Polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis – epidemiology and management approaches

Abstract: Juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) is a group of disorders characterized by arthritis persisting for at least 6 weeks with onset before the age of 16 years. Within this cluster of conditions, the polyarticular form (involving more than four joints within the first 6 months) is further divided based on the presence of rheumatoid factor. Children with polyarticular JIA pose unique diagnostic and therapeutic challenges compared to children with involvement of fewer joints. Polyarticular JIA patients tend to have a more refractory course and therefore are at increased risk for joint damage, resulting in poorer functional outcomes and decreased quality of life. Although the ability to treat this disorder continues to improve, especially with the advent of biologic agents, there is still much about the epidemiology and pathogenesis of polyarticular JIA that is unknown. The epidemiology of polyarticular JIA varies worldwide with a vast difference in reported cases between different global regions as well as within individual countries. Several genetic risk loci have been identified conferring increased susceptibility to JIA, many within the human leukocyte antigen region. Beyond the genome, environmental factors also seem to contribute to the etiology of polyarticular JIA. This review article will focus on the epidemiology and current treatments of polyarticular JIA and briefly discuss genetic and environmental influences on the pathogenesis of JIA as well as new and emerging therapies.
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Introduction

Juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) is an umbrella-term describing a heterogeneous group of conditions characterized by chronic arthritis beginning before the age of 16 years, persisting for at least 6 weeks, and having no other identifiable cause.1 Considered as a whole group, JIA is the most common rheumatologic condition of childhood and consists of subtypes including oligoarticular, polyarticular, and systemic onset.2 Polyarticular JIA includes a blend of patients with a wide spectrum of etiologic risk factors, unique disease course, and therapeutic challenges. Children with polyarticular JIA tend to have a more refractory course in comparison to those with fewer affected joints. Due to a prolonged course of active disease, they are at increased risk for joint damage, resulting in poorer functional outcomes and decreased quality of life.1 This review focuses on the epidemiology specific to polyarticular JIA, briefly reviews the genetic and environmental risks that contribute to the pathogenesis of this subset of arthritis, and discusses current treatment strategies.

Overview

To investigate the epidemiology of polyarticular JIA, extensive literature searches of PubMed were performed using the following search terms: epidemiology JIA, incidence...
JIA, prevalence JIA, prognosis JIA, management JIA, and treatment JIA. Emerging treatments were assessed by searching ClinicalTrials.gov, PubMed, and recent abstract supplements from the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) Annual Conference. Articles were excluded from this review if they did not specifically discuss polyarticular JIA.

**Classification**

The nomenclature to define childhood arthritis has changed several times over the last 40 years (Table 1). The latest definition, as put forth by the International League of Associations for Rheumatology (ILAR) in 1997 and later revised in 2001, divides JIA into seven subgroups. It defines polyarticular JIA as arthritis affecting five or more joints during the first 6 months of disease. This subgroup is further divided into rheumatoid factor (RF) positive or RF negative, with the former having two or more RF positive tests at least 3 months apart during the first 6 month period. Patients with systemic-onset JIA, psoriatic arthritis, enthesitis-related arthritis, and oligoarthritis who later develop arthritis in multiple joints (extended oligoarticular JIA) can all have polyarticular disease, but they are all excluded from the polyarticular JIA subgroups based on the ILAR classification. Additional exclusions include but are not limited to males who are HLA-B27 positive and have onset of arthritis after their sixth birthday, ankylosing spondylitis, and sacroiliitis with inflammatory bowel disease.

The intent of this current classification system was to create consistency among international providers in order to identify children with similar characteristics for the purposes of research towards epidemiology, pathogenesis, and treatment strategies. Debate, however, is still ongoing as to whether this current scheme is too inclusive and should be further redeveloped with emphasis more on antibody presence, age of symptom onset, and symmetry of arthritis. With regard to polyarticular JIA, several observations have been made fueling this discussion. Antinuclear antibodies (ANA) in patients with JIA help predict the risk of uveitis, but little is known of their role in the pathogenesis of JIA. Oligoarticular JIA patients who are ANA positive share similar features with ANA-positive, RF-negative polyarticular JIA patients such as younger age at presentation, asymmetric arthritis, and increased frequency of uveitis.

In comparison, ANA-negative patients with polyarticular disease tend to present older with a cumulative, symmetrical arthritis, and with a different pattern of joint involvement that more commonly involves the hips and shoulders. The presence of RF is most often seen in the polyarticular subtypes, but when present in oligoarticular patients it has been associated with early erosive disease, suggesting that an RF-positive status should be a subset of its own regardless of the number of joints involved. The prevalence of anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide (CCP) antibodies varies in polyarticular JIA but usually coincides with RF status. However, the results of a single study indicated that approximately 6% of RF-negative patients were also CCP positive and tended to be phenotypically and genetically different from RF-positive, CCP-positive patients, prompting the discussion for the need to reclassify patients not only on RF status but CCP status as well. Age also appears to be an independent risk factor as younger RF-negative children tend to develop a more aggressive disease course compared to RF-negative children.

**Table 1** Classification of chronic arthritis of childhood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR)*</th>
<th>American College of Rheumatology (ACR)†</th>
<th>International League of Associations for Rheumatology (ILAR)‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Criteria name</td>
<td>Juvenile chronic arthritis</td>
<td>Juvenile rheumatoid arthritis</td>
<td>Juvenile idiopathic arthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of onset</td>
<td>&lt;16 years</td>
<td>&lt;16 years</td>
<td>&lt;16 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>≥3 months</td>
<td>≥6 weeks</td>
<td>≥6 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Polyarticular: &gt;4 joints, RF−</td>
<td>2. Oligoarthritis (pauciarticular</td>
<td>2. Oligoarthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fever</td>
<td>3. Systemic onset: arthritis with</td>
<td>b. Extended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7. Undifferentiated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>a. Fits no other category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b. Fits more than one category</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: RF−, rheumatoid factor negative; RF+, rheumatoid factor positive.
who present later in childhood or early adolescence. These observed variances in polyarticular JIA are purely academic discussion points at this time but may be incorporated into future restructuring of classification schemes. With regard to current practice, all polyarticular patients regardless of RF status are generally managed similarly and studied together in drug trials and consensus treatment plans.

**Epidemiology**

Worldwide incidence and prevalence of chronic childhood arthritis are unknown. Epidemiological studies have reported a wide variance in different regions of the world, with low rates in Asian populations and relatively higher frequencies in those of European descent. Vast differences also appear within the same country as demonstrated by polyarticular disease occurring more frequently in southern India as compared to the northern region. Considering all subtypes of arthritis, the incidence of childhood arthritis ranges from 0.83 per 100,000 children in Japan to 23 per 100,000 in Norway. There is an overall trend that this rate is increasing. Pruunsild et al reported a 3.5-fold increase over three consecutive years in Estonia. It is unclear if increased awareness of JIA or actual increase in this disorder is the root cause of this trend. The prevalence varies considerably more spanning from 3.8 per 100,000 children in Taiwan to 400 per 100,000 in one community-based study in Australia. The wide variation in data likely reflects the differences amongst clinical epidemiological studies regarding inconsistent use of nomenclature, variance in case ascertainment, difficulties with making accurate diagnoses, as well as patient ability to access health care that results in delays in diagnosis. The paucity of pediatric rheumatology subspecialists worldwide is likely a contributing factor as well.

Large-scale epidemiologic studies have tended to focus on the population of childhood arthritis as a whole, leaving less data available for interpretation on the epidemiology of polyarticular JIA (Table 2). Traditionally, polyarticular JIA has been thought to represent approximately 15%–25% of arthritis cases. However, the incidence of polyarticular JIA may be higher than reported in some studies. The distribution of polyarticular JIA has been found to vary significantly across different regions and populations. The prevalence and incidence of polyarticular JIA have been studied in various countries, with the prevalence ranging from 0.04 to 0.28 per 100,000 children.

### Table 2: Epidemiologic studies of polyarticular juvenile arthritis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percentage of all arthritis</th>
<th>Incidence per 100,000</th>
<th>Prevalence per 100,000</th>
<th>Girls:boys</th>
<th>Mean age at onset (years)</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US (MN)</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>10.3%–2.1%</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>13.4%–2.4%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.5:1</td>
<td>4.9:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US (MN)</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.7:1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>16.7%–2.0%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>8.0:1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.78%–0.0%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
<td>22.4%–0.0%</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>6.5:1</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td>1.24%–0%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>20.6%–3.1%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>7.5:1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>22.4%–1.6%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.5:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>19.5%–4.5%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>1.6:1</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>20.6%–4.4%</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.1:1</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>12.5%–12.5%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
<td>25.6%–2.9%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>4.1:1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>28.9%</td>
<td>17.4%–11.5%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>8.1:1</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>21.2%–8.3%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.6:1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>34.9%</td>
<td>1.85%–0%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
<td>29.2%–5.8%</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>2.1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
<td>30.6%–6.6%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>2.3:1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>41.0%</td>
<td>26.9%–14.1%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>3.5:1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>42.0%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>9.1:1</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>46.1%</td>
<td>34.6%–11.5%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.2:1</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>6.50%–0%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.2:1</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>51.7%</td>
<td>34.8%–16.9%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>1.1:1</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>57.0%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Range reported with upper limit displayed in table.

**Abbreviations:** ACR, American College of Rheumatology; EUCLAR, European League Against Rheumatism; ILAR, International League of Associations for Rheumatology; RF, rheumatoid factor negative; RF+, rheumatoid factor positive; M, median reported instead of mean; MN, Minnesota; –, not applicable.
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JIA as indicated in North American and European studies. Roughly 15% of this subset tests positive for RF, with a relative frequency of RF-positive disease around 3% of all JIA cases. Polyarticular disease, however, has been reported as the predominant form of chronic childhood arthritis in several locations, including Africa, Czech Republic, Kuwait, and India, with frequencies approaching or exceeding 50%. Furthermore, RF-positive disease also tends to be higher in these populations, with reports of this subtype in 14.1% of all South African cases and 16.9% of Indian cases. RF-positive polyarticular JIA may be misrepresented in these regions as the diagnosis is generally made after checking RF status only once due to the constraints on resources and inability to repeat laboratory testing. Many affected individuals in these populations also present late, after several years of arthritis. This too could lead to misclassification as several cases likely represented oligoarticular patients with extended disease who would have been diagnosed differently if seen within the first 6 months of disease onset. Given the variance of relative frequency of polyarticular disease and the sparse data regarding epidemiological data, the incidence of RF-negative disease has been calculated to range from 0.3–6.5 per 100,000 and RF-positive disease from 0.1–0.72 per 100,000. Spanning different populations, the prevalence of RF-negative disease varies from 1.64–33.4 per 100,000 and RF-positive disease from 0.28–10.3 per 100,000.  

By definition, polyarticular JIA can begin any time before the age of 16 years but rarely occurs before the first year of life. The onset of the RF-negative subtype follows a bimodal distribution, with the first peak occurring between 1–3 years of age, and the second peak occurring in later childhood between 9–14 years of age. Girls are affected two to four times more often than boys, with this ratio skewed even further towards females when the onset of disease occurs in the teenage years. Conversely, RF-positive JIA tends to be a condition affecting older children, with the onset of disease typically between 10–13 years of age. There is a much higher preponderance of females affected with RF-positive disease outnumbering their male counterparts 8–9:1, with several epidemiologic studies reporting only female cases of RF-positive disease and no male patients.  

Additional observations and trends regarding the incidence of all subtypes of JIA have been made without a clear etiologic role. The prevalence of JIA has been reported to be higher in urban settings, by up to 2.7 times in a Danish study. That same study indicates that JIA patients are 1.6 times more likely to be an only child, and they tend to be from a higher socioeconomic status, with families of JIA patients reporting higher incomes twice as often than controls. This financial trend has been reproduced in a Canadian study as well. Studies assessing the seasonal variation in JIA onset identify the winter months as the peak time of year for new cases of JIA to present. Additional work is needed to confirm and further investigate the factors that contribute to these observations.  

**Natural history and prognosis**  
The disease course and prognosis of JIA remain variable but have improved with the development of new therapies. The first 6 months of disease can be quite dynamic as patients can often accumulate arthritis in new joints not initially involved at disease onset. As a result, the JIA subtype is not definitive until 6 months after onset. A long-term JIA cohort study lasting 17 years demonstrated outcomes were best predicted by features of disease at 5-year follow-up compared to disease onset. Several variables including type of JIA affect the goal of disease remission. In a cohort study of 683 JIA patients, 32.8% were in remission after a mean follow-up of 10 years, with remission being defined as no disease activity in the absence of antirheumatic medications for at least 6 months. The polyarticular subtype, making up 15.8% of the cohort, attained remission in 24.1% of patients at some time in the disease course. Interestingly, none of the RF-positive patients achieved remission during the time course studied. A similar study in 392 JIA patients determined the probability of remission – defined as absence of arthritis off treatment for at least 2 years – at 10 years was 23% for RF-negative polyarticular patients and 6% for RF-positive polyarticular patients. A more recent study assessed JIA patients transitioned to adult providers. A majority of those patients had evidence of disease activity within the past year despite 29% being on biologic therapy for a median of 4 years. Other long-term studies report development of erosions in 24% of JIA patients and probability of arthroplasty after 15 years of active disease ranging from 13%–57%.  

Features of poor prognosis for polyarticular JIA, as highlighted in the ACR recommendations for JIA based off medical literature and clinical experience, include positive RF antibodies, positive anti-CCP antibodies, hip arthritis, cervical spine arthritis, and erosions or joint space narrowing on radiographs. Other factors associated with a poor prognosis include early hand involvement and radiographic changes of carpal length within the first year of diagnosis.
On the contrary, positive ANA was associated with less disability. Sex and age of onset have not consistently been linked to prognosis.

A long-term follow-up of 246 adults with long-standing JIA and average disease duration of 28.3 years evaluated functional and health-related quality of life outcomes. Severe disability – based off a score on a Health Assessment Questionnaire – was present in 42.9% of patients. Almost 33% of the patients were in severe pain and over 25% of patients were currently or previously depressed. In addition, educational achievement was higher than the national average, although unemployment was twice as high in the JIA patients. A statistically higher rate of unemployment was also noted in another study despite comparable education. Mortality among patients with JIA is mildly elevated compared to the general population. A single-center, 20-year follow-up study from 1981–2000 noted increased standardized mortality ratios (the ratio of observed to expected deaths) in males (3.4) and females (5.1) with JIA. Causes of death were not discussed.

Pathogenesis

Understanding of the genetic basis of JIA is incomplete. As technology improves, as with the advent of genome-wide association studies, the underlying genetic susceptibility factors for JIA are beginning to be defined. Familial JIA itself is uncommon and does not follow any Mendelian modes of inheritance. One American registry identified 200 sets of siblings with juvenile arthritis over a 10-year period of whom 21 sets were twins. The National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases research registry for JIA affected sibling pairs estimates the sibling recurrence risk at 15 with a concordance for polyarticular disease of 31%. Another study using the Utah Population Database with 862 JIA patients estimates the population attributable risk of familial factors for JIA to be 13%, and the specific risk for polyarticular JIA was 6%. The relative risk of JIA in the siblings of patients was 11.6. The estimated relative risk for polyarticular JIA was precluded due to the small sample sizes. In addition, the occurrence of JIA and adult rheumatoid arthritis (RA) in the same family is rarely reported.

The major histocompatibility complex (MHC) is a diverse and complex genetic loci central to immunity and inflammatory processes. The MHC comprises genes related to antigen presentation including human leukocyte antigens (HLA) A, B, C, DR, DP, and DQ, minor HLA antigens, as well as other genes important in immune function such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF). The HLA region has been identified as a major susceptibility locus for JIA (Table 3). It has been estimated to account for up to 13% of genetic risk of JIA as compared to up to a third for adult RA. HLA interactions in JIA are complex with certain alleles strongly associating with early-onset disease and other alleles providing a protective effect early in life but are associated with increased susceptibility later in childhood. This variation in disease onset indicates that there are certain windows of susceptibility in the pathogenesis of JIA depending on one's genetic makeup. Sex also seems to influence HLA susceptibility alleles. HLA-B27 confers an age-related risk in males, while HLA-A2 is seen in younger female patients with oligoarticular JIA.

With regard to polyarticular JIA, HLA-A2 has been linked with early-onset RF-negative disease, but to a lesser extent than its association in oligoarticular patients. The RF-negative profile overlaps more with that of oligoarticular JIA (A2, DRB1*08, DQA1*04), suggesting that RF-negative disease may be more closely related to oligoarticular disease than the RF-positive subtype. Polymorphisms in class II HLA alleles demonstrate the strongest association with polyarticular disease. HLA-DR4 is frequently associated with RF-negative disease, as in adults. RF-negative

Table 3 Human leukocyte antigen alleles associated with polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allele</th>
<th>Subtype</th>
<th>Association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A2*04,06</td>
<td>RF-</td>
<td>Susceptibility for early onset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB1*03,04</td>
<td>RF-</td>
<td>Susceptibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB1*01,07</td>
<td>RF-</td>
<td>Susceptibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB1<em>0101</em>70</td>
<td>RF+</td>
<td>Susceptibility, shared with adult RA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB1<em>0404</em>72</td>
<td>RF+</td>
<td>Susceptibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB1<em>0401</em>71-72</td>
<td>RF-</td>
<td>Protective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB1<em>0401</em>70</td>
<td>RF+</td>
<td>Susceptibility, shared with RA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB1<em>0404</em>70</td>
<td>RF+</td>
<td>Susceptibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB1<em>0405</em>70</td>
<td>RF+</td>
<td>Susceptibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB1<em>0408</em>70</td>
<td>RF+</td>
<td>Susceptibility shared with RA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB1*0772,73</td>
<td>RF-, RF+</td>
<td>Protective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB1*0808,73</td>
<td>RF-</td>
<td>Susceptibility for late onset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB1<em>1103</em>71</td>
<td>RF-</td>
<td>Susceptibility for early onset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB1<em>1104</em>71</td>
<td>RF-</td>
<td>Susceptibility for early onset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB1<em>1402</em>70</td>
<td>RF+</td>
<td>Susceptibility, shared with adult RA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB1<em>1501</em>71</td>
<td>RF-</td>
<td>Protective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQA1*02,04</td>
<td>RF-</td>
<td>Susceptibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQA1*03,04</td>
<td>RF-</td>
<td>Susceptibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQA1*0404</td>
<td>RF-</td>
<td>Susceptibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQA1*0504</td>
<td>RF-</td>
<td>Susceptibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQB1*03,04</td>
<td>RF-</td>
<td>Susceptibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQB1*03,04</td>
<td>RF-</td>
<td>Susceptibility</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: RA, rheumatoid arthritis; RF-, rheumatoid factor negative; RF+, rheumatoid factor positive.
disease is more heterogeneous, with susceptibilities linked to HLA-DPB1*03, HLA-DRB1*01, and HLA-DQA1*04.65,70,71 Protective associations have also been identified for both RF-negative and RF-positive diseases as well, including DRB1 and DQA1.65,71-73

Despite the vast number of polymorphisms identified in the HLA region, these alleles have only been able to account for approximately 20% of the genetic basis based on sibling recurrence risk in polyarticular and oligoarticular cases.74 Beyond the HLA region, a number of additional risk loci have been identified in genes responsible for immune regulation. PTPN22 encodes a lymphoid-specific phosphatase which is a negative regulator of T-cell receptor signal transduction. In RF-negative patients, a missense single nucleotide polymorphism in PTPN22 reduces the ability of this protein to downregulate T-cell activation.75 This mutation results in failure to remove potentially autoreactive T-cells during thymic selection.76 Another single nucleotide polymorphism identified in STAT4 has been demonstrated in some polyarticular JIA populations of certain ethnicities.77,78 STAT4 is a transcription factor expressed in lymphocytes that is required for interleukin (IL)-12 responsiveness and Th1 development. Variation in the loci encoding TRAF1 and C5 – located on chromosome 9q33-34 – can occur in both RF-positive and RF-negative cohorts. There appears to be a more significant association of this mutation with the RF-negative phenotype.79 On the other hand, a polymorphism in the promoter region of TNFα (TNF-308A) is less commonly found in RF-negative disease as compared to RF-positive polyarthritis, and has been linked to more severe disease.80 Many RF-positive JIA individuals tend to share additional non-HLA loci with the adult RA population.81 Furthermore, most identified polymorphisms in JIA are shared across other autoimmune disorders, such as diabetes mellitus type 1, autoimmune thyroid disease, inflammatory bowel disease, and systemic lupus erythematosus.82,83

In the setting of a genetically susceptible individual, environmental influences are hypothesized to contribute to JIA pathogenesis. There has been particular interest in the relationship between breastfeeding and the development of JIA, and studies to date have been conflicting. Breast milk contains immune-modulatory compounds such as immunoglobulins, chemokines, and cytokines.33 Mason et al conducted a small study of 54 JIA patients and 79 matched controls, concluding that duration of breastfeeding provided a protective effect toward the development of JIA (odds ratio [OR] 0.40; 95% confidence interval [95% CI] 0.20–0.81).84 The effect was less pronounced in polyarticular cases (n=24; OR 0.60; 95% CI 0.21–1.70). This study was prone to criticism due to small sample size, low statistical power, and selection bias of controls. A similar study evaluating 137 Canadian children with JIA compared to 331 controls revealed no significant differences between breastfeeding in JIA cases and controls (68% versus 62%).85 However, a lower proportion of polyarticular patients were found to be breastfed in comparison to oligoarticular patients (53% versus 76%; P=0.006), although polyarticular patients were breastfed for longer durations on average (7.3 months versus 5.9 months). No studies have directly evaluated breastfeeding practices specifically in RF-positive JIA patients. In a retrospective study assessing multiple risk factors for RF positivity in healthy children, there was a correlation noted between RF status and breastfeeding among children who were HLA-DR4 negative.86 Breastfeeding for more than 3 months was more frequent in RF-negative children than RF-positive children (OR 0.18; 95% CI 0.04–0.99). A similar protective effect has been linked to the initiation of early breastfeeding with a decreased risk of adult-onset RA.87

Tobacco smoke has been shown to be a strong risk factor in the development of RA in adults.88 This has been thought to be mediated through RF production.89 In one retrospective study assessing risk factors for RF-positivity in children, HLA-DR4-negative children who were RF-positive were five times more likely to have been exposed to environmental tobacco smoke compared to RF-negative children.86 Tobacco has a direct effect on the immune system leading to a reduction in the number of natural killer cells and abnormalities in T-lymphocyte function and humoral immunity.90 Several studies have assessed the relationship between maternal smoking during pregnancy and the risk of JIA in the offspring.91,92 Jaakkola and Gissler followed a cohort of 58,841 Finnish children from birth, identifying 31 cases of JIA.93 They concluded there was a two-fold higher risk of developing JIA during the first 7 years of life in children of mothers who smoked greater than ten cigarettes per day compared to children of nonsmoking mothers. When female cases were compared to unexposed males, the risk increased to six-fold. A larger prospective study, however, did not demonstrate an associated risk between maternal smoking and JIA.92

Other perinatal exposures and pregnancy-related factors have been investigated.47,94 An Australian study that reviewed 262 cases and matched controls identified a trend towards a protective effect with prenatal nutritional supplements.47 The mothers of children that eventually developed JIA were less likely to receive supplementation with prenatal multivitamins (24.9% versus 30.8%), vitamin D (3.3% versus 7.8%), fish
Oil (2.9% versus 6.4%), calcium (10.2% versus 14.9%), iron (35.4% versus 40.3%), and folate (61.4% versus 64.8%) while pregnant compared to controls. None of these effects were found to be statistically significant when adjusted for covariates. In addition, there were equal rates of antibiotic, alcohol, and caffeine use. A larger Swedish study included 3,334 JIA patients and 13,336 controls.92 Borderline risks were found for Cesarean section (OR 1.1; 95% CI 1.0–1.3) and birth at 42 weeks gestational age or later (OR 1.3; 95% CI 1.0–1.3). Reduced risk was seen in patients with low Apgar score (<6) (OR 0.7; 95% CI 0.5–1.0). This study did not detect a significant difference in maternal age, marital status, season of birth, birth order, or birth weight.

Psychosocial factors and stressful life events have been implicated as triggers for the onset of JIA.93–97 One of the earliest reported studies evaluated eight pairs of monozygotic twins discordant for chronic arthritis.94 The twin with arthritis reported significantly higher rates of psychological stressors prior to onset of arthritis compared to the healthy twin. A survey of children with chronic arthritis revealed a high rate of parental divorce, separation, or death in 28.4% as compared to 10.6% of the controls, with half of the events occurring close to the onset of JIA.95 Most recently, Neufeld et al demonstrated that a sample of 685 JIA patients were more likely to experience serious emotional hardships preceding the first clinic visit in comparison to 1,042 controls.96 RF-negative polyarticular JIA patients were more likely to experience hardship (OR 5.68) compared with oligoarticular JIA patients (OR 3.32), with parental separation or death occurring most frequently. RF-positive patients did not show the same pattern, leading to speculation that age may be a determining factor at the time of a stressful event. RF-positive children tend to be older, therefore the younger cohort may be more vulnerable to stress, rendering them more susceptible to later alterations of immune and inflammatory responses.98 Stress has been demonstrated in JIA patients to increase leukocyte production of IL-6, a potent cytokine in the pathogenesis of JIA. Although the mechanism of how stress affects the development of autoimmune diseases is unknown, peripheral blood mononuclear cells in JIA patients were shown to have increased expression of α1-adrenergic receptors compared to non-JIA patients, possibly contributing to this finding.99

Infections have a clear inciting role in some forms of arthritis, such as group A streptococcal infections with acute rheumatic fever or Chlamydia trachomatis resulting in reactive arthritis. Several pathogens can lead to a transient and usually self-limited postinfectious arthritis.100 In more chronic conditions, infections have been demonstrated to influence the development of autoimmune, as in systemic lupus erythematosus and Sjogren’s syndrome.101,102 The mechanism of how infection leads to autoimmunity is complex and multifaceted. Molecular mimicry, in which infectious agents display an epitope structurally similar to that of a self antigen, has been proposed to trigger a strong immunologic response, particularly within the synovium.103 Localized inflammatory reactions to invading pathogens can activate antigen-presenting cells, which can promote and activate autoreactive lymphocytes. Polyclonal expansion of B-cells in response to bacterial components results in a vast array of antibody production.104

By definition, JIA is idiopathic with no identifiable cause; nevertheless, several microbial agents, particularly viruses, have been associated with the onset of JIA and suggested to initiate or augment this chronic disorder. In one epidemiologic study on the incidence of JIA in Estonia, 31% of the 162 new cases of JIA had a documented infection prior to the onset of arthritis.21 Although the specific type of infection was not discussed in this study, others have observed infections with influenza A, rubella, parvovirus B19, Epstein–Barr virus (EBV), and Mycoplasma pneumoniae to correlate with chronic juvenile arthritis.37,104–107 Some studies have demonstrated persistence of infectious markers within synovial fluid.108,109 However, many reports rely on the identification of serologic antibodies to viruses, and interpretation of seropositivity can be controversial. For example, both EBV and influenza A have specific implications with regard to the pathogenesis of polyarticular JIA. One study of 50 hospitalized patients with JIA identified 44 of them to be infected with EBV, with 75% of the infected patients having polyarticular disease, leading to the conclusion that patients with EBV are at greater risk of developing juvenile arthritis.107 There was no comment on a control group. On the other hand, a study of 41 JIA patients with predominantly polyarticular disease identified a smaller cohort within the study population who were born in the same year as an influenza A epidemic.104 These patients were found to have higher influenza A antibody levels than age-matched controls and JIA patients born in other years. No elevation was found in three other control viruses. These patients developed arthritis after the appearance of a different strain of influenza A, leading the authors to suggest they had been presensitized to influenza via neonatal or prenatal exposure and reintroduction of the virus triggered the onset of JIA. These studies should be interpreted with caution since these are common infections and most people have been exposed to these pathogens at some point in their life.
Current and emerging treatment options

The number of medications used to treat polyarticular JIA has expanded in recent years due to the use of biologic therapies that selectively target the inflammatory pathway (Table 4). The goal of therapy includes disease remission, pain control, and improved functioning while balancing the side effects of medications.

In 2011, the ACR published recommendations for the treatment of JIA based on disease subtype (ie, oligoarticular disease, polyarticular disease, sacroiliac arthritis, and systemic onset JIA). However, variability in treatment of polyarticular JIA remains. Recently, the Childhood Arthritis and Rheumatology Research Alliance (CARRA) developed consensus treatment plans for new-onset polyarticular JIA to address this concern and try to optimize care based off comparative effectiveness studies.

Current treatments

Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)

NSAIDs continue to be the most commonly used class of medicine in inflammatory arthritides, including JIA. NSAIDs block prostaglandin formation via inhibition of cyclooxygenase-1 and cyclooxygenase-2, leading to both analgesic and anti-inflammatory properties. NSAID monotherapy is indicated per ACR recommendations for up to 2 months depending on disease activity. In addition, NSAIDs are often used in conjunction with disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs) and/or biologic therapy.

Glucocorticoids

Glucocorticoids have a limited role in the treatment of JIA. Steroid injections are given frequently in patients with a few involved joints (oligoarticular JIA), which can lead to sustained responses or remission without other therapy. Intra-articular steroids can also be given as adjunct therapy for children with polyarticular JIA with a few problematic joints even if on other immunosuppressive agents. Triamcinolone hexacetonide is the recommended long-acting corticosteroid, and improvement with this treatment modality should last for at least 4 months.

Systemic glucocorticoids are not routinely recommended for treatment of polyarticular JIA per ACR recommendations; however, it may be a component of early aggressive therapy for severe disease in addition to providing symptomatic relief while other medications are started. The CARRA consensus treatment plans include optional prednisone at diagnosis at the lowest possible dose in each plan in conjunction with other therapy. Recommendations are to taper quickly, by 3 months if possible. Overall, the use of steroids is limited by its side effect profile.

DMARDs

Methotrexate has historically been second-line therapy for JIA following a course of NSAIDs. However, it may be indicated as first-line therapy for severe polyarticular disease or avoided altogether for newer approaches using biologics as first- or second-line medications. Methotrexate is an antimetabolite with anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory properties. It is a folic acid analog and inhibitor of dihydrofolate reductase that interferes with purine biosynthesis. Methotrexate also inhibits adenosine deaminase leading to accumulation of adenosine, among other mechanisms. Methotrexate was first found to be effective in children with JIA through a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in 1992. Subsequent studies have demonstrated similar results and efficacy.

Leflunomide is often considered an alternative treatment option for methotrexate. Leflunomide inhibits dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, which subsequently inhibits pyrimidine synthesis, among other mechanisms. One randomized, controlled trial comparing leflunomide and methotrexate showed that both resulted in high rates of clinical improvement, but methotrexate was more effective.
A majority of patients in a different open-label study who failed to respond to, or were intolerant of, methotrexate met improvement criteria with leflunomide.\textsuperscript{117}

Several other DMARDs have been studied in JIA patients including sulfasalazine, hydroxychloroquine, penicillamine, azathioprine, cyclosporine, tacrolimus, and thalidomide.\textsuperscript{118–120} The use of these medications is not routine therapy and may be used in refractory disease or in non-polyarticular JIA in certain circumstances.\textsuperscript{54} Sulfasalazine in particular may be of benefit in the enthesitis-related subtype of JIA. In addition, combination DMARDs, which often include methotrexate, sulfasalazine, and hydroxychloroquine, are used in the treatment of RA and were recently found to be noninferior to a combination of TNF inhibitor and methotrexate in a double-blind trial in adults.\textsuperscript{121} These options may be useful when biologics are cost prohibitive.

**Biologics**

**TNF inhibitors**

TNF inhibitors are one of the best studied biologic medications for the treatment of JIA. TNF\textsubscript{α} is a proinflammatory cytokine elevated in the serum and synovial fluid of JIA patients.\textsuperscript{112,125–129} Three specific medications – etanercept, infliximab, and adalimumab – have demonstrated efficacy in randomized, placebo-controlled trials in JIA. This class of medicine is recommended after incomplete response to methotrexate per 2011 guidelines.\textsuperscript{54} However, two other randomized clinical trials evaluated the use of biologics early in the disease course as aggressive therapy for polyarticular JIA.\textsuperscript{110,126} One study found the treatment group with infliximab plus methotrexate was superior to combination DMARD therapy and solo methotrexate.\textsuperscript{126} The other study noted a substantial portion of patients treated aggressively with etanercept, methotrexate, and prednisolone had clinical remission on medication within 12 months, although the primary study endpoint was not met.\textsuperscript{110} Currently anti-TNF agents are recommended after methotrexate except for cases of severe disease.

Etanercept is a human, dimeric soluble protein that contains the human p75 TNF receptor fused to the immunoglobulin G Fc domain.\textsuperscript{112} Lovell et al published the first randomized, double-blind trial of etanercept for treatment of polyarticular JIA in 2000 with promising results.\textsuperscript{125} This initial study, along with others, suggests approximately 70% of children will respond to etanercept.\textsuperscript{112} A prospective observational study reported greater responses to etanercept in subjects with low baseline disability scores, prior DMARD use, and younger age of disease onset.\textsuperscript{127}

Infliximab is a chimeric antibody that specifically binds soluble and membrane-bound TNF\textsubscript{α}.\textsuperscript{112,128} A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of methotrexate and infliximab for the treatment of polyarticular JIA did not meet its primary outcome; however, an improvement in the majority of patients was noted at 1 year.\textsuperscript{128} Adalimumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody that binds to TNF. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial in 2008 was performed on polyarticular JIA patients taking adalimumab with or without methotrexate and noted statistically significant improvements.\textsuperscript{129}

**Abatacept**

Abatacept is a human fusion protein of the Fc portion of immunoglobulin G and CTLA-4, which binds to CD80/86 and blocks a crucial step in T-cell activation.\textsuperscript{112} Abatacept was recommended for polyarticular disease after failure of a TNF inhibitor after 4 months of therapy.\textsuperscript{54} However, the recent consensus treatment plans suggest using abatacept as an alternative to a TNF inhibitor following DMARD therapy or early in the disease.\textsuperscript{13} Ruperto et al published the first randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled withdrawal trial on abatacept for treatment of children with JIA who failed previous treatments and noted statistically decreased number of flares of arthritis in the abatacept group.\textsuperscript{130} Improvements in health-related quality of life were also observed in JIA patients treated with abatacept during a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial.\textsuperscript{131}

**IL-6 inhibitor**

Tocilizumab is a monoclonal antibody to the IL-6 receptor. IL-6 is a proinflammatory cytokine that has been correlated with disease activity, particularly in systemic JIA.\textsuperscript{112} Although more studies exist on its treatment of systemic JIA, tocilizumab is also approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for treatment of polyarticular disease.\textsuperscript{132,133} A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of tocilizumab on JIA patients with a polyarticular course was found to be efficacious with sustained clinical improvement over 2 years.\textsuperscript{134,135}

**Rituximab**

Rituximab is a chimeric monoclonal antibody that binds the B-cell CD20 receptor, thus depleting B-cells.\textsuperscript{112} Rituximab is recommended as a possible treatment option after failure of other biologics or earlier in the disease course for aggressive management of polyarticular disease.\textsuperscript{9,54} Efficacy was noted in one clinical study of rituximab on JIA patients refractory to other therapy.\textsuperscript{136}
Other

Other biologic therapies utilized for JIA included intravenous immunoglobulin and IL-1 inhibitors. Intravenous immunoglobulin, which is prepared from pooled human plasma, showed a short duration of benefit in a clinical study of polyarticular JIA. IL-1 inhibitors have been shown to be effective in adult RA, but a randomized multicenter study in polyarticular-course JIA patients reported a trend toward reduction in disease flares that did not reach significance compared to placebo, possibly due to limited enrollment. IL-1 inhibitors, however, have shown significant efficacy in treatment of systemic onset JIA. Specific IL-1 inhibitors recommended for systemic JIA treatment include anakinra, canakinumab, and rilonacept.

Emerging treatments

Additional DMARD and biologic agents are being developed and studied every year. Two newer TNF inhibitors – certolizumab pegol and golimub – have been studied more extensively in RA with promising results. A multicenter, open-label study of certolizumab pegol is underway in children with severe polyarticular-course JIA. Similarly, a randomized, double-blind study is ongoing for golimub treatment in polyarticular JIA with results at 48 weeks not reaching its primary endpoint; however, improvements were noted on an imaging substudy.

Tofacitinib, a JAK inhibitor that blocks signaling of multiple cytokines, has shown promising results and is approved for the treatment of RA. A long-term open-label study of tofacitinib is currently enrolling JIA patients to assess safety and tolerability of this medicine in the pediatric population. Another JAK1 selective inhibitor, GLPG0634, has undergone Phase II trials in adults and is currently enrolling patients for an open-label, long-term, follow-up study for the treatment of moderate to severe RA.

An oral histone deacetylase inhibitor, givinostat, has also been studied in RA and JIA. Additional medications specifically studied in adult RA with recent Phase II/III studies include a CCR1 antagonist (CCX354-C), a Syk inhibitor (fostamatinib), and anti-IL-17 antibody (ixekizumab).

Future implications and conclusion

Research on JIA has lagged behind that of adult onset RA and other autoimmune disorders of childhood, in part perhaps because of the early belief that juvenile arthritis was an extension of adult RA. Similarly, with JIA being a relatively uncommon disease, the evolving and inconsistent nomenclature made it difficult to extrapolate epidemiologic data between smaller populations or for research centers to share information. Now with a seemingly widespread accepted nomenclature for JIA using the ILAR criteria, efforts have focused on the formation of patient registries to generate large-scale study populations. The advent of such networks is helping to identify patient populations at risk for JIA to determine genetic and environmental influences on its pathogenesis, and to establish treatment outcomes given the expanding arsenal of medications currently available to treat this disorder.

The ChiIlthhood Arthritis Risk factor Identification sTudY (CLARITY) is an Australian biobank aimed at investigating early-life exposures and patient environment at disease onset. Blood specimens are prospectively collected for genetic and biomarker analysis at the time of enrollment. On a grander scale, the Pediatric Arthritis INternational Trials Organization (PRINTO) has begun collecting data for the EPiEpidemiology, treatment, and Outcomes of Childhood Arthritis (EPOCA) study. This multinational collaboration is focused on characterizing and comparing the frequency of JIA subtypes in different countries and different continents. Additional objectives of the EPOCA study are defining the therapeutic approaches adopted by pediatric rheumatologists practicing in diverse areas and describing the disease and health status of children with JIA currently being followed worldwide.

CARRA is a North American multicenter collaborative effort focused on the prevention and treatment of JIA. Utilizing shared patient registries to analyze treatment outcomes, this organization has taken patient care beyond that of just characterizing treatment strategies. Since its introduction in 2002, CARRA has generated a number of consensus treatment plans for JIA as a means to standardize therapy. The most recent protocol regarding polyarticular JIA has been outlined in this review article.

In conclusion, most of the knowledge of polyarticular JIA is still evolving. The epidemiology of JIA is highly variable and studies are in progress to better define this disease. Research continues on the genetics and environment to better identify the pathophysiological influences. Treatment has improved greatly with new focus on standardizing treatments based on JIA subtype. In addition, newer agents are proving to be successful and promising for what was once a debilitating disorder.
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