderate diffuse fibrosis at baseline show improvements in symptoms and LV function and marked reduction of LVH after surgery compared with those with severe fibrosis.\textsuperscript{32–54}

Bull et al (n=109) were first to demonstrate increased native T1 values (by ShMOLLI) in patients with severe AS compared with controls, with correlation with fibrosis on histology.\textsuperscript{22} A further increase was seen in symptomatic patients compared with those who were asymptomatic (1,014±38 ms versus 972±33 ms).

In patients with severe AS, ECV was also found to be persistently elevated 6 months after aortic valve replacement despite LVH regression, suggesting early LVH regression involves a cellular process.\textsuperscript{52} Multiple prospective cohort studies are currently underway to investigate the role of T1 mapping and ECV as predictive markers in AS (ClinicalTrials.gov identifiers: NCT01658345, NCT02174471, and NCT01755936).\textsuperscript{53}

**Heart failure**
Heart failure is a major and growing cause of morbidity and mortality. Over the last 10 years, new therapies have been few and far between. A better understanding of heart failure pathophysiology and “splitting” of subtypes with more focused therapy may be needed with renewed focus on the myocardial processes directly. Standard LGE imaging has established itself as the gold standard for scar detection in heart failure. T1 mapping adds diagnostic advantages to LGE; firstly, by rare disease detection (iron, fat, amyloid), and secondly, by ECV and ICV measurements, thus allowing us to dichotomize the myocardium into its cellular (1–ECV = ICV) and extracellular components (diffuse fibrosis) with the high potential to impact our understanding of heart failure.
Iles et al have shown that post-contrast T1 values reflect diffuse fibrosis histologically in patients with symptomatic heart failure \( (R=-0.7, P=0.03) \). In the same patient cohort, the post-contrast T1 values were shorter in patients than in controls \( (383\pm17 \text{ ms versus } 564\pm23 \text{ ms}, P<0.0001) \). Additionally, the post-contrast T1 values shortened as diastolic function worsened \( (P<0.001) \). Ellims et al explored the relationship between T1 mapping and diastolic dysfunction further. Twenty cardiac transplant patients underwent a post-contrast myocardial T1 CMR and invasive LV pressure-volume measurements. The post-contrast T1 value and ECV correlated with LV stiffness \( (r=-0.71, P=0.001 \text{ and } r=0.58, P=0.04, \text{ respectively}) \). These findings may further enhance our understanding of the pathophysiology of the different cardiomyopathies.56

The association of post-contrast T1 mapping with prognostic outcome in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction was demonstrated by Mascherbauer et al.57 Patients with T1 values less than 388.3 ms were at greatest risk of cardiac events compared with the rest of the group \( (P<0.01) \), thus suggesting the possible role of post-contrast T1 as a prognostic biomarker. Modest ECV changes also appear prognostic. Wong et al showed, in 793 consecutive patients followed over 1 year (excluding amyloid and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, measuring outside LGE areas), that global ECV predicted short-term mortality.10 The same group also found \( (n=1,000) \) that higher ECV values in diabetic patients were associated with adverse outcome, including mortality and heart failure hospitalization. Interestingly, lower ECV values were found in patients on drugs blocking the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system.58

**Cardiomyopathy**

CMR has played an important role in the diagnosis of cardiomyopathy. Scar burden quantified by LGE has become a prognostic marker of patient outcome, with significant relationships seen between LGE and cardiovascular mortality, heart failure death, and all-cause mortality.5 Initial descriptions of nonischemic LGE patterns were followed by demonstrations of histological correlations.59-61 A diffuse pattern of fibrosis has now been recognized histologically which cannot be detected by LGE sequences.32 The potential use of native and post-contrast T1 mapping and ECV in the diagnosis of ischemic and nonischemic cardiomyopathies is emerging in the literature. Puntmann et al62 demonstrated a clear difference in T1 (native and post-contrast) and ECV values between patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy compared with control subjects \( (P<0.01) \). Furthermore, native T1 mapping could differentiate between the groups with high sensitivity and specificity, although post-contrast T1 and ECV values were less discriminatory.62 The measurement of ECV has also been suggested as a risk stratification tool for patients with muscular dystrophy, who are at risk of death from dilated cardiomyopathy.63 Global and regional myocardial ECV values were significantly higher in muscular dystrophy patients with cardiac involvement compared with patients without cardiac involvement and controls.63

The use of T1 mapping in the assessment of rejection in heart transplant patients and those at risk of uremic cardiomyopathy in chronic kidney disease has been explored but requires further validation.64,65

Among ongoing studies, HCMR – Novel Markers of Prognosis in Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy, is aiming to use T1 mapping in a total outcome study of 2,750 patients (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT01915615).

**Arrhythmias**

Diffuse atrial and ventricular fibrosis is a well-recognized finding in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF).56,65 Surgical biopsies and autopsy samples have shown an increased amount of fibrosis in patients with AF compared with those in sinus rhythm.64 Quantification may therefore provide an insight into remodeling processes, play a role in identifying patients who could benefit from early ablation procedures, and predict procedure success. Atrial post-contrast T1 values have been shown to be significantly shorter in patients with AF compared with healthy controls, and correlate with clinical outcome following catheter ablation. Ling et al showed that post-contrast atrial T1 times >230 ms were associated with a successful outcome in 85% of patients, compared with 62% with atrial T1 times <230 ms \( (P=0.01) \). The same group also demonstrated significantly different ventricular post-contrast T1 values in patients with persistent AF, paroxysmal AF, and healthy volunteers \( (360\pm84 \text{ ms versus } 427\pm95 \text{ ms versus } 535\pm86 \text{ ms, respectively, } P<0.001) \). There are two possible suggestions to account for this finding: 1) diffuse fibrosis may be a consequence of AF and tachycardia-mediated cardiomyopathy; or 2) there may be an underlying cardiomyopathy that precedes and contributes to the development of AF.70 The findings of diffuse LV fibrosis have also been documented in patients 5 years post-curative ablation, thus possibly providing a substrate for ventricular arrhythmias.71
Challenges for T1 mapping in clinical practice

Despite its potential, there are substantial challenges in delivering T1 mapping to clinical practice. These include quality control, standardization, normative values, regulatory environment, and stability of techniques over time. The (first) T1 consensus statement roadmaps some of these issues,11 aiming to achieve a balance between ongoing development of T1 mapping that is good enough for rollout and service use. The recommendation for application of T1 mapping in clinical practice and research focus on site preparation (representative local normal values for every scanner), scan acquisition (consistent parameters across pre- and post-contrast maps), quality control (use of parametric error maps), analysis (avoidance of partial voluming of blood by conservative drawing of regions of interest), and technical development (methodological validation of sequences; unique naming of new sequences; systematic testing of accuracy and precision).

Future prospects

The T1 mapping field is rapidly progressing. New technical developments are simpler to apply and more robust. ECV mapping is now a reality, and new challenges are being considered, such as whole-heart and right ventricle coverage with higher spatial and temporal resolution, advanced image registration, and new techniques to overcome or account for partial voluming of blood. Systems approaches will also be key to delivery.

Conclusion

CMR with the LGE imaging method is the gold standard for noninvasive myocardial tissue characterization and scar imaging/quantification. T1 mapping takes this forward to the measurement of diffuse processes in a quantitative pixel-by-pixel approach. Native T1 allows diagnosis of cardiac infiltration, iron storage disease, iron overload, and edema, as well as focal and (to a lesser extent) diffuse fibrosis. ECV quantification can dichotomize the myocardium into its cellular and interstitial components. This will further our understanding of pathological mechanisms in the myocardium and should lead to better diagnostic pathways, improved prognostication, and improved monitoring of therapy.
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