Role of vitamins in cardiovascular health and disease
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Abstract: Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of mortality around the world. The prevention of CVD is a main goal of health care. Vitamins, especially those with antioxidant potency, may have a role in the prevention of and therapy for CVD. Antioxidant vitamins, vitamin C, vitamin E, and carotenoids are able to decrease the rate of oxidative stress, which may have a principal role in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis and CVD. Vitamin B6, vitamin B12, and folic acid, as well as vitamin D, also contribute to maintenance of cardiovascular health and can induce cardioprotective effects. Although most of the randomized controlled trials on vitamins failed to show the benefit of vitamin supplementation for cardiovascular outcomes, a number of observational and cohort studies, meta-analyses, and stratified analyses of large vitamin trials appeared to show an effect in some aspects of cardiovascular prevention. Moreover, many of the vitamin trials for secondary prevention are biased by use of vitamins and drugs effective for cardiovascular prevention; therefore, the conclusions drawn from them supporting the effectiveness of vitamin substitution for the prevention of CVD may be inappropriate. To summarize, there are abundant data suggesting the role of vitamins in cardiovascular health and in the primary prevention of CVD; however, examinations using new concepts and new study designs are needed to establish the effectiveness of vitamin supplementation in the therapy for and prevention of CVD.
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Introduction
Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a major public health problem and the leading cause of mortality around the world. Development of CVD leads to a decline of quality of life. Therefore, prevention, particularly primary prevention of CVD, is one of the most important aims of health care. Since atherosclerosis constitutes the basis of CVD, elimination of the modifiable risk factors of atherosclerosis – cigarette smoking, hypertension, diabetes, obesity, elevated level of cholesterol and homocysteine (HCY), stress, overconsumption of alcohol, and lack of physical exercise – and the maintenance of a healthy lifestyle, including stress management and healthy eating with satisfactory vitamin supply, comes into consideration in the prevention of atherosclerosis and CVD. Regarding the cardiovascular system, beriberi was the first illness where the deficiency of a vitamin – vitamin B1 – has been shown as the principal cause of pathological symptoms in the heart and vascular system.

The vitamins related to the cardiovascular system and cardiovascular health may be investigated from two points of view. A suitable and efficient supply of all...
vitamins is essential to normal life and the normal functions of the cells and organs. On the other hand, there are vitamins which, beyond their primary role, may also have important roles in both the prevention of and therapy for CVD and other diseases. This group includes antioxidant vitamins (vitamin C, vitamin E, and carotenoids), folic acid (FA), vitamins B6 and B12 from the vitamin B group, vitamin D, and coenzyme Q10. The main question to be addressed is whether vitamins ingested above physiological needs as defined by the recommended dietary intake, ie, vitamin substitution therapy, have benefit in cardiovascular prevention (CVP) and/or therapy of CVD. This question is very important and should be urgently addressed, because if the benefit of vitamin substitution therapy can be proven, substitution therapy could be a cheap and easy way to decrease the occurrence of CVD events.

A number of publications suggest that vitamins are capable of improving the function of the heart and vessels. On the other hand, many contradictions and inconsistent results cause conflicts because of the very abundance of data produced. In vitro investigations, animal experiments, and clinical examinations suggest the beneficial effects of vitamins in the inhibition of atherogenesis and atherosclerosis progression. Moreover, the results of observational and cohort studies, and some clinical trials have shown the benefit of vitamin supplementation. However, most large randomized controlled trials (RCTs) could not prove the effectiveness of vitamins in CVP. In spite of the benefits shown in observational and cohort studies, vitamin substitution therapy has been ruled out and neglected due to the results of large vitamin trials, which have been considered to be “negative”. However, in the last 5 years, many of these “negative trials” have been revised, and since the results of revised subgroup and stratified analyses revealed the efficacy of vitamins in some aspects of prevention, vitamins may not be claimed as “without effects” any more. The results of meta-analyses of large vitamin trials and studies also showed the role of vitamin substitution in the prevention of CVD. It appears that the assessment of vitamin substitution is changing, although official guidelines still do not support the introduction of vitamin substitution for CVP. Publications of the last few years also revealed that the results of large vitamin trials might have been biased by confounding factors. For this reason, the conclusions drawn from them may be inappropriate. In this review, we investigate the role of vitamins and vitamin substitution in cardiovascular health and their possible roles in therapy for CVD, and CVP.

Role of antioxidant vitamins in CVP
First, we examine the relationship between antioxidant vitamins (vitamin C, vitamin E, and carotenoids) and the pathogenesis, therapy, and prevention of CVD. Considering the concept of oxidative stress and the biochemical aspects of antioxidants and antioxidant vitamins, we refer the reader to comprehensive reviews. Oxidative stress results from an imbalance between oxidants and antioxidants where oxidants are in excess of antioxidants. The oxidative stress generated by reactive oxygen species – eg hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), and the free radicals superoxide (O2–) and hydroxyl radical (HO·) – and reactive nitrogen species, such as peroxynitrite (ONOO–), plays a central role in the pathogenesis of CVD.

When investigating the relationship between CVD and antioxidant vitamins, it should be taken into account that pathological changes leading to CVD develop in the endothelial cell line. The endothelium is not only the “wallpaper” of the vasculature, but the endothelial cells also communicate with all of the circulating cells and participate in the regulation of their behavior. An intact endothelium is the prerequisite for normal functioning of the vascular system. According to the contemporary view, atherogenesis originates from endothelial dysfunction caused by oxidative damage of the endothelial cells. Oxidative stress-induced injury of endothelial cells by free radicals is associated with inflammatory processes that lead to production of various cytokines, which participate in inflammatory reactions through the activation of inflammatory mediators. Oxidative stress activates the transcription factor nuclear factor-κB (NFκB) through superoxide, and induces the expression of inflammatory mediators involved in inflammation of the vessel wall.

Antioxidant vitamins are in close association with cardiovascular health, and are also implicated in ameliorating cardiovascular disorders. Abundant data suggest a role of antioxidant vitamins in the normal functioning of the heart and the vascular system. The role of antioxidant vitamins in maintaining cardiovascular health is dual: they have a preventive potential and also a therapeutic effect, because they are able to cure pathological disturbances of the cardiovascular system by inhibiting oxidative stress.

Clinical research suggesting antioxidant vitamins’ beneficial effects in CVP
The effects of antioxidant vitamins have been investigated in clinical trials and studies with dietary supplementation of vitamin C, vitamin E, and carotenoids. The findings obtained
from these trials and studies on the role of antioxidant vitamins in CVD prevention and therapy are inconclusive. In addition to the trials and studies considered “negative”, there are “successful” trials and studies, where antioxidant vitamins had beneficial effects in the prevention of CVD.

Cohort studies conducted 20 years ago already suggested the role of vitamins in CVP. In a study by Stampfer et al, in which 87,245 female nurses free from CVD were followed up for 8 years, vitamin E intake reduced the risk of coronary heart disease (CHD) by 34%. In a cohort study, 16-year follow-up of female nurses showed an inverse association between intake of vitamin C and risk of CHD, with a 27% risk reduction. In the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition study, plasma vitamin C concentration was inversely associated with the risk of heart failure in 10,299 subjects. According to this study, plasma levels of vitamin C may predict the incidence of heart failure. There was also a strong association between blood pressure and plasma levels of vitamin C in 20,926 participants. Subjects with higher vitamin C plasma levels had lower blood pressure. In a meta-analysis of 29 trials, short-term supplementation with vitamin C resulted in a reduction of both the systolic and the diastolic blood pressure (−4.85 mmHg and −1.67 mmHg, respectively). The median dose of vitamin C was 500 mg/day, and the median duration of the examination was 8 weeks.

In the Supplementation in Vitamins and Mineral Antioxidants Study (SU.VI.MAX), the combination of vitamin C, vitamin E, β-carotene, selenium, and zinc decreased the risk of ischemic CVD by 11%. Total mortality was also decreased in men by 36%, as a result of 8 years of supplementation. In another study in 39,910 subjects free of CHD, vitamin E intake (60 IU/day) decreased the risk of CHD by 36%. Men with intake of vitamin E of 100 IU/day for 2 years had a higher reduction in the risk of CHD. In the Cambridge Heart Antioxidant Study (CHAOS), supplementation with vitamin E reduced the risk of cardiovascular death and nonfatal myocardial infarction (MI) by 47% (41 versus 64 events).

In the Antioxidant Supplementation in Atherosclerosis Prevention Prevention study (ASAP), a randomized trial, supplementation with vitamin C and vitamin E reduced the progression of carotid atherosclerosis by 74% in men compared with placebo. Also in the ASAP study, the progression of carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT) was decreased by supplementation with a combination of vitamin C and vitamin E during 6-year follow-up in subjects with hypercholesterolemia.

In the Secondary Prevention with Antioxidants of Cardiovascular disease in Endstage renal disease study (SPACE), a randomized placebo-controlled trial in hemodialysis patients with pre-existing CVD, vitamin E supplementation (800 IU/day) reduced the incidence of CVD endpoints and MI. The Japan Collaborative Cohort Study for Evaluation of Cancer Risk (JACC) examined the effect of vitamin C intake on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality after 16.5-year follow-up. An inverse association between vitamin C intake and morbidity and mortality from stroke, CHD, and CVD was found for women.

Knekt et al conducted an analysis of nine prospective studies on the effects of vitamin C, vitamin E, and carotenoids on CHD risk. The analysis included 293,172 subjects who were free of CHD at the start of the vitamin supplementation and who were followed up for 10 years. The results showed that vitamin C intake of >700 mg/day decreased the risk of CHD and mortality by 25% compared with those who went without vitamin C supplementation.

The Women’s Antioxidant Cardiovascular Study (WACS) was planned to investigate the effects of vitamin C, vitamin E, and β-carotene on the combined outcome of MI, stroke, coronary revascularization, and CVD death among 8,171 female health professionals at increased risk and with a history of CVD or CVD risk factors. The subjects were followed up for a mean duration of 9.4 years. Despite 26%–27% of the members of all groups being multivitamin users, which might have caused bias, the vitamin E treatment led to a 21% decrease of the relative risk (RR) of ischemic stroke and a 20% decrease of RR for nonfatal stroke. Censoring participants on noncompliance led to a significant 23% reduction in the primary endpoints, and in the secondary endpoints there was a 22% reduction in MI, a 27% reduction in stroke, a 9% reduction in CVD mortality, and a 23% reduction in the combination of MI, stroke, and CVD deaths.

Hodis et al showed that there was an association between supplementary vitamin E intake and angiographically demonstrated reduction in coronary artery lesion progression. Subjects with supplementary vitamin E intake of 100 IU/day or greater, demonstrated less coronary artery lesion progression than subjects with supplementary vitamin E intake of less than 100 IU/day. Increased intake of vitamin C was inversely associated with CIMT progression during 3 years of follow-up.

The key role of oxidative stress in the pathogenesis of post-cardiac surgery atrial fibrillation has been clearly demonstrated. Oxidative stress plays a role in the development of rhythm disturbances in the early postoperative period after coronary artery bypass grafting. Administration of vitamin C in the first 24 hours after the operation was effective.
in preventing disturbances of cardiac rhythm. Vitamin C in combination with β-blockers significantly reduced the incidence of postoperative atrial fibrillation in patients with bypass grafting surgery compared with the administration of β-blockers alone. Supplementation with vitamin C reduced the incidence of post-coronary artery bypass grafting atrial fibrillation, and decreased the time needed for rhythm restoration and length of hospital stay.

Antioxidant vitamin studies seemed to be ineffective in CVP

In the last decade several clinical trials and studies were conducted that could not show beneficial effects of antioxidant vitamin supplementation in CVD prevention. These trials and studies, which were declared “negative” (ie, finished without effect), are described in this section. The Physicians’ Health Study II (PHSII) examined the effects of vitamin E and C supplementation in CVP. According to the conclusions of the trial, “neither vitamin E nor vitamin C supplementation reduced the risk of major cardiovascular events.” However, the baseline characteristics showed that both in the active (vitamin) and in the placebo group, the percentage of current aspirin users was 77.4%. Aspirin usage may have caused a bias, which may have led to incorrect evaluation of the results. Apart from the possibly biased results, the subgroup analysis showed that the total MI was 13% less in the vitamin E (active) group compared with the placebo group, and MI deaths were 36% less in the active group than in the placebo group. Moreover, stroke deaths were 24% less in the vitamin E group than in the placebo group. Therefore, PHSII should not be considered a “negative” trial.

In the Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della Sopravvivenza nell’Infarto miocardico (GISSI)-Prevenzione trial, 11,324 patients with recent MI were supplemented with vitamin E for 3–5 years. Vitamin E treatment resulted in beneficial effects for the combined endpoints, cardiovascular death (RR = 0.80), cardiac death (RR = 0.77), coronary death (RR = 0.75), and sudden death (RR = 0.65), although these results were not expressed in the findings, and in the interpretation one may read that vitamin E supplementation had no benefit.

In the Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation (HOPE) and HOPE-TOO (The Ongoing Outcomes) trials, the effect of vitamin E substitution was examined in subjects with angina pectoris, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, diabetes mellitus, previous MI, stroke, coronary artery bypass graft surgery, and percutaneous coronary intervention. However, the patients had been taking β-blockers, diuretics, aspirin or antiplatelet agents, lipid-lowering agents, and calcium channel blockers. It is not therefore surprising that vitamin E supplementation had no further effects beyond that of the medications in this secondary prevention study on cardiovascular outcomes.

The MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study was a secondary prevention trial. In this trial, 20,536 adults were enrolled. Prior to supplementation they had CVD, or diabetes, or hypertension, and approximately 20% of the subjects were being treated with simvastatin. Administration of vitamin E, vitamin C, and β-carotene for 5 years did not produce any significant reduction in mortality, which is not surprising considering the previous pathological background.

In the Women’s Health Study (WHS), 39,876 healthy women were randomly assigned to receive vitamin E (600 IU of natural source on alternate days) or placebo, or aspirin or placebo, and were followed up for an average of 10.1 years. From the baseline characteristics, it appeared that multivitamin use was 39.2% in the active (vitamin) group and 38.4% in the placebo groups. Therefore, the results might have been biased. Moreover, previous MI had occurred in 13% and 12.9% in the vitamin and placebo groups, respectively. The data from this large vitamin trial indicated no benefit for major cardiovascular events; however, cardiovascular death decreased by a significant 24% (RR = 0.76) in the active (vitamin E) group. In the secondary trials and studies performed in subjects after the development of sclerotic plaques, MI, or stroke, where the cardiac/vessel structure has degenerated, preventive effects from antioxidant vitamin supplementation cannot be expected. Antioxidant vitamins may contribute to the prevention of atherogenesis by inhibiting oxidative stress and endothelial dysfunction; therefore, vitamins may be important first of all in primary prevention, but they are not capable of bringing about pharmacological effects to remodel degenerated vessel structure. If vitamin E still shows efficacy in secondary prevention, it is probably due to its antiplatelet activity. Moreover, the effectiveness of antioxidant vitamins in secondary prevention may be related to the inhibition of atherosclerosis progression, which can be observed only in long-term investigation.

The Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention Study (ATBC) was primarily designed to obtain data on the incidence of lung cancer in case of α-tocopherol and β-carotene supplementation. The secondary aim was to evaluate the effect on other cancers, all-cause mortality, and CVD in randomized, placebo-controlled trials. In one ATBC publication, Virtamo et al investigated the effect of vitamin E and β-carotene supplementation on major coronary events.
in 27,271 Finnish male smokers with no history of MI. In this primary prevention trial, major coronary events decreased by 4%, and the incidence of fatal CHD decreased by 8% among those taking vitamin E, but β-carotene had no effect. In another placebo-controlled ATBC trial Törnwall et al. examined the 6-year post-trial effects of α-tocopherol (50 mg/day) and β-carotene (20 mg/day) in 29,133 male smokers. The intervention lasted 5–8 years, and the participants were followed up post trial for 6 years. During vitamin supplementation, the risk of fatal CHD increased by 44% among those who had been taking β-carotene compared with those who did not, whereas β-carotene had no post-trial effect on fatal CHD or nonfatal recurrent MI.

The effect of α-tocopherol and β-carotene supplements on the incidence of stroke and mortality in 28,519 male cigarette smokers without history of stroke was investigated in another ATBC publication. The median follow-up was 6 years. α-tocopherol supplementation increased the risk of subarachnoid hemorrhage but decreased the risk of cerebral infarction. According to the authors’ opinion, the effects may be due to the antiplatelet actions of α-tocopherol. However, it should be noted that during the use of aspirin the effect of vitamin E on the incidence of hemorrhagic stroke cannot be assessed, since both aspirin and vitamin E inhibit platelet aggregation, and there is no information on aspirin use in the publication. Another ATBC trial, by Leppilä et al. examined the effect of α-tocopherol and β-carotene in 29,133 male smokers. It was established that vitamin E supplementation might prevent ischemic stroke in hypertensive patients.

B vitamins in cardiovascular health

A number of investigations have indicated the beneficial effects of FA and a vitamin B₁₂ complex on the cardiovascular system. B vitamins (FA, vitamin B₆, and vitamin B₁₂) may play a role in CVP. FA inhibits HCY-induced superoxide production, NFκB activation, and monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1 expression in macrophages; thus, FA may attenuate the atherogenicity of HCY investigated in vitro. FA improves endothelial function and endothelial dysfunction, inhibits intracellular superoxide generation, and increases the half-life of nitric oxide (NO). The vitamin B complex was found to increase flow-mediated endothelial-dependent vasodilation in subjects with hyperhomocysteinemia. It is well established that FA lowers plasma HCY concentration, and at the same time, it improves endothelial function. Furthermore, FA also improves endothelial function independently from its HCY-lowering effect. Vitamin B₆ is a powerful antioxidant vitamin that can prevent low-density lipoprotein-induced impairment of endothelial cell NO generation. The cardioprotective effect of FA supplementation has been shown in MI in mice and rats. FA plays a role in the regulation of endothelial NO synthase (eNOS) activity, increases the bioavailability and stabilization of tetrahydrobiopterin (the cofactor of eNOS), and supports eNOS in maintaining its coupled state to generate NO. eNOS uncoupling, when eNOS is engaged to generate oxygen-based free radicals, plays a major role in the development of CVD.

Beneficial effects of B vitamins in CVP

FA supplementation reduced CIMT and its progression in atherosclerotic subjects, in kidney diseases including renal transplant recipients, in chronic hemodialysis patients, and in cases of increased levels of HCY. An inverse association has been found between dietary FA intake and the risk of acute coronary events. Low dietary FA intake is associated with an excess incidence of acute coronary events and stroke; on the other hand, high serum FA concentrations are associated with a reduced incidence of acute coronary events. Low FA serum levels may be a risk factor of CVD and stroke, and an increased intake of FA has been associated with a decreased risk of MI. Following the introduction of the FA food fortification program in North America, the incidence of CVD, particularly the events of MI and stroke mortality, decreased.

Rimm et al. examined the role of FA and vitamin B₆ intake on the incidence of nonfatal MI and fatal CHD in 80,082 women with no previous history of CVD. After 14 years of follow-up, the risk of CHD was reduced by 24% in women who regularly used multiple vitamins (median intake of FA 0.696 mg/day, vitamin B₆ 4.6 mg/day). Findings from this study indicate that use of low-dose multivitamin supplements may play a role in the primary prevention of MI.

Further studies suggest that FA intake may aid in the primary prevention of MI. A Swedish study, the Stockholm Heart Epidemiology Program (SHEEP) found that daily use of multivitamin supplementation (containing 400 µg FA) was inversely related to the risk of MI. The findings of SHEEP have been confirmed in a population-based cohort of Swedish women. In this study, the use of multivitamins (containing 400 µg FA) was inversely associated with MI, especially for long-term use among women with no previous CVD (31,671 cases). However, this inverse association was not shown in the CVD group (2,262 cases). The reduction of MI risk was 30% in women with no history of CVD, and for multivitamin use over 5 years the risk reduction reached 41%.
The Kuopio Ischemic Heart Disease Risk Factor Study (KIHD)\textsuperscript{2} examined the association between dietary intake of FA, vitamin B\textsubscript{6}, and vitamin B\textsubscript{12} and the risk of acute coronary events. FA intake was split into fifths. It was found that subjects in the highest fifth (level) of FA intake had an RR of acute coronary events of 0.45 compared with those in the lowest fifth. An increased intake of FA has been associated with a decreased risk of ischemic stroke in men. In 43,732 men free of CVD and diabetes at baseline, the intake of FA was associated with a significantly lower risk of ischemic but not hemorrhagic stroke. After 14 years of follow-up, the risk of ischemic stroke decreased by 29%.\textsuperscript{62}

In another study,\textsuperscript{71} increased FA intake was also associated with a decreased risk of ischemic stroke in men. The association of dietary FA, vitamin B\textsubscript{6}, and vitamin B\textsubscript{12} intake with the risk of stroke subtypes was examined among 26,556 male Finnish smokers.\textsuperscript{74} It was found that during a mean follow-up of 13.6 years, a high FA intake was accompanied by a statistically significantly lower risk of cerebral infarction but not intracerebral or subarachnoid hemorrhage.

**B vitamin trials which failed to show beneficial effects in CVP**

In spite of the fact that B vitamins could provide an inexpensive and effective method for CVD prevention, the use of B vitamins for the primary prevention was rejected on the basis of the results of recently published, large randomized clinical vitamin trials. We discuss below the trials that did not show the benefit of vitamin B supplementation.

The Norwegian Vitamin Trial (NORVIT)\textsuperscript{73} included 3,749 men and women who had had an acute MI within 7 days before randomization. Subjects in both the placebo and active (vitamin) groups had been taking statins and other drugs. Patients were randomly assigned to treatment with 0.8 mg FA, 0.4 mg vitamin B\textsubscript{12}, or 40 mg vitamin B\textsubscript{6}. The primary endpoint during a median follow-up of 40 months was a composite of recurrent MI, stroke, and sudden death attributed to coronary artery disease. Treatment with the three B vitamins in combination did not lower the risk of recurrent CVD after acute MI.

The Study of the Effectiveness of Additional Reductions in Cholesterol and Homocysteine trial (SEARCH),\textsuperscript{76} a double-blind RCT, was done on 12,064 survivors of MI. It showed that 2 mg FA plus 1 mg vitamin B\textsubscript{12} daily did not have beneficial effects on vascular outcomes versus matched placebo. The Western Norway B Vitamin Intervention Trial (WENBIT),\textsuperscript{77} also a double-blind RCT, was conducted in two university hospitals in Western Norway. A total of 3,096 adult participants undergoing coronary angiography were randomized. At baseline, 59.3% had double- or triple-vessel disease, 83.7% had stable angina pectoris, and 14.9% had acute coronary syndromes. This trial did not find an effect of treatment with FA/vitamin B\textsubscript{12} or vitamin B\textsubscript{6} on total mortality or cardiovascular events.

In the Women’s Antioxidant and Folic Acid Cardiovascular Study (WAFACS),\textsuperscript{67} 5,442 female US health professionals with either a history of CVD or three or more coronary risk factors were enrolled in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial to receive a combination of FA, vitamin B\textsubscript{6}, and vitamin B\textsubscript{12}. After 7.3 years of follow-up, the secondary outcome MI was reduced (RR =0.87), but stroke and CVD mortality were not.

In the Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation 2 Study (HOPE 2),\textsuperscript{79} 5,522 patients with previous vascular disease or diabetes were treated with 2.5 mg FA, 50 mg vitamin B\textsubscript{6}, and 1 mg vitamin B\textsubscript{12} for an average of 5 years. B vitamin therapy did not reduce the risk of major cardiovascular events (death from cardiovascular causes and MI) in patients with vascular disease. The HOPE 2 Study has been declared “negative”, despite a 25% reduction in stroke risk reported in the results, which has not been included in the conclusions.

In the Homocysteine Study (HOST),\textsuperscript{79} 2,056 patients with advanced chronic kidney disease and endstage renal disease received 40 mg FA, 100 mg vitamin B\textsubscript{6}, and 2 mg vitamin B\textsubscript{12} or placebo. In the case history of the patients, congestive heart failure, angina, MI, coronary artery bypass graft surgery, diabetes, stroke, and percutaneous coronary angioplasty or stenting were indicated. Moreover, prior to vitamin supplementation, patients were treated with lipid-lowering and antiplatelet drugs, aspirin, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, \(\beta\)-blockers, and other drugs. During 3.2 years of follow-up, there was no improvement in survival or reduction in the incidence of vascular disease.

**B vitamin studies with positive output after meta- or subgroup analysis and stratification**

Many of the “negative” B vitamin studies and trials have been recently subjected to meta-, subgroup, or stratified analyses, which revealed beneficial effects of vitamin supplementation.

In a detailed analysis of the HOPE 2 Study, Saposnik et al\textsuperscript{80} showed that the positive impact of vitamin therapy on overall stroke risk was most pronounced in subjects aged less than 70 years, in those with untreated hyperlipidemia, in those not receiving antiplatelet medication, and in
those with hyperhomocysteinemia or residing in a country where FA fortification was not introduced. As a result of vitamin substitution therapy, the largest reduction in stroke risk was found in cases where the concentration of HCY prior to supplementation had been in the highest range (HCY >13.8 µmol/L), and in this subgroup the absolute reduction of stroke risk reached 4.1%.

A meta- and stratified analysis\(^1\) of eight randomized clinical trials of vitamin B confirmed that FA supplementation could significantly reduce the risk of stroke in primary prevention. The reduction in stroke risk was 29% when FA supplementation exceeded 36 months, 25% in subjects from regions free from FA fortification, and 25% among individuals without a history of stroke.

Bazzano et al\(^2\) performed a meta-analysis of 12 RCTs that examined the effect of FA supplementation on the risk of CVD, stroke, and all-cause mortality in 16,958 subjects with pre-existing vascular disease. FA supplementation did not reduce the CVD risk or all-cause mortality among participants with a prior history of vascular disease. However, in another meta-analysis of the same trials, Wang et al\(^3\) found that the effect of FA supplementation on the outcome of stroke became significant when the Vitamin Intervention for Stroke Prevention trial (VISP)\(^4\) was excluded. Moreover, the subgroup analysis of these trials showed that the RR for stroke in case of pre-existing CVD was 0.89, and the RR for stroke with pre-existing endstage renal disease was 0.68.\(^4\)

In the VISP trial,\(^5\) 3,680 adults with non-disabling cerebral infarction were studied. The patients were randomly assigned to high- or low-dose composition of FA, vitamin B\(_{12}\), and vitamin B\(_6\). There was a persistent and graded association between the baseline total HCY level and the outcome. Administration of large doses of FA, vitamin B\(_6\), and vitamin B\(_{12}\), which lowered plasma HCY levels, did not improve survival during a median of 3.2 years of follow-up. However, a 3 µmol/L lower total HCY level was associated with a 10% lower risk of stroke, a 26% lower risk of CHD events, and a 16% lower risk of death in the low-dose group. The subgroup analysis of this trial by Spence and Stampfer\(^6\) revealed that the incidence of stroke, death, and coronary events decreased by 21% in the subgroup in which the cases with vitamin B\(_{12}\) levels <250 or >637 pmol/L were excluded.

The Linxian Nutrition Intervention Trial\(^7\) did not show significant benefit of vitamin supplementation on the risk of death due to cerebrovascular disease until 24 months, but after 6 years of vitamin supplementation the risk reduction reached 37%. This trial represents a good example that shows how short intervention periods with vitamins do not permit definitive conclusions about risk reduction, considering that the process of atherogenesis could take decades from plaque development to clinical events.

A meta-analysis of 13 RCTs by Lee et al\(^8\) investigated the effect of FA supplementation on stroke risk in 39,005 subjects. The reduction of stroke events in non-secondary prevention trials was 11%. However, applying a combination of FA plus vitamins B\(_6\) and B\(_{12}\) resulted in a 17% risk reduction. FA therapy reduced the risk of CVD in patients with advanced chronic kidney disease by 15%, but a greater (better) effect was observed in cases of no FA fortification, or a decrease in HCY levels of >20%.\(^9\) In another study,\(^10\) supplementation with 5 mg FA daily or every other day decreased cardiovascular events in dialysis patients during 871 days of follow-up. This trial showed for the first time that FA therapy decreased cardiovascular events in dialysis patients.

Vitamin B supplements have been shown to reduce the risk of peripheral artery disease (PAD). In a prospective study\(^11\) of 46,036 male health professionals free of PAD, a 400 µg/day FA intake decreased the risk of PAD by 21%. Higher intake of FA (840 µg/day) resulted in a 33% lower risk compared with those who consumed 244 µg/day of FA.\(^12\)

Since vitamin substitution therapies ameliorate many of the parameters indicating the progression of atherosclerosis, it could be expected that their benefit would be reflected in the results of clinical trials. However, it seems that vitamin B complex supplementation was not effective in the prevention of CVD in the majority of the large B vitamin trials. An explanation emerges when examining the design of these trials. It appears that their protocols neglected an essential fact, ie, the impact of confounding factors, for instance: 1) concomitant use of lipid-lowering medications (eg, statins), antiplatelet drugs (eg, aspirin), or other medications; and 2) FA intake, which might have led to bias and inappropriate interpretation of the data.\(^13\)

Moreover, it must be emphasized that large vitamin trials are secondary or tertiary prevention trials, which are not suitable for obtaining correct, results-based conclusions on the primary prevention of CVD. According to a US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) evidence synthesis report:

All trials to date have been of tertiary prevention, and conducted among individuals with prevalent CHD, cerebrovascular disease or diabetes. Whether treatment of elevated HCY levels before an individual develops vascular disease will be beneficial is not resolved by these trials of tertiary prevention.\(^14\)
Role of vitamin D in cardiovascular health

Vitamin D (25-hydroxyvitamin D) is an essential vitamin in bone metabolism; however, there is growing evidence that vitamin D also plays a key role in maintaining cardiovascular health. The vitamin D receptor\(^2\) and enzymes for vitamin D metabolism\(^93,94\) are expressed in vascular and myocardial cells, indicating that vitamin D mediates effects in the cardiovascular system. Vitamin D induces its cardiovascular effects by reducing the activity of the renin-angiotensin system\(^9\) and inflammation,\(^96\) by lowering blood pressure,\(^97\) and reducing the risk of type II diabetes mellitus.\(^98\) An association has been shown between vitamin D deficiency and CVD, all-cause premature death, heart failure,\(^99\) coronary artery disease, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus.\(^100\) In addition, low serum levels of vitamin D – evident in approximately 30% to 50% of the general population\(^101,102\) – are considered to be a risk factor for CVD\(^103\) and PAD.\(^104,105\)

In the Framingham Offspring Study,\(^106\) the rate of major CVD events was 53% to 80% higher in subjects with low vitamin D levels. According to the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) III study,\(^107\) which had a follow-up of 8.7 years, mortality was inversely associated with vitamin D levels. This finding is supported by the examination of 10,170 subjects,\(^108\) by a meta-analysis of 73 cohort studies and 22 RCTs,\(^109,110\) and also by a recent study,\(^110\) which showed an inverse association between the level of circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D and death due to CVD and other causes. In addition, various experimental studies on the effect of vitamin D suggest that vitamin D has a cardioprotective effect. For example, supplementation with vitamin D\(_3\) significantly reduced mortality among older adults,\(^109\) and supplementation with vitamin D improved survival.\(^110\) An investigation of 17 prospective studies and RCTs suggests that vitamin D supplementation may reduce CVD risk.\(^111\)

Elamin et al\(^112\) accomplished the meta-analysis of 51 trials on the effect of vitamin D on cardiovascular outcome. A non-significant (4%) decrease in the risk of death was shown, while no effect on MI and stroke was found. However, if we investigate the pooled results of mortality, in 16 out of 30 trials,\(^112\) an RR for mortality between 0.28 and 0.94 can be found. The doses of vitamin D and calcium intake in the examined trials showed significant heterogeneity. In this case, only stratified analysis may result in a more objective evaluation, otherwise the data may be misleading. Moreover, the results may be biased by diseases, old age, intake of medications, and other factors. Another problem with the trials on vitamin D is that vitamin D in combination with calcium intake may affect the results, because oral calcium may increase the risk of CVD.\(^113\) Pilz et al\(^114\) have summarized the effects of vitamin D on cardiac physiology and its pleiotropic effects on cardiac function and dysfunction, and suggest vitamin D supplementation is appropriate for vitamin D-deficient subjects and for those who are at high risk for myocardial diseases.

A Cochrane Review\(^115\) analyzed 50 trials (94,148 participants) on the effects of vitamin D on mortality and found that vitamin D\(_3\) reduced mortality by about 6%, but vitamin D\(_2\) (ergocalciferol) as well as alfalcacidol and calcitriol had no significant effect. In an update of the review\(^116\) the authors also concluded that vitamin D\(_3\) decreased mortality in the elderly. Despite these positive results, in a recent “umbrella” review (which investigated 107 systematic reviews, 74 meta-analyses of observational studies, and 87 meta-analyses of RCTs on vitamin D and multiple health outcomes), the authors found that evidence for a correlation between high D vitamin levels and low risk of CVD and stroke is only “suggestive” (ie, the association is possible, but not convincing or probable).\(^117\) Another recent article\(^118\) states that “at present the data for a causal link between low vitamin D status and CVD are mixed and ambiguous”. Kienreich et al\(^119\) emphasize that while it may be concluded that vitamin D deficiency is an independent risk factor for CVD, the question of whether supplementation has a benefit for cardiovascular outcomes, cannot be answered with certainty.

There are two ongoing RCTs examining the cardiovascular effects of vitamin D supplementation. One is the Vitamin D and Omega 3 Trial (VITAL),\(^119\) which is a primary prevention trial; the other is the “Role of vitamin D in secondary prevention of cardiovascular events” trial (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01018849). Perhaps the outcome of these trials will provide answers on the question of the role of vitamin D supplementation in the prevention of CVD.

Conclusion and future perspectives

The RCTs on vitamins commonly conclude that vitamins are not successful in prevention of CVD. Therefore, vitamin supplementation is not suggested for the aim of prevention. However, this conclusion is not necessarily correct, because it was drawn from the results of secondary prevention trials. Although vitamin supplementation cannot be expected to result in a major decrease of hard cardiovascular endpoints (heart infarct and stroke), it does not mean that vitamin substitutions have no benefit in cardiovascular prevention, especially in primary prevention. There are abundant data from numerous studies suggesting the role of vitamins in
primary – and even in secondary, eg, stroke – prevention. Considering vitamin supplementation, it should be emphasized that the principal aim is primary prevention, which means the prevention of the first occurrence of MI, stroke, major cardiac event, or death of cardiac origin. However, at a deeper level, the aim of primary prevention is to prevent atherosclerosis, because CVD and its clinical manifestations are due to atherosclerosis. After the vascular bed has undergone atherosclerotic changes, and sclerotic lesions (eg, sclerotic plaques) have appeared, the prevention of CVD as secondary prevention might be considered.

It has been pointed out that vitamins may inhibit atherogenesis at different points and by different mechanisms. There is a postulated mechanism by which vitamins contribute to the prevention of CVD. Antioxidant vitamins – vitamin C, vitamin E, FA, and vitamin B$_6$ – are capable of decreasing the rate of oxidative stress, which is considered to play a central role in atherogenesis. Vitamin D and FA may inhibit inflammation, which also has an antiatherogenic effect. Vitamin E inhibits platelet aggregation, and may antagonize vitamin K. In these scenarios, vitamin E shows similarity to the antiplatelet drug aspirin, which is used for the prevention of both MI and stroke. Moreover, B vitamins may possess antithrombotic activity by lowering plasma HCY level, which may be very important in hyperhomocysteinemia, as the increased level of HCY is accompanied by a hypercoagulable state and higher risk of thrombosis. Therefore, lowering the HCY level by B vitamins may attenuate the risk of thrombosis.

Investigating the cause of the conflicting results generated by trials and studies, it may be established that the results depend on the planning, protocol, trial setting, statistical analysis, and other design variables. According to our opinion, the very cause of the differences in the results might be found in the differences in these factors. The treatments, eg, with statins, antiplatelet drugs, vitamins, or other drugs, may cause bias, which brings about changes in the results. Moreover, the results of the primary and secondary prevention trials are often mixed and discussed together (under one umbrella), despite the essential differences in the pathological conditions and backgrounds between them.

The most important future direction in this field of research is – contrary to the usual established methods – planning and starting trials on healthy subjects. Most large vitamin trials were conducted for the aim of secondary prevention, and included participants with serious pathological conditions. Therefore, conclusions from these trials and studies cannot be extended to primary prevention, and they are not suitable to settle the question on the effectiveness of vitamins in CVD prevention. The reason physicians do not accept vitamin supplementation as a method of CVD prevention may be that official guidelines do not support the introduction of vitamin substitution. Our conclusion is that vitamin C, vitamin E, vitamin D, and also B vitamins have beneficial effects on cardiovascular health and prevention of CVD. Whether the combined use of these vitamins is more effective than the use of individual vitamins is a question to be addressed in future research.
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