Posttraumatic epilepsy: current and emerging treatment options

Wellingson Silva Paiva¹
Luiz Eugênio Mello²

¹Division of Neurosurgery, School of Medicine, University of São Paulo,

²Department of Physiology, Federal University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

Dear editor

We have read with great interest the recent paper by Szaflarski et al¹ published in *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*. Patients suffering from traumatic brain injury (TBI) have three times greater risk than the general population to have epilepsy. This makes the TBI one of the most important causes of secondary epilepsy.² In the paper by Szaflarski et al¹ the authors highlighted the epidemiological relevance of this topic and describe the therapeutic options in detail.

The treatment indicated for TBI may involve multiple medications and/or surgical procedures. However, none of these treatments has as its purpose to prevent the occurrence of late posttraumatic epilepsy. Antiepileptic drugs currently in clinical use are indicated for the control of seizures that may occur but not to prevent progression to epilepsy. A strong point of the paper refers to future directions in the treatment, including new insights into epileptogenesis. However, despite widespread discussion of treatments in this paper some considerations were not included. An important consideration should be the mechanisms involved in the genesis of posttraumatic epilepsy, including some evidence of a genetic component and posttraumatic epilepsy,³ important information about inflammatory mechanisms and blood–brain barrier dysfunction associated with this posttraumatic disorder,⁴ and their impacts in future directions of the treatment. It should also be considered that a number of compounds successfully tested at the preclinical level, despite lacking clinical relevance, might bring insights in terms of mechanisms of action for antiepileptogenesis or disease modification.⁵ Finally the authors failed to mention strong preclinical evidence indicating that neuroprotection, per se, does not influence antiepileptogenesis nor provide disease modification of posttraumatic epilepsies.⁶ These small caveats, however, do not take away the main relevant messages and discussion raised by Szaflarski et al.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Szaflarski JP, Nazzari Y, Dreier LE. Post-traumatic epilepsy: current and emerging treatment options. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2014;10:1469–1477.
2. Temkin NR. Antiepileptogenesis and seizure prevention trials with antiepileptic drugs: meta-analysis of controlled trials. *Epilepsia*. 2001;42(4):515–524.

Correspondence: Wellingson Silva Paiva
Division of Neurosurgery, School of Medicine, University of São Paulo, 255 Eneas Aguiar Street, Office 4079, 05403010 São Paulo, Brazil
Tel +55 11 2661 7226
Fax +55 11 2548 6906
Email wellingsonpaiva@yahoo.com.br

3. Diamond ML, Ritter AC, Failla MD, et al. IL-1 β associations with post-traumatic epilepsy development: A genetics and biomarker cohort study. *Epilepsia*. 2014;55(7):1109–1119.
4. Janigro D, Walker MC. What non-neuronal mechanisms should be studied to understand epileptic seizures? *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2014;813: 253–264.
5. Löscher W, Klitgaard H, Twyman RE, Schmidt D. New avenues for anti-epileptic drug discovery and development. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2013;12(10):757–776.
6. Pitkänen A. Drug-mediated neuroprotection and antiepileptogenesis: animal data. *Neurology*. 2002;59(9 Suppl 5):S27–S33.

Authors' reply

Jerzy P Szaflarski^{1,3}

Yara Nazzal^{1,3}

Laura Dreer²

¹Department of Neurology, ²Department of Ophthalmology, ³UAB Epilepsy Center, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA

Correspondence: Jerzy P Szaflarski
UAB Epilepsy Center, University of Alabama at Birmingham, 312 Civitan International Research Center, 1719 6th Avenue South, Birmingham, AL 35294-0021, USA
Tel +1 205 975 5587
Fax +1 205 975 6255
Email szaflaj@uab.edu

Dear editor

We would like to thank Drs Paiva and Mello for their interest in our recent review focusing on the current and emerging treatment options for individuals with traumatic brain injury.¹ We agree with the authors of the letter that in patients with traumatic brain injury, many factors including genetics,

blood–brain barrier dysfunction, mechanism of action, and the neuroprotective properties (or lack thereof) of the used compound, may be important. With that in mind, we need to recognize that the goal of our review was not to address these issues but rather to provide a detailed comparison of the clinical data on the two anticonvulsant drugs most commonly used for seizure prevention in the setting of acute traumatic brain injury – phenytoin and levetiracetam – with only a snapshot of other compounds. A discussion focusing on genetics, blood–brain barrier dysfunction, or other aspects mentioned previously was clearly outside of the scope of our review.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

Reference

1. Szaflarski JP, Nazzal Y, Dreer LE. Post-traumatic epilepsy: current and emerging treatment options. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2014;10:1469–1477.

Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment

Publish your work in this journal

Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment is an international, peer-reviewed journal of clinical therapeutics and pharmacology focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical or pre-clinical studies on a range of neuropsychiatric and neurological disorders. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, the 'PsycINFO' database and CAS,

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/neuropsychiatric-disease-and-treatment-journal>

and is the official journal of The International Neuropsychiatric Association (INA). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Dovepress