A comparison between amblyopic and fellow eyes in unilateral amblyopia using spectral-domain optical coherence tomography
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Purpose: To compare the macular retinal thickness and characteristics of optic nerve head (ONH) parameters in amblyopic and fellow eyes in patients with unilateral amblyopia.

Patients and methods: A total of 21 patients with unilateral amblyopia (14 patients with anisometropic amblyopia, four patients with strabismic amblyopia, and three patients with both) were examined using spectral-domain optical coherence tomography. The mean age of the patients was 8.5±3.5 years. The examined parameters included the mean macular (full, inner, and outer), ganglion cell complex and circumpapillary retinal nerve fiber layer (cpRNFL) thicknesses, and ONH parameters (rim volume, nerve head volume, cup volume, rim area, optic disc area, cup area, and cup-to-disc area ratio).

Results: The amblyopic eyes were significantly more hyperopic than the fellow eyes (P<0.001). Among the macular retinal thickness parameters, the cpRNFL thickness (P<0.01), macular full retinal thickness (3 mm region) (P<0.01), and macular outer retinal thickness (1 and 3 mm regions) (P<0.05) were significantly thicker in the amblyopic eyes than in the fellow eyes, while the ganglion cell complex thickness, macular full retinal thickness (1 mm region), and macular inner retinal thickness (1 and 3 mm regions) were not significantly different. Among the ONH parameters, the rim area was significantly larger and the cup-to-disc area ratio was smaller in the amblyopic eyes than in the fellow eyes (P<0.05). None of the other ONH parameters were significantly different between the investigated eyes. The differences in the cpRNFL thickness and macular outer retinal thickness in the 1 mm region were significantly correlated with the difference in axial length (P<0.05, r=−0.48; P<0.01, r=−0.59, respectively) and refractive error (P<0.05, r=−0.50; P<0.01, r=−0.60, respectively). The other parameters were not significantly related to the difference in axial length, refractive error, or best corrected visual acuity.

Conclusion: We found significant differences in some of the morphological measurements between amblyopic and fellow eyes that appear to be independent of abnormalities in the visual cortex.
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Introduction

Amblyopia is a visual disorder characterized by a subnormal visual acuity (VA) and contrast sensitivity in one or both eyes, caused by either visual deprivation or abnormal binocular interactions.1 Organic and functional changes in the visual cortex and lateral geniculate nucleus are observed in patients with amblyopia.2–6

Although past studies have documented retinal abnormalities in amblyopia patients,7,8 the majority of subsequent reports have rejected this opinion.9,10 However, in recent years, reinvestigations using fundus photographs have suggested the presence of organic changes in amblyopic eyes, which had previously been assumed to
be normal.\textsuperscript{11,12} Therefore, whether the retina is normal in amblyopia patients remains to be investigated.

The development of time-domain optical coherence tomography (OCT) (TD-OCT), which analyzes the retinal lamellar structure, revealed indispensable information regarding the retinal pathology of macular disease and glaucoma. In addition, in the field of amblyopia, several groups have evaluated the retinal thickness using TD-OCT.\textsuperscript{13–26} For example, Yen et al\textsuperscript{11} hypothesized that amblyopia may affect the postnatal maturation of the retina, including the postnatal reduction of retinal ganglion cells, which would lead to a measurable increase in the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness in amblyopic eyes. However, the majority of researchers have reported no differences in the RNFL thickness in patients with amblyopia.\textsuperscript{14,16–26}

In recent years, the use of spectral-domain OCT (SD-OCT), in which the scan speed, spatial resolution, and segmentation technology are improved, has become mainstream, and the stratification of the retinal layer has since become possible, permitting a more detailed assessment of pathologic changes of the retina. Recently, several examinations of the amblyopic retinal thickness using SD-OCT have been reported.\textsuperscript{27–34} In some of these reports, there were no differences in the retinal thickness between amblyopic and fellow eyes, whereas, in others, the amblyopic eyes were found to be either thicker or thinner than the fellow eyes. Therefore, a consensus has not yet been obtained based on OCT, and the degree of retinal involvement accompanying amblyopia is controversial.

In this report, we compared the macula, ganglion cell complex (GCC), and circumpapillary RNFL (cpRNFL) thicknesses, and optic nerve head (ONH) parameters (rim volume, nerve head volume, cup volume, rim area, optic disc area, cup area, and cup-to-disc [C/D] area ratio) between amblyopic and fellow eyes in patients with unilateral amblyopia using SD-OCT.

**Material and methods**

**Subjects**

This study was conducted at the Department of Ophthalmology at Kawasaki Medical School Hospital. All of the enrolled patients were diagnosed with unilateral amblyopia and underwent SD-OCT examination. Unilateral amblyopia was defined as a best corrected VA (BCVA) of at least a two-line difference between the amblyopic and fellow eye. The clinical examinations included VA testing, cycloplegic refraction, axial length, slit-lamp examinations, cover and cover–uncover test, extraocular movements, and fundoscopy. The BCVA was transformed to logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) units for the statistical analysis. The axial length was measured using the IOL master\textsuperscript{®} (Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany). Patients with neurological diseases, ocular conditions such as glaucoma or retinal disorders, and nystagmus were excluded from the study. We adopted SD-OCT data with more than 50 signal strength index and excluded the ones when there was poor fixation or a segmentation error. The cause of amblyopia was anisometropia, strabismus, or both. Anisometropia was defined as an interocular difference in refraction (spherical equivalent) of more than 2.0 diopters (D). The patients with strabismic amblyopia had esotropia or exotropia of at least 10 prism D on the alternate cover test and a spherical difference between the two eyes of less than 1.0 D. A total of 21 patients (ten males and eleven females) with unilateral amblyopia (14 patients with anisometropic amblyopia, four patients with strabismic amblyopia, and three patients with both) were enrolled. The mean age \(\pm\) standard deviation (SD) of the patients was 8.5\(\pm\)3.5 years (range: 4–18 years). The mean BCVA was 0.20\(\pm\)0.30 logMAR in the amblyopic eyes and –0.14\(\pm\)0.07 logMAR in the fellow eyes. The mean spherical equivalent was +3.51\(\pm\)3.60 D in the amblyopic eyes and +0.77\(\pm\)1.58 D in the fellow eyes. The axial length was 21.94\(\pm\)1.39 mm in the amblyopic eyes and 22.99\(\pm\)0.91 mm in the fellow eyes (Table 1).

This study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the institutional review board committee of Kawasaki Medical School. Informed consent for the examinations was obtained from each patient or one of each patient’s parents.

**SD-OCT examinations**

The ONH parameters and thickness of the macula, GCC, and cpRNFL were measured using SD-OCT. The OCT images were obtained using the RTVue-100\textsuperscript{®} (Optovue Inc., Fremont, CA, USA), which acquires 26,000 A-scans per second and provides a 5.0 \(\mu\)m depth resolution in the tissue. The RTVue-100\textsuperscript{®} software package, version 4.0, was used for data acquisition. Adjustment for the refractive error is performed automatically in this device. In patients with poor fixation, the OCT device was operated manually so that the center of fovea or optic disc was located appropriately in each image.

The macular thickness was determined using the MM5 protocol in which data are acquired along a 5\(\times\)5 mm\(^2\) grid of eleven horizontal and eleven vertical lines (each 668 A-scans) at 0.5 mm intervals and an inner 3\(\times\)3 mm\(^2\) grid of six horizontal and six vertical lines (each 400 A-scans) at 0.5 mm intervals (Figure 1A). The built-in software program allows for the automated segmentation of the macular full retinal thickness (from the inner limiting membrane [ILM] to the outer border...
of the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) as well as the macular inner retinal thickness (from the ILM to the outer boundary of the inner plexiform layer) and macular outer retinal thickness (from the inner border of the inner nuclear layer to the outer border of the RPE) (Figure 1B). The retinal macular thickness was measured in two regions, which included regions within 1 mm (“1 mm” region) and between 1 and 3 mm (“3 mm” region) from the center (Figure 1C).

The GCC protocol was used to obtain the macular measurements. This protocol consists of one horizontal line scan measuring 7 mm in length (467 A-scans) and 15 vertical line scans measuring 7 mm in length (each 400 A-scans) obtained at 0.5 mm intervals (Figure 2A). The GCC is measured from the ILM to the outer boundary of the inner plexiform layer (IPL), similar to the macular inner retinal thickness in MM5. The center of the GCC scan is shifted 0.75 mm temporally to improve sampling of the temporal periphery as part of the glaucoma-analysis program. This scan configuration provides 14,810 A-scans in 0.58 seconds.

The ONH parameters and cpRNFL thickness values were determined using the ONH map 4 mm protocol in the three-dimensional baseline mode, in which data acquired along a 3.45 mm diameter circle around the optic disc are recalculated with a map created from en face imaging that used six circular scans ranging from a 2.5 to 4.0 mm region (587 or 775 A-scans each) centered on the optic disc and 12 linear radial scan data inputs (3.4 mm in length, 452 A-scans each) (Figure 2B). This scan protocol provides 9,510 A-scans in 0.39 seconds.

**Statistical analysis**

The paired t-test was used to determine whether the differences between the values of the amblyopic and fellow eyes were significant. P-values of less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

### Table 1 Clinical characteristics of the 21 patients with amblyopia included in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Amblyopic eyes (n=21)</th>
<th>Fellow eyes (n=21)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>t-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refractive error (diopter)</td>
<td>3.51±3.6</td>
<td>0.77±1.58</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>4.851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCVA (logMAR)</td>
<td>0.20±0.30</td>
<td>−0.14±0.07</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>5.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial length (mm)</td>
<td>21.94±1.39</td>
<td>22.99±0.91</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−4.810</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Values are shown as mean ± standard deviation. Refractive error: spherical equivalent values. P- and t-values are for comparison between amblyopic and fellow eyes (paired t-test).

**Abbreviations:** BCVA, best corrected visual acuity; logMAR, logarithm of the minimal angle of resolution.

---

**Figure 1** Measurement of the MM5 in a normal subject using RTVue-100®.

**Notes:** (A) MM5 protocol. (B) ILM-IPL: from the ILM to the outer boundary of the IPL. INL-RPE: from the inner border of the INL to the outer border of the RPE. ILM-RPE: from the ILM to the outer border of the RPE. (C) “1 mm” is a region of within 1 mm of the diameter from the center. “3 mm” is a region of between 1 and 3 mm of the diameter from the center.

**Abbreviations:** ILM, inner limiting membrane; IPL, inner plexiform layer; INL, inner nuclear layer; RPE, retinal pigment epithelium; S, superior; N, nasal; I, inferior; T, temporal.
The correlation between the differences in the axial length, refractive error, and BCVA versus the differences in the SD-OCT parameters were analyzed using the Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient. P-values of less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

There is a possibility that a difference of refraction and the axis length might have affected the difference of the retinal thickness. Therefore, we examined whether the retinal thickness, which showed a difference between amblyopic eyes and fellow eyes, was associated with axial length, refractive error, or BCVA.

**Results**

Table 2 shows the mean (± SD) values of the GCC and cpRNFL thicknesses and the ONH parameters (rim volume, nerve head volume, cup volume, rim area, optic disc area, cup area, average C/D ratio, vertical C/D ratio, and horizontal C/D ratio) obtained on SD-OCT. The mean cpRNFL thickness was significantly thicker in the amblyopic eyes than in the fellow eyes (P<0.01), while the GCC thickness was not significantly different between the two eyes. Among the ONH parameters, the rim area was significantly larger (P<0.05), and the C/D ratio was smaller, in the amblyopic eyes than in the fellow eyes (P<0.05). None of the other ONH parameters were significantly different.

Table 3 shows the mean (± SD) values of the macular full, inner, and outer retinal thickness (1 and 3 mm regions) obtained on SD-OCT. The mean macular full retinal thickness (3 mm region) and macular outer retinal thickness (1 and 3 mm regions) were significantly thicker in the amblyopic eyes than the fellow eyes (P<0.01 and P<0.05, respectively), whereas the macular full retinal thickness (1 mm region) and macular inner retinal thickness (1 and 3 mm regions) were not significantly different.

Figure 3 shows the correlations between the differences in axial length, refractive error, and BCVA versus the

### Table 2 Comparison of the cpRNFL and GCC thickness values and ONH parameters between the amblyopic and fellow eyes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Amblyopic eyes (n=21)</th>
<th>Fellow eyes (n=21)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>t-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cpRNFL thickness (μm)</td>
<td>112.5±9.21</td>
<td>107.03±8.74</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>3.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCC thickness (μm)</td>
<td>96.8±7.02</td>
<td>95.72±7.01</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>1.686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONH parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rim volume (mm³)</td>
<td>0.199±0.084</td>
<td>0.195±0.131</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>0.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve head volume (mm³)</td>
<td>0.338±0.125</td>
<td>0.328±0.192</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>0.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cup volume (mm³)</td>
<td>0.167±0.174</td>
<td>0.191±0.193</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>-0.914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rim area (mm²)</td>
<td>1.531±0.27</td>
<td>1.35±0.42</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>2.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optic disc area (mm²)</td>
<td>2.26±0.46</td>
<td>2.23±0.45</td>
<td>0.752</td>
<td>0.320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cup area (mm²)</td>
<td>0.73±0.55</td>
<td>0.88±0.63</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>-1.624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average C/D ratio</td>
<td>0.29±0.17</td>
<td>0.37±0.22</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>-2.442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical C/D ratio</td>
<td>0.51±0.16</td>
<td>0.58±0.19</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>-2.626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal C/D ratio</td>
<td>0.60±0.19</td>
<td>0.67±0.23</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>-2.669</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Values are shown as mean ± standard deviation. P- and t-values are for comparison between amblyopic and fellow eyes (paired t-test).

Abbreviations: C/D, cup-to-disc; cpRNFL, circumpapillary retinal nerve fiber layer; GCC, ganglion cell complex; ONH, optic nerve head.
differences in the cpRNFL, macular retinal thicknesses, and rim area. The differences in the cpRNFL thickness and macular outer retinal thickness in the 1 mm region were significantly correlated with the difference in axial length \((P<0.05, r=-0.48; P<0.01, r=-0.59, \text{ respectively})\) and refractive error \((P<0.05, r=0.50; P<0.01, r=0.60, \text{ respectively})\). The other parameters were not significantly related to the difference in axial length, refractive error, or BCVA.

**Discussion**

In this study, we compared the retinal thicknesses and ONH parameters in patients with unilateral amblyopia between the amblyopic eye and fellow eye using SD-OCT. The cause of amblyopia was anisometropia (67%), strabismus (19%), or both (14%). Consequently, we found significant differences in some of the parameters; such differences were most prominent in the cpRNFL and macular full retinal thickness (3 mm region), in which these values were significantly thicker in the amblyopic eye than in the fellow eye. In addition, we found that the macular outer retinal thickness was thicker in the amblyopic eye than in the fellow eye.

Furthermore, we found that the cpRNFL thickness was significantly thicker in the amblyopic eye than in the fellow eye. In previous studies, Yen et al reported that anisometropic amblyopes had thicker cpRNFL values in the amblyopic eye than in the fellow eye based on SD-OCT. Our present data is in agreement with these results. In contrast, some studies that have investigated the cpRNFL thickness in amblyopic eyes using SD-OCT found no significant differences compared to that observed in controls.27,29,32 Regarding this contradiction, Kim et al pointed out that the majority of these studies did not correct the ocular magnification errors related to refraction and axial length, whereas most previous studies included patients with anisometropia. In the present study, we included such patients, and the difference in cpRNFL thickness between the two eyes was found to be significantly related to the difference in axial length and refractive error. Tsai et al demonstrated that the spherical equivalent refraction is a significant predictor of the pediatric RNFL thickness. However, Wang et al reported that the effects of magnification attributable to the axial length and refractive error on the measurement of the RNFL thickness were not statistically significant. Therefore, although we cannot exclude the possibility that axial length and refractive error may have affected the cpRNFL thickness in this study, it is unclear to what degree any axial length and refractive differences had an influence on the difference in the cpRNFL thickness. On the other hand, the difference in the cpRNFL thickness between the two eyes was not significantly related to the difference in BCVA. Therefore, there is a possibility that factors other than the visual function have an effect on the cpRNFL thickness.

Regarding the ONH parameters, the rim area was significantly larger and the C/D ratio was smaller in the amblyopic eye than in the fellow eye. In addition, the difference in the rim area was not correlated with that of refraction or axial length. The changes in these two parameters may be associated with an increase in the RNFL thickness. In addition, it has been reported that the number of optic nerve fibers in animal models of experimental glaucoma shows a high correlation with the C/D ratio and rim area of the optic disc.37,38 Furthermore, Kanamori et al reported that the rim area and cpRNFL thickness exhibit a positive straight-line correlation in glaucomatous eyes. While these reports examined patients with glaucoma, our results demonstrated that a
Figure 3 Correlations between the differences in the axial length, refractive error, and BCVA versus the differences in the SD-OCT parameters between the amblyopic and fellow eyes.

Notes: The difference value shows deducted measurements of amblyopic eyes from those of fellow eyes. The differences in the cprNFL thickness and macular outer retinal thickness in the 1 mm region were significantly correlated with the difference in axial length ($P<0.05$, $r=-0.48$; $P<0.01$, $r=-0.59$, respectively) and refractive error ($P<0.05$, $r=0.50$; $P<0.01$, $r=0.60$, respectively). The other parameters were not significantly related to the difference in axial length, refractive error, or BCVA.

Abbreviations: BCVA, best corrected visual acuity; cprNFL, circumpapillary retinal nerve fiber layer; logMAR, logarithm of the minimal angle of resolution; SD-OCT, spectral domain optical coherence tomography.
similar correlation can be found in patients with amblyopia. Meanwhile, Kim et al\textsuperscript{44} reported that ONH parameters show no significant differences between amblyopic and fellow eyes in patients with deprivation amblyopia. Therefore, it is necessary to segregate such patients into groups according to the type of amblyopia in further investigations.

On the other hand, the GCC and macular inner retinal thickness did not display any significant differences between the amblyopic and fellow eyes in this study. The GCC and macular inner retinal thickness consists of the RNFL, ganglion cell layer (GCL), and IPL. In previous studies of the inner retinal thickness using SD-OCT, Firat et al\textsuperscript{12} showed no significant differences in GCC thickness between amblyopic eyes and controls. On the other hand, Park et al\textsuperscript{38} reported that the GCL–IPL thickness was thinner in amblyopic eyes than in fellow eyes. In contrast, Tugcu et al\textsuperscript{13} found that the GCC thickness was thicker in amblyopic eyes than in controls. The causes of these differences in results may be related to factors in the study design, such as which structures were measured; for example, whether the nerve fiber layer thickness was included in the inner retinal thickness. Additionally, the heterogeneity of the SD-OCT model that was used for the study is one of the factors to cause the different results.

In the present study, the GCC and macular inner retinal thickness values were not significantly different, unlike that observed for the cpRNFL thickness. These results are similar to those obtained by Kim et al\textsuperscript{44}. Furthermore, Yoon et al\textsuperscript{15} reported that the RNFL in patients with amblyopia was significantly thicker, although there were no significant differences in macular thickness, based on TD-OCT. In humans, the total population of cells in the GCL and number of axons in the optic nerve decreases during gestation.\textsuperscript{40,41} If amblyopia affects the process of postnatal reduction of the retina, the amblyopic effects may involve the morphology of the circumpapillary region, including the cpRNFL thickness and rim area. However, this hypothesis does not explain why there were no changes in the macular GCC values.

The macular full retinal thickness in the 3 mm region was found to be significantly thicker in the amblyopic eyes than in the fellow eyes, whereas that in the 1 mm region was not significantly different between the two groups. Among previous studies using SD-OCT, most reported that there were no differences in macular thickness between amblyopic and normal fellow eyes;\textsuperscript{28,29,31–34} although some showed that the macular thickness in the amblyopic eyes was greater than that observed in the normal fellow eyes.\textsuperscript{27,30} Wu et al\textsuperscript{11} reported that the central macular thickness values in the 1 mm and 3 mm regions were not significantly different in amblyopic and normal fellow eyes, although the mean macular foveolar thickness was significantly thicker in the amblyopic eyes than in the fellow eyes. We did not examine the foveolar thickness in this study; however, there were no differences in the full retinal thickness in the 1 mm region, similar to that observed in most previous studies.\textsuperscript{28–31,33–34} However, the macular full retinal thickness in the 3 mm region was significantly thicker in the amblyopic eyes than in the fellow eyes. This difference seems to have originated from structural changes in the outer retina, as the inner macular retinal (GCC) thickness values were not different in this study. This result is in disagreement with that of Wu et al in the 3 mm region.\textsuperscript{31} In the present report, the difference in the macular full retinal thickness in the 3 mm region between the two eyes was not significantly related to that of the axial length or refractive error. In addition, when eyes with pathologic myopia were excluded in previous studies, the axial length and refractive error were found to not affect the macular retinal thickness.\textsuperscript{42,43} Therefore, the effect of the difference in the measurement range (ie, 1 mm or 3 mm regions) on the results requires further investigation.

In this study, we found that the macular outer retinal thickness (1 mm and 3 mm region) was significantly thicker in the amblyopic eyes than in the fellow eyes. Park et al\textsuperscript{38} investigated the thickness of each retinal layer, including the outer retina, by measuring the distance between two retinal points set manually in amblyopic and fellow eyes. However, to the best of our knowledge, the mapping of the outer retinal thickness using the automatic segmentation method has not yet been previously employed. In the present analysis, the difference in the 1 mm-region thickness between contralateral eyes was significantly related to the difference in axial length and refractive error. However, the difference in the 3 mm region thickness was not significantly related to the difference in axial length or refractive error. We cannot exclude the possibility that the relatively small number of subjects studied resulted in the inability to show a statistically significant relationship. However, although there is a possibility that amblyopia affects the outer retina, including photoreceptor cells, it is unlikely that the visual cortex influences the outer retina without affecting the inner retina.

Our study is associated with some limitations. First, the relatively small number of subjects studied did not allow for segregation of the patients into groups according to the type of amblyopia, refractive error, or age. Second, we did not divide the patients into those with persistent and recovered amblyopia. Third, we compared the amblyopic eye with the fellow eye in the same patient with unilateral amblyopia; however, there was no normal group for comparison. Wang et al\textsuperscript{44} stated that the fellow eye may not be normal on OCT.
in patients with anisometropic amblyopia. Furthermore, most of the differences in retinal thickness were less than 5 μm which is equivalent to the spatial resolution of the instrument. Accordingly, it is unclear if these results are real or a consequence of the limits of the instrumentation. Further OCT studies with higher spatial resolution will resolve this issue.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we found significant differences in some of the morphological measurements between the amblyopic and fellow eyes in patients with unilateral amblyopia. The cpRNFL thickness and rim area were greater in the amblyopic eyes than in the fellow eyes, whereas the GCC and macular inner retinal thickness values showed no significant differences. Furthermore, the macular full retinal thickness in the 3 mm region and outer retinal thickness in the 1 mm and 3 mm regions were significantly thicker in the amblyopic eyes than in the fellow eyes. However, these differences between amblyopic and fellow eyes appear to be independent of abnormalities in the visual cortex.
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