Transient visual loss and delayed resolution of vitreomacular traction after intravitreal ocriplasmin
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Abstract: Vitreomacular traction and vitreomacular traction with macular hole are conditions associated with visual disturbance and decreased visual acuity. Recent studies have demonstrated that ocriplasmin is effective and safe in specific patient groups with vitreomacular traction, and hence is recommended as a treatment option. We present here a case report of a patient treated with intravitreal ocriplasmin associated with severe loss of vision and delayed resolution of vitreomacular traction. This report highlights that it is important for patients to be made fully aware of the risks of intravitreal ocriplasmin and the prognosis for visual improvement.
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Case report
A 97-year-old woman developed decreased vision in her left eye over a period of 4 weeks. Past ocular history included uneventful bilateral cataract surgery and bilateral early dry age-related macular degeneration (AMD). Vision was 6/30 on the right and 6/38 LogMAR on the left. Spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT; Cirrus, Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA, USA) of the left eye showed vitreomacular traction with a small stage 2 macular hole1,2 and no epiretinal membrane (Figure 1). The patient was informed that ocriplasmin had been shown to statistically significantly resolve vitreomacular adhesion when compared with placebo in clinical trials, and the option of vitrectomy was discussed. Fully informed consent was obtained, and the risk for complications with intravitreal injections such as loss of vision, retinal detachment, lens damage, and endophthalmitis was documented on the consent form. The patient was made aware that there would be a guarded prognosis for visual improvement. She proceeded to receive treatment with intravitreal ocriplasmin 0.125 mg (0.1 mL) to her left eye.

One day after treatment, vision had reduced to hand movements, with symptoms of blue and dark spots in the left eye. Ocular examination revealed normal intraocular pressure and no sign of endophthalmitis, retinal detachment, or hemorrhage. No other cause of reduced vision was identified. Repeat SD-OCT revealed subretinal fluid with vitreomacular traction (Figure 2). There is a small irregular area of retinal pigment epithelium elevation (Figure 2). The patient declined fluorescein and indocyanine green angiography, and clinically, this appeared to be related to dry age-related macular changes. There appeared to be attenuation and discontinuation of the inner segment/outer segment, ellipsoid layer.

Twenty-eight days after treatment, the patient’s vision had improved to 6/48 LogMAR (Figure 3), and the subretinal fluid had resolved with persistent vitreomacular traction.
The patient elected not to proceed with pars plana vitrectomy.

At 56 days after treatment, the vitreomacular traction had resolved with sustained improvement of vision (Figure 4). This appeared to be associated with improved continuity of the inner segment/outer segment (ellipsoid layer).

**Discussion**

Our case report shows that ocriplasmin caused severe visual loss postinjection associated with subretinal fluid, and that vitreomacular traction may resolve up to 2 months after ocriplasmin injection.

Normal aging is accompanied by physiological changes in the vitreous gel of the eye. After the age of 40 years, the vitreous undergoes progressive liquefaction (sychysis). Typically, by the age of 80 years, about 50% of the vitreous gel has been liquefied, resulting in weakened adhesion between the vitreous and retina. Posterior vitreous detachment is more common in postmenopausal women than men; this is postulated to be a result of the effects of decreased estrogen on connective tissue, such as that present within the vitreous gel.

The patient in our case report was an elderly woman, aged 97 years, with early dry AMD. Clinically, AMD appears to primarily involve the retinal pigment epithelium and outer retinal layers. However, evidence suggests that the vitreous may contribute to the pathogenesis and progression of AMD. For example, Mojana et al conducted a retrospective study with SD-OCT to compare vitreoretinal adhesions in three groups: eyes with wet AMD, eyes with dry AMD, and control eyes. Eyes with wet AMD were significantly more likely to show evidence of vitreomacular adhesion. The patient described in our case report was elderly and female, which would suggest weakened vitreomacular adhesion; however, in the context of early AMD, this may have contributed to increased vitreomacular adhesion.

Results of clinical trials and the package insert of ocriplasmin (Jetrea®, ThromboGenics, Leuven, Belgium) report transient blindness, photopsia, dyschromatopsia, and electoretinogram (ERG) changes occurring more frequently...
in those patients treated compared with in placebo-treated patients.

Freund et al described a 67-year-old woman with a macular hole associated with vitreomacular adhesion and epiretinal membrane who developed transient loss of vision 1 week after intravitreal ocriplasmin. Eye-tracked SD-OCT demonstrated resolution of vitreomacular adhesion with disruption of the ellipsoid zone, indicating damaged photoreceptor outer segments. Despite the persistence of the macular hole, the patient’s vision recovered 3 weeks later. This was associated with improvement of the integrity of the ellipsoid zone, which is suggestive of partial recovery of photoreceptor outer segment function. It was proposed that ocriplasmin exerts a disruptive effect on the photoreceptor outer segments, which appears to be partially reversible. Singh et al was the first study to quantify the extent of outer retinal changes seen in patients receiving ocriplasmin. Seven of 17 patients experienced transient outer segment ellipsoid zone loss on SD-OCT, which resolved after an average period of 29.3 days. This was also correlated with subretinal fluid, which resolved after an average period of 30 days.

Recent case reports such as Tibbetts et al and Fahim et al have described a more diffuse effect of ocriplasmin on the photoreceptors. Tibbetts et al described a 71-year-old woman with symptomatic vitreomacular traction who experienced persistent darkening of vision for 4 months after receiving intravitreal ocriplasmin. The symptoms of darkened vision corresponded with disruption of the photoreceptor inner segment-outer segment (ellipsoid) layer and reduce a- and b-wave ERG amplitudes. However, this was not related to persistent vitreomacular traction, as there was an immediate release of vitreomacular traction.

Full-field ERG revealed a greater reduction in the amplitude of the scotopic compared with the photopic ERG, suggesting rod photoreceptors may be more susceptible to the effects of ocriplasmin. These effects may only occur in patients who are susceptible to prolonged reduction in photoreceptor activity. It was postulated that a diffuse protease enzymatic effect of ocriplasmin throughout the retina may account for the visual darkening adverse effects of ocriplasmin.

Fahim et al reported a case of persistent visual loss associated with acute severe panretinal dysfunction after ocriplasmin injection for a small macular hole with vitreomacular adhesion. This was associated with Goldmann visual field constriction, anisocoria, attenuated retinal vessels, disruption of outer retinal signals on SD-OCT, and severely reduced ERG responses. Fahim et al postulated that possible mechanisms of retinal injury from ocriplasmin injection included either enzymatic activity of ocriplasmin on the retinal extracellular matrix or other toxic effects not specific to the proteinase activity of ocriplasmin.

The enzymatic effects of ocriplasmin on the cleavage of laminin, a component of the photoreceptor-bipolar synapse, were consistent with ERG findings of reduced b-waves compared with a-waves. This suggests postreceptor (eg, bipolar cell) cell dysfunction in addition to decreased photoreceptor activity.

The results of clinical trials were statistically significant, showing that vitreomacular adhesion resolved in 26.5% of ocriplasmin-injected eyes compared with in 10.1% of placebo-injected eyes. The primary end point of the study was resolution of vitreomacular adhesion at 28 days, determined by OCT evaluation. Visual improvements with ocriplasmin were less encouraging, demonstrating that anatomical resolution of vitreomacular traction noted with OCT is not a surrogate marker for functional visual improvement.

The National Institute of Health and Care Excellence has recommended ocriplasmin as a treatment option for patients with vitreomacular traction if no epiretinal membrane is present and a stage 2 macular hole is present (with a diameter of ≤400 µm) and/or the patient has severe symptoms. After our experience with this patient, we have sought to make the consent process for intravitreal ocriplasmin more explicit and to explain to patients that there appear to be specific risks for severe visual loss associated with this drug, in addition to the well-described risks of the intravitreal injection process.

It is important that patients are made fully aware of the risks of ocriplasmin and the prognosis for visual improvement.
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